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Abstract. Tree canopies are known to emit large amounts of VOCs (volatile organic compounds) such as monoterpenes into the surrounding air. High VOC emission rates from boreal forests have been observed during the transition from winter to summer activity. The most important sources of these are considered to be the green foliage, understory vegetation and soil organisms, but emissions from the living stand woody compartments have so far not been quantified. We analyzed whether the non-foliar components could partially explain the springtime high emission rates. We measured the monoterpenes emissions from Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) stem and shoots during the dehardening phase of trees in field conditions in two consecutive springs. We observed a large, transient monoterpenes burst from the stem, while the shoot monoterpenes emissions remained low. The burst lasted about 12 h. Simultaneously, an unusual nighttime sap flow and a non-systematic diurnal pattern of tree diameter were detected. Hence, we suggest that the monoterpenes burst was a consequence of the recovery of the stem from wintertime, and likely related to the refilling of embolized tracheids and/or phenological changes in the living cells of the stem. This indicates that the dominant processes and environmental drivers triggering the monoterpenes emissions are different between the stem and the foliage.

1 Introduction

The stems of mature coniferous trees contain significant quantities of oleoresin; 20–50% per weight of the conifer oleoresin consists of monoterpenes (Langenheim, 2003), and the monoterpane content of Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) wood is about 0.5% (from dry weight; Strömvall and Petersson, 2000). In addition to the volatile monoterpenes, oleoresin is composed of volatile sesquiterpenes and non-volatile diterpene acids. The composition and quantity of wood oleoresin depends on, e.g., tree species, age, provenance, health status, and environmental conditions (Back and Ekman, 2000; Erbilgin and Colgan, 2012), and is likely linked to protection against stem-damaging herbivores (Lewinsohn et al., 1991; Philips and Croteau, 1999; Trapp and Croteau, 2001). Oleoresin flows out from a mechanically damaged site to protect the tree by sealing the wound. Once in contact with air, the volatile parts of oleoresin evaporate, and the residual compounds harden to make a solid protective seal over damaged tissues. Yet, a fraction of the volatile part may react already on the oleoresin and form large polymers of low volatility.

It is well known that the foliage of conifers also contains several volatile isoprenoids (isoprene, monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes) as well as small oxygenated carboxyls, e.g., methanol, acetone and acetaldehyde, which are emitted at very variable rates (e.g., Isidorov et al., 1985; Christensen et al., 2000; Graber et al., 2004). Temperature is the main controlling factor for monoterpane emission, influencing their volatility in an exponential manner (Tingey et al., 1980), although recently light-dependent emissions from shoots have also been reported (Loreto et al., 1996; Staudt and Bertin, 1998; Shao et al., 2001; Tarvainen et al., 2005; Ghirardo et al., 2010), indicating a close dependence on carbon assimilation. Emissions of monoterpenes from tree
canopies have a typical seasonal pattern, normally peaking in summer (e.g., Hakola et al., 2006).

Surprisingly, despite abundant knowledge on emissions of volatile isoprenoids from foliage, very little is known about their emissions from woody plant tissue. From the viewpoint of the timber and paper industry, isoprenoid emissions from harvested and further-processed timber have been previously reported (Strömwall and Petersson, 1991, 1993; Granström, 2007), but living woody tree parts have gained only little attention. As the oleoresin storage pools in stems are large, emissions occur constitutively without any damage to the tree itself, but their seasonal patterns or driving factors have not been studied in detail.

Resin duct network and water transport system are both pressurized systems: resin is under positive pressure caused by cells surrounding the ducts and xylem water under negative pressure caused by the transpiration created tension linking the pressure to many physiological processes of a tree. Apart from transpiration, the water status in a stem is linked to repeated freezing and thawing cycles in winter. These can cause embolism in water-conducting tracheids (Sperry, 1993), potentially hindering the stem water transport as transpiration commences at spring recovery of the canopy. Thus, one requirement for trees living in cold environments is that the xylem conduits are refilled and the water transport capacity recovers in the spring (e.g., Améglio et al., 2002). The water pressure changes in xylem and phloem can be reflected in the radial changes of inner bark and xylem (e.g., Mencuccini et al., 2013).

