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SUMMARY

Mammalian genes are composed of exons, but the evolutionary origins and functions of new internal exons are poorly understood. Here, we analyzed patterns of exon gain using deep cDNA sequencing data from five mammals and one bird, identifying thousands of species- and lineage-specific exons. Most new exons derived from unique rather than repetitive intronic sequence. Unlike exons conserved across mammals, species-specific internal exons were mostly located in 5’ UTRs and alternatively spliced. They were associated with upstream intronic deletions, increased nucleosome occupancy, and RNA polymerase II pausing. Genes containing new internal exons had increased gene expression, but only in tissues in which the exon was included. Increased expression correlated with the level of exon inclusion, promoter proximity, and signatures of cotranscriptional splicing. Altogether, these findings suggest that increased splicing at the 5’ ends of genes enhances expression and that changes in 5’ end splicing alter gene expression between tissues and between species.

INTRODUCTION

The split structure of eukaryotic genes enables evolutionary changes, such as exon gain or loss, that are not possible in prokaryotes but may contribute to changes in protein domain structure (Patthy, 2003). The evolutionary gain and loss of introns and exons from genes has been studied in various lineages. Intron gain by unknown mechanisms has been detected in nematodes, fungi, and elsewhere (Kiontke et al., 2004; Nielsen et al., 2004), but is rare or nonexistent in mammals, while intron loss has been observed in many lineages (Roy et al., 2003). Similarly, exon loss is detected in various lineages, by mechanisms including genomic deletions and mutational disabling of splice sites (Alekseyenko et al., 2007). The latter process has been most fully characterized for primate-specific exons that have arisen from transposable elements of the Alu family (Lev-Maor et al., 2003; Sorek et al., 2004).

The functional consequences of changes in mRNA splicing patterns can be diverse and have been most widely documented for cases of alternative splicing. For example, inclusion or exclusion of an exon can alter the DNA binding affinity of a transcription factor (Gabut et al., 2011), convert a membrane protein into a soluble protein (Izquierdo et al., 2005), or alter activity and allosteric regulation of an enzyme (Christofk et al., 2008). Evolutionary gain and loss of exons have likely given rise to a similar spectrum of protein functional changes.

Acquisition or loss of an intron also can impact mRNA function. For example, insertion of an intron into a previously intronless expression construct often enhances expression, by several-fold (or more) in both plant and animal systems, a phenomenon known as intron-mediated enhancement (Callis et al., 1987; Nott et al., 2003), and intronless genes are expressed at lower levels overall (Shabalina et al., 2010). Some effects of introns on mRNA decay (Sureau et al., 2001) or localization (Hatcl and Ephrussi, 2004) have been linked to the exon junction complex (EJC), a protein complex deposited just upstream of each exon-exon junction in metazoans that can contribute to mRNA export, translation, and stability (Lu and Cullen, 2003; Nott et al., 2003).

Much of what is known about the evolution of mammalian exons has come from the analysis of cDNA fragments known as expressed sequence tags (ESTs). Available EST databases from human and mouse have depths of several million sequences. EST data have certain limitations and biases, including uneven coverage across species (greatest in human and mouse, lower in most others), bias toward cancer in human data, and bias toward brain tissues in mouse, making it difficult to reliably assess splicing in normal tissues or to compare between species (Modrek and Lee, 2003; Roy et al., 2005; Zhang and Chasin, 2006). These issues have contributed to disparities among studies, with reported levels of conservation of alternative splicing between human and mouse ranging from about one-quarter to over three-quarters, depending on the approach that was used (reviewed by [Lareau et al., 2004]), and EST estimates of the fraction of mammalian exons that derive from transposable elements (TEs) ranging from less than 10% in mouse (Wang et al., 2005) to more than 90% in primates (Zhang and Chasin, 2006).
Subsequent studies increased the number of species and evolutionary distances considered and used phylogenetic information to classify exons by age (Zhang and Chasin, 2006; Alekseyenko et al., 2007). However, splicing patterns in the added species generally had to be inferred from multi-species genomic alignments, using the presence/absence of AG/GT splice site dinucleotides (which are generally necessary but not sufficient for splicing) to infer splicing/non-splicing of exons, because EST databases were limited or absent from many species. However, using comprehensive RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data, we observed that the presence of AG/GT is not highly predictive of splicing; about half of the exons expressed in mouse, but not rat, had AG/GT sequences in rat, while the other half did not (Figure 1). These data imply that exon age classifications based on comparative genomics alone are unreliable, raising questions about conclusions reached using this approach.

