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Abstract

Digital monitoring of physiological signals can allow computer systems to adapt unobtrusively to users, so as to enhance personalised ‘smart’ interactions. In recent years, physiological computing has grown as a research field, and it is increasingly considered in diverse applications, ranging from specialised work contexts to consumer electronics. Working in this emerging field requires comprehension of several physiological signals, psychophysiological states or ‘indices’, and analysis techniques. The resulting literature encompasses a complex array of knowledge and techniques, presenting a clear challenge to the practitioner.

We provide a foundational review of the field of psychophysiology to serve as a primer for the novice, enabling rapid familiarisation with the core concepts, or as a quick-reference resource for advanced readers. We place special emphasis on everyday human–computer interface applications, drawing a distinction from clinical or sports applications, which are more commonplace. The review provides a framework of commonly understood terms associated with experiential constructs and physiological signals. Then, 12 short and precisely focused review chapters describe 10 individual signals or signal sources and present two technical discussions of online data fusion and processing. A systematic review of multimodal studies is provided in the form of a reference table. We conclude with a general discussion of the application of psychophysiology to human–computer interaction, including guidelines and challenges.
The psychophysiological method uses measurements of physiology to form inferences about states of mind. The aim is to extract quantitative indices of essentially qualitative cognitive or affective states. Because the method does not impose restrictions on the physiological signals that are measurement sources, it has an extensive area of possible focus. Since the measurements are quantitative while cognitive and affective states are qualitative, there is an issue of establishing ground truth, and the choice of psychological model becomes important. Clearly, there are enormous challenges. Among the ways of addressing these challenges are accounting for the context of the individual during the recording and using multimodal data. However, the primary requirement is an effective working knowledge of the range of relevant signals and their application.

Human–computer interaction (HCI) is one domain wherein the psychophysiological method can be efficiently applied. In this application, human-facing software accesses psychophysiological indices from its user(s) in order to adapt via some internal logic and, for example, alter the information display so as to enhance the cognitive ergonomics. The vision is that, in conjunction with ‘smart’ software, the human
user performs the tasks that humans carry out best and is aided by software that automates other types of tasks.

As in other psychophysiology applications, such as clinical or sports uses, it is important to link the task and environment context with physiology signals such that user-facing systems, systems in the environment, and sensors all contribute to the same end, as illustrated in Figure 1.1.
We present a reference guide and primer to the concepts and methods of psychophysiology, along with its uses in HCI, thereby enabling rapid familiarisation with the core concepts across a broad swath of the field of psychophysiology in HCI. Although this field is very broad, taking an essentially practical approach enables us to present a relatively comprehensive overview of the relevant topics.

Our focus constrains the psychophysiological technology that we consider here, as we are concerned with only those devices that are lightweight, wearable or remotely operable, and application-ready. This rules out room-sized sensors, such as the ones utilised in functional magnetic resonance imaging.

**Overview** Section 1.1 briefly describes prior reviews of psychophysiology in HCI, after which the following organisation is used:

- **2**: Definitions: the terminology necessary for understanding signals and associated metrics with which one may index psychological states

- **3**: The state of the art: description of each of the most commonly used signals or methods, in turn, in sections 3.1 to 3.10, then sections 3.11 and 3.12, which focus on signal fusion, from both a theoretical and a practical perspective

- **4**: An overview and reference to guide users of psychophysiology, including a reference table summarising prior work, along with sections on generic application areas for psychophysiology in HCI (4.1) and general guidelines for use, which also serve as a practical reference for putting the information in this primer to use (4.2)

- **5**: Concluding remarks on the complexities and limitations of psychophysiology, with an introduction to the subtle challenges posed by more complicated issues of theory and epistemology, such as choice of interpretive model
1.1 Related reviews

The foundational text for the field of psychophysiology is arguably the *Handbook of Psychophysiology* (Cacioppo et al., 2000), a comprehensive reference covering the underlying scientific disciplines. With more than 1,000 pages, this comprehensive handbook cements many principles and covers a wide range of subjects, such as developmental, clinical, and environmental psychophysiology. The range of topics extends well beyond HCI, in fact, hence falling beyond the scope of our interest.

A reference book with a more applied orientation is *Engineering Psychophysiology* (Backs and Boucsein, 2000), which proceeds from engineering psychology and ergonomics. Research in these fields can be considered to be closer to our scope. The first part of the book reviews issues such as methodological considerations, theoretical issues, signal processing, and recording methods, whilst the application part reports on a mixture of laboratory and realistic studies, thereby demonstrating a relevant gap to the application of psychophysiology in the real world.

In HCI, psychophysiology has been gaining ground as both a method for studying user experience and a technique to be incorporated into interactive systems. Particularly instrumental for the latter has been the introduction of physiological computing as a field in which human physiological data act as system input in real time (Allanson and Fairclough, 2004). Early reviews of physiological computing highlighted the complexity of psychophysiological inference and its validation (Park, 2009), alongside challenges in representing the psychophysiological state of the user (Dirican and Göktürk, 2011), designing explicit and implicit interventions in the bio-cybernetic loop, and ethics implications (Fairclough, 2009b). Recently, several books (Fairclough and Gilleade, 2014) and special issues of journals (Silva et al., 2015; Jacucci et al., 2015) have reported on advances in physiological computing for HCI.

Several reviews have covered some portion of the research corpus on the application of psychophysiology in HCI. Psychophysiology, along with multimodal techniques, has been gaining attention in the field of *Affective Computing*, as evidenced in recent reviews (D’mello and Kory, 2015; Lopatovska and Arapakis, 2011; D’Mello and Kory, 2012; Zeng
et al., 2009). Usually these are high-level reviews considering how fusing and combining modalities is possible in detection of emotions, and they seldom go into the details of each signal or metric (Calvo and D'Mello, 2010). Ravaja (2004) described the literature on the application of psychophysiology in Media Psychology research, while Kivikangas et al. (2011) created a review for computer-game research.

Furthermore, specific topics have inspired recent reviews. Cowley (2015), for instance, undertook a preliminary review of the use of psychophysiology to assess states of high-level cognition, a new and promising area of study, which is typically associated with decision-making, problem-solving, and executive control of cognition and action. Novak et al. (2012) compiled a detailed review of data-fusion applications (the source for Tables 2.1, 2.2, and 4.1). Other, partially overlapping reviews include those by Lisetti and Nasoz (2004) and by Jerritta et al. (2011), which briefly summarise previous applications in emotion recognition and affective computing. Another high-level review, by Brouwer et al. (2015), focuses on the most successful applications, warning about some pitfalls and providing recommendations.

In light of the above, there is a clear absence of a reference article geared for HCI that could serve as a compact guide for researchers of various backgrounds.
This chapter defines psychological and biological terms, signals, and associated quantitative metrics. The terms listed below are defined for the scope of this review alone – i.e., they apply to human psychophysiology in computer interactions\(^1\). Section 3.12 provides a more detailed picture of signal processing concepts.

- **Sensor**: a physical device for the measurement of signals from physical systems – in our case, people. Examples are electrodes, cameras, and accelerometers.

- **Signal**: a real-time data stream supplied by a sensor.

- **Cognitive/affective state**: any interesting aspect of the user’s state that can be interpreted from the user’s physiology and thus measured by sensors (e.g., emotion or cognition).

\(^1\)Our terms are intended to follow the literature as closely as possible, but variation across sources renders it impossible to offer definitions that are both unique and universal. For the interested reader, some reference texts (Cacioppo et al., 2000) are more foundational than others.
Definitions

- **Metric**: a quantitative feature of a *signal*, such as heart rate derived from a cardiogram by measurement of the number of heart beats (R-peaks) within a given time window.

- **Index (pl. indices)**: a scalar representing a *cognitive state*, built from one or more *metrics*. An *index* is, in essence, a model of the user’s *cognitive/affective state*, so the usual issues that accompany modelling, such as ground truth and goodness of fit, are pertinent.

- **Client**: any external application that accesses the psychophysiology data after the primary processing is carried out.

- **Processing element**: an atomic unit utilised for performing computations on the incoming *signal* within a *system*.

- **Classifier**: a statistical algorithm that separates the multidimensional space of one or more *metrics* into two or more regions, corresponding to an *index* or *indices*. For psychophysiology, a classifier is usually supervised; it is trained on data pre-labelled with *indices* from some model – for instance, the valence/arousal emotional circumplex (see Figure 2.1).

- **System**: an integrated set of hardware and software employed to manage one or more *sensors*, derive *metrics* from *signals*, and transform *metrics* to *indices* for transmission to *clients*. Details on technical aspects are given in section 3.12, below.

The next step is to define the *signals* covered in Table 2.1 and the *metrics* in Table 2.2, which are reviewed in the sections below on the state of the art. After chapter 3 is an overview of the *indices*. Provided in Table 4.1, this serves as a ready reference. It should be borne in mind that the signals described in Table 2.1 can be used either to infer one or more psychological indices or for using the signals for control or feedback, as discussed in section 4.1. That discussion is followed by articulation of a general approach to selecting signals and metrics when one is starting to work in the realm of psychophysiology, in section 4.2.

Features extracted from the signals determine the inferences that one can draw about the underlying psychological process; therefore,
the choice of features is highly important. Feature extraction is a rich topic, largely beyond the scope of this paper, but a brief indication of feature classes is given in Table 2.2. In the text and table, we refer only to metrics, because a) we thereby represent only features that are canonical in the literature (i.e., are associated with specific states of the individual and hence have a well-defined interpretation) and, b) technically, a feature is a single, strictly defined calculation formula, whereas we deal with classes of features, in which many possible formulae can correspond to a single metric (e.g., heart rate variability can refer to the standard deviation of normal peaks (SDNN) or to the root mean square of successive differences (rMSSD)).

**The review method**  The following method was applied for creation of these tables. We searched for recent papers surveying the literature on cognitive- and affective-state detection via psychophysiology, and then
we selected two works that listed previous literature in a schematic and concise manner (Novak et al., 2012; Jerritta et al., 2011). The review by Novak et al. (2012) was found to cover all the indices mentioned by Jerritta et al. (2011); accordingly, all papers mentioned in the review section of Novak et al. (2012)’s work were considered. Via University of Helsinki library resources, 47 of the 54 relevant papers were accessible and downloaded. To create Tables 2.1 and 2.2, we noted, for each paper,

- which metrics were used for the ‘final’ classification\(^2\) and
- from which signal each metric was computed.

This systematically derived ‘scoping review’ provided the seed for the rest of the process. From the complete set of signals yielded by the scoping review, we selected a subset in line with the constraints of our motivating application. Firstly, we chose only those signals that either already are or should in the foreseeable future be recordable with lightweight off-the-shelf sensors appropriate for real-world HCI applications. Secondly, the signals chosen were known to be tried and tested across a substantial body of literature, and, in consequence, they are ‘practical’ choices for the novice and advanced user alike.

This subset is reviewed in the first 10 sections on state-of-the-art uses, below, and its elements are listed in Tables 2.1 and 2.2, above the dashed horizontal line. Below that line, in brackets, are signals that have been used in an HCI setting at least once but either are not biological in nature or lack sufficient support in the literature to merit a separate section. As with signals, metrics that were not widely used in HCI (and are not discussed in detail in this paper) are listed toward the bottom of the metric table (again, in brackets). These out-of-scope signals and metrics are included for completeness, and hence are cross-referenced in Table 4.1 for all mentions in the literature.

Finally, Table 4.1 groups all indices listed in the 47 papers into categories on the basis of the exact word used to define the respective index (e.g., ‘stress’). Items that were denoted with different words but

---

\(^2\)Metrics were denoted on the basis of the procedure followed to compute them (e.g., if the metric was called ‘GSR’ but was recorded via the conductance method and computed for the phasic component, we labelled it ‘SCR’).
based on very similar phenomena are placed in the same group – i.e.,
considered the same index (e.g., papers that indexed ‘Cognitive effort’
or ‘Mental workload’ are listed under ‘Cognitive load’). Indices are
cross-referenced with the section(s) in which they are discussed, and
key reference citations are given for each index.

Table 2.1: List of signals in order of appearance in chapter 3
Signals not reviewed are in brackets; some are mainly behavioural in nature and
hence out of scope. Those below the dashed line are used mostly in the medical
domain and have poor usability for HCI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signal</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>Electrocardiogram</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPG</td>
<td>Photoplethysmography</td>
<td>3.1, 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDA</td>
<td>Electrodermal Activity (also known as Skin Conductance or Galvanic Skin Response)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESP</td>
<td>Respiration (e.g., chest plethysmography)</td>
<td>3.1, 3.3, 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMG</td>
<td>Electromyography</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>Electroencephalography, also the source of event-related potentials (ERPs)</td>
<td>3.5, 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOG</td>
<td>Video-oculography (eye tracking)</td>
<td>3.7, 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOG</td>
<td>Electro-oculography</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VID</td>
<td>Video-based posture/facial recognition</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPEECH</td>
<td>Speech recorded via microphone(s)</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ACL)</td>
<td>Accelerometer(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(BEHAV)</td>
<td>Behavioural data collected from device usage (e.g., mouse movements or driving controls)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TOT)</td>
<td>Time-on-task</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CO2)</td>
<td>Capnography</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(HSOUND)</td>
<td>Heart sound</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ICG)</td>
<td>Impedance cardiogram</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PRS)</td>
<td>Pressure (e.g., via piezoelectric sensors)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SMM)</td>
<td>Sphygmonanometer (incl. proprietary tech such as Vasotrac)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TEMP)</td>
<td>Thermometer (e.g., on finger or arm)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.2: List of metrics, with description, associated signals, and effective time frames in an HCI context. The time frames indicated here are derived from the practical work of all authors so are intended only as a guide. As in the previous table, signals not considered in detail are either listed within brackets or placed below the dashed line.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Associated signal(s)</th>
<th>Time range, seconds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>Heart rate</td>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>&lt;1 1–5 6–30 &gt;30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRV</td>
<td>Heart rate variability</td>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>x  x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWA</td>
<td>T-wave amplitude</td>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>x  x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTT</td>
<td>Pulse transit time</td>
<td>ECG, PPG</td>
<td>x  x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>Pulse amplitude</td>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>x  x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEP</td>
<td>Pre-ejection period</td>
<td>ECG, (ICG)</td>
<td>x  x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>Blood pressure</td>
<td>PPG, (SMM)</td>
<td>x  x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVP</td>
<td>Blood volume pulse</td>
<td>PPG, (SMM)</td>
<td>x  x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRV</td>
<td>Pulse rate variability</td>
<td>PPG, (SMM)</td>
<td>x  x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCL</td>
<td>Skin conductance level, also known as skin [potential/resistance/admittance] level</td>
<td>EDA</td>
<td>x  x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCR</td>
<td>Skin conductance response, also known as skin [potential/resistance/admittance] level</td>
<td>EDA</td>
<td>x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td>Respiration rate</td>
<td>RESP</td>
<td>x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA</td>
<td>Respiratory sinus arrhythmia</td>
<td>RESP</td>
<td>x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[E/I]P</td>
<td>[Inspiratory/expiratory] pause</td>
<td>RESP</td>
<td>x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>Inspiratory time</td>
<td>RESP</td>
<td>x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te</td>
<td>Expiratory time</td>
<td>RESP</td>
<td>x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vt</td>
<td>Tidal volume</td>
<td>RESP</td>
<td>x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGH</td>
<td>Sigh frequency</td>
<td>RESP</td>
<td>x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMG-CS</td>
<td>Musculus corrugator supercilii</td>
<td>EMG</td>
<td>x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMG-ZM</td>
<td>Musculus zygomatic major</td>
<td>EMG</td>
<td>x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMG-OO</td>
<td>Musculus orbicularis oculi</td>
<td>EMG</td>
<td>x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Signal(s)</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMG-MS</td>
<td>Masseter muscle</td>
<td>EMG</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMG-FR</td>
<td>Frontalis muscle</td>
<td>EMG</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMG-NA</td>
<td>Nasalis muscle</td>
<td>EMG</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMG-TR</td>
<td>Trapezius muscle</td>
<td>EMG</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMG-NE</td>
<td>Neck muscles</td>
<td>EMG</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPR</td>
<td>Facial (expression) recognition</td>
<td>EMG, VID</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POST</td>
<td>Posture</td>
<td>EMG, VID, (PRS), (ACL)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEG-CCC</td>
<td>Cross-frequency couplings (including phase-amplitude, amplitude-amplitude, phase-phase)</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEG-ASYMM</td>
<td>Asymmetry of EEG</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEG-ALPHA</td>
<td>Alpha power of EEG, 8–13 Hz</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEG-DELTA</td>
<td>Delta power of EEG, 0–4 Hz</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEG-THETA</td>
<td>Theta power of EEG, 4–8 Hz</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEG-MU</td>
<td>Mu power of EEG, 7.5–12.5 Hz</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEG-BETA</td>
<td>Beta power of EEG, 13-30 Hz</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEG-GAMMA</td>
<td>Gamma power of EEG, 30-42 Hz</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEG-ER[S/D]</td>
<td>Event-related synchronisation/desynchronisation</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEG-MI</td>
<td>Mutual information</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERP</td>
<td>Event-related potential(s)</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERP-N1</td>
<td>Negative evoked potential peaking at 80–120 ms from unpredicted stimulus onset (N1)</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERP-N2</td>
<td>Negative evoked potential peaking at 200–350 ms from mismatching stimulus onset (N1)</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERP-P3</td>
<td>Positive evoked potential peaking at 250–500 ms from salient stimulus onset (P3)</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.2: List of metrics, associated signals, and their time frames.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Signal(s)</th>
<th>&lt;1</th>
<th>1–5</th>
<th>6–30</th>
<th>&gt;30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ERP-CNV</td>
<td>Contingent negative variation (response anticipation)</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>Pupil size (including metrics such as TEPR)</td>
<td>VOG</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLINK-C</td>
<td>Eyelid closing time</td>
<td>EOG, VOG</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLINK-R</td>
<td>Blink rate</td>
<td>VOG, EOG, EMG</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLINK-D</td>
<td>Blink duration</td>
<td>VOG, EOG, EMG</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLINK-M</td>
<td>Blink magnitude</td>
<td>EOG</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIX-DUR</td>
<td>Fixation duration</td>
<td>EOG, VOG</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIX-FREQ</td>
<td>Fixation frequency</td>
<td>EOG, VOG</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SACC-VEL</td>
<td>Saccade velocity</td>
<td>EOG, VOG</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SACC-PV</td>
<td>Saccade peak velocity</td>
<td>EOG, VOG</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SACC-FREQ</td>
<td>Saccade frequency</td>
<td>EOG, VOG</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SACC-INTR</td>
<td>Saccadic intrusions</td>
<td>VOG</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAZE-DWELL</td>
<td>Gaze dwell in area of interest (AOI)</td>
<td>VOG</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAZE-PATH</td>
<td>Gaze path</td>
<td>VOG</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAZE-PATHAREA</td>
<td>Area covered by gaze path</td>
<td>VOG</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT</td>
<td>Measurement of user body-activity intensity</td>
<td>VID, (PRS), (ACL)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-INT</td>
<td>Mean speech intensity</td>
<td>SPEECH</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-F0</td>
<td>Fundamental frequency</td>
<td>SPEECH</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-RATE</td>
<td>Speech and articulation rate</td>
<td>SPEECH</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-DUR</td>
<td>Sentence duration</td>
<td>SPEECH</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-TEO</td>
<td>Teager energy operator</td>
<td>SPEECH</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-AMP-VAR</td>
<td>Speech amplitude variance</td>
<td>SPEECH</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-NAQ</td>
<td>Normalised amplitude quotient</td>
<td>SPEECH</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-HRF</td>
<td>Harmonic richness factor</td>
<td>SPEECH</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-LING</td>
<td>Linguistic analysis</td>
<td>SPEECH</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Signal(s)</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1–5</td>
<td>6–30</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-SOUND</td>
<td>Raw voice sound analysis (e.g., frequency / pitch, intensity / loudness)</td>
<td>SPEECH</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEG-TFT</td>
<td>Broadband analysis for any frequency band</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V)</td>
<td>Minute ventilation</td>
<td>RESP</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Tt)</td>
<td>Total breath duration</td>
<td>RESP</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(VtR)</td>
<td>Tidal volume variability</td>
<td>RESP</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(RA)</td>
<td>Respiration amplitude</td>
<td>RESP</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(RRV)</td>
<td>Respiration rate variability</td>
<td>RESP</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ti/Tt)</td>
<td>Duty cycle, ratio of time of inspiration to total breath time</td>
<td>RESP</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Rc/Vt)</td>
<td>Percentage of rib cage contribution to tidal volume</td>
<td>RESP</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Vi)</td>
<td>Inspiratory flow rate</td>
<td>RESP</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(IFREQ)</td>
<td>Frequency of interaction with controlled device</td>
<td>(BEHAV)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(pCO2)</td>
<td>End-tidal carbon dioxide partial pressure</td>
<td>(CO2)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ICG-HI)</td>
<td>Heather index: ratio of dZ/dtmax to Q-Z interval (electromechanical time interval)</td>
<td>(ICG)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(HSV)</td>
<td>Stroke volume</td>
<td>(ICG)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(LVET)</td>
<td>Left-ventricular ejection time</td>
<td>(ICG)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SENT)</td>
<td>Sentography (Clynes, 1973)</td>
<td>(PRS)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TEMP-L)</td>
<td>Temperature level (raw input)</td>
<td>(TEMP)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TEMP-V)</td>
<td>Temperature variability (e.g., raw input derivative)</td>
<td>(TEMP)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(DAUB)</td>
<td>Daubechies wavelet transform of heart sound signal</td>
<td>(HSOUND)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The state of the art