Interestingly, emissions from intact Scots pine branches can be very high in early spring, in many cases much higher than those later in the growing season (e.g., Tarvainen et al., 2005; Hakola et al., 2006). This implies that other factors related to the tree physiological processes in spring may also influence inherent emission rates, beyond the simple physical factors related to volatilization of VOCs or the factors related to biotic or abiotic damage.

To analyze the dynamics of stem monoterpene emissions and their possible relationship with the stem physiology in spring, we measured the emissions from a Scots pine stem during two springs in field conditions in a boreal pine forest. In addition, we measured sap flow, stem radial variation and foliage gas exchange (including emissions of monoterpenes) from the same tree. Our hypothesis was that the emissions from the stem are driven by several factors: one is related to incident changes in temperature (affecting volatilization), and the second one represents the dynamic emission driven by tree physiological processes. The latter one was expected to be linked to tree water relations, and thus the onset of monoterpene emissions from the stem in spring could be related to the recovery of tree water transport capacity.

Figure 1. (a–b) Temperature at 8.4 m in air and in the soil A horizon (°C). (c–d) Weekly snow depth (cm) and volumetric soil water content in the A horizon (m$^3$ m$^{-3}$). Left-hand panels are for April–May 2012 and right-hand panels for April–May 2013.

2 Materials and methods

Measurements were done at the SMEAR II (Station for Measuring Forest Ecosystem–Atmosphere Relations, 61°51′N, 24°17′E) stand (Hari and Kulmala, 2005) in 2012 and 2013. The growing season ranges, on average, from the end of April to mid-October (Table 1). Thermal spring, defined as a period when daily mean temperature stays between 0 and 10°C, starts typically during the first half of April (Table 1). The growing season starts when snow has melted on open sites and mean daily air temperature rises above 5°C; this takes place around the turn from April to May. The ambient air temperature, snow depth and soil water content during the measurement periods in April–May 2012 and 2013 are shown in Fig. 1.

The measurement site is situated at the boreal vegetation zone in southern Finland. The stand is dominated by Scots pine with some Norway spruce (Picea abies (L.) Karst.), European aspen (Populus tremula L.), and birches (Betula spp.) as a mixture. The ground is covered with dwarf shrubs (Vaccinium myrtillus L., Vaccinium vitis-idaea L.) and mosses (Pleurozium schreberi (Brud.) Mitt., Dicranum spp.). Soil is haplic podzol formed from glacial till and its thickness on bedrock is quite low, on average only 0.5–0.7 m.

The tree-scale parameters were measured from a visibly healthy, representative Scots pine ca. 50-year old individual belonging to the dominating canopy layer. This tree was 18.6 m tall and had a diameter of 20 cm at breast height (in 2012), and has been measured for diameter change and sap flow since 2005. The lowest living branches grew at a height of 10 m. The shoots and stem were inspected visually, and no injuries or other abnormalities could be seen before or after the installation of measurement device.

The gas exchange of the stem was measured with a transparent enclosure (see below). The flux calculation of stem enclosure data was done according to Kolari et al. (2009). The top-canopy shoot gas exchange was measured at the height of
Table 1. Environmental variables at the study site during the statistical period 1981–2010 and in studied years 2012 and 2013.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1981–2010a</th>
<th>2012b</th>
<th>2013c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual mean air temperature, °C</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum air temperature, °C</td>
<td>−38.1</td>
<td>−31.7</td>
<td>−29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(January 1987)</td>
<td>(4 February)</td>
<td>(18 January)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum air temperature, °C</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(July 2010)</td>
<td>(29 July)</td>
<td>(26 June)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual precipitation, mm</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual maximum snow depth, cm</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of snow cover, days</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First snowc</td>
<td>15 October</td>
<td>28 November</td>
<td>26 October</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snowmelt</td>
<td>15–30 May</td>
<td>4 May</td>
<td>24 April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal spring start</td>
<td>5 April</td>
<td>10 April</td>
<td>12 April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start of the growing season</td>
<td>27 April–2 May</td>
<td>25 April</td>
<td>27 April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual cumulative temperature sum, degree days</td>
<td>1200–1300</td>
<td>1161</td>
<td>1388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April mean temperature, °C</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April minimum temperature, °C</td>
<td>−19.7</td>
<td>−16.5</td>
<td>−14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April maximum temperature, °C</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April precipitation, mm</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May mean temperature, °C</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May minimum temperature, °C</td>
<td>−7.1</td>
<td>−2.9</td>
<td>−2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May maximum temperature, °C</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May precipitation, mm</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Statistical data for years 1981–2010 are collected from Pirinen et al. (2012) and from Finnish Meteorological Institute (FMI) webpages (2014). b Data from FMI open-access data. c Date in the previous year.