Only recently has deep RNA-seq analysis been performed systematically across a range of tissues in diverse mammalian species, enabling comprehensive and reliable inference of the phylogenetic distribution of splicing events (Barbosa-Morais et al., 2012; Merkin et al., 2012). In one we performed RNA-seq analysis of nine diverse organs from four mammals and one bird, in biological triplicate (Merkin et al., 2012). The much deeper coverage made possible by this RNA-seq dataset—billions of reads totaling hundreds of billions of bases (gigabases) for each species, versus millions of sequences totaling at most a few gigabases per species for EST data, and the much more uniform distribution across tissues and species—make it much better suited for evolutionary comparisons. The datasets used here and in several previous evolutionary analyses are listed in Table S1. In our previous study, we considered exons conserved across mammals and birds, analyzing changes in tissue-specific splicing patterns and conversion between constitutive and alternative splicing. Here we used these RNA-seq data to identify species- and lineage-specific exons, and we assessed their origins, the types of mutations that create them, and their impacts on host genes. Our analyses challenge some previous findings based on EST analyses, uncover an unanticipated role for intronic deletions in exon creation, and provide evidence for a widespread impact of splicing changes on tissue- and species-specific differences in gene expression.

RESULTS

Identification of Thousands of Species- and Lineage-Specific Exons

We combined genomic mappings of our mammalian RNA-seq data with whole-genome alignments to classify exons as species-specific, lineage-specific (e.g., unique to rodents, to primates, or to mammals), or ancient (present in both mammals and birds) (Experimental Procedures). These classifications were applied at both the genomic sequence level (genomic age) and at the transcript level (splicing age) (Figure 1A), with sequence coverage requirements described in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures. Because our primary focus was splicing, we analyzed internal exons, which are regulated by the splicing machinery, rather than first or last exons, which are generally regulated by the transcription or cleavage/polyadenylation machinery, respectively. We also excluded several thousand exons that likely arose from intra-genic duplications, because this class has been thoroughly studied and is fairly well understood (Kondrashov and Koonin, 2001; Gao and Lynch, 2009). Using the principle of parsimony, we assigned both a genomic age and a splicing age to ~60,000 internal exons, restricting our analysis to unduplicated protein-coding genes conserved across these species to facilitate accurate read mapping and assignment of orthology. Here genomic age estimates the duration over which sequences similar to the exon were present in ancestral genomes, while splicing age estimates the duration over which these sequences were spliced into mRNAs, based on the RNA-seq data.

Most exons in the analyzed genes predated the split between birds and mammals (~300 million years ago, Mya) in their splicing age (87%) and genomic age (90%). Such exons are designated MRQCG using a one-letter code for the five organisms: M, present in mouse; R, present in rat; Q, present in macaque; C, present in cow; and G, present in Gallus (chicken) (Figure 1C). However, we found that creation of novel exons has occurred fairly often during mammalian evolution. For example, we classified 1,089 mouse exons as mouse-specific (designated M----, with – indicating absence from an organism), as they were detected in RNA-seq data from mouse but not from any other species (Figure 1C), with 1,571 new exons in rat, and 1,417 in macaque. Exons in each species, most of which were previously annotated, are listed in Table S2; examples are given below. Much larger numbers of exons were observed for phylogenetic distributions involving single exon gain and loss events than for those requiring multiple gains/losses (Table S3). The estimated false discovery rate (FDR) for new exons was ~1.5%. This value was estimated using the approach of Nielsen et al. (2004), in which the rates of gain and loss of a feature (exons here, introns in the previous study) along each branch of a phylogenetic tree are estimated by maximum likelihood from the counts of each phylogenetic pattern of presence/absence, and used to estimate an FDR as the frequency with which parsimony assigns an incorrect age (i.e., an apparent exon gain actually resulted from multiple losses).

Mouse-specific exons were assigned an age of <25 My, corresponding to the time of divergence between mouse and rat. We also identified ~7,000 mouse exons whose splicing was restricted to mammals or to particular mammalian lineages (Figure 1C). Overall, the presence of one or more mouse- or rodent-specific exons was detected in 17% of the ~6,300 genes analyzed. An example of a mouse-specific exon that is predicted to encode a signal peptide and supporting RNA-seq data in mouse and rat are shown in Figure 1D (see also Figure S1A). To ask whether species-specific exons occurred at a similar frequency in human, we compared our data to corresponding tissue RNA-seq data from the Illumina Human Body Map 2.0 dataset (Bradley et al., 2012). In the human tissue dataset, which included more tissues sequenced at lower depth, we identified comparable numbers of exons at each splicing age (Figure S1B), including 2,073 human-specific exons (not observed even in macaque) occurring in 25% of analyzed human genes. Overall, when comparing human genes to their mouse orthologs, we observed that 35% of ortholog pairs differ by the
presence/absence of one or more exons. The prevalence of such species-specific exons could contribute to functional differences between human and mouse orthologs, complicating extrapolations from mouse models to human phenotypes, though this possibility remains to be explored.