The discussion of the state of the art is arranged on the basis of three themes: *internal signals*\(^1\), including those from the autonomic nervous system (ANS) and the central nervous system (CNS); *external signals*\(^2\), encompassing the ocular system and remote recording of video and audio; and *combined signals*, including multimodal measurement and online processing of multiple signals. This thematic structure presents the signals roughly in order by increasing complexity of the topic, from the perspective of a novice to the field\(^3\). For internal signals, the order is approximately in line with the spectrum of signal sources from autonomic to more volitional, with most signals obtained by amplification from skin-contact electrodes. External signals, though promising, are, in general, slightly less mature or field-ready than their internal counterparts, and signal recording technologies show mixed results. The complete list of topics dealt with is as follows:

\(^1\)Defined as circumspect signals traditionally recorded with skin-contact sensors.

\(^2\)Defined as remotely detectable signals recorded with non-contact sensors.

\(^3\)Complexity of the topic is a matter distinct from the complexity of the physiological signal source; for a review of physiology, see the *Handbook of Psychophysiology* (Cacioppo et al., 2000).
Internal signals
  Autonomic nervous system
  • 3.1: Cardiovascular system
  • 3.2: Skin conductance
  • 3.3: Respiration
  • 3.4: Electromyography

Central nervous system
  • 3.5: Oscillatory electroencephalography
  • 3.6: Event-related electroencephalography

External signals
  Ocular system
  • 3.7: Pupillometry
  • 3.8: Eye tracking

Remote signals
  • 3.9: Video
  • 3.10: Audio (voice)

Combined signals
  • 3.11: Multimodal signal classification
  • 3.12: Online processing of multiple signal sources

The aim with this paper is not to describe technical requirements of the psychophysiological method, such as signal processing concerns, selection of sensor equipment, or machine learning for inference-building. However, for completeness, brief reference to works on these topics is provided in each section.
3.1 Cardiovascular signals

The heart is innervated by both the sympathetic and the parasympathetic branch of the ANS. The sympathetic branch, tied to stress ‘fight-or-flight’ responses, tends to increase heart rate, whereas parasympathetic activity, representing ‘rest-and-digest’ behaviour, decreases it. The rate at which the heart beats and variations thereof hence reflect the activity of the ANS. Accordingly, various metrics describing the ANS activity can be derived from cardiovascular signals. For instance, heart rate variability metrics derived from the electrocardiogram (ECG) are widely used in psychophysiology (Malik et al., 1996) – for example, to investigate phenomena such as mental workload. The cardiovascular system responds to sympathetic and parasympathetic activation within a few seconds (Berntson, 1997). However, cardiovascular metrics used in psychophysiology are typically analysed on a time scale of minutes (Malik et al., 1996) in the case of short-term heart rate variability metrics. For instance, the Trier Social Stress Test (Kirschbaum et al., 1993) has been used extensively to induce psychosocial stress, and cardiovascular and endocrine responses are well documented (Kudielka et al., 2007). In this connection, one can gain insight from a study by Lackner et al. (2010) investigating the time course of cardiovascular responses in relation to mental stress and orthostatic challenge in the form of passive head tilt-up. There are also longer rhythms evident in cardiovascular metrics, such as circadian patterns in heart rate variability (Huikuri et al., 1994).

The cardiovascular measurement techniques considered here are (i) electrocardiography, (ii) blood pressure measurement, and (iii) photoplethysmography; however, the activity of the heart can also be measured by means of various other techniques, such as ballistocardiography (Lim et al., 2015) or Doppler (Lin et al., 1979).

Measurement of cardiovascular signals

Cardiovascular signals can be measured continuously via non-invasive techniques and have been widely utilised in the measurement of mental workload (Aasman et al., 1987). The ECG represents the electrical
activity of the heart, and the measurement is carried out with chest electrodes (Malmivuo and Plonsey, 1995). From the ECG it is possible to extract several signals, among them heart rate, or HR (denoting the absolute pace at which the heart beats) and heart rate variability (HRV), which is an umbrella concept for all metrics describing how the rhythm of the heart varies. To record HR and HRV, it is sufficient to record one lead, as only the R-peaks of the ECG waveform are required; for details, refer to Berntson (1997). For instance, affordable sports watches can be used for obtaining a signal suitable for HRV analysis (Gamelin et al., 2006).

Measuring continuous arterial blood pressure (BP) is technically more demanding than ECG measurement and requires more advanced equipment. In addition, long-term measurement of BP is not as unobtrusive as corresponding ECG measurement. Continuous BP can be measured from the finger, via the method of Peñáz (Peñáz, 1973) as implemented in, for example, the Finapres device (Wesseling, 1990) and its ambulatory version, the Portapres.

One can obtain a photoplethysmogram (PPG) either by using methods that require skin contact or remotely. See, for example, Allen (2007b) for a review on the measurement of PPG. In transmission PPG, the tissue is between the light source and the receiver, whereas in reflective PPG the light source and the receiver are next to each other on the surface of the skin, with the light only bouncing through the tissue from the source to the receiver. The PPG is typically obtained from the finger and the pinna by transmission and from the wrist via reflection. The PPG measurement can be performed remotely without skin contact by using imaging techniques to consider changes in the pulse (Sun et al., 2012c) (imaging techniques are discussed in section 3.9). Remote PPG has been used to study, for example, vasoconstrictive responses during mental workload by means of an ordinary webcam (Bousefsaf et al., 2014). Work related to this, by Vassend and Knardahl (2005), has used laser Doppler flowmetry to investigate facial blood flow during cognitive tasks.

The plethysmographic pulse amplitude (PA) depends on the degree of vasoconstriction, which, in turn, is affected by cognitive load (Iani
et al., 2004). The pulse transit time (PTT) in the PPG has been found to be correlated with BP (Ma and Zhang, 2005; He et al., 2013). It should be noted that vasoconstrictive effects are not visible in the ear (Awad et al., 2001). The use of reflective PPG has become popular in several consumer sports watches, such as the A360 from Polar Electro (Kempele, Finland); Forerunner 225 from Garmin Ltd (Schaffhausen, Switzerland); Charge from Fitbit, Inc. (San Francisco, CA, USA); Apple Watch from Apple, Inc. (Cupertino, CA, USA); and Microsoft Band from Microsoft, Inc. (Redmond, WA, USA). Reflective PPG is used also in research equipment such as the E4 from Empatica Inc. or various sensors from Shimmer Sensing (Dublin, Ireland).

Methods

Analysis of cardiovascular signals  In using the cardiovascular signals to investigate the activity of the autonomic nervous system, it is the variability of the signal that is of interest. The cardiovascular signals are hence analysed on a beat-by-beat basis. Raw, continuous cardiovascular signals such as the ECG, PPG, or continuous beat-by-beat BP signal must therefore be preprocessed. The goal with the preprocessing is to remove artefacts from the recorded signals through various methods and reliably convert the raw signals into event series, where an event corresponds to some property of one beat of the heart. Accordingly, there are as many events in the event series as there are heart beats in the raw signal. Different cardiovascular signals give rise to different event series. For the ECG, the resulting event series is called an interbeat interval (IBI) series or an RR series and each event corresponds to the duration between consecutive heart beats, typically measured in milliseconds. The term ‘RR series’ comes from the fact that the R-peak in the ECG waveform is used as the marker for a heart beat and each event is the time from one R-peak to the next R-peak. Similarly, for the PPG the event series is an interpulse interval time series reflecting changes in blood volume in the tissue, which varies with the action of the heart. For the continuous BP signal, it is possible to form, for example, three event series wherein each event in the respective series
corresponds to the systolic blood pressure (SBP) for each heart beat, the diastolic blood pressure (DBP), or the mean blood pressure (MBP).

Panel a in Figure 3.1 shows a 100-second sample of ECG data from the Physionet (Goldberger et al., 2000) Fantasia database (Iyengar et al., 1996). R-peaks in the ECG have been identified and are shown in red. The resulting IBI series is presented in Panel b, and Panel c shows a shorter, five-second segment of the ECG signal.

![Figure 3.1: Example of the formation of an inter-beat interval (IBI) series from an ECG. The R-peaks in the ECG are shown in red. Panel a shows a 100-second ECG signal, and the corresponding IBI series is shown in panel b. Panel c shows a five-second segment of the ECG signal.](image-url)
The variability of cardiovascular signals is studied by taking into consideration several distinct variability metrics calculated from the event series: HRV from the ECG (Malik et al., 1996; Berntson, 1997), blood pressure variability (BPV) from the BP signal (Parati et al., 1995), and pulse rate variability (PRV) from the PPG (Constant et al., 1999). The calculation for these variability metrics constitutes the main part of the analysis of the cardiovascular signals. The variability metrics for the individual signals can be calculated by means of several methods, such as (i) time-domain, (ii) frequency-domain, and (iii) nonlinear methods. It should be noted that, although the cardiovascular signals are of a different nature (e.g., IBIs or SDP values), many of the analysis techniques developed for HRV analysis are applicable also for BP analysis (Tarvainen, 2004). We discuss some HRV metrics next. Two examples of time-domain measures are the standard deviation of inter-beat intervals (SDNN), reflecting overall HRV, and the square root of the mean of the squares of the IBIs (RMSSD), reflecting short-term HRV (Malik et al., 1996). The analysis in the frequency domain is based on the power spectrum of the IBI signal, derived by using the Fourier transform, an autoregressive method, or the Lomb–Scargle method (Clifford et al., 2006). In the spectral analysis, the power of the signal is considered primarily in three bands: the very low-frequency (VLF) band (0–0.04 Hz), the low-frequency (LF) band (0.04–0.15 Hz), and the high-frequency (HF) band (0.15–0.40 Hz). The LF band is typically linked to sympathetic activation and the HF band to parasympathetic activation (Malik et al., 1996), and the ratio of power in the LF band to power in the HF band (LF/HF ratio) is used to describe the degree of sympathovagal balance; see Billman (2007) for a discussion addressing issues related to the interpretation of the LF/HF ratio. The nonlinear analysis methods involve use of metrics such as various entropies.

There are relationships among cardiovascular signals; for instance, the correlation between HRV metrics derived from fingertip PPG and from the ECG has, in general, been found to be high (Selvaraj et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2014), although confounding factors such as respiration should be taken into account (Lee et al., 2010).
It ought to be noted that, though HRV and PRV are related, they are not identical (Constant et al., 1999; Lu et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2014). In addition, factors such as ambient light can affect the PPG signal, and the latter signal is less stable than the ECG during physical activity.

For a discussion of the analysis of BPV, see de Boer (1985) and Tarvainen (2004). Blood pressure reactivity can be studied also in terms of baroreflex sensitivity (BRS), which uses a combination of ECG and BP, as illustrated in other works by, for example, Mulder et al. (1990) and Van Roon et al. (2004). The BRS metric describes how rapidly the heart rate responds to changes in blood pressure.

Applications

The most interesting phenomenon from the perspective of human–computer interaction is how the various cardiovascular metrics reflect mental workload. It is this aspect of study to which we direct our focus in the primer.

Heart rate variability The relationship between mental workload and the ANS response, reflected in HRV, is complex. However, one can state that HRV generally is reduced during mental effort, with the degree of reduction dependent on the level of mental effort (Mulder et al., 1993). It has been shown that average heart rate is one of the most sensitive metrics for distinguishing between low and high levels of mental workload in a computerised task (Henelius et al., 2009). In addition, HRV has been used in occupational settings, a review of which can be found in the work of Togo and Takahashi (2009).

Garde et al. (2002) used HRV to investigate the difference between two computerised tasks (one using a keyboard, the other using a mouse) and concluded that no difference was evident in terms of mental workload. Differences in HRV metrics were found when the setting featured a physically demanding computer task. In a study (Hjortskov et al., 2004) investigating differences in a computerised task with different difficulty levels, the researchers found differences in the HRV LF/HF ratio between the tasks. Hjortskov and colleagues also concluded that HRV is more sensitive than BPV is to mental stress levels. In a recent study,
Taelman et al. (2011) found several HRV metrics (average normal-to-normal interval length, SDNN, RMSSD, pNN50, LF, and HF) to be affected by the extent of mental load.

A study by Cinaz et al. (2013) investigated the use of HRV for classifying levels of workload during office work. They found that RMSSD and pNN50 decreased with the degree of workload experienced, while the LF/HF ratio increased.

In an example of particular frequency bands within the HRV spectrum, Nickel and Nachreiner (2003) investigated the 0.1 Hz component of HRV during the performance of a battery of computerised stress tests. They concluded that this particular frequency component was not sensitive to workload level.

The heart pre-ejection period (PEP) (Backs et al., 2000; Miyake et al., 2009) and the T-wave amplitude (TWA) (Myrtek et al., 1994; Vincent et al., 1996) too have been linked to mental stress. See the work of Lien and colleagues Lien et al. (2015) for a study comparing these indices as metrics of sympathetic nervous system activity in ambulatory settings.

Heart rate variability has been studied extensively in connection with measuring the task difficulty or mental workload experienced by pilots (Jorna, 1993; Roscoe, 1992, 1993). In addition, research by Wilson (2002) investigated various psychophysiological measurements during flight and found that HR was more sensitive than HRV to task difficulty.

**Blood pressure** Measurements of BP, BPV, and BRS have been applied in multiple studies related to mental workload.

For instance, in a study (Stuiver and Mulder, 2014) that considered two simulated tasks (ambulance dispatching and a driving simulator), the researchers found that HR increased during the dispatch task; BRS decreased; and BP showed an initial increase, after which it continued to rise, albeit more slowly. For the driving task, BP initially increased but then fell to near baseline levels. Both BRS and HR decreased during the task. The researchers concluded that there are task-specific differences that lead to different types of autonomic control.
3.1. Cardiovascular signals

A study carried out by Madden and Savard (1995) utilised a computerised quiz to induce mental stress. It was found that BPV decreased and systolic BP rose as the degree of mental stress increased. Similar results were obtained in another study (Ring et al., 2002), in which mental stress was induced by mental arithmetic, leading to an increase in mean BP.

Finally, a study by Robbe et al. (1987) investigated BRS during mental arithmetic and memory tasks. Its conclusion was that the modulus (the gain between BPV and HRV (Mulder, 1988; Robbe et al., 1987)) decreased during task performance. Additionally, blood pressure was recorded during flight in research conducted by Veltman and Gaillard (1998), and the modulus was found to be a good index for mental effort.

Photoplethysmography In addition to the measures discussed above that reflect various aspects of cardiac variability, the autonomic activity can be studied by observing vasoconstriction. As noted above, mental stress is reflected in peripheral vasoconstriction, which is visible in the PPG signals as a decreased pulse amplitude (PA). For instance, Iani et al. (2004) investigated the peripheral arterial tone (measured by means of a pneumatic plethysmograph) during the performance of a computerised memory task. They found that subjects exhibited vasoconstriction during the more demanding memory tasks in their experiment. Similar results were found in an experiment involving simulated flight, wherein vasoconstriction was observed during difficult phases of the simulation (Iani et al., 2007).

Pulse rate variability can also be analysed in a fashion similar to HRV. Yoo and Lee (2011) examined detection of mental stress by using the PPG signal and PRV, while other researchers (Yashima et al., 2006; Kageyama et al., 2007) have explored the use of wavelet analysis for mental stress detection via the PPG signal. Arai et al. (2012) estimated mental stress by considering the LF/HF ratio calculated from the PPG signal, and the resulting extracted mental stress metric was used to control the functioning of a mail program on a smartphone.
Conclusions

Cardiovascular signals and the variability metrics extracted from them are used extensively for the determination of mental workload during various types of task performance. Of the signals considered in this section of the paper, the ECG and PPG signals are easy to measure, and good-quality recordings can be obtained with affordable devices such as sports watches. The recent incorporation of PPG sensors into several wrist-worn devices means that such devices could well be usable for long-term measurements. The use of remote PPG techniques, of various types, that employ ordinary webcams might be highly suitable for computer work. Blood pressure, however, is not well suited to the prolonged measurement of cardiovascular activity at present, on account of the technical requirements. In terms of usability, ECG and PPG measurements are hence currently more suitable for human–computer interaction applications than is BP.

The metrics used for analysing cardiovascular signals are well established, as illustrated, for example, by Malik et al. (1996). Furthermore, other metrics describing variations in cardiovascular signals are being studied, such as the fractal dimension of the HRV signal (Nakamura et al., 1993; Cáceres et al., 2004).

Cardiovascular metrics have been applied extensively for determining and monitoring levels of mental workload. However, it appears that these metrics have not been used thus far for the purpose of adapting user interfaces to the degree of mental workload. This is a new, relatively unexplored area.