about 17 m with a dynamic enclosure (including the two to three most recent needle year classes) as presented by Aalto et al. (2014). The shoot gas exchange was calculated as in Kolari et al. (2012) with a transpiration correction as in Altimir et al. (2006). Until the end of April 2013 the H₂O and CO₂ exchange was measured with URAS 4 infrared light absorption gas analyzers (Hartman and Braun, Frankfurt am Main, Germany), and from May 2013 onwards with a Li-840A analyzer (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA). The replacement of the gas analyzers did not cause any irregularity in the H₂O and CO₂ exchange data because the calculation of gas exchange is primarily dependent on concentration difference instead of absolute concentration, and besides that, both analyzers were also calibrated for CO₂ using a comparable calibration method and standard gases containing ca. ambient concentration of CO₂. For more details on the CO₂ and H₂O calibration protocol used at SMEAR II, see Keronen et al. (2014). The stem CO₂ efflux was temperature-normalized using the air temperatures measured inside the enclosure to study linkages with other stem processes by fitting an exponential curve to measurements at above-zero temperatures in April. Simple exponential models derived from the described plot (Eqs. 1 and 2) were then used to estimate CO₂ efflux anomalies. The exponential models for respiration (R) as a function of ambient temperature (T) were

\[ R = 4.5784 \cdot \exp(0.0925 \cdot T) \] (1)

and

\[ R = 0.9874 \cdot \exp(0.207 \cdot T) \] (2)

for April 2012 and April 2013, respectively. The VOC emissions were measured online with a proton transfer reaction quadrupole mass spectrometer (PTR-Q-MS, Ionicon, Innsbruck, Austria; Hansel et al., 1995) modified from the system described in Ruuskanen et al. (2005). The description and accuracy of the VOC measurement system has been reported in Kolari et al. (2012). Altogether, ten protonated mass ions (amu+1) were monitored, but for this study we use data only on the m/z 137, which corresponds in Scots pine emissions mainly to monoterpenes. The other masses show such low signal-to-noise ratios and dependence on air humidity that their fluxes are insufficiently quantified. Calibrations of the PTR-Q-MS were carried out 2–3 times a month according to the method described in Taipale et al. (2008). A mixture of several VOCs (e.g., α-pinene as a representative of monoterpenes) in nitrogen was used as a gas standard. The mixture was further diluted with volatile-free air from a zero air generator to attain concentrations below 20 parts per billion by volume, i.e., around the ambient atmospheric concentrations. The gaps in the data originate from the momentary maintenance and malfunction of the measuring system. As the temperature variation in springtime is wide, the measured emissions were normalized to enable better compari-
son by applying the temperature normalization equation according to Guenther (1997) using an empirical beta coefficient of 0.09 K\(^{-1}\) and a standard temperature of 303.15 K according to Eq. (1):

\[
E_0 = E \cdot \exp(\beta \cdot \left[ T_S - T \right]) \, ,
\]

where \(E_0\) is the normalized emission rate (ng m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)), \(E\) is the observed emission rate (ng m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)), \(\beta = 0.09\) (K\(^{-1}\)), \(T_S\) is the standard temperature (K), and \(T\) is the temperature in chamber (K).

