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ABSTRACT

A measurement of the ratio of the branching fractions of the $B_s^0$ meson to $J/\psi f_0(980)$ and to $J/\psi \phi(1020)$ is presented. The $J/\psi$, $f_0(980)$, and $\phi(1020)$ are observed through their decays to $\mu^+\mu^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-$, and $K^+K^-$, respectively. The $f_0$ and the $\phi$ are identified by requiring $|M_{\pi^+\pi^-}| < 974$ MeV and $|M_{K^+K^-} - 1020$ MeV$| < 10$ MeV. The analysis is based on a data sample of pp collisions at a centre-of-mass energy of 7 TeV, collected by the CMS experiment at the LHC, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 5.3 fb$^{-1}$. The measured ratio is $R_{f_0/\phi} = B(B_s^0 \to J/\psi f_0)B(f_0 \to \pi^+\pi^-)/B(B_s^0 \to J/\psi \phi)B(\phi \to K^+K^-) = 0.140 \pm 0.008$ (stat) $\pm 0.023$ (syst), where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second is systematic.

© 2016 CERN for the benefit of the CMS Collaboration. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP3.

1. Introduction

Since the observation of the decay $B_s^0 \to J/\psi \phi f_0(980)$ component [1], this channel has been regarded with great interest in heavy-flavor physics. More detailed studies of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum have shown the $\pi^+\pi^-$ system to be almost entirely CP odd [2,3]. This opens up the possibility of directly measuring the lifetime of the CP-odd part of the $B_s^0$ meson [4,5]. In addition, the $B_s^0 \to J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$ decay has been used for the measurement of the CP-violating phase $\phi_3$ [6,7], making an important contribution to the world-average value of $\phi_3$ [8–13]. The phase $\phi_3$ is predicted to be small in the standard model [14], making its determination interesting because of the large enhancements that can be introduced by new physics [15,16]. In what follows, we will refer to the $f_0(980)$ as $f_0$ and the $\phi(1020)$ as $\phi$.

This Letter presents the measurement of the ratio $R_{f_0/\phi}$ of the branching fractions $B(B_s^0 \to J/\psi f_0)B(f_0 \to \pi^+\pi^-)$ and $B(B_s^0 \to J/\psi \phi)B(\phi \to K^+K^-)$, where in both cases the $J/\psi$ is detected through its decay to $\mu^+\mu^-$. The $f_0$ and the $\phi$ are identified by requiring $|M_{\pi^+\pi^-}| < 974$ MeV and $|M_{K^+K^-} - 1020$ MeV$| < 10$ MeV. The appearance of $B_s^0 \to J/\psi f_0$ decays was first discussed in [17] with a theoretical estimate for $R_{f_0/\phi}$ of approximately 0.2, which is consistent with results from several experiments [2,4,18, 19]. Detailed studies of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum of the $B_s^0 \to J/\psi \phi f_0$ decay in $0.3 < M_{\pi^+\pi^-} < 2.5$ GeV [2,3] reveal this final state to have contributions from several resonances in $M_{\pi^+\pi^-}$, and the $f_0$ component to range from 68.0% to 94.5%. However, according to the same results, the contaminations from other resonances in $M_{\pi^+\pi^-}$ range from several orders of magnitude lower than the $f_0$ component, including the non-resonant S-wave. Based on this, the measurement of $R_{f_0/\phi}$ is performed assuming that the selected region of $M_{\pi^+\pi^-}$ is dominated by $B_s^0 \to J/\psi f_0$ decays and neglecting other resonances. Systematic uncertainties are assigned to the measurement owing to these assumptions, taking into account the uncertainty in the $f_0$ component and the interferences with other resonances in the selected mass window for $M_{\pi^+\pi^-}$.