3.2 Electrodermal activity

‘Electrodermal activity’ (EDA) is a general term used to describe changes in the electrical properties of the skin resulting from autonomic nervous system functions (Dawson et al., 2000). These fluctuations are caused by activation of sweat glands that are controlled by the sympathetic nervous system, which autonomously regulates the mobilisation of the human body for action. Furthermore, skin conductivity is not
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influenced by parasympathetic activation. Therefore, EDA can be considered to act as an indicator of both psychological and physiological arousal and, by extension, as a measure of cognitive and emotional activity (Dawson et al., 2000; Boucsein, 2012).

Background

EDA has been investigated for well over 100 years, with a number of changes having occurred in the method and the understanding of the phenomenon. Terms have changed accordingly, though ‘galvanic skin response’ is still commonly in use, which can be confusing; instead, one should use the modern terminology, as outlined in Boucsein et al. (2012):

“[The] first two letters refer to the method of measurement . . . SP for skin potential, SR for skin resistance, SC for skin conductance, SZ for skin impedance, and SY for skin admittance. The third letter refers to level (L) or response (R).”

These terms are derived from the methods employed to detect changes in the electrical properties of the skin, which are the following: the passive measurement of electrical potential difference, or the endosomatic method, and active exosomatic measurement, wherein either alternating current (AC) or direct current (DC) is passed between two electrodes to measure the skin’s conductivity, the reciprocal of its resistance. In this section, we refer to the latter method, as it is the more widely used (to our knowledge). For full details on these methods, especially how to obtain the slightly more complicated SZ and SY terms, see the work of Boucsein and colleagues.

In the literature, EDA has most often been taken as a measure of arousal (Bradley, 2000). Several studies using a picture-viewing paradigm have shown that EDA is highly correlated with self-reported emotional arousal (Lang et al., 1993). That is, arousing pictures of either positive or negative valence result in increased EDA as compared to low-arousal pictures. This index is affected by the location
of recording, as different skin sites are innervated by different distributions of nerve bundles, not all of which are involved in emotional responses. In simple terms, emotional response affects eccrine sweat glands, which are most densely distributed on the palms and soles, nearly four times more so than on the forehead, for example. Sixteen recording sites were explored and compared in a review by van Dooren et al. (2012), which profiled site-wise responsiveness to emotional induction (by film clips). Their review illustrates that care must be taken in the choice of the signal feature to estimate responsiveness. The authors found also that responses did not show full lateral symmetry, so care must be taken in the decision on which side of the body to record. Picard’s Multiple Arousal Theory Picard et al. (2015) suggests an explanation: that different brain areas map to different areas of the body, both contralaterally and ipsilaterally.

EDA is a commonly used physiological measure when one is studying HCI experiences (see ‘Applications’, below). The arousal models used in HCI studies are often uni-dimensional and bipolar, and, hence, they can be combined with a dimension of positive–negative valence to give a circumplex model of emotions, as highlighted in Figure 2.1. However, richer models have been proposed, such as the three-system model of arousal (Backs and Boucsein, 2000). Indeed, Backs and Boucsein (p. 6) argued that this might be more appropriate for investigating the specific sensitivity of physiological effects in HCI. In brief, this model posits three systems: ‘affect arousal’, ‘effort’, and ‘preparatory activation’, of which only affect is indexed by EDA. The areas of the CNS that correspond to these systems are Amygdala, Hippocampus, and Basal Ganglia, respectively. The authors also provided a review demonstrating the sensitivity of EDA in technology interaction studies (p. 16).

Methods

EDA instrumentation EDA is a well-established recording method, and numerous devices exist for performing laboratory-grade measurements. These devices usually comprise wired electrodes and often a bulky amplifier, thereby restricting use to controlled environments.
Furthermore, electrodes placed on the hand are often very sensitive to motion, thereby requiring the hand to stay quite still.

With the recent increase in the quality and popularity of wearable biosensors, several portable EDA devices have become available. Portability is appealing for both psychological research and clinical use. In psychology, wearable sensors allow experiments to take place in more ecologically valid settings (Betella et al., 2014), while in health care wearable sensors enable continuous physiological monitoring at a relatively low cost (Pantelopoulos and Bourbakis, 2010).

Non-intrusively measuring EDA in a continuous long-term manner is desirable for many, quite different fields of research and diagnostics. Popular options in this regard are wearable EDA sensors, such as the ring-mounted Moodmetric (Vigofere Ltd., Helsinki, Finland); the wrist-worn E4 (Empatica Inc., Boston, MA, USA); or the edaMove (movisens GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany), which combines a wrist-worn amplifier with wired electrodes. A recent study addresses the comparability of such a wearable sensor to a laboratory-grade device (Torniainen et al., 2015).

**Recording** EDA measurement registers the inverse of the electrical resistance ‘ohm’ between two points on the skin – i.e., the conductivity of the skin in that location, ‘mho’. The recorded EDA signal has two components. The slowly varying tonic component of the EDA signal represents the current skin conductance level (SCL) and can be influenced by external or internal factors such as dryness of the skin and psychological state. Superimposed on the slow tonic component is a rapidly changing phasic component, skin conductance response (SCR); see, for example, Figure 3.2. The spike-like SCR corresponds to sympathetic arousal, resulting from an orienting response to either specific environmental stimuli, such as a novel, unexpected, significant, or aversive stimulus, or non-specific activation, such as deep breaths and body movements (Boucsein, 2012; Dawson et al., 2000).

Typically, EDA is recorded non-invasively from the surface of the palms and fingers. Following Boucsein et al. (2012), we recommend recording from the fingers to the extent that this is possible. Fingers
provide good signal characteristics, such as amplitude, and responsiveness of the signal to emotional relevance is well-established. When recording is conducted in situations that demand grasping actions, which could disturb the sensors, the soles of the feet, or the forehead, may be used also (van Dooren et al., 2012).

**Preprocessing**  In a typical EDA analysis, the acquired signal is preprocessed and then decomposed into tonic and phasic components – i.e., SCL and SCRs. The preprocessing is relatively simple: data are down-sampled or low-pass filtered, typically to <10 Hz. Electrode displacement tends to generate artefacts, represented by signal discontinuities. These can be detected by a maximum signal-change threshold criterion and handled epoch-wise by rejection or temporal interpolation. For group analysis, the signal should then be standardised or centred.

Signal decomposition can be performed via a number of methods, depending on whether stimulus events also have been recorded. If event times are known, latency-based detection of SCRs can be performed, per Boucsein et al. (2012). Boucsein and colleagues also define the SCL as the signal in the absence of SCRs; therefore, after SCR detection, SCL can be estimated by subtraction. However, data-driven methods should be preferred, to minimise errors, because SCRs do not follow uniformly from events, and events can occur in rapid succession, causing SCR overlap. A classic example is peak-and-trough detection, which is achieved by finding zero-derivative points (where the signal is flat). One can identify SCR features from the trough-to-peak amplitude and latency. This system tends to be inaccurate for stimulus events that overlap – i.e., that have a shorter inter-stimulus interval than the recovery time of the phasic peak – because the amplitude of SCRs begins to sum. See Figure 3.2 for more details.

Alexander et al. (2005) proposed a method that handles this issue, based on the deconvolution of the signal to estimate the driver function from sudomotor nerve activity and the corresponding impulse response function, the latter describing the temporal profile of each impulse of the phasic driver response and used as the deconvolution kernel in the decomposition process.
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Figure 3.2: Illustration of SCR overlap, reproduced from Alexander et al. (2005), with permission. They explain: “The upper graph shows the smoothed skin conductance signal, with two groups of three overlapping SCRs. The middle graph shows the commuted driver signal, which because of its shorter time-constant has six clearly separate peaks. These separate peaks are used to estimate the individual SCRs shown in the bottom graph.”
This method is based on standard deconvolution, which does not account for variations in the SCR shape and can result in a negative driver function when the SCR has a peaked shape. These problems were addressed by Benedek and Kaernbach (2010a,b), who introduced two separate solutions: non-negative deconvolution (NND) and continuous decomposition analysis (CDA)\textsuperscript{4}. Using NND ensures that any negative component of the driver is transformed to a positive ‘remainder’, interpreted as the additional phasic component caused by pore opening. The output of this analysis is depicted in Figure 3.3. The NND approach was inspired by the poral valve model of EDA, which suggests that peaked SCRs result from additional sweat diffusion caused by pore opening, as illustrated in Figure 1 from Benedek and Kaernbach (2010b). They state that

“[i]f sweat ducts are filled to their limits, intraductal pressure will cause a hydraulic-driven diffusion of sweat to the corneum, resulting in a flat SCR. If intraductal pressure exceeds tissue pressure, the distal part of the duct and the pore will eventually open, which results in a peaked SCR”.

CDA takes a different approach, which “abandons the concept of single, discrete responses in favour of a continuous measure of phasic activity” (Benedek and Kaernbach, 2010a). The latter is, of course, more plausible in a messy biological system. The CDA estimate of the phasic driver can take on negative values, in which case the interpretation is simply that negative values signify quality issues, either in extraction algorithm parameters or in the original data.

For Benedek and Kaernbach (2010a), estimation is a multi-step optimisation process using gradient descent to minimise a compound error consisting of a weighted sum of the negativity and indistinctness of the phasic driver. Indistinctness describes the sharpness of impulses, and negativity represents the number of negative values in the phasic driver.

\textsuperscript{4}NND and CDA are implemented as the Ledalab toolbox for Matlab.
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Figure 3.3: Screenshot from Ledalab. Top panel: 20 seconds of EDA are shown from a recording of a continuous-performance task, with inhibit (labelled ‘cor-inb’) and respond (labelled ‘cor-rsp’) targets shown every ~2 seconds. Response targets (with the subject’s responses labelled ‘RESP’) are less frequent in the task so generate a greater EDA response. The grey area indicates SCL, and the coloured area shows SCRs diminishing over time. Bottom panel: Fitting by NND in Ledalab produces an estimate of the SCRs (‘Driver’, blue) and pore opening components (‘Overshoot’, pink).

Analysis For group phasic analyses, the impulse response function generally should be estimated separately for each participant. The phasic component is then analysed around selected events (if the phasic component was derived by data-driven methods as recommended, without reference to the events, there is the added benefit that a relationship discovered between phasic features and events cannot be an artefact of the feature extraction method). One can do this either by averaging the phasic driver or by calculating a set of phasic features and then performing the analysis in feature space (as in, for example, Khalfa et al.)
The state of the art (2002)). Commonly used phasic features include the number of significant phasic peaks, the sum of amplitudes of those peaks, the time integral of the phasic response, and the maximum value of phasic activity.

Applications

EDA has seen application in a host of areas, from research to clinical practice and consumer devices. The number of form factors used in such devices remains relatively limited (they are usually situated on the wrist and fingers), but, as van Dooren et al. (2012) have shown, there are many options for recording sites. Therefore, in line with the application, the reader could conceive of implementing a device in a hat or eyeglasses (to measure forehead EDA), in socks or shoes (to measure foot sole EDA), or in a wrist-worn strap or other clothing items.

There is an extensive body of literature on EDA applications; here, we cite only a few examples.

In the area of HCI, EDA is a popular input in helping to classify arousal (usually referring to ‘affect arousal’ (Backs and Boucsein, 2000)). For example, Fantato et al. (2013) reported on a naïve Bayes classifier, which was trained to recognise states of affect arousal from a number of EDA features, on the basis of validated labelling of arousal levels during work-like tasks. Cross-validation testing of these tasks achieved an accuracy level above 90%. The system was tested also by recording of subjects in a computer-game-like learning environment, where the classifier achieved an accuracy of 69% for predicting the self-reported emotional arousal of the game. The sensor was the Varioport-ARM device (Becker Meditec, Karlsruhe, Germany).

Studies have shown more specific effects also. Heiden et al. (2005), studying work done with a computer mouse, found highly significant differences in EDA between conditions that differed in the level of task difficulty. Setz et al. (2010a) compared several classifiers in discriminating between work-like tasks with a baseline cognitive load only and tasks with added stress (considered to be a form of negative affect arousal). The input consisted of 16 EDA features, and the researchers’ best-performing classifier (Linear Discriminant Analysis, LDA) achieved an accuracy of 83%. Their device was an early form
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of wearable arm-mounted sensor, lab-built and described in the paper referenced above.

Offering a final example, we focus on an application that is not usually connected with the workplace. Biofeedback is an increasingly popular application for performance enhancement, and it can be found in such varied contexts as clinical, occupational, and sports scenarios. In clinical biofeedback, the user is trained to respond to a given feature of the real-time signal from a physiological sensor; in this manner, the user can learn to recognise and control the subjective state that corresponds to the feature. With EDA, the feature that needs to be classified might be, for example, the number of significant phasic peaks. In an application, users could learn to recognise the subjective feeling of having more or fewer phasic peaks, then attempt to control their physiological state accordingly.

One recreational use of biofeedback involves an affect-based music player, in which concurrently measured biosignals are used to classify the listener’s emotional response as the music is playing. The efficacy of such a system for inducing target moods has been demonstrated in an ecologically valid office setting, although with only a small sample size, N=10 (van der Zwaag et al., 2013).

O’Connell et al. (2008) demonstrated the ‘Self-Alert Training’ (SAT) system for EDA biofeedback, to modulate attention via arousal level. This software was validated with a group of 23 neurologically healthy participants, each of whom received brief (30–40-minute) biofeedback training sandwiched between two sustained attention to response task (SART) tests. Half of the participants were given placebo training. Analysis indicated that the SAT group

- significantly reduced their number of commission errors (a measure of response inhibition), while the placebo control group did not;

- maintained consistent response time variability (RTV – an inverse measure of sustained attention) after training, whereas the placebo group shows a significant increase in RTV; and
increased in arousal (SCR amplitude in response to cues) after training, while the placebo group’s corresponding figures significantly decreased.

The last of these findings indicates that the short training period was enough to enable participants to counter whatever effects of fatigue and cue exposure had caused the reduction of arousal in the placebo group. This is important for the domain of safety-critical operator work in an HCI setting, where the effect of brief periods of activity to boost vigilance and alertness can be considered a valuable option for reducing human error. Such systems can now be implemented at low cost, as sensor devices are becoming robust, lightweight, and wearable, and interfaces are available for mobile platforms such as smartphones.

Conclusion

Electrodermal activity is a reliable, interpretable, and simple-to-use measure that has seen many applications in various domains. Therefore, it is an excellent choice for an introduction to the psychophysiological method and a highly suitable tool for making inferences about sympathetic nervous system activity. In addition, EDA aids in providing valuable context for other physiological signals in multimodal applications.

3.3 Respiration

The respiration signal is of scientific relevance because it is controlled by the autonomic nervous system and the central nervous system. This means that respiration can be consciously controlled by the subject (unlike, for instance, heart rate). Different parts of the brain are responsible for autonomic and behavioural breathing: voluntary breathing is controlled by the cerebral cortex, while autonomous breathing is controlled by the brain stem. There are, however, projections from the cortex to the brain stem that allow the higher centres to influence metabolic breathing patterns. The autonomic respiratory pattern is therefore a complex interaction among the brain stem, the limbic system, and the cortex (Homma and Masaoka, 2008).
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While respiration is an interesting signal from a theoretical perspective, there are some practical issues that limit its use in an HCI setting. Traditional respiratory measurement techniques, such as spirometers, which force the user to breathe through a tube, are often overly intrusive and cumbersome, while non-invasive methods can be too imprecise. The fact that respiration can be consciously controlled can act as a confounding factor. Also, respiration is highly susceptible to artefacts produced by speech and movement (Wientjes, 1992).

Background

Although respiration (respiration rate) is an important topic in health science, in physiology studies in a games or learning context it is less well researched than are many other psychophysiological signals, suffering from neglect even in studies of the cardiovascular system, with which it interacts intimately (Nesterov et al., 2005). This is partly an interpretative issue, as respiratory control is both voluntary and involuntary (Harver and Lorig, 2000), but equally it is a function of the uses served by respiration – the heart and brain together account for less than 3% of body weight but more than 30% of oxygen usage. Accordingly, states of low mental workload and high metabolic rate may be reflected in the respiration rate similarly to states of high mental workload and low metabolic rate. However, it has been shown that increased respiration rate results when subjects are sitting and attentively wakeful (e.g., listening to a story), as opposed to sitting without paying attention or with closed eyes. Thus, in similar metabolic situations, increased respiration indicates an increase in attention (Harver and Lorig, 2000). In consequence, given an attentionally demanding situation with static metabolic demand, respiration should increase in line with the subject’s engagement. In other words, the more attention paid (barring metabolic variation), the higher the respiration rate.

In its effects, respiration is closely interwoven with most other physiological signals of interest, because it provides the oxygen that underlies localised mental activity, the energising of the musculature, and heart rate response (Harver and Lorig, 2000). Respiration affects heart rate variability through a process called ‘respiratory sinus arrhythmia’
(RSA) whereby the inter-beat interval of the heart is shortened during inspiration and prolonged during expiration (Yasuma and ichiro Hayano, 2004). It may therefore be necessary to control for breathing in experiments that include HRV.

In addition to the respiratory rate, there are several (more specific) indices that can be derived from the respiratory pattern, such as inspiratory time, inspiratory volume, and inspiratory pause. A study by Boiten (1998) explored the effect of film scenes, reaction time, and cold pressor tasks on affect-related respiratory patterns. The study showed that there were clear effects on respiratory patterns whenever the films elicited amusement or disgust, in that amusement induced a decrease in inspiratory time and tidal volume, while disgust would elicit prolonged inspiratory pauses (breath-holding). The reaction time task induced a relatively fast, shallow, and regular breathing pattern, while the cold pressor brought on a substantial increase in respiratory volume, an increase in post-inspiratory pause duration, and a large amount of breath-to-breath variability in the pattern of breathing. Accordingly, in the design of HCI applications it can be useful to pay attention to more specific details of respiration than respiration rate alone (see Kreibig (2010) for a comprehensive list of possible respiratory pattern indices).

Frequent sighing has been shown to be associated with anxiety. Blechert et al. (2006), for instance, studied the physiological correlates of anxiety and found that sighing frequency increased 150% when the participants thought that they might receive an electric shock.

Methods

Several methods exist for measuring respiration. The flow of air in and out of the lungs can be measured directly by means of a spirometer. However, while very accurate, this apparatus forces the user to wear a nose clip and to breathe through a tube, which makes it unsuitable for most HCI purposes. Therefore, instead of measuring respiration directly, it is often more convenient to measure the movement of the chest and the abdomen, by using respiratory inductance plethysmography (RIP). In this method, an elastic band is wrapped around the abdomen and around the chest. It is also possible to use only a single
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Figure 3.4: Schematic diagram of the canonical locations for respiration belt sensors, on the chest and at the abdomen. Respiration belts are typically a flexible cable transducer (pictured here as a red sinusoid) attached to an elasticated cloth belt (here, a grey rectangle), terminating in connectors to the amplifier (represented by red and black squares).

belt, around the abdomen. However, to estimate tidal volume (i.e., the total volume of air inspired and expired with each breath), it is necessary to use two belts, enabling both the abdomen and the chest to be measured (see Figure 3.4). Nonetheless, because of the physiological
uniqueness of every individual, the measurement of tidal volume with two belts requires calibration of the system for each user, through use of a spirometer (Wientjes, 1992).