We performed clustering of tissue samples, based on tissue-specific splicing patterns of species-specific exons, using the standard percent spliced in (PSI) measure, which assesses the fraction of a gene’s mRNAs that include the exon (Experimental Procedures). This analysis revealed robust clustering by tissue of origin across the three mouse strains analyzed (Figure 2A), with the partial exception of (developmentally related) cardiac and skeletal muscle. Many species-specific alternative exons showed predominant inclusion in testis (Figure 2A). These observations suggest a possible role for germ cell transcription in exon creation, perhaps related to mutations associated with open chromatin and/or transcription-coupled DNA repair (Marques et al., 2005; Levine et al., 2006).

Exons of different evolutionary ages had dramatically different properties. Mouse-specific and other species-specific exons were mostly alternatively spliced, non-coding, and located in 5′ UTRs (Figures 2B–2D). Moreover, increasing exon age was consistently associated with constitutive splicing and location within the coding region (Modrek and Lee, 2003; Zhang and Chasin, 2006). No enrichment for non-generic categories was observed for the set of genes containing species-specific coding exons (Table S4), suggesting that exon creation occurs with reasonable frequency in diverse types of genes.

To understand the effects of exon inclusion on protein function usually requires extensive experimental analysis. However, functional impact could be inferred in some cases, such as new exons that encode a predicted signal peptide (Figure 1D) or add a predicted transmembrane domain (Figure S1A). These two protein motifs have relatively relaxed sequence requirements emphasizing hydrophobicity, suggesting they can evolve quickly.

**Most Species-Specific Exons Arose from Unique Intronic Sequences**

Considering the genomic ages of recently created exons, approximately 1% of mouse-specific exons arose in sequence detected only in mouse, while 75% of mouse-specific exons arose in sequence that predates the rodent-primate split, despite being spliced exclusively as intron in the other species studied; the remaining 24% were alignable to rat only (Figure 2E). Exons with rodent-specific or rodent/primate-specific splicing also could often be aligned to cow or chicken (Figure 2E; data not shown). Some mouse-specific exons were detected in just two of the three mouse strains analyzed (Figure 2F).

Notably, we observed that more than 60% of new internal exons in mouse are derived from unique intronic sequence. In most cases, these exons aligned to sequences in the orthologous intron in rat (Figure 3A). Applying a similar approach to identify new human exons, using criteria designed to allow mapping to repetitive elements (Experimental Procedures), yielded a similarly high proportion of unique mapping (~54%) (Figure S2A). Alu elements, a class of primate-specific SINE repeats, previously have been implicated as a major source of new exons in primates (Sorek et al., 2002; Lev-Maor et al., 2003), and an EST-based study concluded that a majority of new human exons are repeat derived (Zhang and Chasin, 2006). Here we found that ~15% of exons classified as human-specific overlap with Alus (Figure S2A). A similar proportion of mouse-specific exons (~18%) overlapped with rodent B elements (Figure 3B), also above the background genomic frequency of SINES in this lineage (Figure 3C; Figure S2B). Thus, we found that SINEs have contributed to new exon creation to a similar extent in the rodent lineage as in primates (Sela et al., 2007). Many new mouse exons derived from B1 SINE elements aligned to the same positions relative to the antisense B1 consensus (Figure S2C), consistent with previous studies (Sela et al., 2007).

Our findings indicate that SINEs have contributed 2- to 3-fold fewer new exons than unique genomic sequences in both rodents and primates, contrasting with some previous reports (Zhang and Chasin, 2006). The differences in conclusions presumably result from differences in data sources and methods (e.g., biases in EST coverage of species, tissues, and normal versus disease states). Other types of repetitive elements (LINEs, LTRs, and others) together have contributed comparable numbers of species-specific exons as SINEs in both human and mouse (Figure 3B; Figure S2A).