Experimentally, the ratio $R_{f_0/\phi}$ is given by

$$R_{f_0/\phi} = \frac{B(B_s^0 \to J/\psi f_0)B(f_0 \to \pi^+\pi^-)}{B(B_s^0 \to J/\psi \phi)B(\phi \to K^+K^-)} = \frac{N_{f_0}^{\text{obs}}}{N_{\phi}^{\text{obs}}} \frac{\epsilon_{\phi/\phi}}{\epsilon_{\text{reco}}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $N_{f_0}^{\text{obs}}$ and $N_{\phi}^{\text{obs}}$ are the observed yields of $B_s^0 \to J/\psi (\mu^+\mu^-)f_0$ with $f_0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ and $B_s^0 \to J/\psi (\mu^+\mu^-)\phi$ with $\phi \to K^+K^-$ decays, respectively, and $\epsilon_{\phi/\phi}$ is the ratio of the detection efficiencies for the $B_s^0$ decay mode with a $\phi$ to the decay mode with a $f_0$. Uncertainties in the b quark production cross section cancel in the ratio, as do those from the $J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-$ branching fraction and the integrated luminosity. Given the similar topologies of the two final states, systematic uncertainties related to the tracking efficiency and the muon identification also cancel in the ratio.
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2. The CMS detector

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter. Within the 3.8 T field volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter, and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter, each composed of a barrel and two endcap sections. Muons are measured in the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2.5$ and consists of 1440 silicon pixel and 15 148 silicon strip detector modules. Matching muons to tracks measured in the silicon tracker results in a relative transverse momentum resolution for muons with $20 < p_T < 100$ GeV of 1.3–2.0% in the barrel and better than 6% in the endcaps. The $p_T$ resolution in the barrel is better than 10% for muons with $p_T$ up to 1 TeV [20].

The first level of the CMS trigger system, composed of custom hardware processors, uses information from the calorimeters and muon detectors to select the most interesting events in a fixed time interval of less than 4 $\mu$s. The high-level trigger (HLT) processor farm further decreases the event rate to less than 1 kHz, before data storage.

A more detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in Ref. [21].

3. Event selection

The data sample used for this measurement was collected in 2011 by the CMS experiment at the CERN LHC in proton–proton collisions at a centre-of-mass energy of 7 TeV and corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 5.3 fb$^{-1}$.

The search for $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi f_0$ decays is performed in events with two muon candidates selected by the dimuon trigger at the HLT, requiring the muon pair to originate from a displaced vertex. The dimuon candidates are further required to comply with $L_{xy}/\sigma_{xy} > 3$, where $L_{xy}$ is the magnitude of the vector $L_{xy}$, which lies in a plane transverse to the beam axis and points from the interaction point to the dimuon vertex, and $\sigma_{xy}$ is its uncertainty; $\cos(\phi/\psi)$ is the angle between the direction of the dimuon transverse momentum and $L_{xy}$; $p_T > 4$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.2$ for each muon candidate; $p_T > 7$ GeV for the dimuon; the distance of closest approach of each muon track with respect to the other muon track <0.5 cm.

Reconstruction of the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi f_0$ decays begins with the search for $J/\psi$ candidates by combining two muons of opposite charge to form a vertex with a fit probability >0.5% and an invariant mass $M_{J/\psi}$ within $|M_{J/\psi} - 3097.6$ MeV$| < 150$ MeV. To search for $f_0$ candidates, two tracks of opposite charge assumed to be pions are constrained to a vertex with a probability >5%. One pion candidate must have $p_T > 1$ GeV and the other $p_T > 2.5$ GeV. In addition, the $f_0$ candidate must have $p_T > 3.5$ GeV and $M_{f_0}$ in the range $|M_{f_0} - 974$ MeV$| < 50$ MeV. The 974 MeV is the measured mass of $f_0$ signal in data modeled by a Breit–Wigner function. This value is consistent with the $f_0$ mass from the Particle Data Group [22] and the LHCb measurement [11]. Finally, a vertex is formed with the $J/\psi$ and $f_0$ candidates, constraining the dimuon mass to the nominal $J/\psi$ mass [22]. The $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi f_0$ candidates are required to have a vertex probability >10%, $p_T > 13$ GeV, $\cos(\alpha_{B^0}) > 0.994$, where $\alpha_{B^0}$ is the angle between the direction of the $B^0$ transverse momentum and the vector $L_{xy}$, and a proper decay length $>100$ μm. The proper decay length is defined as $(L_{xy}/p_T)$, where $p_T$ is the transverse momentum of the $B^0$ candidate and $M_{f_0}$ is the world-average $B^0$ mass [22]. In the case of multiple $B^0$ candidates per event, the one with smallest $B^0$ vertex fit $\chi^2$ is selected.