Applications

In an HCI context, respiration has been used mostly as an explicit control signal. Moraveji et al. (2011) studied whether they could influence the respiration of users during an information-processing task. Reducing breathing rate has been shown to reduce stress and anxiety, so the aim was to build a system that helps users to regulate their breathing to this end. It displayed a semi-translucent bar in the bottom half of the screen that served as guidance for the user. Users were instructed to inhale when the bar goes up and exhale as it moves down. The system was designed to illustrate a breath rate 20% below the user’s baseline. It indeed reduced users’ breathing rate – the authors found that users were able to decrease their breathing rate significantly while still able to continue performing information work such as research, writing, and programming.

The use of respiration in a more entertainment-oriented context was studied by Kuikkaniemi et al. (2010), who explored the use of biofeedback in computer gaming. They developed a first-person shooter game wherein the fire rate and recoil were linked to the player’s respiration. In their experiment, they studied how biofeedback was perceived when the players were aware of the adaptation as compared to when they were unaware of the biofeedback. The researchers established that conscious control through respiration was more immersive and rewarding, thereby highlighting the nature of respiration as a signal that can be dealt with as either an implicit or an explicit form of interaction.

Conclusions

Respiration is a signal that is rarely used on its own. More often, is serves as an auxiliary signal either to complement information from other signals, such as heart rate in the case of respiratory sinus arrhythmia, or to serve as part of a machine learning approach that draws together information from several physiological signals. When
used alone, respiration is usually employed as an explicit control mechanism, offering an additional input channel that can complement manual input.

3.4 Electromyography

Electromyography (EMG) involves the detection of myoelectric potentials by means of surface electrodes. It measures the electrical activity associated with contractions of striated muscles (Tassinary and Cacioppo, 2000). These muscle contractions may yield a direct index of the physical embodiment of various mental states, including emotions, stress, or fatigue; for example, the contraction of facial muscles underlies some emotional expressions. When one is assessing facial expression in emotion, the advantage of facial EMG measurement over observation (facial expression coding) is that it can sensitively assess hidden facial muscle activity that may not be perceptible by mere observation (Ravaja, 2004).

Background

Facial EMG has been found to be a successful method primarily in discriminating positive emotions from negative ones (Ravaja, 2004). That is, facial EMG is a psychophysiological index of hedonic valence, the dimension of emotion that ranges from negative (or unpleasant) to positive (or pleasant). For producing this index, facial EMG activity is usually recorded over three distinct facial muscle areas: the zygomaticus major (the cheek muscle area that activates during smiling), corrugator supercilii (the brow muscle area that activates during frowning), and orbicularis oculi (the periocular muscle area that activates during the so-called ‘enjoyment smile’ (Tassinary and Cacioppo, 2000)).

A large body of evidence shows that the processing of pleasant emotions is associated with increased activity within the zygomaticus major muscle area and that processing of unpleasant emotions evokes higher activity in the corrugator supercilii muscle area during affective imagery (Ravaja et al., 2006a) and when the subject is presented with acoustic stimuli (Bradley, 2000), radio advertisements
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(Bolls et al., 2001), emotional still and moving images (of 6 s duration) (Simons et al., 1999), written words (Larsen et al., 2003), textual news messages (Ravaja et al., 2006a), and news messages in video format (Ravaja et al., 2006a). There is also evidence that the zygomaticus major responds only to positive valence (pleasantness), while the corrugator supercilii responds to both negative and positive valences, in a reciprocal manner (Larsen et al., 2003). Activity in the orbicularis oculi muscle area has been correlated with pleasantness – in particular, high-arousal positive emotional states (Ravaja, 2004) – and activity in this region has also been found to differentiate smiling due to genuine pleasure from ‘forced’ smiling.

When one is interpreting facial EMG measurements, or facial expressions in general, it is important to know whether they provide information on the true emotional state of an individual or are social signals possibly without any connection to emotional experience. That is, there are two competing views. The emotion-expression view is that facial displays express a person’s internal emotional state (e.g., Ekman (1994); see Figure 3.10, in section 3.9, below), whereas the behavioural ecology view holds that facial displays are social signals that communicate behavioural intentions or social motives (and are not ‘readouts’ of an underlying affective state (Fridlund, 1991)). Although the emotion-expression view is supported by a number of studies (see above), the behavioural ecology view has gained support through studies showing that positive and negative emotional facial displays are augmented in the presence of a real or an imagined audience when one is viewing videotapes, with the effect being independent of a concurrent emotional state (Fridlund, 1991). However, all in all, the evidence shows that, in social situations, facial expressions are affected by both emotional and social factors (e.g., Hess et al. (1995)).

In addition, a more straightforward interpretation of EMG signals has been posited, in which facial EMG measured from the temporalis, masseter, and medial and lateral pterygoid muscles can be used to detect bruxism (grinding of the teeth) (Lavigne et al., 2008). Among the possible causes for bruxism while one is awake are stress, anxiety, and hyperactivity. Measurement of EMG from muscles around the neck and
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shoulder region (such as the upper trapezius and deltoid muscles) can be used to monitor the fatigue of an office worker, for example (Mathiassen et al., 1995). Measurements in the area of the upper trapezius can be used for indexing shoulder–neck load, but these are sensitive to arm motions (Mathiassen et al., 1995).

Methods

The elementary functional unit of musculature is the motor unit, consisting of a motoneuron and the set of consonant muscle fibres it innervates. Muscles act more or less quickly and precisely in proportion to the innervation ratio – i.e., the number of muscle fibres per motoneuron – and this has implications for interpreting the spectral signature of muscle action potentials. For example, such potentials at a given frequency band and power level might correspond to meaningful activity if measured from the orbicularis area but may fall below the threshold required for distinguishing signal from noise if measured from the deltoid. Muscle action potentials propagate rapidly from the motoneuron endplate across muscle fibre, and a small portion of the changing electrical field of (typically multiple) muscle fibres is conducted through the intervening fluid to the skin. Therefore, what EMG measures directly is changing electrical potentials associated with grouped muscle activity, with a possibly very broad frequency range whose characteristics are related to the underlying muscle dimensions.

Facial EMG activity is typically recorded over the above-mentioned muscle areas (on the left side of the face) by means of surface Ag/AgCl electrodes with a contact area 4 mm in diameter (filled with conductive electrode gel (Tassinary and Cacioppo, 2000)). Precise placement of the electrodes in this regard is important, and, for obtaining a good-quality signal, careful preparation of the skin is necessary also, to reduce any impedance between the skin surface and the gel. This involves, for example, rubbing the skin with a gauze pad and cleansing the site with either alcohol or soap and water.

The raw EMG signal is amplified, and frequencies below 30 Hz and above 500 Hz are filtered out (in some conditions, slightly different cut-off frequencies may be applicable (Blumenthal et al., 2005; Tassinary
and Cacioppo, 2000)). In light of the Nyquist–Shannon sampling theorem, it is important that the sampling rate be at least 1000 Hz (twice the highest frequency featuring in the signal). In the next stage of processing, the signal is rectified (conversion to absolute values is performed) and integrated or smoothed. There are various procedures for analogue and digital processing of the facial EMG signal (see Blumenthal et al. (2005)), but one commonly applied procedure is smoothing, which involves passing the rectified EMG signal to a low-pass filter, using a digital routine. Signal amplitude is thus interpreted as muscle activation; therefore, the final metrics for EMG are simply first-order statistics of the signal, such as maximum amplitude.

Nowadays, in addition to laboratory devices, there are ambulatory psychophysiological data collection systems, such as the Varioport-ARM (Becker Meditec, Karlsruhe, Germany), that enable the recording of facial EMG in real-world situations (see Figure 3.5). However, the feasibility of real-world facial EMG recordings is limited by the need to attach electrodes to the face.

Figure 3.5: Facial EMG measurement over the brow, periocular, and cheek muscle areas via a mobile physiological data collection system in a real-world context.
Applications

Facial EMG measurements can be applied to investigate many research questions in the area of HCI. However, as is the case for all psychophysiological measures, the interpretation of facial EMG is highly dependent on the context and research paradigm (Ravaja, 2004). It seems very likely that facial EMG provides an index of internal emotional state, especially in contexts wherein little social interaction is involved, such as viewing of emotion-eliciting material (e.g., emotional images and news items) on a computer screen. However, emotional expressions are affected also by display rules (learned rules dictating the management of emotional expressions on the basis of social circumstances, as described by Zaalberg et al. (2004)). Obviously, in some social situations (e.g., when interacting with a person of higher social status), people may even express the emotion opposite what they are feeling (e.g., smiling instead of showing anger). Clear evidence has recently emerged that display rules may influence facial expressions, and also facial EMG activity, when the subject is interacting with a virtual character (Ravaja et al., 2015). Accordingly, it seems clear that facial EMG can be used to assess emotion-bearing facial expressions but not a human’s inner emotional state.

Expressing the opposite emotion from what one is actually feeling may also be done for purposes of emotional coping. For example, there is a recurrent finding that failure in a digital game (e.g., the death of the player’s character in a first-person shooter game) elicits increased zygomatic and orbicularis oculi activity and decreased corrugator activity (van den Hoogen et al., 2012). That is, people tend to smile even though the game event is likely to have elicited negative rather than positive emotion. Therefore, it is important to understand that facial EMG does not index inner emotional state in connection with such a game event, even though it may be non-problematically related to experienced emotions in connection with other types of game events. Also, Ravaja et al. (2006b) also examined the influence of opponent type on facial EMG activity in playing of digital games. With both co-located and non-co-located players, they found that self-reported pleasure and zygomatic and orbicularis oculi EMG activity increased and corrugator
EMG activity decreased in the following order: playing against a computer — playing against a stranger — playing against a friend. In this study, facial EMG activity was paralleled by self-reported emotional valence.

Recently, Salminen et al. (2013) studied the effects of computer-mediated cues of group emotion on facial EMG activity when the members of a non-co-located group performed knowledge-work tasks. Negative cues of group emotion (depressed or nervous/stressed) displayed in textual form on a Web page elicited lower self-reported pleasure, less perceived confidence in other group members, and higher corrugator supercili EMG activity than did cues of positive group emotions (pleasantly excited or pleasantly relaxed). This finding was apparently due to emotional contagion. Thus, facial EMG activity appears to measure inner emotional state in the context of distributed knowledge work, at least when social communication with facial expressions is not relevant (cf. video calls). However, it was also found that planning (creative) tasks elicited lower corrugator EMG activity when compared with routine tasks. Given that routine tasks (checking the grammar of text excerpts, for instance) require effort and that attention and corrugator activity may increase with attentional effort (Cohen et al., 1992), differences in corrugator EMG activity between task types may be explained by attentional requirements. Accordingly, it should be recognised that a given psychophysiological parameter, such as corrugator EMG activity, may index different psychological processes in connection with different factors in a factorial-design experiment. This underscores the importance of understanding differences between experimental conditions in terms of what facial-EMG-related psychological processes they may evoke.

The EMG technique has been applied to other locations on the body in research on ergonomics and prosthetics. For example, EMG measurements from the deltoid have been used to study the effect of posture on performance in work at a computer (Straker et al., 1997). Wearable EMG trousers can register the activity of leg muscles during standing and walking (Tikkanen et al., 2013), and EMG can also be used as a control signal for prosthetic limbs. Additionally, it can act as
an input signal for controlling a computer, whether in everyday applications or as a prosthetic control signal for industrial limb augmentation or rehabilitation. In everyday applications, multi-channel EMG is often used in combination with an accelerometer for gesture recognition in connection with various commands (Zhang et al., 2009). In the case of hand and arm prostheses, the EMG electrodes are usually fixed to one of the antagonist muscle pairs (Zecca et al., 2002).

Conclusions

It seems apparent that facial EMG can be a valuable measure in the area of HCI. As with all psychophysiological signals, interpretation of the data may pose challenges, though, and only under certain circumstances can facial EMG activity be used to index an individual’s inner emotional state. These facts do not, however, diminish the value of facial EMG measurements, and there may be just as much value in obtaining information on emotional facial expressions determined by display rules in computer-mediated communication.

3.5 Oscillatory electroencephalography

Recording electrical brain activity is useful in studies of human cognition. One of the techniques available, electroencephalography (EEG), provides this measurement in an affordable and non-invasive way. In addition, when compared to other functional brain activation recording techniques, it is relatively easy to set up, mobile, and suitable for recording outside a laboratory setting. The technique measures the summated activity in local populations of oriented neurons. This synchronous activation often elicits rhythmic oscillations with distinct frequencies. The subsequent volume conduction of these oscillations can be detected at the scalp. In the strictest terms, a neural oscillation is defined as an increase – i.e., a spectral peak – in the power of the EEG signal in a specific frequency band (Lopes da Silva, 2013). Therefore, although the word ‘oscillation’ is used rather loosely in the context of EEG, a peak in the power spectrum should be present when one is seeking to identify an oscillation. A mere increase in power over a wider
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frequency domain does not constitute an oscillation in the frequency band of interest, however; on account of the weakness of neural currents, environmental electrical fields can cause significant interference in the signals measured, even for frequencies with detectable peaks. This section of the paper presents the possibilities that EEG affords for assessing cognitive and affective states in individuals. Here, we consider continuous EEG signals. Continuous signals encompass all EEG measurements of interest for our purposes apart from the event-related potentials (ERPs) that are discussed in section 3.6.

Background

The oscillatory analysis of an EEG signal consists of using spatial-, temporal-, and frequency-domain information for analysis of the volume conducted – i.e., electrical currents outside neurons’ axons (explained in more detail in the next paragraph). These currents are measured as voltage differences between electrodes distributed over the scalp. Work with the frequency domain was the historical foundation of EEG analysis. Ever since the discovery of alpha waves, by Berger (1929), EEG has been considered a mixture of signals, with different frequencies, a perspective that has had strong implications for EEG analysis. Originally, four major types of sinusoidal EEG waves were recognised. These rhythms are presented, among other standard brain rhythms, in Table 3.1. In addition to temporal and frequency-domain features, one can analyse higher-order features such as synchrony and spatial distributions in EEG signals.

All non-invasive techniques employed for brain state evaluation require highly synchronised activity across neighbouring neuronal populations that results in signals measurable outside the scalp. The oscillations measurable on the surface of the scalp are rhythmic patterns caused by simultaneous pyramidal neuron action potentials in the area of interest. These patterns manifest as amplitude modulations via event-related synchronisation (ERS) or event-related desynchronisation (ERD) (Pfurtscheller and Lopes da Silva, 1999; Güntekin and Başar, 2014; Horschig et al., 2014). Figure 3.6 illustrates this phenomenon. In a concrete example, imagining a movement of the left hand leads to
Table 3.1: One explanation for the functions in which various oscillatory systems are involved

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>δ</td>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>In the study of event-related potentials (see section 3.6 for details), the P300 is a delta- and theta-band-related component (Başar et al., 2001). P300s are linked to attention and focus (van Dinteren et al., 2014). Delta waves are seen mainly in deep sleep (Knyazev, 2012).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ</td>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>Frontal theta rhythms are considered to be ‘limbic fingerprints’ on cortices (Lopes da Silva, 1992). Gruber and Müller (2006) suggests that there is familiarity-dependency in theta oscillations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>Among the strongest oscillations seen in EEG signals, this carries information on working memory, alertness, and ability to focus (Noonan et al., 2016). It is characteristic of a relaxed but alert state of wakefulness. The α amplitude increases when the eyes are closed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µ-rhythm</td>
<td>Parietal lobe</td>
<td>Sensimotor rhythm, also known as µ-rhythm, whose frequency range covers the α band and parts of the β band. It is associated with maintaining or witnessing physical stillness (Tiihonen et al., 1989).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>Parietal lobe</td>
<td>Low-frequency β waves are often linked to busy thinking / anxiousness and active concentration. Furthermore, β activity is related to the salience of a given stimulus and variance across emotionally charged tasks (Ray and Cole, 1985).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ</td>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>This band is linked to memory processes, problem-solving, fear, and consciousness (Merker, 2013).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The state of the art

Figure 3.6: Illustration of the volume conduction of neuronal firings recorded by EEG. Physically aligned and synchronously firing neuron populations (shown superimposed on the grey arrow) induce an electrical field (represented by concentric rings). This field propagates through the layers of dura, skull, and dermis (shown as horizontal lines) and can be measured at the scalp by means of distributed electrodes. Electrical fields that are strong enough to be measured are registered as a potential difference between distinct parts of the scalp, hence the need for a reference electrode.

a contralateral ERD in the motor cortex (the right motor cortex for a movement of the left hand) in the \( \mu \) and \( \beta \) bands during imagining of the movement and to an ERS in the \( \beta \) band (sometimes termed \( \beta \) rebound) just after the imagined movement ends (Pfurtscheller and Lopes da Silva, 1999).

One example of application is brain–computer interfaces (BCIs) (Vidal, 1973) utilising information provided by various frequency bands in EEG signals. A basic design for a motor-imagery BCI – i.e., a set-up in which a computer is controlled via imagined movements – would also exploit the spatial information by extracting features only from EEG channels localised over the motor areas of the brain, typically the channels C3 (lateral parietal) for the right hand’s movements, Cz (medial parietal) for foot movements, and C4 (opposite lateral) for movements of the left hand. The frequency bands extracted in motor-imagery design are EEG-MU (referred to as ‘motoric \( \mu \)’ herein) (8–12 Hz) and
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EEG-BETA (referred to as ‘β’ herein) (16–24 Hz). The design focuses on temporal information in event-dependent amplitude variations in these features (spatial and spectral extractions). Some generalisations pertaining to frequency-domain information that are used in experiment designs of various types are listed in Table 3.1.

Finding a correlation between EEG features and mental states is far from a simple task, and caution should be employed in generalisation from the examples presented below. They are descriptions of results from single studies and previous reviews. Researchers utilise diverse techniques to extract features from the measured signals. In addition to the features introduced above, these techniques include statistical connectivity measures, complexity measures for evaluating the predictability of EEG signal features, and chaos-theory-inspired measures that consider signals' fractal dimensions. ‘Connectivity’ denotes interplay of the spatially or spectrally separated signals. It is examined by assessment of basic correlation or, in more detail, by means of causality analysis (e.g., common spatial pattern (CSP) analysis), a direct transfer function (DTF), or similar techniques.

With ERD variations used as a feature, angry expressions elicit stronger beta and gamma oscillatory responses than happy and neutral expressions do when subjects view emotion-expressing faces (Güntekin and Başar, 2007; Keil et al., 2001). In addition, higher-power parietal beta activity has been found with emotionally loaded stimuli in comparison with non-emotional examples (Güntekin and Başar, 2010). The utility of simple power-based analysis techniques is limited and prone to session-to-session variations, inter-subject differences, and measurement inaccuracy. Analysing more than one EEG parameter improves the reliability of classification in respect of distinct brain functions and mental states. Results have been inconsistent between studies examining EEG band power changes elicited by emotional stimuli; hence, there is a need for in-depth analysis for all oscillatory responses, including phase-locked activity, evoked and/or induced power, time-frequency compositions, and connectivity measures. In addition, brain waves of various types are confounded by, for example, microsaccade potentials (Lopes da Silva, 2013).
According to Güntekin and Başar (2014), future studies should examine the synchronisation of alpha oscillatory responses when subjects are presented with an emotional stimulus, to reveal the dynamics of alpha activity in full. At the same time, it must be remembered that differences in age, gender, and phenotype greatly affect EEG dynamics, and that oscillatory systems are equally important in cognitive functions. For example, alpha oscillations in the occipital areas are directly linked to cognitive performance, showing a linear relationship with attentional processes in cognitive tasks. These findings suggest that human cognition is modulated by the phase of $\alpha$ oscillations (Ergenoglu et al., 2004; de Graaf et al., 2013). Further studies support this claim, implying that even though this robust brain wave is linked to inhibitory processes, it does not represent an ‘idling’ rhythm of the brain but, rather, expresses ‘windows of excitability’ (Dugué et al., 2011).