**Altered Splicing Motifs and Shortened Upstream Introns Are Associated with Exon Creation**

Mutations that create or disrupt splice site motifs frequently cause changes in splicing patterns over evolutionary time periods (Lev-Maor et al., 2003; Bradley et al., 2012). Almost all

---

**Figure 1. Identification and Classification of Species- and Lineage-Specific Exons**

(A) A schematic of our bioinformatic pipeline to identify species- and lineage-specific exons (Experimental Procedures). We considered all internal exons in each target species (here, mouse) and aligned to other exons in the same gene to exclude cases of exon duplication (Kondrashov and Koonin, 2001). Multiple alignments of orthologous gene sets were used to assign an orthologous region to each exon in other species, and the pattern of genomic presence/absence was used to assign the genomic age by parsimony. The presence/absence of RNA-seq evidence of an overlapping exon in the orthologous region in each species was then used to determine the splicing age, again using parsimony.

(B) The proportion of mouse-specific exons and corresponding rat proto-exons with specific dinucleotide sequences at the 3′ and 5′ splice sites (1 mm, one mismatch relative to the AG-GY consensus; 2 mm, two mismatches; etc.).

(C) (Top) A phylogenetic tree presenting the main species used for dating exons and the branch lengths in millions of years. (Bottom) Mouse exons of increasing splicing age, their pattern of presence/absence in various species, and the number of each class of exons identified.

(D) Example of a mouse-specific exon that encodes a predicted N-terminal signal peptide by SignalP (Bendtsen et al., 2004). A portion of the mouse aprataxin (Aptx) gene is shown (Ensembl ID ENSMUSG00000028411) together with homologous sequences from rat. Transcript structures are shown in dark blue (the gray box in rat is the genomic segment homologous to the mouse-specific exon), with RNA-seq read density from three tissues shown below (arcs represent splice junction reads).

See also Figure S1 and Table S3.
mouse-specific exons contained minimal splice site dinucleotides (AG/ at the 3’ splice site and /GT or /GC at the 5’ splice site; exceptions in Figure S2D). However, 47% of homologous proto-exon sequences in rat lacked these minimal splicing motifs (Figure 1B). This observation suggests that mutations that create splice site dinucleotides may contribute to up to about half of exon creation events, but that other types of changes (in cis or in trans) must explain the remaining cases, where
minimal splice site motifs were present in the rat genome, but there is no evidence of splicing in rat tissues. Among this subset, strengthening of pre-existing minimal splice site motifs in mouse occurred in about 43% of cases (Figure S2), but other types of changes must also commonly play a major role in exon creation.

Motifs present in the body of an exon or in the adjacent introns can enhance or suppress exon inclusion (Matlin et al., 2005). We found that mouse-specific exons contain a higher density of exonic splicing enhancer (ESE) motifs and a lower density of exonic splicing silencer (ESS) motifs than their associated rat proto-exons (Figure 3D). Thus, both gain of enhancing motifs and loss of silencing motifs are likely to contribute to the creation and/or maintenance of novel exons. We found an increased density of intronic splicing enhancer (ISE) motifs adjacent to mouse-specific exons relative to homologous rat sequences (but no significant change in intronic silencers), suggesting that changes in flanking sequences also may contribute to exon creation (Figure 3D). Together, the high frequency of changes to splice site and regulatory motifs associated with new exons suggests that changes in cis rather than in trans underlie most new exon creation in mammals.
Examining the rates of nucleotide divergence between rat and mouse for mouse exons of different evolutionary ages revealed an interesting pattern (Figure S3A). While ancient exons exhibited the expected pattern of lower divergence in exons than introns, and even lower divergence in core splice sites motifs, mouse-specific exons showed a distinct pattern in which the central portion of the exon actually diverged more rapidly than the flanking intron sequence (which is likely evolving neutrally). This enhanced divergence suggests the existence of positive selection on new exons, perhaps to tune their inclusion levels or optimize their protein-coding or UTR sequence properties.