The stem enclosure (Fig. 2) was designed specifically for measuring reactive gases with materials chemically inert to many VOCs to avoid detrimental signal losses. The enclosure covered 396 cm\(^2\) of the pine stem at the height of 12 m from the ground, which is close to the lowest living branches. The enclosure consists of a transparent and UV-permeable FEP foil (0.05 mm thick, Fluorplast, Maalahti, Finland) wrapped around the stem 2–3 times and tightened with bands on both ends. The vertical seal of the foil was made with FEP tape. Within the enclosure, a spiral of polyethylene-coated aluminum tube (Synflex, Eaton, USA) was wrapped around the stem to maintain an air space between the foil and the bark, and a FEP tape-covered aluminum brace for inlet and outlet connectors was placed between the spiral and the foil. Inside the enclosure, temperature was recorded with a copper-constantan thermocouple on the south-facing side of the stem. Rain water flow along the stem was blocked with a rain cover above the enclosure. Mounting of the enclosure was done well before the first measurements and without damaging the bark to avoid possible induced emissions. The bark at this height was rather smooth, so no levelling with a knife was needed. The measurements were done in steady state when the flow rate through the enclosure was about 1 L min\(^{-1}\). The sampling time for emissions was 2 min 45 s, and samples were taken 24 times per day. To avoid accumulation of gases inside the enclosure, the enclosure was flushed between the samplings with above-canopy air at a rate of about 0.4 L min\(^{-1}\). All the monoterpene emissions from the stem are expressed per m\(^2\) of bark area and the emissions from the shoot per m\(^2\) of all-sided needle area. The stem area was defined as a smooth cylinder surface ignoring the cracks of the bark.

Changes in stem radius were measured with two linear variable displacement transducers (point dendrometers; LVDT; model AX/S.0/S, Solartron Inc., West Sussex, UK), at a height of 15 m from the base of the sample tree. The point dendrometers were installed on a rectangular stainless steel frame and were affixed onto the stem using two attachment plates. A detailed description of the dendrometers is provided by Sevanto et al. (2005). The head of the first dendrometer rested against a screw that was placed 10 mm through the bark surface, measuring xylem radial thickness (d\(_{x}\)). The head of the second dendrometer rested against the inner bark, which was exposed by incising the outer bark 3–4 mm deep with a scalpel. This dendrometer measured whole-stem radial thickness (d\(_{ws}\)). As whole-stem thickness also includes xylem thickness, the difference between these measurements is the inner-bark radial thickness (d\(_{b}\)). Inner-bark thickness, hence, includes the cambium and the phloem tissue towards the outside of the cambium. Dendrometer measurements (accuracy 1 µm) at 30 min intervals were used for the study and were offset to zero on 1 April of each year.

We used the radial measurements to calculate a dimensionless ratio, \(\beta\), which is the ratio of the change in \(d_x\) to the change in \(d_b\) (Eq. 4):

\[
\beta = \frac{d_{b\text{MAX}} - d_{b\text{MIN}}}{d_{x\text{MAX}} - d_{x\text{MIN}}} \, , \tag{4}
\]

where \(d_{b\text{MAX}}\) and \(d_{b\text{MIN}}\) correspond to the maximum and minimum daily inner-bark diameter, respectively, and \(d_{x\text{MAX}}\) and \(d_{x\text{MIN}}\) correspond to the maximum and minimum daily xylem diameter, respectively. This ratio is proportional to the ratio of the elasticity of the inner-bark tissues to xylem tissues. Note that an assumption is made here that the xylem and inner bark tend towards water potential equilibrium with each other at the minimum and maximum diameters. As the elasticity of the xylem tissue is dependent mainly on the elastic properties of the dead xylem tracheids (Irvine and Grace, 1997; Perämäki et al., 2001) and the xylem and inner bark (Sevanto et al., 2011) tend to approximately follow water potential equilibrium on a daily scale, the changes in \(\beta\) represent mainly the changes in the elasticity of the phloem.

Sap flow rate was measured with the Granier-type heat dissipation method at a height of about 13 m. Two probes, of 50 mm in length, were inserted in 2 mm wide brass cylinders into the sapwood approximately 10 cm apart. The upper probe (with 30 Ω resistance) was heated with constant power (approximately 0.2 W) and the sap flux density was calculated from the temperature difference between the two probes with a standard protocol (see, e.g., Granier, 1987).
Air temperature was measured at 8 m height (Pt-100 sensor) and in soil A horizon (5–10 cm depth with Philips thermistors). Precipitation was measured (Vector ARG-100 tipping bucket rain gauge and Vaisala FD12P weather sensor) in an open site at 30 min intervals. Snow depth was measured once a week at seven locations below canopies at the study site and averaged for the forest stand.