Methods

Instrumentation  EEG devices take many forms, from consumer-grade one-channel ‘game controllers’ to MRI-compatible medical instruments. These devices vary greatly, even at medical grade, in both their quality and properties such as number of channels, electrode type, and amplification techniques. For field experiments, EEG instrument development has produced a range of ambulatory research-grade devices. These are portable, utilise the latest innovations in electrode development, and measure a reasonable quantity of channels while remaining relatively easy to set up and use. At the time of writing, these include the LiveAmp system, from BrainProducts GmbH (Munich, Germany)$^5$; the Quasar DSI 10/20, from Quasar, Inc. (San Diego, CA, USA)$^6$; Enobio, by Neuroelectrics SLU (Barcelona, Spain)$^7$; and g.MOBIlab+, from g.tec medical engineering GmbH (Schiedlberg, Austria)$^8$.

$^8$See http://www.gtec.at/Products/Hardware-and-Accessories/g_MOBIlab-Specs-Features.
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Processing  There are many ways to compute band power features from EEG signals (Herman et al., 2008). The canonical process flow for a basic temporal analysis of EEG data is as follows: Raw EEG $\rightarrow$ Preprocessing $\rightarrow$ Feature Extraction $\rightarrow$ (Feature Selection) $\rightarrow$ Classification (feature selection is an optional step used in subject-specified analysis).

A simple, popular, and efficient method is to band-pass filter the EEG signal from a given channel into the frequency band of interest, then square the resulting signal to compute the signal power and finally average it over time (e.g., over a time window of 1 s).

Using more channels means extracting more features, which, in turn, increases the dimensionality of the data. High dimensionality leads to problems with classification and other mathematical analysis techniques. Accordingly, adding channels may even decrease performance if too few training data are available. Three main approaches can be applied in practice to exploit multiple EEG channels efficiently, all of which contribute to reducing dimensionality:

- **Feature selection algorithms** automatically select a subset of relevant features from among all the features extracted. These algorithms utilise machine learning techniques to perform the selection.

- **Channel selection algorithms** are similar to feature selection methods. They utilise mathematical routines for automatic selection of a subset of relevant channels from all available channels.

- **Spatial filtering algorithms** combine several channels into a single one, generally using weighted linear combinations. Features are extracted from the synthesised signal. Another kind of fixed spatial filter (Baillet et al., 2001) is represented by an inverse solution: an algorithm that enables one to estimate signals originating from sources within the brain on the basis of measurements taken at the scalp.

Alternatives for EEG feature representations can be divided into the following four categories: temporal, connectivity-, complexity-, and
Temporal representations  Temporal features quantify how signals vary over time. In contrast to the more basic features used for ERP, which consist simply of EEG amplitude samples over a short time window, some measures have been developed for characterising and quantifying variations in the signals measured. The corresponding features include Hjorth parameters (Hjorth, 1970) and time-domain parameters (TDPs) (Vidaurre et al., 2009). Some research results have even suggested that TDPs could be more efficient than the gold-standard band power features (Vidaurre et al., 2009).

Connectivity measures  Connectivity measures indicate how signals from two channels (or signals from two anatomical locations, obtained, for instance, via spatial filtering) are correlated and synchronised, or even whether one signal influences another one. In other words, connectivity features measure how the signals of two locations in spatial or spectral space are related. This is particularly useful for BCIs and mental state assessment, since it is known that, in the brain, there are long-distance communications between distant areas (Varela et al., 2001) and that the individual frequency bands are interconnected (Palva et al., 2005). Therefore, connectivity features are put to increasing use in neuroscience and seem to be a very valuable complement to what are classed as more ‘traditional’ features. Among connectivity features are coherence, phase-locking values, and the directed transfer function (DFT) (Varela et al., 2001; Caramia et al., 2014; Krusienski et al., 2012).

Complexity measures  Complexity measures are used for ascertaining the complexity in EEG signals. The class of complexity measures quantify regularity or predictability of a signal. This has been shown to provide information about the cognitive state of a subject and, additionally, to provide information complementary to classical features such as band power features. Some of the features in this category
are approximate entropy, a measurement adapted from anaesthesiology (Klockars et al., 2006); predictive complexity (Brodu et al., 2012); and waveform length (Lotte, 2012).

**Chaos-theory-inspired measures**  The fourth category of features consists of chaos-related measures, used to estimate how chaotic an EEG signal is or which chaotic properties it might possess. Among the examples one could cite of corresponding features are the fractal dimension (Wang and Sourina, 2013) and multi-fractal cumulants (Brodu et al., 2012).

**Applications**

The complexity of human cognition does not map well to simplicity of analyses for low-spatial-accuracy signals such as EEG output, especially when the features extracted are rather simplified, as in the case of the five established frequency bands (EEG-DELTA, EEG-THETA, EEG-ALPHA, EEG-BETA, and EEG-GAMMA, referred to as $\delta$, $\theta$, $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$). With such a small amount of information, it is obvious that direct mapping between cognitive processes and EEG oscillations is not realistic. That said, it is likely that EEG oscillations contribute to different cognitive functions, depending on their parameters (amplitude, frequency, phase, and coherence) and their spatial location within the brain. The related functions, together with corresponding references, are listed in Table 3.1 and summarised below:

- $\delta$: Attention and functional inhibition of executive functions (Harmony, 2013)
- $\theta$: Hippocampal communications and the functional inhibition of executive functions (Colgin, 2013)
- $\alpha$: Pacemaker, timing and suppressing attention (Başar, 2012)
- $\beta$: Integrating aspects of motor and cognitive processes and affection (Kilavik et al., 2013)
- $\gamma$: Conscious perception, updating of active memory, etc. (Merker, 2013)
Besides the functional linkage – i.e., the causality between distant cortex areas – the interplay between frequency bands has received renewed attention. For instance, one hypothesis suggests that the capacity of working memory is represented by the ratio of brain activity involved at the theta and gamma frequencies (Lisman and Idiart, 1995). The nesting behaviour in different EEG oscillation bands itself was found long ago by Berger (1929). More recently, the power of alpha waves has been demonstrated to modulate gamma oscillations, while it has been noted in addition that cross-frequency phase synchrony among $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$ is related to cognitive functions (Palva et al., 2005). It has been shown also that dysfunctions in these interplays are linked to disorders such as autism (Khan et al., 2013). For a review on cross-frequency couplings, see Canolty and Knight (2010) or Herrmann et al. (2015).

In general, the information on cognitive functions conveyed by EEG signals has been found significant in more recent studies. For instance, the EEG traces of attention, motivation, and vigilance can be utilised in the context of learning through analysis of $\alpha$ power balance on the cortices (Cowley and Ravaja, 2014). Besides the $\alpha$ frequency range, there is evidence of a $\delta$ power linkage on learning curves in ‘complex video-game’ environments (Mathewson et al., 2012).

**Conclusion**

These more sophisticated analysis methods render it possible to gain information supplementary to, for instance, basic band power features, and they may increase classification accuracy in certain conditions.

The sophisticated methods presented here provide information that can be complementary to classical EEG analysis and thereby improve, for example, the classification performance of various machine learning algorithms (Lotte, 2014).

The EEG signal processing methods presented here can be of great assistance in evaluating subject cognition, affection, and mental state. At this juncture, we must reiterate that classifying EEG signals is a tremendously complex task, on account of the non-stationary conditions, high dimensionality, artefacts, and the limited nature of the
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Event-related brain potentials are well-known and widely studied signatures of brain activity that are time-locked to a specific stimulus event, such as the beginning of a sound or the presentation of an image. Distinct from EEG oscillations, ERPs are direct representations of the time domain. These potentials are extracted from the raw EEG signal by averaging over tens to hundreds of EEG time periods (epochs) of fixed duration and are offset with respect to the time stamp for the event (Luck, 2014). Some ERP components are so strong that with a large enough number of channels and careful investigation of the spatial and spectral characteristics of the ERP, they can be recognised even without averaging (Delorme and Makeig, 2004). Such ‘single-trial’ ERPs, together with ERPs evoked by more naturalistic stimuli (for example, continuous ecologically valid sounds), constitute the main focus of this section. The broader subject of ERPs is quite vast; for further reading on the topic, see Kappenman and Luck (2011).

Some ERPs, especially those with low latencies, typically remain unaffected by cognitive workload, mental effort, vigilance, or affective processes, while others, especially those related to higher cognitive functions and with higher latencies, can be used reliably as indicators of mental state and task difficulty in HCI settings (Nittono et al., 2003).

Background

In electrophysiology, cognitive processes are traditionally studied via examination of high-latency neural responses of the ERP that are extracted from the continuous EEG by signal averaging. One can refer to
ERPs in terms of their polarity (positive or negative) and the latency after the trigger event. Customarily in plots of ERPs, positive values are positioned below the $x$-axis, and latencies are denoted canonically, not exactly. Hence, for example, two common ERPs are designated as N1 and P3 (these are also referred to as the N100 and P300).

Early-going or ‘fast’ ERPs tend to be related to orienting responses, so the N1 ERP is a fast neuronal response to stimuli. The ERPs associated with cognition typically peak hundreds of milliseconds after the onset of an event and originate in connected cortical areas (Savers et al., 1974). Increases in task difficulty, a lack of cognitive resources, and higher cognitive loads result in a decrease in the amplitude and an increase in the latency of several ERP components. A typical example of longer-latency ERP components is the P3 (or P300), an ERP elicited in the process of decision-making. The P3 component is an endogenous ERP component related to cognitive processes such as attention, rather than physical properties of the stimulus that caused it in the first place. It can be divided into two sub-components, the P3a and P3b, which peak at different sites on the scalp. The delay in P3 (sub-)components is around 250–500 ms and depends on the task (Picton, 1992).

Figure 3.7, taken from reporting on an experiment that contrasted two groups (ADHD patients and healthy controls) across two conditions (illusory contour and no contour) (Cowley, 2013), illustrates some simple properties of ERPs. Firstly, N100 and P300 waves are clearly visible in all panels. Secondly, the P300 at posterior sites is much less condition-responsive than that at frontal sites. This is logical, given the back-to-front propagation of visual processing in the cortex. Thirdly, the conditions discriminate between the groups: when compared to the controls, ADHD patients show a significantly diminished P300 at F3 (above the left dorsolateral pre-frontal cortex).

Several ERP peaks are visible in responses to sound stimuli recorded while the subject is fully engaged in a primary task with a computer and not paying any attention to the sounds. The auditory P3 brain response is particularly valuable in this connection, since it has been linked to the allocation of attention to surprising, unexpected sounds separate from the primary task. The strength of this response is related to the extent
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Figure 3.7: An example of ERPs, from four electrode sites – F3, F4, P3, and P4 (‘F’ for frontal, ‘P’ for parietal, ‘3’ for left, and ‘4’ for right) – in two conditions for a computerised response task (the condition targets are shown in black squares). The P300 is marked in the top-left panel; abscissa (ms) and ordinate (µV) scales are marked at the top right.
of cognitive resources available at a given moment (Kramer et al., 1983). The auditory P3 is also proposed to index the momentary processing capacity of the relevant individual (Kok, 2001).

Somatosensory ERP responses have been proposed as possible indices of cognitive functions, primarily attention, during a task (Chen et al., 2014). Similarly to sounds, somatosensory stimuli can be delivered unexpectedly, without disrupting the primary task excessively.

ERPs related to a user’s own actions have been proposed as markers of user cognitive state. The contingent negative variation (CNV) (Boehm et al., 2014) is a preparatory motor potential that is observed prior to movement. Its duration and strength are posited to be related to the planning of the imminent movement. Specifically, inhibition of the response is proportional to the strength of the CNV response even prior to the motor movement after decision-making (Boehm et al., 2014).

Accordingly, the ‘event’ for an ERP may include responses to stimuli; indeed, stimulus-event- and response-locked potentials are often analysed in pairs (O’Connell et al., 2012). In a study by O’Connell et al. (2012), the response was a subjective decision with respect to continuous (audio and visual) stimuli crossing the perception threshold. This illustrates that ERPs can be derived not only from discrete trials but also from continuous multimodal stimuli.

A further and more field-ready demonstration of ERPs from continuous natural stimuli has been provided by Poikonen et al. (2016), who extracted musical features from natural sounds and the tango nuevo, techno, and lullaby musical genres. They found that ERPs were detectable in periods of rapid feature increase, especially when such feature ‘peaks’ were preceded by an extended duration of low-feature values. This demonstrates the utility ERPs can have for the practitioner when combined with automated methods of extracting information from natural stimuli.

As described in section 3.5, above, cognitive processes are also associated with EEG oscillations, and the relationship between ERPs and oscillations has been investigated by, for example, Watanabe et al. (2002), who found that event-related gamma-band oscillation and the
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P3 may share several components of a common larger process related to recognising rare stimuli. Indeed, if we consider the time course of an ERP as a summation of fixed-frequency waves – e.g., the P3 is a wave with a 300 ms wavelength – considering ERPs to represent a brief-time-window snapshot of convolved oscillations is intuitive.

Methods

The recording for ERP signals is similar to that used for EEG applications, but it additionally requires a temporally very accurate time stamp for the events in question. This implies that the experimenter must account for transmission latencies between the EEG amplifier and the source of the stimulation event. In consequence of the very high precision required, one should also correct for time stamp ‘jitter’ (the slight non-periodicity inherent in any electronic signal) when events are in a stream. On the assumption that these preconditions are met, in a typical basic research setting, epochs are combined across hundreds of experiment trials and dozens of participants, to form a grand average waveform (Luck, 2014).

The process of averaging is a key factor, because the waveforms characteristic of the ERP may not be seen clearly in any of the individual trials unless they are of large amplitude. This noisiness of the individual signals can arise from source mixing and volume conduction, in addition to external contributions such as artefacts. Therefore, the ERP can be considered an idealised representation of the underlying process.

In an applied setting, one should use EEG amplifiers that are lightweight and portable to improve usefulness and affordability; even some consumer-grade devices can be used. In recent years, there has been a great increase in the commercial availability of ambulatory EEG sensors, partly due to technological advances and also on account of the popularity of the ‘quantified self’ movement. The sensors available range from lower-cost consumer-oriented models such as the Muse headband, from Interaxon (Toronto, Canada), with fixed electrodes, to expensive medical-grade devices such as the Embla Titanium, from
Natus Medical Incorporated (Pleasanton, CA, USA). The Enobio (Neuroelectrics, Barcelona, Spain) amplifier is an intermediate device that is suitable for research purposes and supported in this respect (see Figure 1.1).

Consumer-oriented devices are usually accompanied by software aimed at self-quantification and cognitive enhancement; for instance, the MUSE band comes with an application that teaches meditation techniques. Devices such as this nevertheless usually employ a communication protocol that allows other software to access the device’s raw data. Adding time stamps in the form of triggers, however, may prove challenging, since the solutions currently available for triggering portable EEG recorders do not typically demonstrate ERP-grade temporal accuracy. This necessitates manual verification of the trigger latency and jitter by the experimenter, a time-consuming and technically demanding task. In the field of BCI, issues of raw data access or temporal registration have usually been resolved in isolation within labs; however, this is problematic, as BCI research is largely concerned with clinical studies (Bamdad et al., 2015; Ahn et al., 2014). For these two reasons, most of the relevant reports to date have been from laboratory-based experiments. That said, the impediments to implementation outside the lab seem to be mainly an engineering problem, in terms of both data recording and design of the stimulation events.

Applications

ERP applications require that a stimulus event of sufficient information-processing novelty evoke a clear brain response. The stimulus is presented in or detected from the environment, or it may come from the behaviour of the subject during an HCI task. This implies one of the following:

- The person is going to be slightly or moderately distracted on occasion by an external stimulus (e.g., sound, a somatosensory stimulus, or light).

- The event is extracted from the task-related behaviour of the person in question (O’Connell et al., 2012).
• The event is isolated from a continuous stimulation stream, such as a piece of music (Poikonen et al., 2016).

It should be noted with respect to external events that a secondary task related to external stimuli may annoy, distract, or fatigue the participant (Kramer and Spinks, 1991; Trejo et al., 1995; Ullsperger and von Cramon, 2001). Therefore, external events should be rare enough with respect to the primary task. In addition, the stimuli should be subtle enough not to disturb but salient enough for evoking measurable ERPs. In some cases, the strength of the stimulus, e.g. loudness of a sound, may need to be adjusted for each individual.

Studies showing the effects of cognitive load and processing capacity on the auditory P3 response have been conducted in the field (i.e. in ecologically valid settings, outside the lab) or in flight simulators (Fowler, 1994; Sirevaag et al., 1993), during simulated driving (Bayliss and Ballard, 2000), and in safety-critical monitoring (Trejo et al., 1995; Ullsperger and von Cramon, 2001). Furthermore, the P3 has been reliably extracted with freely moving subjects performing an auditory oddball task outside (De Vos et al., 2014), indicating that the relevant paradigm is nearly field-ready.

ERP paradigms can be developed to use stimuli that are elicited by the participants. For instance, the P3 difference between unexpected vs. expected stimuli can be locked to the participant’s mouse clicks (Nittono et al., 2003). More recently, blink-related activity and N2 waves have been found to be predictive of user condition in a study of a simulated logistics-work environment, where the conditions involved physical effort, cognitive effort, and rest (Wascher et al., 2014).

Conclusion

Recording EEG data with portable devices and in real-world settings is non-invasive, is affordable, and exhibits a high success rate. However, the practical application of ERPs requires suitable hardware/software, which is not currently straightforward to obtain, and demands a clever stimulation protocol that is sufficient to evoke detectable responses yet not interrupt the user. Once such solutions have been identified, ERPs should constitute a valid approach for workplace HCI. Their use as a
control input is still limited by the very low information throughput (Allison et al., 2007), but they possess a clear strength in their long history as a tool used to detect and probe cognitive states. We can conclude that using ERPs is to be recommended whenever the application clearly supports the rather strict requirements imposed by this approach.

3.7 Pupillometry

Pupil size has been studied largely for its value as an indicator of cognitive workload, mental effort, attention, or affective processing. Research in various fields has explored the application of pupil-based metrics in several HCI scenarios. However, the pupil is usually studied under highly controlled conditions, as it is extremely sensitive to external factors such as changes in ambient light. In fact, the pupil dilation that occurs in response to a change in light exposure is much greater than that experienced as a result of cognitive processing (Beatty and Lucero-Wagoner, 2000). Additionally, pupil behaviour is user- and session-dependent. These factors make the use of pupil size and pupil dilation indices as implicit inputs for real-world HCI settings a non-trivial challenge, yet it is an exciting one, in an area that holds great promise.