Intron length is associated with several splicing properties, and longer flanking introns tend to be associated with lower inclusion levels of alternative exons (Yeo et al., 2005). We therefore asked whether species-specific exons were associated with changes in intron length. Notably, we found that the distance between the exons flanking M--- exons was shorter on average than that flanking homologous rat proto-exons (rat distance exceeded mouse by 1.3-fold on average; interquartile range: 0.9-fold to 1.7-fold; Figure 3E). The distance between the exons flanking –R--- exons was even more biased, with exons flanking mouse proto-exons longer by 1.7-fold on average (Figure S3B). These observations suggest that substantial changes in intron length often accompany exon creation. Comparing the lengths of the upstream and downstream introns flanking species-specific exons, we observed that the exon intron downstream of M---- exons was 1.2-fold longer on average than the upstream intron, compared to no difference between the regions flanking rat proto-exons (Figure 3F), with a somewhat smaller effect observed for –R--- exons (Figure S3C). In rodent-specific exons, a similar bias toward the presence of a longer downstream intron was observed in both mouse and rat. Comparison to an outgroup (macaque) indicated that the differences in flanking intron length most often reflect upstream deletions rather than downstream insertions in the rodent that acquired a new exon (Figures S3D and S3E). Older groups of exons showed no such bias, suggesting that exons may acquire tolerance for expansion of the upstream intron over time as other splicing determinants strengthen. Together, these data argue that deletions upstream of proto-exons favor the creation and/or maintenance of novel exons. Previously, shortening of an upstream intron was associated with enhancement of exon inclusion in a minigene reporter context (Fox-Walsh et al., 2005), perhaps by enhancing intron definition or exon juxtaposition following exon definition, but the generality of this effect and its evolutionary impact have not been explored.

To compare the relative magnitudes of genomic changes associated with species-specific exons, we converted them all to Z scores, scaled by the SD of the differences observed between homologous mouse and rat ancient (MRQCG) exons. Each sequence motif type had a relatively small Z score (<0.4). However, upstream intronic deletions had an average Z score of 0.75, comparable to the sum of the Z scores of all sequence motifs analyzed (Figure 3G). This observation suggests that upstream intronic deletions may contribute to exon creation to a similar extent as changes in known classes of splicing regulatory elements (SREs; see also Figure S4 and Supplemental Experimental Procedures). New exons associated with upstream intronic deletions had a similar distribution of splice site dinucleotides as other new exons, but lacked the increased ESE frequency observed for other new exons (Figure S4). This observation is consistent with a model in which upstream intron shortening promotes exon inclusion to such an extent that selective pressure to create or preserve ESEs is relaxed.

**Upstream Indels Are Associated with Nucleosome Occupancy and RNA Pol II Pausing over Novel Exons**

Previous studies have suggested functional links between nucleosomes and splicing. Nucleosomes are often positioned near the centers of internal exons, exon-associated nucleosomes have higher density of the H3K36me3 histone modification (Schwartz et al., 2009; Spies et al., 2009), and histone modifications can impact recruitment of splicing factors (Luco et al., 2010). Conceivably, insertions/deletions (indels) in flanking introns, such as those in Figures 3E and 3F, might impact splicing by altering nucleosome positioning near an exon. To explore this possibility, we used published micrococcal nuclease (MNase)-sequencing data from digestion of chromatin to identify nucleosome-protected regions in the vicinity of mouse-specific exons. We observed a stronger enrichment for nucleosome positioning over those mouse-specific exons that had shortened upstream introns (relative to rat) compared to ancient exons, or to mouse-specific exons without upstream shortening, or to mouse proto-exons orthologous to rat-specific exons (Figure 4A; p < 10^{-4} for all three comparisons by Kolmogorov-Smirnov [KS] test). This association suggested a connection between upstream deletions and changes in nucleosome positions. While indels in either the upstream or downstream intron could potentially impact nucleosome positioning on an exon, upstream deletions may be more likely than other types of indels to promote exon inclusion because a shorter intron promotes exon definition or exon juxtaposition, possibly augmented by effects on exon-proximal nucleosome positioning.

We hypothesized that changes promoting stronger nucleosome positioning on novel exons might slow polymerase elongation (Bentley, 2014) and thereby act to promote splicing (Gunderson et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2011; Ip et al., 2011). To test this hypothesis, we used global run-on-sequencing (GRO-seq) data, which detect nascent transcription, from a recent study (Kaikkonen et al., 2013). We observed a strong GRO-seq peak over ancient exons, almost twice the background level (Figure 4B; p < 10^{-4}, KS test), suggesting that polymerases decelerate by roughly 2-fold while transcribing through these exons; much smaller effects in this direction have been observed using Pol II chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChiP-seq) data (Schwartz et al., 2009; Spies et al., 2009). When considering mouse-specific exons with an upstream intronic deletion, we observed a GRO-seq peak ~37% above the nearly flat background observed in mouse-specific exons without upstream deletions (p < 10^{-4}, KS test). Thus, although confirmation is needed, the observations above suggest a model in which upstream deletions enhance the inclusion of new exons, in part by promoting nucleosome positioning and slowing polymerase elongation.