3 Results

Weather patterns in both springs were rather normal (Table 1). Mean temperatures in April were somewhat below the long-time average, but May temperatures were slightly higher. The onset of the growing period was typical for the site. The maximum depth of snow was in both years higher than average, but snow was melting slightly earlier than normal.

The emission measurements show that very early in spring, already in early April, significant monoterpene emissions from pine stem and shoot could be detected (Fig. 3). The emissions exhibited a clear diurnal cycle, with a maximum at midday and a minimum at midnight (Fig. 3a, b). Interestingly, a single, extremely high burst of monoterpene emission was observed from the stem in both years. At its highest, the monoterpene burst was 13 ± 2 ng m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in 2012 and 50 ± 50 ng m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in April. The maximum depth of snow was in both years higher than average, but snow was melting slightly earlier than normal.

The stem and shoot monoterpene emissions were momentary at about the same level during the stem monoterpene burst in 2012, but in 2013, the stem monoterpene emissions clearly exceeded those from the shoots. The observed inner-bark radial thickness ($d_b$) dynamics were very different before, during and after the observed emission burst. Thus, we separated them into three consecutive phases. The first phase began in early April, when large and reversible stem swelling and shrinkage was observed, which was associated with repeated freeze–thaw cycles (Figs. 4 and 5). These freeze–thaw cycles were observed over the first 9 days of both Aprils, coinciding with daily minimum temperatures below $-5$ °C.

The second phase began once monoterpene emission started. Immediately after the stem monoterpene emission burst ceased, a period lasting roughly 1 week was seen in $d_b$ (Fig. 5a, b). Recovery of the $d_b$ from the first period’s freeze–thaw cycles was detected, which occurred roughly 3 days after the burst in 2012 and almost immediately in 2013. On 11–23 April 2012 and 19–25 April 2013, $d_b$ and $d_s$ were swelling and shrinking with no time lag, or even $d_b$ swelling occurring before $d_s$. We considered the end of the second phase to take place once the $d_s$ and $d_b$ changes got more regular. Thus, the stem and its water transport got acclimated to external factors such as rising air temperature.

In the third phase, a regular swelling and shrinking of the stem radius was observed: $d_b$ followed $d_s$ with a time lag. This kind of pattern is typical of the active growing period and is commonly observed in summertime at the study site.

Figure 3. (a–b) Measured monoterpene emission (m/z 137, ng m$^{-2}$ bark area s$^{-1}$) from the pine stem (same location on the stem in both years). (c–d) Measured monoterpene emission (m/z 137, ng m$^{-2}$ total needle area s$^{-1}$) from a pine shoot (same shoot in both years). (e–f) Temperature (°C) in the stem enclosure. Left-hand panels for April–May 2012, right-hand panels for April–May 2013.
Moreover, an irreversible $d_0$ increment (i.e., radial growth) began shortly after the second phase. Sap flow decreased and minimum occurred about 10 days after the peaks in monoterpene emissions, and then began to increase steadily to summertime levels following a similar pattern in temperature (Fig. 6). Nighttime sap flow occurred concurrently with the stem monoterpene emission peaks (Fig. 4), which does not typically occur at any other time of the year at this site. In addition, shoot transpiration was very low during the 2012 emission peak, although VPD was high, indicating closed stomata (data not shown).

$\beta$, reflecting the changes of inner-bark to xylem maximum daily amplitude, showed large daily variations prior to the emission burst followed by a decline shortly after (Fig. 7). During the second phase, $\beta$ exhibited noticeably smaller but abrupt changes lasting 2–3 days. After this response period, $\beta$ reached a steady summer state.