Background

Pupil size is regulated by two muscles (the sphincter pupillae and the dilator pupillae). By dilating and contracting, the pupil controls the amount of light that enters the eye; however, there are fluctuations in pupil size that are not related to the regulation of light entering the eyes. These fluctuations take place on a much smaller scale, not visible to human observers (around 0.5 mm), and are associated with cognitive processes in the brain. This phenomenon has been subject to study for around 150 years now (Schiff et al., 1875). Almost a century after the first studies in this area, the field started to grow significantly (around the 1960s) and it piqued the interest of cognitive psychophysicists.
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aiming at better understanding the functions of the brain and cognition (Hess and Polt, 1964). From the large body of research that then emerged, those cognitive states achieving the greatest acceptance as able to be inferred through pupil size are mental effort and cognitive overload. In a large set of studies, researchers have shown that there is a correlation between pupil size and the level of demands imposed by a cognitive task. That is, the more demanding the cognitive task, the greater the pupil size (Beatty and Lucero-Wagoner, 2000).

More recently, technological advances in the measurement of pupil size have been accompanied by increased interest among HCI researchers in using this metric as an additional communication channel between humans and machines.

Methods

In an HCI connection, pupil size is commonly measured through video-oculography (VOG), a technique using cameras (often infrared cameras) that record the eye and, by applying image processing, allow one not only to track the pupil but also to track the point of regard (the point at which the eye is looking) and other gaze-based phenomena (Duchowski, 2007), as indicated in section 3.8, on eye tracking. As noted above, changes in pupil diameter can be due either to cognitive processing, in a phenomenon also known as task-evoked pupillary responses (TEPRs), or to other types of pupillary responses, such as that to ambient light (Beatty and Lucero-Wagoner, 2000). The metrics most commonly employed to quantify TEPRs are mean pupil dilation, maximum pupil dilation (i.e., peak dilation), and latency to peak dilation. These metrics are computed about 0.5 to 5 seconds after initiation, with the time depending on the nature of the task (Beatty, 1982). For analysis of TEPRs under controlled lighting conditions it is common to divide the signal into epochs initially, in accordance with the nature of the task or the stimuli presented. For each of the epochs, baseline normalisation usually is required, in such a form as subtracting from the epoch pupil data for some period of time prior to presenting of the stimulus (e.g., 500 ms; see Beatty and Lucero-Wagoner (2000)), following which metrics can be computed.
A large body of research has considered the relationship between the individual elements affecting pupil dilation. For instance, Palinko and Kun (2011, 2012) examined whether cognition-related pupil dilations can be separated from luminance-related pupil dilations in driving simulation studies. Although highly dependent on the experimental procedure, their results indicate that it is indeed possible to separate these two components of pupil dilation. More recently, Binda et al. (2014) have been studying how the interaction of luminance and cognitive load is reflected in pupil size.

**Applications**

To illustrate the potential for pupil size metrics in HCI, we selected a subset of state-of-the-art, non-overlapping studies in which pupil size has been used on its own rather than in combination with other psychophysiological signals.

As previously mentioned, one of the most well-established indices that can be inferred from pupil measurements is utilised for estimating mental workload. Examples of pupil size as an indicator of mental workload include the Index of Cognitive Activity (ICA), developed by Marshall (2002). In Marshall’s work, pupil size is used for real-time estimation of the user’s cognitive effort in the course of interaction with a virtual display. More recently, Takeuchi et al. (2011) studied whether cognitive effort as reflected in pupil size changes as the perceptual learning process progresses in visual search tasks. They found that in the early stages of the learning process, pupil size rapidly increased in line with mental effort. However, in later phases of learning, the increase was much less pronounced. Recently, Pedrotti et al. (2014) showed the
predictive power of pupil size in relation to stress situations. They built a classifier, using neural networks, and were able to predict the stress condition being experienced by a participant by assessing pupil size. Their system achieved prediction accuracies of up to 79%.

Pupil size has been used also as an indicator of affective processing. Partala and Surakka (2003) measured pupil size while participants were exposed to auditory emotional stimuli. They found that pupil dilation was significantly greater when the subjects were exposed to emotional stimuli as compared with neutral stimuli. In addition, the effect was found to be more prevalent among female subjects.

In HCI research, Oliveira et al. (2009) showed how pupil size could be useful in analysis of perceived relevance of Web search results. They studied the relevance of images and documents. Carrying out controlled laboratory experiments, they found pupil size to differ significantly when subjects were viewing relevant vs. non-relevant search results. The authors suggested that pupil size is best viewed as a delayed measure of interest, because relevant changes in the pupils were found around 400 to 500 milliseconds after the stimuli were shown. In other work, Jepma and Nieuwenhuis (2011) investigated the relationship between pupil dilation and choice strategy (exploration of new choices vs. exploitation of a fixed choice) and were able to differentiate between the two choice strategies considered: pupil dilation was significantly greater in exploration scenarios than in exploitation scenarios.

Conclusion

Pupil size has been studied in cognitive sciences for more than a century and has been slowly introduced in the HCI field in the last few decades. Pupil size can be used to infer cognitive workload and mental effort in a reliable manner, and it also can be measured relatively inexpensively and unobtrusively. However, the extensive dependence on external factors such as ambient light conditions is slowing its progress beyond controlled laboratory experiments. In a promising development, recent research aimed at discriminating task-evoked pupillary responses from external-evoked pupillary responses has shown positive results, which
augurs a productive future for pupillometry in HCI. This may well herald application of the signal finding its way into less controlled set-ups ‘in the wild’.

3.8 Eye tracking

As the proverbial ‘windows of the soul’, the eyes can be considered the only surface feature of the central nervous system. As such, they should be a rich source of information on human cognition and activity. In this brief review, we consider eye/gaze tracking as a source of information on internal cognitive and contextual state, intention, and the locus of the user’s visual attention in interactive settings. Because the primary function of the eye is to sample visual information from the environment, repurposing it for direct interaction, by using gaze as an ‘input method’, has proved to be problematic and results in a phenomenon known as the Midas touch (Jacob, 1990): attentive information-seeking fixations are interpreted too readily as actions within the gaze-controlled interface. Eye tracking in direct-interaction applications has been discussed recently by Fairclough and Gildeade (2014) and is not discussed further here.

Background

Eye movements are highly task- and context-specific (Rothkopf et al., 2015). In a task performance context, eye movements can be divided into fixational and saccadic movements. Fixational control (consisting of fixations and smooth pursuit movements that track slowly moving targets) is aimed at stabilising the retinal image for information extraction, whereas saccadic movements rapidly move the point of gaze to fixate and acquire new features from within the visual field. Vergence (convergent, independent movement of the eyes) can provide additional information on the depth plane of visual focus in binocular viewing.

In a fairly novel development, also micro-saccades, minor directed movements of the eye within a fixation, have been shown to correlate with cognitive activity, especially visuo-spatial attention (Meyberg et al., 2015). However, as tracking these requires special equipment that
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is not usable in everyday contexts, our review will deal only with the eye movements listed above.

Eye trackers typically enable the extraction of several parameters for each type of eye movement. For fixations, typical parameters are location, duration, frequency, and drift within fixations. For saccades, the usual parameters considered are frequency, duration, amplitude, average speed, and speed profiles. In addition to eye movements, eye tracking can provide information on blinks, and typical parameters in this connection include frequency, closing of the eye(s), and eyelid closing and opening times. Among the more complex, derived parameters are dwell times (the sum of fixation times within a defined area of interest); gaze paths and patterns; the area covered; and the frequency, number of, and sequence of areas of interest visited in visual stimuli or an interface.

**Methods**

The two most firmly established eye tracking techniques at present are the electro-oculogram and video-oculography. The first of these is generated from measurement of electrical activity associated with eye movements, quantified by recording from electrodes applied to the skin surface around the eye(s). The EOG approach provides high temporal resolution (up to several kilohertz) and can even be used when the eyes are closed (during sleep or at sleep onset), though it offers limited spatial resolution, has a drifting baseline, and exhibits high-frequency noise (Eggert, 2007). These properties make EOGs suitable for wearable devices tracking oculomotor parameters, but they are less useful in actual point-of-gaze (POG) tracking. Although EOG measurement set-ups vary, most often the measurements are performed with four electrodes: two horizontal, placed at the outer canthi of the eyes, with the signal summing the movement of the electrical dipoles of the two eyes, and two vertical, placed above and below one eye, which can be used also for tracking blinks.

Devices for VOG measurements are camera-based, tracking the movements of the eye via changes in visual features such as the pupil, iris, sclera, eyelid, and light source reflections on the surface of the
cornea. Pupillary measures available through VOG have been linked with cognitive activity, and these are discussed in section 3.7. Video-oculography devices, which can be grouped into wearable and desktop devices, vary significantly in their capabilities and requirements. Desktop devices encompass both very precise trackers, requiring the use of head and chin rests, and completely non-intrusive remote trackers that may be integrated into laptop computers and monitors. While VOG devices provide better spatial resolution than does EOG (typically 0.5–2 degrees of visual angle), all but the most expensive tracking systems offer only limited temporal resolution (30–60 Hz). Hence, VOG is better suited to tracking the POG, examining gaze patterns, and utilising event-based metrics.

Applications

We will now present several example applications of eye tracking for establishing the internal state of the user on the basis of eye and gaze metrics.

Mental workload  Mental workload has been studied under various task conditions. Hutton (2008) presented examples of saccadic eye
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movements being affected by working memory and attention requirements in experimental stimulus–response paradigms. Chang and Choi (2014) used gaze duration (dwell times on sentences) for measuring the spatial and temporal distribution of attention in a reading task, with ‘seductive details’ grabbing attention and leading to poor comprehension and recall performance.

Tokuda et al. (2011) introduced the detection of ‘saccadic intrusions’ – quick fixation-restoring saccades – and their frequency for estimating mental workload, while Bodala et al. (2014) utilised peak saccade velocity for gauging mental workload, claiming that higher cognitive workload necessitates faster saccades.

Flow and focus Successfully tracking flow and focus, and thereby being able to support users’ task engagement, could offer a highly productive use for eye tracking. Tsai et al. (2007) reported that in a dual visual-auditory task condition, increased fixation frequency was indicative of a focus on the visual task while reduced blink frequency and horizontal vergence indicated a focus on the auditory task. Wang et al. (2014) explored gaze patterns during driving, concluding that gaze patterns contract in conditions of increased cognitive demands, focusing on a more limited area around the item of attention. Dehais et al. (2012), in the meantime, suggested an ‘index of attentional focus’, based on decreased saccadic activity and more concentrated fixations.

Marshall (2007) described seven binary eye-based metrics, which she used for studying cognitive state changes in three set-ups: relaxed/engaged problem-solving, focused/distracted attention in driving, and alert/fatigued state during performance of a visual search. Marshall reported that all seven eye metrics successfully discriminated among the states, with classification accuracies between 67% and 93%.

Fatigue/sleepiness Fatigue and sleepiness studies have concentrated mainly on EOG, for obvious practical reasons – both blink and saccade parameters derived from EOG have been shown to be sensitive to fatigue caused by sleepiness. For blinks, Barbato et al. (1995); Khushaba et al. (2011) used blink rates and Ingre et al. (2006); Papadelis et al.
(2007) used blink duration; while Morris and Miller (1996) showed that blink amplitude and eye closing times change significantly in consequence of fatigue. For saccades, Wright and McGown (2001); Hyoki et al. (1998) studied saccade rate and eye activity metrics, and Morris and Miller (1996); Hyoki et al. (1998); Thomas and Russo (2007) used saccadic velocity parameters for detecting fatigue and sleepiness. Another study suggested that the peak velocity of horizontal saccades could be the most powerful eye parameter for monitoring sleepiness (Hirvonen et al., 2010). Thomas and Russo (2007) presented saccadic velocity as an oculomotor neurophysiological measure significantly correlated with decreasing brain metabolism and cognitive performance, thereby demonstrating that it could be used as a surrogate marker for the for the cognitive state of alertness or sleep deprivation.

**Application-specificity** A large proportion of eye movement research to date has been performed in limited laboratory environments. One of the pioneers of eye tracking research, Rayner (2009), warns also that it might be hazardous to generalise eye movement metrics across task types such as reading and visual search. As eye movement metrics are highly task- and subject-specific, movements in the real world can ultimately be understood only in the context of a particular task.

**Conclusions**

Metrics of eye movements provide a rich, contextual source of information on human behaviour and internal cognitive states, applicable to various HCI endeavours. Recent developments in measuring and analysing ocular behaviour can inform novel tools, enabling their use in natural everyday environments. As a large proportion of the existing studies have looked at eye tracking in laboratory conditions, studying and applying gaze interaction and gaze-based user modelling in natural environments presents a substantial opportunity. However, individual-to-individual variability and the task-specific nature of eye movements should be carefully considered, if one is to deliver successful applications of eye-aware user interfaces and insights into the cognitive state of users.
3.9 Video

Advances in camera technologies make video an attractive possibility for measuring a variety of physiological phenomena, especially in environments such as the workplace, where contextual factors (e.g., ambient light) can be accounted for. Ever smaller and more accurate camera systems enable unobtrusive observations with sufficiently high precision for many interesting applications, and as long as there is a line of sight between the camera and the object of interest, a video signal may reveal, for example, a person’s cognitive state without interrupting the work that is being done.

Here we consider digital video-based systems aimed at assessing some aspect of physiology or behaviour from a distance, in order to augment human–computer interaction. We exclude the ocular system from discussion, since it is dealt with in sections 3.7 and 3.8.

Background

Typically, a video signal comprises measurements of the intensity of electromagnetic radiation in the spectra of visible (wavelengths of about 390 to 700 nm) and infrared (wavelengths from about 700 to 1000 nm) light, on a plane (for example, the image sensor in digital cameras). Changes in intensity arise mainly from a change in the original light source or the various points of reflection along the path of the ray of light from the source to the sensor. Accordingly, any movement within the measurement space (for instance, the eyebrows rising when the subject is surprised or expansion of the lungs when one is inhaling) or changes in the reflective properties of the reflection points (such as a change in skin colour due to increased blood flow) may be detected via the sensor. There is great variety in the video technologies and systems available today.

High-speed cameras A typical video camera captures 24 to 30 frames per second, depending on the encoding. While this is sufficient to make a video stream seem smooth for the human visual system, systems with
higher frame rates have been developed too. One of the fastest methods, known as compressed ultra-fast photography (CUP), can capture non-repetitive time-evolving events at up to $10^{11}$ frames per second (Gao et al., 2014). For many physiological phenomena, a frame rate on the magnitude of 100 frames per second is adequate, and 200 frames a second may already allow, for example, the use of video-based photoplethysmography in clinical settings (Sun et al., 2012a).

**Webcams**  The first system to feature a video camera that streamed an image in real time through a computer network came about in 1991 (Stafford-Fraser, 1995). The camera was pointed at a coffee pot in the Cambridge University Computer Lab. Since then, video cameras have become a basic feature in laptop computers and the screens of desktop computers, and they have been used mainly for video calls.

**Cameras in hand-held devices** While cameras forming part of traditional computers are widespread, probably the most ubiquitous camera systems today are those embedded in hand-held devices, since almost all modern mobile phones and tablet computers have one or more cameras on their faces. The main camera typically points away from the user and is intended for photography. There is often another, however, intended for video calls and points in the same direction as the screen. In addition to conveying a video image to a caller, the front-facing camera can be used to detect, for example, whether or not there is a face in front of the screen. Once a face is detected, it may reveal various attributes of the user, such as emotional engagement as assessed from facial expressions (Kang et al., 2008). Also, however, as their name suggests, hand-held devices are often held in the user’s hand. It has been demonstrated that the optical sensor of a mobile phone can detect, for example, the following elements from touch: breathing rate, heart rate, blood oxygen saturation, and even atrial fibrillation or blood loss (Scully et al., 2012).

**3D camera systems** Whereas a single-sensor camera system typically is limited to collecting emitted visual information on a two-dimensional
plane, adding sensors and possibly projectors to the system may enable the observation of three-dimensional structures. A system with two appropriately placed cameras (i.e., a stereo camera system) functions in the same way as human binocular vision and can produce three-dimensional images. In another commonly used method, the system utilises projections of structured light patterns onto a three-dimensional surface, whereby the sensor’s detection of distortions in the patterns received may reveal the precise three-dimensional co-ordinates of the surface (Ma et al., 2009). A third method, one that is quite new, uses a time-of-flight (ToF) camera system, in which distances from the source of a light pulse to a camera via each point in the visual field can be resolved from the time of flight of each light pulse on the basis of the known speed of light (Gokturk et al., 2004). Systems of this type have been used, for example, to monitor sleep (Lee et al., 2015).

Methods

With the above foundations laid, we now describe methods that have been used to extract information about human psychophysiology from a video signal. While there are diverse methods, we concentrate on three main categories here: light intensity analysis, 2D morphological analysis, and 3D morphological analysis. All of these areas are showing rapid development, and some solutions are still experimental. For practical methods, therefore, more research might be required.

Light intensity analysis is the basis for video signal analysis and enables most higher-level interpretations. Even on its own, however, simply detecting changes in the intensity of the light in a fixed area in a video image may illuminate interesting psychophysiological variables. For example, while a plethysmograph reveals changes in volume in a body, typically due to changes in the amount of blood or air contained in that part of the body, photoplethysmography is an optical technique that can be used to detect variations in the intensity of light reflected from tissue that arise from changes in blood flow (Allen, 2007a). For an optimal result, various light intensity parameters should be considered. These depend on the application. For example, pulses in line with heart
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rate seem the most apparent in the green colour channel of a colour camera feed (Sun et al., 2012b).

2D morphological analysis is the analysis of interesting areas or shapes in a 2D image, and it is based on the detection of edges between areas that differ in light intensity. For HCI purposes, the most interesting part of the body is the human face. Face detection and recognition are established research topics, and there are free tools available for these (for example, OpenCV FaceRecognizer\(^9\)). Samal and Iyengar (1992) provide a good description of the process, from face detection all the way to the analysis of facial expressions and the classification of faces. More recently, Zhao et al. (2003) undertook an extensive review of face recognition. In a recent, thorough review, Martinez and Valstar (2016) concentrates on automatic recognition of facial expressions.

3D morphological analysis is a broad category of analysis methods that rely on different optical sensor systems producing data on 3D structures within a sensor’s field of view. For example, in addition to using intensity analysis, one can collect plethysmographic data from a distance by measuring the movement of a body in three-dimensional space with an optical sensor. Even consumer-grade 3D sensors used in gaming may be utilised to measure heart and respiration rate (Bernacchia et al., 2014).

Applications

Novel video technologies and methods of signal processing give rise to interesting applications for observing psychophysiological phenomena. Here we describe two of the most interesting video-based applications for HCI: photoplethysmography and the recognition of facial expressions.