Recent studies of the genetic basis for gene expression variation also have identified thousands of genetic variants...
associated with altered levels of splicing (splicing-quantitative trait loci or sQTLs) between human individuals (Pickrell et al., 2010; Lalonde et al., 2011; Lappalainen et al., 2013). To explore connections among indels, nucleosomes, and splicing, we used sQTLs identified in genotyped human lymphoblastoid cell lines studied by the GEUVADIS Consortium (Lappalainen et al., 2013) and MNase-seq data from a subset of these individuals (Gaffney et al., 2012) to assess nucleosome positioning in individuals with different sQTL genotypes. For upstream indel sQTLs, we observed that the genotype associated with increased exon inclusion also had increased nucleosome density in the vicinity of the associated exon (Figure 4C; p < 0.01, KS test). We also found that stronger differences in nucleosome density in the vicinity of the new exon were associated with indel sQTLs located closer to the affected exon, as expected if these indels directly impact nucleosome placement near exons (Figure S5). Together, the data in Figure 4 implicate upstream intronic indels in alterations to nucleosome positioning and splicing between individuals and species.

**Splicing of Species-Specific Exons Is Associated with Increased Gene Expression**

We next asked what effects new exons have on the genes in which they arise. Since the majority of species-specific exons we identified were non-coding (Figure 2C), we examined effects on gene expression. Intron-mediated enhancement is a well-established though incompletely understood phenomenon in which introduction of a (possibly heterologous) intron into an intronless gene or minigene often leads to higher expression of the gene (Mascarenhas et al., 1990; Jonsson et al., 1992) through effects on mRNA export, cleavage/polyadenylation, message stability, or other mRNA properties (Nott et al., 2003; Lu and Cullen,
Figure 5. Inclusion of New Exons Is Associated with Increased Species-Specific Gene Expression Changes
Throughout, statistical significance by Mann-Whitney U test is indicated by asterisks (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001, *****p < 0.00001).

(A) Fold change in gene expression between mouse and rat. (Inset) Mean ± SEM of displayed distributions.

(B) Mean EEI values calculated as the ratio of the mean gene expression in tissues where a novel exon is included to the mean expression in tissues where inclusion of the exon is not detected. This ratio is calculated in a related species with matched tissues, and the ratio of these two values is plotted (mean ± SEM).

(C) Fold change in gene expression of mouse- and rat-specific exons between species with new exons (mouse and rat) and aligned closest species without new exon (rat and mouse, respectively), binned by the PSI of the exon in the tissue.

(legend continued on next page)
Here we studied a different situation, in which an already multi-exonic gene acquires a new internal exon within an intron, incrementing the count of introns and exons in the transcript by one. We observed significantly higher expression of genes containing mouse-specific exons in mouse tissues relative to their rat orthologs in corresponding rat tissues. This effect was specific to those mouse tissues where the new exon was included (i.e., spliced into the mRNA), consistent with a positive effect of splicing on steady-state expression levels. The inclusion of a new exon was associated with an increase in gene expression of 10% overall, with much larger effects observed for specific subsets of new exons (see below). The distribution of splice junction read data supported direct effects of splicing, ruling out alternative explanations involving new promoters.

The association between splicing and gene expression can also be assessed by the ratio of the mean expression in tissues where the exon is included to the mean expression in tissues where the exon is excluded, a measure we call the exon-associated expression index (EEI). Dividing the EEI in the species that contains the exon to the ratio of mean expression values in the same pairs of tissues in the species lacking the exon yields an exon-associated expression ratio (EER), with the log of the EER distributed symmetrically around zero under the null hypothesis that splicing of the new exon does not affect gene expression. This approach controls for various technical factors that could impact comparison of expression levels between different species. Comparing EER values for genes containing mouse-specific exons or rat-specific exons to controls, we observed significantly elevated ratios (about 1.1) in both cases, consistent with the 10% increase in expression observed using the simpler approach of directly comparing gene expression observed in mouse with mouse-specific exon skipping and to detect novel exons. However, we took measures to counteract such biases (Experimental Procedures), and we note that any such bias would tend to oppose the effect on expression observed in Figures 5D–5F.

Splicing of pre-mRNAs can impact cytoplasmic mRNA decay (e.g., mediated by effects of EJC proteins deposited after splicing), but recent studies also have pointed to connections between splicing and transcription in various systems. These connections include reports that splicing can influence transcription initiation (Damgaard et al., 2008) or elongation (Singh and Padgett, 2009; Lin et al., 2008) and recent evidence in yeast of a checkpoint in which the polymerase pauses during intron transcription until partial spliceosome assembly occurs (Chathoth et al., 2014).