The selection criteria for the $B^0$ candidates are established by maximizing $S/\sqrt{S+B}$, where $S$ is the signal yield obtained from Monte Carlo (MC) simulation and $B$ is the background yield taken from sideband regions, defined as the number of events with a $\mu^-\mu^+\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass in the range 5.27 to 5.30 GeV or 5.43 to 5.46 GeV.

The same procedure and selection criteria are applied to the reconstruction of the normalization channel $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi f_0$, except that the invariant mass requirement $|M_{f_0} - 1020$ MeV$| < 10$ MeV is tighter than that for the $f_0$.

4. Results

The signal yields of both decay channels are extracted using unbinned maximum-likelihood fits of the mass distributions. The invariant mass distribution of the $J/\psi(\mu^-\mu^+)f_0(\pi^+\pi^-)$ candidates is shown in Fig. 1. It is fit with a superposition of a Gaussian function representing the signal, a polynomial function to account for the combinatorial background, and another Gaussian function for any possible peaking background. The latter models resonant structures that could appear in the left sideband of the $J/\psi(\mu^-\mu^+)f_0(\pi^+\pi^-)$ signal mass owing to the misidentification of a kaon as a pion coming from decays such as $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^*(892)(K^+\pi^-)$ and $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^-K_0^*$, as examples. In addition, $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+(\pi^-)$ decays can be a source of background when combined with an extra background pion candidate. When allowing all parameters to float, the fit returns $N_{\text{obs}}^{B^0} = 873 \pm 49$ events and a $B^0$ mass of 5369.1 ± 0.9 MeV, with a resolution of 15.9 ± 0.9 MeV, where the uncertainties are statistical only. The measured values of the $B^0$ mass and its resolution are consistent with the MC simulation.

![Fig. 1: Invariant mass distribution of the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu^-\mu^+)f_0(\pi^+\pi^-)$ candidates.](image-url)
The $J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)\phi(K^+K^-)$ invariant mass distribution is modeled by two Gaussian functions for the signal and a constant function for the combinatorial background. A signal yield of $N_{\text{sig}} = 8377 \pm 107$ events is obtained, with a $B^0_\phi$ mass of $5366.8 \pm 0.2$ MeV and a resolution of $17.1 \pm 0.1$ MeV, which are consistent with the MC simulation. The corresponding invariant mass distribution is presented in Fig. 2.