Plethysmographic data can provide basic information on psychophysiology. More thorough description is given in section 3.1. Here we consider using video cameras for PPG, an optical technique that can

\(^9\)See \url{http://docs.opencv.org/2.4/modules/contrib/doc/facerec/facerec_tutorial.html}. 
be utilised to detect changes in blood flow (Allen, 2007a). For example, Sun and colleagues extracted a PPG signal from a video and analysed pulse rate variability (a possible surrogate measure for HRV; see, for example, Gil et al. (2010)) from the palm of the subject’s hand, using a monochrome CMOS camera running at 200 frames per second in 10-bit greyscale (Sun et al., 2012a). Tarassenko et al. (2014) measured both heart and respiration rate in a clinical set-up from a five-megapixel face video with eight bits per pixel, recorded at 12 frames per second. For broader applicability, even low-cost webcams have been demonstrated to function as photoplethysmographic sensors. In another of their studies, Sun et al. (2012b) compared a high-performance camera and a low-cost webcam in normal office lighting. They fixed a high-speed colour CMOS camera and a colour webcam in front of the face, along with a gold-standard pulse oximetry contact sensor on the index finger of the user’s left hand. To ensure that they were measuring light reflected from the skin, the authors manually determined the region of interest (ROI) in each frame of the video signals. For HR detection, they used the green colour channel to analyse changes in the average intensity of the pixels within the ROI, since, especially in the webcam signal, pulsations were most apparent in this particular channel. They concluded that both imaging PPG systems can successfully measure important physiological variables (in their case, HR).

Mental state is high-level information, and identifying and conveying that information is gaining burgeoning interest in HCI research. Knowledge of the user’s mental state could augment not only user interfaces but also remote collaboration, telecommuting, and video conferencing. Facial expressions are an obvious signal as to the mental state, and the recognition of facial expressions is natural (and automatic) for humans; it is an important part of our communication. Both voluntary and involuntary facial actions convey, in particular, emotional information that is otherwise difficult to express – and difficult to conceal in face-to-face interaction (Ekman, 2003). Facial expressions can be categorised as reflecting six canonical emotions in addition to a neutral expression: anger, disgust, fear, happiness, surprise, and sadness (Ekman, 1994). For an excellent review of automatic facial expression
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Figure 3.10: Seven actor poses for emotional expressions, from the Japanese Female Facial Expression (JAFFE) database (Lyons et al., 1998). Clockwise from top left: anger, disgust, fear, happiness, a neutral expression, surprise, and sadness. Although use of posed emotional expressions is a dated technique, such datasets provide a convenient picture of the concepts involved.

recognition, we recommend the work of Martinez and Valstar (2016). Traditionally, the process has begun with databases of portraits of actors mimicking the emotions (for example, as shown in Figure 3.10). A neutral expression is used as a reference for training the algorithm. The core challenges with such a category-based approach are that the emotions each appear relatively rarely and that some expressions may differ in meaning on the basis of context. For example, someone might smile when embarrassed, not just when happy. Another method involves looking at the basic units of muscle activity in the human face, termed ‘action units’, in keeping with the Facial Action Coding System (FACS; see (Ekman and Friesen, 1976)). With the FACS approach, interpretation of mental state can be done at a later stage in the analysis pipeline, with the aid of additional information on the context. A third approach is to represent the mental state on two or more dimensions of affect, such as continua for arousal (ranging from relaxed to aroused) and valence (from pleasant to unpleasant). However, neither action units nor values on the affective dimensions are always detected with the current methods, especially in real-world settings.
Conclusion

The recent progress in video and signal processing methods renders video an interesting alternative to many traditional means of obtaining psychophysiological measurements, in areas such as plethysmography. In addition, video may enable new HCI applications, such as the remote and automatic identification of the mental state. Many of these methods are still in the early phases of development and require more research before they can achieve greater feasibility; however, there is already inexpensive hardware available, and, for many areas of study, excellent-quality free, open-source software tools and libraries exist. It is clear that the use of video in HCI is only just beginning.

3.10 Audio – the human voice

Human voice production originates at the larynx, where air pressure from the lungs causes vibration of the vocal folds, thereby generating a complex but patterned sound source composed of a fundamental frequency and multiple harmonics. This signal is then filtered through the vocal tract airways (oral and nasal cavities). This vocal apparatus produces a complex interactive system capable of generating a wide variety of sounds (Ghazanfar and Rendall, 2008).

Humans have evolved a nonverbal communication system in which, alongside linguistic content, speech carries rich information in the intonation, voice quality, prosody, and rhythmic variation of utterances, allowing listeners to recognise numerous distinct emotional states in the speaker. Several types of factors, from emotions to cognitive load and pathological conditions, affect the functioning of the larynx, or ‘voice box’, whereby the internal state of the speaker causes tightening/relaxation of the vocal folds, which modulates the acoustic and rhythmic components of speech.

Now that computing systems are starting to listen actively to people (as with Google’s ‘Voice’, Microsoft’s ‘Cortana’, and Apple’s ‘Siri’), human speech provides a promising source for online understanding of context and psychophysiological state with measurement that remains minimally intrusive.
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Background

Evaluating stress, affect, and mood on the basis of the human voice is not a new notion. Much of the literature on the effects of psychophysiological states on the acoustics of speech production has its roots in the 1970s, in work on stress and lie detectors in interrogation, military, and aerospace settings. Technologies used in these settings – namely, voice stress analysis, voice risk analysis, and layered voice analysis – use the recorded features, including ‘micro tremors’, in a person’s voice to construct a scorable ‘voice gram’, which is then evaluated by a specialist. While these approaches have been utilised in courtrooms and operator monitoring in demanding work tasks, their reliability has been disputed – for these methods, the most convincing results have been obtained in conditions of extreme stress, such as under threat of injury or great operational risk. The recording environments in such research has possessed heterogeneous acoustic characteristics, and the results and metrics from the relevant studies cannot be cross-evaluated reliably (Hopkins et al., 2005; Harnsberger et al., 2009).

However, even everyday user interaction situations appear to elicit strong enough emotional and stress responses to produce systematic, detectable changes in voice parameters. In the interactive setting of HCI specifically, the psychophysiological states recognised in the literature as having an effect on speech production are cognitive workload, or ‘stress’ (Lively et al., 1993); physical stress (Godin and Hansen, 2015), and various emotional states (El Ayadi et al., 2011).

Methods

Changes in human voice production can be measured with a microphone, in combination with the use of mathematical models to associate acoustic changes with the functioning of the larynx, or through electroglossography, in which the system uses two pairs of electrodes (one pair on either side of the subject’s throat) to measure the variations over time in the degree of contact of the vocal folds during voice production (Kania et al., 2006). When microphones are employed, the approach typically involves inverse-filtering microphone recordings to
Table 3.2: Effects of certain fundamental emotional states on selected acoustic features, compiled from work by Scherer (2003) and Ververidis and Kotropoulos (2006).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Stress</th>
<th>Anger/rage</th>
<th>Fear/panic</th>
<th>Disgust</th>
<th>Sadness</th>
<th>Joy/elation</th>
<th>Boredom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intensity</strong></td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Range</strong></td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F0</strong>&lt;sup&gt;10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Floor/mean</strong></td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variability</strong></td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Range</strong></td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sentence contours</strong></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High-frequency energy</strong></td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Speech and articulation rate</strong></td>
<td>↑&lt;sup&gt;13&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑&lt;sup&gt;14&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration</strong></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>10</sup>Fundamental frequency

<sup>11</sup>According to Ververidis and Kotropoulos (2006), the F0 range among males increases.

<sup>12</sup>Studies do not agree.

<sup>13</sup>According to Scherer (2003), the articulation rate among males decreases.

<sup>14</sup>According to Ververidis and Kotropoulos (2006), the articulation rate among males increases.
model the waveform of the glottal airflow pulses, which, in turn, reflect the movements of the vocal folds (Alku, 2011). There is a downside, however: microphone recordings vary greatly in quality and with respect to noise parameters related to microphone type, environmental factors, and distance from the speaker. Guidelines for selection of microphones suitable for human voice research are provided in a summary by Svec and Granqvist (2010).

Several derived low-level features and combinations thereof have been suggested to be correlated with variations in internal states. Table 3.2, compiled on the basis of work by Ververidis and Kotropoulos (2006) and Scherer (2003), presents the effects of fundamental emotional state on selected features. Typical features derived from speech signals include loudness, fundamental frequency, word and utterance rate (speed), jitter, zero-crossing rate, and frequency ratios. While acoustic features of speech such as pitch, timing, voice quality, and articulation have been shown to correlate highly with underlying emotional activation (low–high), there is no agreement as to how these dimensions correlate with the valence of the emotion (El Ayadi et al., 2011). Instead of direct comparisons involving individual features or combinations of them, modern approaches tend to use machine learning methods to improve detection rates (Zhou et al., 2001).

Applications

While variations between one speaker and the next require calibration and baselines, online calculation of acoustic parameters and spectral measures is relatively easy and robust. In one recent innovation, a set of open-source toolboxes for automated feature extraction and voice analysis has been developed. These include openSMILE – the Munich Versatile and Fast Open-Source Audio Feature Extractor (Eyben et al., 2010) – and AMMON (Affective and Mental Health Monitor) (Chang et al., 2011).

A considerable amount of the recent research in this field has been presented in connection with the Interspeech computational paralinguistic challenges (Schuller et al., 2015). Since 2009, these challenges have called for methods of evaluating speech for the detection
of age, gender, affect and emotional parameters, personality, likeability, pathologies and diseases, social signals, signs of conflict, cognitive load, and physical demands. For an extensive list of examples of computational solutions for the paralinguistic detection of cognitive and emotional states, the reader is directed to the Interspeech repository available online at http://compare.openaudio.eu/.

Conclusion

For the interactive setting that is the focus of our attention, analysing the acoustics of speech production offers a non-intrusive online metric for gauging the internal state of the user. There is considerable potential on account of the pervasiveness and unobtrusive nature of the method. The classification performance of automated solutions is beginning to reach an acceptable level of sensitivity and reliability, at least upon user-specific calibration to accommodate the effects of differences in languages and dialects, individual-to-individual differences in speech production, and variations in stress and affective responses.

Another source of motivation for significant improvements in both recognising and producing natural paralinguistic cues associated with empathetic responses arises from future needs related to affective computing, robotics, and artificial conversation partners in general. These technologies necessitate naturalistic input and output down to the smallest detail in order to escape the ‘uncanny valley’ (Mori et al., 2012).

3.11 Multimodal signal classification

Having considered 10 individual types of signal source, we can now discuss their use in combination. Signals obtained from multiple sources (physiological and behavioural) can be combined and analysed collectively for determining the state of a user (or, in some cases, multiple users). Performing cognitive or affective state assessment by means of multiple signals is the focus of this section and the next. We begin by considering the theory of data fusion in general, especially when the
fusion is performed offline (i.e., post-recording), then devote the final subsection to online data fusion.

Increasing the number of signals analysed has the potential of providing more accurate estimates; whereas data collected from a single source could lead to conflicting interpretations, using data from multiple sources in combination aids in disambiguating cognitive or affective state. In the case of the widely known two-dimensional model of affect (Russell, 1980) (a simplified version of which was depicted in Figure 2.1), a moderate increase in arousal could be caused by the subject experiencing either fear or annoyance. For the purpose of our example, we assume that this increase in arousal is unequivocally measured via EDA (discussed in section 3.2). Integrating EDA with additional measurement (such as facial recognition) can assist in discriminating which of the two emotions the user was actually experiencing; for instance, the vertical position of the eyebrows is often higher when one is experiencing fear rather than annoyance. Similarly, when facial recognition alone does not provide enough information to detect the emotion unambiguously, measuring arousal (from EDA or other signals) could aid in correctly classifying less clear-cut cases. For example, Bailenson et al. (2008) found males to be less facially expressive than females when experiencing sadness. Accordingly, including additional physiological signals in their classifier (such as EDA and ECG) increased the probability of correctly detecting sadness for males. Mandryk and Atkins (2007) presented an interesting approach, using a fuzzy classifier based on ECG, EDA, and facial EMG, to classify both arousal and valence of participants playing a popular computer game.

Novak et al. (2012) and Lisetti and Nasoz (2004) discuss general strategies that can be employed in the design and implementation of multimodal systems. Inspired by these works, we describe here a generic schema for detecting affective and cognitive state in the types of multimodal systems discussed in this part of the primer; see Figure 3.11. As this figure shows, stimuli are associated with some cognitive/affective state(s) in the first step, either through the use of validated stimuli (e.g., the International Affective Picture System) or via subjective labelling of each stimulus after perception (for instance, a questionnaire can be used to evaluate a recording of the experience). Metrics are
computed from their respective signals. Then, a classifier is trained to associate the data obtained with specific affective states on the basis of the stimulus classification performed earlier. ‘Optional’ procedures, shown in dotted boxes in the figure, may be applied at this stage. Such optional procedures may increase classification accuracy. For example, one may include a user model based on population-level inferences or (non-physiological) contextual information – such as time of day. Finally, reverse inference is performed to associate a particular set of data with a single specific state or with a range of states; probabilities are used to identify those states that are more likely to be associated with the observed data.

Multimodal systems can be useful when data from a given channel are missing, a situation that can normally be expected to arise when data are recorded outside research laboratories. For example, in the research by Wagner et al. (2011a), missing data were handled by means of a naïve Bayes classifier and three modalities: voice (audio), facial recognition (video), and gestures (accelerometer).

**Determination of affective and cognitive state**

Several studies have considered fusing information from multiple signals in order to assess mental workload. In a recent study, Hogervorst et al. (2014) noted that the use of multiple physiological signals is expected to enhance estimation of mental workload if the chosen signals represent separate aspects of workload. They extracted features from EEG, ECG, skin conductance, respiration, pupil size, and eye blinks, using these as inputs for both support vector machine (SVM) and elastic net classifiers. They achieved a high classification accuracy in two-level workload determination.

Cognitive state can be estimated also through a combination of a single physiological signal and a non-physiological source – Mühl et al. (2014), for example, used an LDA classifier to classify mental workload, combining EEG and mood (measured via self-reporting). Fusing of multiple features from the same signal has been undertaken, for instance, by Brouwer et al. (2012), who used features derived from EEG as inputs to an SVM classifier. They concluded that models using
both EEG and ERP features – i.e., fusion models – worked better for mental workload classification using short data segments.

Given that learning can be facilitated by an optimal level of arousal (Baldi and Bucherelli, 2005; Sage and Bennett, 1973), Cowley and Ravaja (2014) showed that the interaction of EDA and EEG features predicted learning outcomes in a game-like task wherein individual signals alone were uninformative.

A study by Wilson and Russell (2003b) applied a combination of 85 EEG frequency power features, inter-beat interval, respiration rate,
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and electro-oculogram data from air traffic controllers. These 88 features were classified via an artificial neural network (ANN), achieving very high accuracy in two-class mental workload classification. Similar performance was achieved in classification of mental workload on a three-level scale instead (Wilson and Russell, 2003c). The same set of signals were used by Wilson and Russell (2007) in an adaptive-aiding system.

Applications

Multimodal systems capable of interpreting physiological signals in realistic HCI applications have been implemented with some success, especially in the last 10 years. We will discuss the most relevant applied work here.

Healey and Picard (2005) examined drivers’ stress levels (referring to distress, or negative stress; see Table 4.1) via four modalities: ECG, EMG, EDA, and respiration. Using Fisher’s discriminant analysis, they reported an accuracy of 97.4%. This relatively early research focused only on assessing the feasibility of correctly classifying three levels of stress. Malta et al. (2011) conducted a similar study, in which drivers’ frustration was measured during actual (non-simulated) driving. Using a Bayesian network, they classified specific time segments in two classes (frustration-present vs. frustration-absent). They achieved, for the most part, 80% hit and 9% false positive rates, with the rates depending on the data fed to the classifier. Interestingly, the most accurate predictions were obtained when contextual information, such as traffic density, was provided to the classifier along with physiological information.

Pavlidis et al. (2007) utilised thermal cameras to measure multiple signals. Although only a single sensor was employed technically (the camera’s thermal sensor), they effectively measured blood flow, cardiac pulse, and breathing rate. These metrics were used to compute a general level of negative stress, by means of a model of data fusion developed specifically for this application. The researchers reported good accuracy in detecting the actual stress levels ($r = 0.91$, Pearson correlation), with the exception of one outlier. They proposed two applications, desktop
computer monitoring and sleep analysis, in both of which a stress level was computed for the current user, who was then alerted in the event that a specific threshold was exceeded. It should be noted, though, that the desktop computer test was carried out in the form of a laboratory experiment (a variant of the Stroop Test) (Pavlidis et al., 2007).

In other work, a biometric mouse and finger sensor (intended for desktop computer users) were used to measure EDA, skin temperature, heart rate, and touch intensity, in conjunction with behavioural data (such as mouse movements), for development of a recommender system that was tested in real-world working conditions over a span of four years (Kaklauskas et al., 2011). The recommender system was capable of detecting stress and anxiety. It then presented individual users with suggestions for managing their work environment, on demand. Similar research involved a multimodal system employing EEG, ECG, and EMG, which was tested by CAD engineers. The system classified four emotions (frustration, challenge, engagement, and satisfaction) in users carrying out CAD work (so that, for example, one could detect designs developed amid frustration, which might display errors in judgement). However, small sample sizes prevented statistically significant findings (Liu et al., 2013). In other applications, it was noted that less expert users might benefit from physiological integration, as in a system that utilises gaze, facial recognition, and speech recognition in order to predict user intentions. However, while welcomed by non-experts, a system of this nature (Maat and Pantic, 2007) was met with dissatisfaction by more experienced users.

Measurement of physiology from multiple sources has not been limited to single-user desktop computer usage. It has been carried out in more social settings also, such as at meetings or on public speaking occasions. For example, stress-related arousal has been measured ‘in the wild’ through a combination of EDA, ECG, and motion sensors (though the system was tested with only a small number of participants) (Kusserow et al., 2013). This creates the possibility of analysing one’s own data during a public speaking event, so that any performance problems due to distress can be identified and, possibly, be avoided in
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the future. In addition, observer stress, the stress experienced by a person observing a meeting or group event, has been measured by means of thermal cameras, EDA, and EEG (Sharma and Gedeon, 2014). In the same study, observer stress was measured during an interview event (with the interview being designed to induce observer stress at specific moments). With genetic algorithms and support vector machines, the presence (or absence) of observer stress was detectable with an accuracy of 98%. In a similar context, a more laboratory-oriented setting (which used virtual humans as ‘presenters’) utilised video cameras (for facial recognition), eye tracking, and audio signals to measure observer interest in a given topic (Schuller et al., 2009). That study demonstrated that a virtual agent was capable of detecting loss of the user’s interest and of switching topic accordingly. Although the frequent change of topic might have had an adverse impact on user understanding, the system was capable of correctly detecting changes in user interest (with a cross-correlation value of 0.72, using support vector regression).

Challenges

As are all the other methods mentioned, multimodal methods are affected by high noise levels at the receiving end. The ‘bleeding-edge’ nature of the technologies employed implies that signal quality can vary greatly across devices. Moreover, the interference typical of body-based measurements (such as adverse effects of muscle movements on EEG) complicates processing of the signals obtained. In general, this means that ‘one size fits all’ measurements are infeasible, so user- and environment-based customisations are required for obtaining satisfactory signal quality, especially when physiology is being measured outside well-controlled laboratory settings (Hong and Dey, 2014).