A direct effect of splicing on transcription would be expected to occur only for exons and introns that are cotranscriptionally spliced, while an effect on cytoplasmic mRNA decay should be independent of cotranscriptional splicing. To address this issue, we used a measure of post-transcriptional splicing, termed the incomplete splicing ratio (ISR) (Brugjoi et al., 2013; Experimental Procedures). ISR measures the ratio of intrinsic to exonic transcript reads, with higher values associated with greater post-transcriptional splicing and lower values associated with greater cotranscriptional splicing; the distribution of ISR values is shown in Figure 6A. Notably, we observed that the decrease in gene expression in mouse relative to rat associated with mouse-specific exon skipping was greatest for exons with low ISR, and nonexistent for exons with high ISR, with a moderate decrease observed for the exons with intermediate levels of ISR (Figure 6B). In other words, the association between reduced splicing and reduced expression was correlated with the extent of cotranscriptional splicing. This observation makes sense if there is direct linkage between splicing and transcription elongation, but it is difficult to reconcile with models involving effects of splicing on cytoplasmic decay. Interestingly, cotranscriptional splicing is reported to be much more efficient for introns located far from the 3′ ends of genes (Khodor et al., 2012). Therefore, the positive association between expression and splicing at the 5′ but not the 3′ ends of genes observed above (Figure 5F) may be related to the extent of cotranscriptional splicing.

DISCUSSION

Here we identified and analyzed thousands of orthologous gene sets differing by evolutionary gain of a single exon, most often alternatively spliced and located in the 5′ UTR, offering a natural system in which to examine the effects of exons and splicing on host genes. Various factors may contribute to the bias for new exons to occur in 5′ UTRs, including the greater length of first
introns relative to later introns (Kriventseva and Gelfand, 1999), the low frequency of 3’ UTR introns (Giorgi et al., 2007), and the potential for some new coding and 3’ UTR exons to destabilize messages by eliciting NMD. Several phenomena reported here, including the strong association between upstream deletions and emergence of new exons (Figure 3) and the associated changes in chromatin structure and polymerase dynamics (Figure 4), have not been observed in previous EST-based studies; and, our conclusion that most new exons derive from unique sequences (Figure 2) differs from that obtained in some previous EST-based studies (Zhang and Chasin, 2006), emphasizing the difference that use of comprehensive RNA-seq data can make.

Most intriguing was the observation of a consistent association between increased splicing at the 5’ ends of transcripts and increased mRNA expression, a finding that has important implications. Analyzing genes that exhibit species-specific increases in gene expression, we observed substantial enrichment (1.9-fold in mouse and 1.3-fold in rat) for genes containing species-specific exons (Figure S6B). This observation, together with the results of Figure 5, suggests that splicing of 5’ end exons plays a general role in promoting gene expression and that gain and loss of 5’ UTR exons and changes in their splicing patterns contribute substantively to evolutionary and tissue-specific differences in gene expression. The observed relationship between exon gain and expression increase is consistent with the general trend observed previously in which more ancient human genes have both higher intron density and higher expression (Wolf et al., 2009).

Our approach of globally analyzing changes in gene structure and expression across thousands of genes in several species and tissues is complementary to more targeted molecular approaches aimed at dissecting the relationship between exon structure/splicing and gene expression. Averaging over hundreds or thousands of genes enables the detection of general trends above the background of idiosyncratic features of individual transcripts that can confound targeted mutational analysis, though the discernment of cause and effect is more challenging.

Steady-state gene expression is determined by the balance between the rate of production of mRNAs in the nucleus and the rate of decay, occurring predominantly in the cytoplasm. Consistently, studies of mRNA stability have observed a strong correlation between the density of exon junctions in the open reading frame and mRNA half-life (Sharova et al., 2009; Spies et al., 2013). Despite the initial plausibility of mRNA decay effects, our finding that it is predominantly exons with signatures of cotranscriptional splicing whose splicing is associated with increased gene expression (Figure 6) argues for a different model, instead suggesting a direct positive link between splicing and transcription in mammalian nuclei. This link, which will require direct confirmation, might reflect the effects of known or unknown mechanisms. For example, U1 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein particle (snRNP) exerts inhibitory effects on premature cleavage and polyadenylation in a phenomenon known as telescripting (Berg et al., 2012), which might well be enhanced by recruitment of U1 snRNP to a novel exon. Alternatively, SR proteins might link splicing to transcription, as these proteins have been implicated in the control of transcription elongation as well as splicing (Ji et al., 2013). Independent of mechanism, artificial enhancement of 5’ UTR splicing, via antisense oligonucleotides or other means, might offer a viable means to enhance gene expression for research or therapeutic purposes.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**RNA-Seq and Genome Builds**