Using the MC simulation, the detection efficiencies for the two processes are calculated as the ratio of the reconstructed and generated yields. The $B^0_\phi$ meson production is simulated using PYTHIA 6.4.24 [23] and its decays simulated with EVTGEN [24]. The $B^0_\phi$ mass and lifetime are set to $5369.6$ MeV and $438$ μm in the simulation. The decay model used for the $B^0_\phi \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ decay is a phase-space model reweighted to reflect the spin-1 structure of the $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ decay. The corresponding models for the $B^0_\phi \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ decay are: a pseudoscalar–vector–vector with CP violation [25,26] for the $B^0_\phi$ decay, with parameters [24] $|A| = 0.24$, $|A_0| = 0.6$, $|A_1| = 0.16$, $\phi_0 = 2.5$, $\phi_0 = 0$, and $\phi_1 = -0.17$; a vector–lepton–lepton model with radiation (photos) [27] for the $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ decay; and a vector–scalar–scalar model [24] for the $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$ decay. The events are processed with a GEANT4-based detector simulation [28] and the same reconstruction algorithms used on data. In order to validate the MC simulation samples, relevant kinematic and geometric variables of both simulated decay channels are compared with the data after background subtraction and found to be in agreement. For example, Fig. 3 compares the $p_T$ and invariant mass distributions of the $f_0(\pi^+\pi^-)$ candidates for background-subtracted data and MC simulation. The $f_0$ width was set to $50$ MeV in the MC simulation. This is consistent with what is observed in our data as shown in the Fig. 3b. The ratio of the detection efficiencies for the two $B^0_\phi$ decays is calculated to be $\epsilon_{\text{eff}} = 1.344 \pm 0.095$, where the uncertainty reflects the limited size of simulated samples. Using the corresponding values of $N_{\text{obs}}$, $N_{\text{sig}}$, and $\epsilon_{\text{eff}}$ in Eq. (1), we measure $R_{f_0/\phi} = 0.140 \pm 0.008$, where the uncertainty is statistical only.

The stability of the $R_{f_0/\phi}$ measurement is verified with control checks using different run periods, selection criteria, and geometric acceptances. To study possible effects from varying run conditions, the value of $R_{f_0/\phi}$ is determined for two subsamples, found by dividing the data into two. The ratio is also measured after changing the selection criteria for the proper decay length and $p_T$ of the $B^0_\phi$ candidates and the $p_T$ of the leading and subleading pion candidates, and by using different azimuthal angle and $\eta$ requirements for the muons. None of these cross-checks revealed any statistically significant bias.

5. Systematic uncertainties

Potential systematic uncertainties in the measurement of $R_{f_0/\phi}$ come from sources such as the $B^0_\phi$ signal yield extraction procedure, the relative efficiency estimation, and possible contributions to the $B^0_\phi$ yields from other decays producing the $J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$ and $J/\psi K^+K^-$ final states.

Systematic uncertainties in the signal yield extraction are estimated by changing the modeling of the signal and the background invariant mass distributions in the likelihood fits. For the case of the $B^0_\phi \rightarrow J/\psi f_0$ mass distribution the signal shape is changed to a double-Gaussian function and the background to an exponential function, while for the $B^0_\phi \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ mass distribution the signal is changed to a Gaussian function and its background is modeled as a first-order polynomial function. These changes lead to a maximum variation of 2.1% in $R_{f_0/\phi}$.

There are several factors that may affect the estimate of $\epsilon_{\text{eff}}$. While the MC simulation package uses a Breit–Wigner model to simulate the $f_0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ process, it has been pointed out [2,3]
that a Flatté model is a better description of this decay. To estimate the effect of the simulation model, the Breit–Wigner model used in the simulation is compared to a Flatté model in the selected $M_{π^+π^-}$ region. The difference in the models reflects a systematic error of 5.8% in $σ_{φ_{0}}^f$. This is quoted as a systematic uncertainty. In addition, in the MC simulation the $f_0$ width is set to 50 MeV. This value is varied by ±10 MeV, resulting in a systematic uncertainty of 8.6% in $R_{φ_{0}/f_0}$. The models used in the MC simulation of the $B_0$ decays are set to phase-space [24] instead of the default decay models, leading to a 6.2% systematic uncertainty in $R_{φ_{0}/f_0}$. Finally, the statistical uncertainty in $σ_{φ_{0}}^f$ owing to the finite number of MC events, which corresponds to 7.1%, is added as a systematic uncertainty.