The stem CO$_2$ efflux anomalies, i.e., the part of the respiration value that is not explained by the regular response to temperature (Fig. 8), reveal that prior to the high monoterpene emission peak of both years, the stem CO$_2$ efflux anomaly increased relative to the period before, or immediately after in 2012 (2013 measurements were missing at that period due to system problems). This suggests that in addition to regular maintenance respiration, CO$_2$ was released from some storage or there were some CO$_2$-producing processes occurring. This high CO$_2$ efflux during the monoterpene peak is not associated with growth since radial growth was observed with the point dendrometers approximately 1 month later.

4 Discussion

We showed that in a boreal forest, monoterpenes are emitted from Scots pine stems continuously at a low rate in spring, with a systematic daily pattern – maxima in the afternoon and minima during nighttime. The stem monoterpene emissions differ from those measured from shoots in both magnitude and dynamics at the same time. The monoterpene emissions from Scots pine shoots show a clear seasonal pattern with several high transient emission periods in the beginning of the growing season (Aalto et al., 2014). The average level of the monoterpene emissions from the stem in springtime is in general lower than from the shoots (per area unit), which is likely due to lower oleoresin content and lower biophysical activity in the stem than in the shoots (Rockwood, 1973; Back and Ekman, 2000). The monoterpene emissions from the shoot were generally lower in 2013 than in 2012 (Fig. 3), but this is likely due to the aging of needles as the same shoot was enclosed in the measurement chamber in both years (Aalto et al., 2014). Moreover, the dynamics of the monoterpene emissions from the stem and shoots seem to be driven by different factors.

We compared the fluxes measured with chambers to those monitored with ecosystem-scale flux measurements (data not shown), but no clear correlations could be seen. Since the ecosystem-scale measurements upscale the emissions of the whole heterogenic stand, such transient physiological features related to emission changes in individual trees may not be observable at that scale. As the environmental factors vary within a forest stand and the tree individuals exhibit naturally somewhat different responses to these factors, there is vari-
In addition to the continuous low monoterpene emissions from the stem, we observed a rapid but large emission burst, lasting for several hours, after which the emissions decreased to the pre-burst levels, with a gradual emission increase towards summer concurrently with increasing ambient temperatures. The monoterpene emission burst coincided well with the recovery of stem radius from winter conditions. In both years studied, the burst occurred shortly after the last freezing period (Fig. 5). Around the time of the burst, stem radius fluctuations showed irregular behavior in comparison to the regular pattern observed during summer conditions, more noticeably in 2012. This behavior included inner-bark fluctuations occurring before xylem fluctuations, both inner-bark and xylem changes occurring concomitantly and large daily fluctuations unlike summertime behavior. In both years, the inner-bark radius had a depression relative to xylem around the emission burst, but extremely so during 2012. This behavior also coincided well with the changes in relative bark-xylem daily amplitude, $\beta$, where the largest changes were seen shortly before the burst (Fig. 7), and also more prominently in the year 2012 when the bark shrinking was more pronounced. Also, the dynamics of sap flow behaved in a similar manner relative to the burst event on both years (Fig. 6). This irregular behavior relative to timing and amplitudes of xylem and inner-bark indicates that driving forces other than transpiration, the main driver of diameter change variation during summer (Perämäki et al., 2001, 2005), drive the pattern of diameter change variation.

It is commonly known that freeze–thaw cycles experienced during winter cause winter embolism in trees (Sperry, 1993; Sperry and Robson, 2001; Pittermann and Sperry, 2006): frozen gases (mostly air and CO$_2$) dissolve in xylem sap forming bubbles, which then expand during thawing and embolize the water-conducting tracheids. In spring, xylem conduits are refilled with water by metabolic processes that are not yet fully understood, but most likely involve the interaction of living cells and radial interaction between xylem and phloem (Zwieniecki and Holbrook, 2009; Nardini et al., 2011), resulting in the recovery of xylem transport capacity along with transpiration-driven tension propagation in stems. Cochard et al. (2001) demonstrated that an active mechanism for the recovery of shoot hydraulic conductivity via embolism refilling occurred early in the growing season, before cambial reactivation (i.e., before ring development). Also, aquaporin activity, which changes the permeability of the cell membranes, is known to be associated with embolism refilling (e.g., Sakr et al., 2003; Brodersen and McElrone, 2013).