Data from mouse, rat, rhesus, cow, and chicken were mapped to mm9, m4, rhe-mac2, bostau4, and galgal3, respectively, and processed as in Merkin et al. (2012) using TopHat (Trapnell et al., 2009) and Cufflinks (Trapnell et al., 2012).
Assignment of Ages to Exons

We used exons from Merkin et al. (2012), where exons were defined as having FPKM \( \geq 2 \) and meeting splice site junction read requirements implicit in the TopHat mapping. Alignment of exons to other species were collected using whole-genome alignments generated by PECAN and EPO (Paten et al., 2008) and pairwise alignments from BLASTZ (Schwartz et al., 2003). Genomic and splicing ages were defined by the pattern of species with genomic regions aligned to the exon or with an expressed region in the orthologous gene overlapping the aligned region, respectively. We used parsimony to find the minimum number of changes that could explain these patterns (Alekseyenko et al., 2007; Roy et al., 2008).

Basic Exon Properties

Exons with \( 0 \% < \text{PSI} < 97 \% \) in at least one tissue and two individuals were categorized as skipped exons (SE). Exons with PSI \( \geq 97 \% \) in all expressed tissues were defined as constitutive exons (CE), if the gene was expressed in at least three tissues and two individuals. Open reading frames (ORFs) were annotated as in Merkin et al. (2012) and used to classify exons as coding, 5' UTR, 3' UTR, and non-coding.

Genomic Sources of New Exons

Exons were categorized as intronic, proximal intergenic, non-proximal intergenic, other coding gene, other intron, and other ncRNA gene if their aligned region in the closest species was located in the intronic regions of the same gene, intergenic regions but closer to the orthologous gene than any other gene, other intergenic regions, exonic regions of other genes, intronic regions of other genes, and other regions of ncRNA, respectively. Using Repeat-Masker, exons were categorized as containing SINEs, LINEs, LTRs, other repeats (rarer categories), or designated as unique if not overlapping any repeat class.

Splice Site and SRE Analysis

The dinucleotide frequencies of the 5' and 3' splice sites of mouse new exons and their aligned regions in rat were compared in Figure 1D. In Figure 3D, the 100 nt upstream and downstream of each mouse exon (or aligned region in rat) was considered for searching for intronic SREs. The entire exon was searched for exonic SREs.

Intron Length Analyses

For each exon age, the sum of lengths of each mouse exon and its flanking introns were compared to the corresponding sum for the rat exon or proto-exon (Figure 5E). In Figure 5F, the length of the downstream mouse intron was divided by the length of the upstream mouse intron (the same was done for the rat exon or proto-exon).

Z Score Conversion for Comparisons

We determined the empirical distribution of the changes considered (changes in intronic or exonic splicing enhancers or silencers, or deletions) in the ancient exons (MRQCG). We then calculated a Z score for each change for each new exon using the empirical mean and SD for ancient exons (Figure 3G).

Nucleosome Localization and GRO-Seq Analyses

We used MNase-seq data from Gaffney et al. (2012) and GRO-seq data from Kaikkonen et al. (2013), and we determined the read density in a 1-kb window for each exon. These profiles were normalized, averaged, smoothed, and centered on the exon midpoint. To investigate the impact of intronic structural variants on nucleosome localization (Figure 4C), we used the MNase-seq data above and corresponding sQTL (Lappalainen et al., 2013) and genotype data (Abecasis et al., 2012). We then compiled the MNase profiles of individuals with genotypes representing shorter and longer upstream introns.

New Exon Inclusion and Species-Specific Expression Changes

Gene expression in mouse was compared to that in rat by taking the ratio of mouse to rat expression. In Figure 5A, we considered genes with a new exon, grouped by whether the exon was included or not in a given tissue. In Figure 5C, we considered mouse- and rat-specific exons, taking the expression ratio relative to rat and mouse, respectively. We also compared the expression ratio for ancient exons included in rat but skipped in mouse (Figure 5D), grouped by PSI (Figure 5E) or position within the gene (Figure 5E).

The intra-species expression ratio is calculated by dividing the mean mouse gene expression in tissues where the exon is included by the mean expression in the other tissues. This ratio was then calculated in rat, matching the tissues in the fore- and background, and the ratio of these two values was analyzed. This statistic was recalculated with shuffled tissue labels as a control.

The ISR was determined for the exons used in Figure 5D. The ISR of an exon is calculated by dividing the mean read density in its flanking introns by that of its neighboring exons, averaged across tissues.
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