As mentioned in the introduction, detailed studies of the $π^+π^-$ mass spectrum of the $B^0_0 → J/ψ π^+π^-$ decay [2,3] in a mass window of 0.3–2.5 GeV, reveal this final state to have contributions from several resonances in $M_{π^+π^-}$, and the $f_0$ component to range from 65.0 to 94.5% in the entire mass window studied by LHCB. To study the effects of the interferences and the $f_0$ fraction observed by LHCB in the estimate of $σ_{φ_{0}}^f$, the model reported in [3] for the lowest $f_0$ fraction and largest non-resonant component was compared to the single Breit–Wigner model used in the MC simulation of the $f_0 → π^+π^-$ decay. The comparison in the selected $M_{π^+π^-}$ region shows a variation of 5.6% in $R_{φ_{0}/f_0}$. This is quoted as a systematic uncertainty coming from this source. It can be observed in the same LHCB study that the contaminations from other resonances in the mass region $M_{π^+π^-} > 974$ MeV < 50 MeV are several orders of magnitude lower than the $f_0$ component, including the non-resonant S-wave. To estimate the variation in the $B^0_0$ yield coming from these possible contributions, the $f_0$ mass window is widened from 50 to 100 MeV around the $f_0$ mass, resulting in a variation in $R_{φ_{0}/f_0}$ of 6.4% that is quoted as a systematic uncertainty. For the $B^0_0 → J/ψ K^+K^-$ decay channel, the contribution of the S-wave in a $φ$ mass window similar to what is used in this analysis has been found to be negligible [29].

Combining these uncertainties in quadrature leads to a total systematic uncertainty of 16.5%.

6. Summary

Using data collected by the CMS experiment in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 5.3 fb$^{-1}$, 873 ± 49 events of $B^0_0 → J/ψ (μ^+μ^-)f_0(π^+π^-)$ and 8377 ± 107 events of $B^0_0 → J/ψ (μ^+μ^-)$φ(K$^+K^-$) are observed. The $f_0$ and $φ$ are identified in the mass ranges $M_{π^+π^-} < 974$ MeV < 50 MeV and $|M_{K^+K^-}| < 1020$ MeV < 10 MeV, respectively. The ratio of the branching fraction of $B^0_0 → J/ψ (μ^+μ^-)f_0(π^+π^-)$ to the branching fraction of $B^0_0 → J/ψ (μ^+μ^-)$φ(K$^+K^-$), $R_{φ_{0}/f_0}$, is found to be

\[
\frac{B(B^0_0 → J/ψ f_0)}{B(B^0_0 → J/ψ φ)} \times \frac{B(f_0 → π^+π^-)}{B(φ → K^+K^-)} = 0.140 ± 0.008 \text{ (stat)} ± 0.023 \text{ (syst)}.
\]

This result is consistent with the theoretical prediction of about 0.2 [17] and with previous measurements in different ranges of $M_{π^+π^-}$ [2,4,19].

Acknowledgements

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centres and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMWFV and FWF (Austria); Fonds De La Recherche Scientifique – FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN, CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); MoER, ERC IUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); OTKA and NIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSI and NRF (Republic of Korea); LAS (Lithuania); MCT and UM (Malaysia); CINVESTAV, CONACYT, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS and RFFR (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI and CPAN (Spain); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU and SSFR (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie programme and the European Research Council and EPLANET (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the A.P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l’Industrie et dans l’Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the HOMING PLUS programme of Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced from European Union, Regional Development Fund; the Compagnia di San Paolo (Turin); the Consorzio per la Fisica (Trieste); MIUR project 20108T4XTM (Italy); the Thalis and Aristeia programmes cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; and the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund.

References

[10] D0 Collaboration, V.M. Abazov, et al., Measurement of the CP-violating phase $φ_1 φ_2$ using the flavor-tagged decay $B^0_0 → J/ψ φ$ in 8 fb$^{-1}$ of pp collisions,


Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

N. Beliy, T. Caebergs, E. Daubie, G.H. Hammad

Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium


Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil


Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil


a Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil
b Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil

A. Aleksandrov, V. Genchev, R. Hadjiiska, P. Iaydjiev, A. Marinov, S. Piperov, M. Rodozov, S. Stoykova, G. Sultanov, M. Vutova

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Dimitrov, I. Glushkov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria


Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

C. Asawatangtrakuldee, Y. Ban, S. Liu, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, D. Wang, Z. Xu, L. Zhang, W. Zou

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

C. Avila, A. Cabrera, L.F. Chaparro Sierra, C. Florez, J.P. Gomez, B. Gomez Moreno, J.C. Sanabria

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, D. Polic, I. Puljak

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia

V. Brigljevic, K. Kadija, J. Luetic, D. Mekterovic, L. Sudic

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia


University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany


RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany


Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany


University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany


Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany


Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

A. Agapitos, S. Kesisoglou, A. Panagiotou, N. Saoulidou, E. Stiliaris, E. Tziaferi

University of Athens, Athens, Greece


University of Ioannina, Ioannina, Greece

G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, P. Hidas, D. Horvath ¹⁶, F. Sikler, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi ¹⁷, A.J. Zsigmond

Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

N. Beni, S. Czelad, J. Karancsi ¹⁸, J. Molnar, J. Palinkas, Z. Szillasi

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

A. Makovec, P. Raics, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary
S.K. Swain

National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India

S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, R. Gupta, U. Bhawandeep, A.K. Kalsi, M. Kaur, R. Kumar, M. Mittal, N. Nishu, J.B. Singh

Punjab University, Chandigarh, India

Ashok Kumar, Arun Kumar, S. Ahuja, A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, A. Kumar, S. Malhotra, M. Naimuddin, K. Ranjan, V. Sharma

University of Delhi, Delhi, India


Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India

A. Abdulsalam, D. Dutta, V. Kumar, A.K. Mohanty, L.M. Pant, P. Shukla, A. Topkar

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India


Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India

S. Sharma

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India

H. Bakshiansohi, H. Behnamian, S.M. Etesami, A. Fahim, R. Goldouzian, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, M. Naseri, S. Paktinat Mehdiabadi, F. Rezaei-Hosseiniabadi, B. Safarzadeh, M. Zeinali

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

M. Felcini, M. Grunewald

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland


*a* INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy

*b* Università di Bari, Bari, Italy

*c* Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy


*a* INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

*b* Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

S. Albergo, G. Cappello, M. Chiorboli, S. Costa, F. Giordano, R. Potenza, A. Tricomi, C. Tuve

*a* INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy

*b* Università di Catania, Catania, Italy

*c* CSFNSM, Catania, Italy

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA


University of Florida, Gainesville, USA

S. Hewamanage, S. Linn, P. Markowitz, G. Martinez, J.L. Rodriguez

Florida International University, Miami, USA


Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA

M.M. Baarmand, M. Hohlmann, H. Kalakhety, F. Yumiceva

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA


University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA


The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA


Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA


The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA

I. Chakaberia, A. Ivanov, K. Kaadze, S. Khalil, M. Makouski, Y. Maravin, L.K. Saini, N. Skhirtladze, I. Svintradze

Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA

J. Gronberg, D. Lange, F. Rebassoo, D. Wright

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA

University of Maryland, College Park, USA


Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA


University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA

J.G. Acosta, S. Oliveros

University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA


University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA

J. Dolen, A. Godshalk, I. Iashvili, A. Kharchilava, A. Kumar, S. Rappoccio

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA


Northeastern University, Boston, USA


Northwestern University, Evanston, USA


University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA


The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA


Princeton University, Princeton, USA

E. Brownson, S. Malik, H. Mendez, J.E. Ramirez Vargas

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA


Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA
N. Parashar, J. Stupak

Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, USA

A. Adair, B. Akgun, K.M. Ecklund, F.J.M. Geurts, W. Li, B. Michlin, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts, J. Zabel

Rice University, Houston, USA


University of Rochester, Rochester, USA

R. Ciesielski, L. Demortier, K. Goulianos, C. Mesropian

The Rockefeller University, New York, USA


Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA

E-mail address: cms-publication-committee-chair@cern.ch (G. Hamel de Monchenault).

† Deceased.

1 Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria.

2 Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.