Studies have shown that the inner bark (i.e., phloem) plays a contributory role by providing the mechanism to drive radial water flow, ultimately aiding osmotic flow into embolized conduits (Salleo et al., 1996; Zwieniecki et al., 2000; Salleo et al., 2004). Also, our results show that soon after the cessation of freeze-thaw events there occur changes, such as temporary shrinking of inner bark relative to xylem and high inner-bark vs. xylem amplitude changes, which could suggest an active role of phloem in xylem recovery. Also, the stem CO$_2$ flux anomalies might be related to this phloem activity during embolism refilling, as embolism refilling is known to require input of energy (Zwieniecki and Holbrook, 2009). After this period, changes in stem radius achieved a general summertime steady state, where changes in inner bark follow xylem changes with a 30–45 min time lag (Sevanto et al., 2002).
The simultaneous dynamic changes in stem radius and deviation of xylem sap flow from normal conditions indicate that the changes in stem water relations are at least coinciding with if not causing the emission bursts in April. In addition to water transport, the shrinking and swelling of phloem could indicate the onset of growth: xylem microcore samples have indicated that xylem cells start forming at our measurement site after mid-May (Jyske et al., 2014) and phloem cells have been reported to start to form about 10 to 20 days before xylem cells in Scots pine (Antonova and Stasova, 2006). Thus, the timing of tree growth does not explain the observed bursts that were seen to occur prior to growth onset, and we need to search for explanations from other physical and physiological processes.

The most plausible causes of these transient monoterpene bursts from pine stem are volatilization from storages due to temperature increase (e.g., Lerdau et al., 1997), changes in the non-specific storage of monoterpenes (e.g., Niinemets and Reichstein, 2002), or a rapid pressure-induced mobilization of volatiles from resin ducts.

As volatile cues are important for many herbivores in finding their host trees, the springtime monoterpene emission dynamics may also be linked to tree–herbivore relations.

4.1 Direct effect of temperature

Monoterpene volatilization is a temperature-driven process (Guenther et al., 1993; Guenther, 1997; Lerdau et al., 1997; Tarvainen et al., 2005) and thus the seasonality of monoterpene emissions from vegetation is often linked to changes in ambient temperatures. Temperature-dependent emissions are especially important in species with large storage pools, such as conifers (e.g., Lewinsohn et al., 1991; Lerdau et al., 1997). The accumulation of monoterpenes in stem storage pools over winter and their release due to higher temperatures in spring could possibly lead to high emission rates. However, we could not identify any extraordinary weather conditions that could have caused such high emission peaks. The emission burst had in both years almost similar timing compared to the growing season: the burst took place on 11 April in 2012 and on 19 April in 2013 (onset of growing season 12 April and 16 April, respectively). Also, the daily mean temperatures of the peak emissions days were almost identical in both years.

The bark surface temperature follows ambient air temperature with a short time lag, but may occasionally rise well above ambient temperature due to direct irradiation on the bark surface. However, inside and especially below the canopy this happens only occasionally and only on one side of the stem at a time, and especially deeper inside the stem the response to changes in ambient temperatures is very slow. Measurements on a 60 cm thick Monterey pine have shown that the diurnal temperature range inside the stem is only about one-third of the range in ambient air (Neher, 1993). Thus, the oleoresin-rich heartwood (Strömvall and Peterson, 2000) stays in more stable conditions than the sapwood with lower oleoresin content. On the other hand, sapwood includes the living cells of the xylem and thus its temperature changes might be more significant for monoterpene emissions, especially in the case of de novo emissions. Our stem enclosure was situated inside the living canopy, so there apparently was only living sapwood and no heartwood enclosed.

It is also possible that the monoterpenes get reallocated from roots to upper tree parts through sap flow. However, as oleoresin is still rather viscous at the prevailing temperatures in spring, and the monoterpenes do not easily dissolve in water, this may not provide a good explanation for the observed peak emissions.

4.2 Changes in non-specific storage of monoterpenes due to changes in membrane permeability during spring

The spring dehardening involves many biochemical changes affecting membrane transport properties and changing membrane permeability (Pukacki and Kaminska-Rozek, 2013; Martz et al., 2006), which likely also influence the water relations in stem and xylem refilling. Changes in membrane properties (e.g., elasticity and permeability) were likely seen as changes in stem radius measured with point dendrometers. The change in permeability is reflected in the water status of the living cells of the stem, affecting sap flow rate as well.

A dynamic, non-specific monoterpane storage pool exists in cellular membranes (Niinemets and Reichstein, 2002, 2003a, b; Ormeño et al., 2011). This pool may either influence or be affected by membrane permeability changes. At high concentrations, monoterpenes may alter the properties of membrane proteins (Wink, 2003) and thus also affect the permeability and other bioactive features of the membrane. Monoterpenes may affect membrane permeability and cause leakage of intracellular materials of pathogenic microbes, which could explain their antimicrobial activity (Trombetta et al., 2005; Cristiani et al., 2007). Since the properties of cell membranes change dramatically during dehardening, this may lead to a release of membrane-accumulated monoterpenes – however, it is unlikely that the release would be seen...
as such a short and transient emission peak, but rather as a gradually increasing emission rate as the dehardening proceeds.

4.3 A rapid mobilization of volatiles from resin ducts

A rather plausible explanation for the monoterpenoid emission peaks is a pressure-induced release of volatiles from resin duct cavities. Resin ducts are located both horizontally and vertically in the stem, and thus they are in contact with both heartwood and sapwood. It is likely that the rapid changes in water transport and related pressure changes in stem in spring could lead to a pressure change in the xylem resin ducts and a consequent release of oleoresin. Such an effect could be corresponding to a damage-induced, transient release of monoterpenoids from herbivory or mechanical wounding. However, after a steady diurnal water transport rate is obtained (in some hours after the recovery of the xylem), the resin ducts no longer experience strong pressure effects and emissions go down to a “normal” diurnal pattern.

Monoterpenoid emissions may also originate from the gases inside embolized tracheids. It is well known that a large proportion of tracheids is embolized during the winter as gas bubbles get trapped inside the frozen xylem sap during freezing and expand to embolize the tracheids during thawing (e.g., Pittermann and Sperry, 2003). The air inside the embolized tracheids may have a high concentration of monoterpenoids, as the turnover rate of the gases is low and monoterpenoids can diffuse to tracheids from the neighboring resin ducts over the winter. Once the conduits refill with water in the spring, the gases, including monoterpenes, within the embolized tracheids diffuse out from the stem (Yang and Tyree, 1992; Vesala et al., 2003). It is unclear how large the changes in stem gas content are during the spring, and whether monoterpenoid concentrations can be high enough to sustain a burst for several hours. However, substantial changes in the volume of gas inside the stem are very likely, as the volumetric water content of the stem is known to vary a lot during winter months (Sparks et al., 2001) and can increase by up to tens of percents during the springtime (Wullschleger et al., 1996). On the other hand, once the embolized tracheid cells fill up with water in spring, the filling causes extra pressure on resin ducts, and this may also cause micro-scale damages on the ducts followed by a subsequent oleoresin flow and elevated monoterpenoid emissions.

5 Conclusions

Although emission measurements on tree shoots using branch enclosures abound, very little is known about the emission patterns and their driving factors of the woody parts of the shoots. This study is the first to show that monoterpenic emissions from Scots pine stems are linked to changes in stem water relations during the spring recovery. The stem emissions seem to be less related to changes in incident changes in environment than to the physiology of the tree, especially during the winter dehardening phase. The dynamic changes in stem processes (irregular diurnal pattern of stem radial change, nighttime sap flow, and transient monoterpenoid burst) indicate a springtime phase change in stem water transport capacity that precedes the physiologically active summer state of the tree. After this period, the water transport capacity reaches a steady summer state with stable daily patterns of stem radial change and sap flow rates. While the emission dynamics in foliage follows a clear temperature and light dynamics, the large oleoresin reservoirs in woody compartments seem to be less directly affected by these incident factors, and rather reflect a longer-term adjustment of the whole tree physiology. The large transient emission peaks from stem are most likely related to the springtime refilling of embolized tracheids. Future studies on the topic could address the cellular-level processes in tree stems, and their connections to seasonal water transport capacity and occurrence of insect outbreaks.
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