If they are to be effective, multimodal measurements would benefit from an overarching theory of emotion. Although some approaches pointing in this direction have been put forward (Conati and Maclaren, 2009; Peter and Herbon, 2006; Gunes and Schuller, 2013; Gunes and Pantic, 2010), consensus is still lacking, as the nature of emotions is not fully understood. Moreover, a lack of interdisciplinary work is evident in
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this field: applications devised within one community are rarely shared
with other communities (for example, an HCI experiment may not
demonstrate strong enough correlations between the data and specific
events to satisfy the demands of psychological research, while psycho-
logical research is usually too theoretically oriented for HCI applica-
tions) (Lopatovska and Arapakis, 2011).

The absence of consensus with regard to theories of emotion might
also explain why multimodal methods generally manifest only modest
improvements over single-sensor settings (D’Mello and Kory, 2012). Often,
multimodal systems assume co-ordinated bodily responses to
a single emotion, which might not occur in reality. Since multimodal
measurements demand more computing power, it is important to ver-
ify that multiple theories of emotion are considered while the work
is still in the development stage, so as to avoid the creation of a
highly complex system that yields minimal gains over a single-sensor-
based system. That said, modest gains are still welcome, provided
that the increase in computation time is not unmanageable. Moreover,
development of novel systems that take current theories of emotion
into account could lead to new insights into the nature and phys-
iology of emotions themselves (e.g., in the case of unexpected find-
ings).

Conclusion

Most of the multimodal applications described here, especially in the
context of HCI, have focused on detection of (negative) stress, possibly
because stress is often identified as the most negative – yet measurable
– factor that can arise in working environments. Applications in this
area have found some success, although they rarely address use cases
beyond user self-assessment. Multimodal applications that constitute
attempts to detect other cognitive or affective states, such as interest,
intention, or emotion, do exist but are only in the early stages of their
development. For that reason, research in this connection is currently
focused on improving classification accuracies rather than on presenting
effective use cases.
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It appears that research into multimodal physiological systems would benefit from more interdisciplinary work focused on finding common ground between the fields of psychology and human–computer interaction, so that advanced systems, capable of detecting user states with greater accuracy, can be developed. Tools that should aid in the implementation of advanced, online data-fusion systems are discussed in the final section.

3.12 Solutions for online data processing and fusion

We have covered a wide range of individual biosensors and areas of application for them, and, with the preceding section, we began to discuss signal fusion. While we have thereby looked at it from a theoretical perspective, we have not yet addressed the topic of how to perform these fusion operations (feature extraction and classification) involving multiple signal sources in real time while leveraging modern stream processing methods. Therefore, the final subsection focuses on the implementation and technical aspects of real-time stream processing systems for the online extraction and fusion of indices from streaming biosignals. The calculation of user indices from one or more signals is often realised by means of machine learning techniques such as a classifier. We address the integration of these indices into various applications also, by listing currently available hardware and software solutions.

An overview of stream processing systems

Real-time data fusion requires a tool for combining multiple physiological signal streams and performing online extraction of features from raw signal data. Typical database management systems do not perform this task well, as the databases must be updated constantly for incoming data and the relevant operations tend to be slow. Also, long-term data storage is not required in most applications, since short fixed-length buffers cover the time ranges presented in Table 2.2. Systems that take these factors into consideration are generally referred to as stream processing systems (SPSs). Their origins lie in the need for
real-time processing of high-velocity and high-volume data. Example applications can be found in the field of data-mining: fraud detection, stock market analysis, and manufacture monitoring. Although dated, the most comprehensive survey of generic stream processing systems can be found in Stephens (1997). Most existing stream processing systems are generic; i.e., they can be configured to process various kinds of data. The most prominent examples of this type of SPS are AURORA (Abadi et al., 2003) and its continuation project BOREALIS (Abadi et al., 2005). The downside of a generic SPS is the overheads required for configuring the system for a specific task. For this reason, specialised, task-specific SPSs designed to deal with particular data types have been developed. Examples of task-specific SPSs used for physiological data include brain–computer interfaces and body area networks (see Chen et al. (2011)).

Stream processing systems are made up of three basic components, often termed sources, filters, and sinks (Stephens, 1997), although the naming conventions employed in the literature vary. For the sake of clarity, these components are referred to here as sensors, processing elements, and clients, for consistency with the rest of the review; these names match the terms introduced in Table 4.1. Stream processing systems are often represented as directed graphs comprising the three above-mentioned components. Two examples of SPS architectures, with different scales, are shown in Figure 3.12.

**Sensor** Broadly speaking, one can define a sensor as an instrument used to measure some form of signal from a subject. In addition to the sensor element itself, this definition encompasses the interface and the protocol for transforming the incoming data into a format understood by the SPS. Sensor protocols include vendor-specific proprietary formats and APIs but also more open protocols such as the lab streaming layer\textsuperscript{15} (LSL) (Kothe, 2013). Most methods employed for recording physiology have some sensor instrument that can be implemented as part of an SPS.

\textsuperscript{15}See https://github.com/sccn/labstreaminglayer.
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Figure 3.12: Two example topographies for stream processing systems.

**Processing elements** Processing elements are the main computational units of an SPS. They can have multiple functions, but at the very minimum they perform some operation on incoming data. If the SPS is distributed in nature, the processing elements can be implemented on separate hardware, which provides for load balancing throughout the system. This is beneficial, as processing of signals with high sampling rates and high channel counts can be computationally intensive. Processing elements may also have internal buffers for the short-term storage of data.

**Clients** The term ‘client’ describes any external application utilising information produced by the SPS. Clients can be considered end points (or sinks) in the SPS workflow. Some BCI frameworks consider the user of the system to be part of the client component.

In addition to the basic components of an SPS, there are various properties that are intrinsic to most such systems. These include scalability, distributed processing, load management, fault tolerance, latency management, and service discovery. Scalability refers to the ability to
extend the system to include more sensors and processing elements (scaling methods suitable for very large systems are discussed by Jain et al. (2006)). In distributed processing, different parts of the system can run on different hardware, possibly even in different (geographical) locations. Optimisation strategies for distributed SPSs are presented by Golab and Özsu (2003). The SPS must also apply some method of controlling multiple concurrent queries from multiple clients. The usual implementation is some form of load balancer (for details of various load management methods and fault tolerance, see Abadi et al. (2003, 2005)). Since the system is composed of multiple, distributed parts, latency management is important; that is, it must control or monitor the latency between components. Various methods for achieving low-latency real-time operation exist in both the Aurora and the S4 (Neumeyer et al., 2010) system. Finally, to enable communication between different system components, automated discovery of various sources and processing elements should be part of the system. For instance, metadata-based identification and discovery of system components were used by Aberer et al. (2007).

When one is fusing information from multiple signals for classification, it is important to consider the different time scales at which the signals operate too, as is noted by Hogervorst et al. (2014). The robustness of index determination over time, as discussed by, for example, Estepp and Christensen (2011), is an important issue, since the performance of the classifier degrades as time since calibration increases. Therefore, an online system should preferably incorporate some kind of automatic continuous calibration procedure. Further design considerations, requirements, and guidelines for implementing SPSs are presented by Balazinska (2005); Stonebraker et al. (2005); Cherniack et al. (2003).

**Software solutions for online analysis of signals**

Several stream processing software solutions exist that are capable of gleaning knowledge about a user’s cognitive state from biosignals. The various stream processing systems intended for psychophysiology are all similar in design, possessing the elements outlined in the previous
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section. There are, however, some differences with regard to what types of signals the tools primarily support and what constitutes their end-use purpose. We will briefly review some of the available stream processing systems intended for physiological data. The software covered in this section is presented in Table 3.3.

**Table 3.3: Existing stream processing systems for physiological data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Software</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>URL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSI</td>
<td>Wagner et al. (2011b)</td>
<td><a href="http://hcm-lab.de/projects/ssi/">http://hcm-lab.de/projects/ssi/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyrm</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/bbci/wyrm">https://github.com/bbci/wyrm</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIDAS</td>
<td>Henelius and Torniainen (2015)</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/bwrc/midas">https://github.com/bwrc/midas</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most of the SPS software packages available for physiological data are BCI and biofeedback frameworks. The BCI2000 package, for instance, is a full software solution tailored for BCI research. The design of BCI2000 is modular, cross-platform, and able to be extended with C++. OpenViBE is another cross-platform BCI solution. It is intended for biofeedback and neurofeedback and can be extended through C++ but also supports Python/Lua scripting. The SSI solution is a more versatile framework, suitable for processing a wide range of signals, from biosignals to video and audio. It includes functionality for feature extraction and classification. Extending SSI is handled with C++. A BCI toolbox for MATLAB, BCILAB utilises the lab streaming layer protocol and provides a vast number of functions for analysing and classifying brain signals. Finally, the Python-based Wyrm is a more programming-oriented approach for implementing BCI set-ups.

Recently, the MIDAS framework, developed for the online processing of signals, was introduced (by the authors of this section). The goal with MIDAS is to provide a cross-platform, generic framework that is easy to use and extend. While the MIDAS solution utilises LSL for
signal input, it provides only the framework for constructing modular and distributed analysis systems. In other words, MIDAS contains only the building blocks for an SPS, and it is up to the user to implement the necessary analysis modules. The MIDAS framework is written entirely in Python and can be easily extended. Communication with clients takes place over a REST API. This makes it easy to integrate psychophysiological indices extracted online by means of MIDAS with other applications.

It should be noted that BCI2000, OpenViBE, BCILAB, and SSI all can be set up and used without programming, since they include user-friendly tools for constructing workflows for BCI experiments. One key philosophy behind these software packages is to enable non-programmers to implement BCI/neurofeedback systems. In contrast, BCI systems implemented with the Wyrm toolbox or MIDAS require more programming and technical understanding.

MIDAS and BCI2000 are the only stream processing systems reviewed here that support a distributed design. This attribute can be useful in processing tasks that involve multiple input signals and large volumes of data.

**Hardware solutions for integrated online feature extraction**

In addition to software solutions for real-time signal fusion, there are dedicated hardware solutions for monitoring various aspects of a user’s cognitive state; see Table 3.4.

For instance, the LifeShirt system is used to monitor the physiological signals (such as heart and respiration rate) of firefighters (Coca et al., 2009). Another example of hardware-based stream processing systems is the BioHarness 3, from Zephyr. Affectiva (founded by affective computing researcher Rosalind Picard) develops systems for online emotion recognition, especially using webcams (such as one called Affdex). Details of an interesting solution for monitoring heart rate (another application that uses a webcam) have recently been published (Poh et al., 2010).

Hardware solutions for monitoring cognitive states by means of EEG have been developed by Quasar and B-Alert. In addition, several
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Table 3.4: Examples of hardware that performs online signal analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product and producer</th>
<th>Reference and/or URL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LifeShirt</td>
<td>Coca et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://vivonoetics.com/products/sensors/lifeshirt">http://vivonoetics.com/products/sensors/lifeshirt</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affectiva</td>
<td><a href="http://www.affectiva.com/">http://www.affectiva.com/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quasar</td>
<td><a href="http://www.quasarusa.com/technology_applications.htm">http://www.quasarusa.com/technology_applications.htm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-Alert</td>
<td><a href="http://www.advancedbrainmonitoring.com/eeg-based-metrics">http://www.advancedbrainmonitoring.com/eeg-based-metrics</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIN CO., JINS-MEME</td>
<td><a href="http://jins-meme.com/">http://jins-meme.com/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optalert</td>
<td><a href="http://www.optalert.com/">http://www.optalert.com/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LumeWay</td>
<td><a href="http://www.lumeway.com/">http://www.lumeway.com/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bitalino-board</td>
<td>Guerreiro et al. (2013), <a href="http://www.bitalino.com/">http://www.bitalino.com/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

solutions are available for tracking fatigue on the basis of eye tracking. Examples include JINS-MEME, Optalert, and LumeWay.

Alongside application-specific products, there are platforms that allow a do-it-yourself approach to fusion of multiple biosensors. At the time of writing, the most noteworthy examples are the Bitalino-board (Guerreiro et al., 2013) and the e-Health Sensor Platform. Both feature numerous sensors (for EMG, EDA, BP, temperature, etc.) that can be connected to low-level microcontroller devices (e.g., an Arduino) or more high-level devices with compatible input/output connections (e.g., a Raspberry Pi). Both sets are highly configurable, with the trade-off that some expertise is required for building and operating systems on these platforms.

Conclusion

The primary goal with online processing in HCI is to allow index determination to be used as a control signal for other components. Fusing information from multiple biosignals makes it possible to utilise complementary information and hence increase the robustness of the system.
Achieving data fusion in real time is a challenging and computationally intensive task. However, that task can be addressed by utilising stream processing systems, such as those we have reviewed here.
The 12 sections above on the state of the art have brought us to the point of understanding the nature of and application possibilities for a broad range of psychophysiological signals and topics. For continuing from this point, advice on practical application is given in sections 4.1 and 4.2. Before that, we present a reference to psychophysiological indices in HCI, in Table 4.1, for readers to be thoroughly prepared to plan their psychophysiological work with the aid of later sections.

Chapter 3 considered many examples of how one or more physiological signals can be used to index a psychological variable, a cognitive state, or an affect. Often, indices are more reliable and/or interpretable when additional variables are used to model the context, such as user behaviour in a computer interface. Table 4.1 is a synthesis covering several studies that have classified each index, with the relevant section describing the work done (where applicable). This general reference should assist the reader in performing a targeted literature review when working with one or more indices.
Table 4.1: Detailed list of indices. The indices are named in column 1 (in alphabetical order), with brief notes on classes of the classifier target variable (in brackets, only where available). Columns 2 and 3 show signals and metrics associated with the relevant index, as specified in the source literature, which is presented in column 4. Arrows indicate whether an increase (↗) or decrease (↘) in value for a given metric was correlated to its associated index (as observed in the source material).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Assoc. Signals</th>
<th>Assoc. Metrics</th>
<th>References</th>
<th>Sect.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arousal (or activation)</td>
<td>EDA</td>
<td>SCL, SCR</td>
<td>(O’Connell et al., 2008)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>EEG-GAMMA</td>
<td>(Merker, 2013)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPEECH</td>
<td></td>
<td>SP-INT, SP-F0, SP-RATE, SP-DUR</td>
<td>(El Ayadi et al., 2011)</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EDA, RESP, ECG, EEG</td>
<td>SCL, RR, HR, EEG-BETA, EEG-THETA, EEG-ALPHA</td>
<td>(Wu et al., 2010)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arousal (emotional)</td>
<td>EDA</td>
<td>SCR</td>
<td>(Khalfa et al., 2002)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation (alertness against sleep deprivation)</td>
<td>VOG</td>
<td>SACC-VEL</td>
<td>(Thomas and Russo, 2007)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agitation</td>
<td>ECG, EDA, (TEMP)</td>
<td>HR, HRV, SCL, (TEMP-L)</td>
<td>(Sakr et al., 2010)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amusement</td>
<td>ECG, EDA, RESP</td>
<td>HR, RR, SCL</td>
<td>(Levillain et al., 2010)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index</td>
<td>Assoc. Signals</td>
<td>Assoc. Metrics</td>
<td>References</td>
<td>Sect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>PPG, ECG, EDA, EMG,</td>
<td>BP, HR, PEP, SCL, SCR,</td>
<td>(Liu et al., 2009; Blechert et al., 2006)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RESP, (CO2), (ACL),</td>
<td>SCL, EMG-CS, EMG-ZM,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ICG), (HSOUND)</td>
<td>EMG-TR, RR, Vt, SIGH,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ACT, PA, HRV, TWA,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PTT, (TEMP-L), (Ti/Tt),</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Vi), (RA),</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(pCO2), (DAUB)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention (including</td>
<td>VOG, EOG</td>
<td>FIX-FREQ ↗</td>
<td>(Tsai et al., 2007)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>focus)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VOG, EOG</td>
<td>SACC-FREQ ↘, FIX-DUR ↗</td>
<td>(Dehais et al., 2012)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VOG</td>
<td>GAZE-DWELL ↗</td>
<td>(Chang and Choi, 2014)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention (level of</td>
<td>VID</td>
<td>EXPR</td>
<td>(Yassin et al., 2006)</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interest)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention (inhibitory,</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>EEG-DELTA ↗</td>
<td>(Harmony, 2013)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>widespread)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention (pacemaker,</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>EEG-ALPHA</td>
<td>(Başar, 2012)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>timing, suppressing)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boredom</td>
<td>ECG, EDA</td>
<td>HR ↗</td>
<td>(Giaikounis et al., 2011)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive load</td>
<td>ECG, PPG</td>
<td>HR ↗</td>
<td>(Henélius et al., 2009)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VOG</td>
<td>PS (TEPR) ↗</td>
<td>(Beatty, 1982)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VOG</td>
<td>GAZE-PATHAREA ↘</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2014)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VOG</td>
<td>SACC-INTR ↗</td>
<td>(Tokuda et al., 2011)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index</td>
<td>Assoc. Signals</td>
<td>Assoc. Metrics</td>
<td>References</td>
<td>Sect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOG</td>
<td>SACC-PV ↗</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Bodala et al., 2014)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPEECH</td>
<td>SP-INT ↗,</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Lively et al., 1993)</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP-AMP-VAR ↘,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP-F0 ↗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG, EDA, RESP, (TEMP), (PRS)</td>
<td>HR, HRV, SCL, SCR, RR, ACT, (TEMP-L)</td>
<td>(Novak et al., 2011)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG, EOG, EEG</td>
<td>HR, BLINK-R, BLINK-D, EEG-ALPHA, EEG-DELTA, EEG-THETA, EEG-BETA, EEG-GAMMA</td>
<td>(Wilson and Russell, 2003a)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDA, RESP, ECG, (TEMP), (PRS)</td>
<td>SCL, RR, HR, HRV, ACT, (TEMP-L)</td>
<td>(Koenig et al., 2011)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG, PPG</td>
<td>HRV ↘, PRV ↘</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Aasman et al., 1987; Yoo and Lee, 2011)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>EEG, EOG, EMG</td>
<td>BLINK-C ↗,</td>
<td>(Papadelis et al., 2007)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEG-DELTA ↗,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEG-ALPHA ↗,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEG-BETA,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEG-GAMMA, EMG-MS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOG</td>
<td>BLINK-C ↗</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Ingre et al., 2006)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.1: Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Assoc. Signals</th>
<th>Assoc. Metrics</th>
<th>References</th>
<th>Sect.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EOG</td>
<td></td>
<td>BLINK-C↗,</td>
<td>(Morris and Miller, 1996)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BLINK-M↘</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOG, EOG</td>
<td></td>
<td>BLINK-R↗</td>
<td>(Barbato et al., 1995; Khushaba et al., 2011)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG, EDA, RESP, VID</td>
<td>HRV, SCL, RR, ACT</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Rigas et al., 2011)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOG, EOG</td>
<td></td>
<td>SACC-FREQ</td>
<td>(Wright and McGown, 2001; Hyoki et al., 1998)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOG</td>
<td></td>
<td>SACC-VEL↘</td>
<td>(Morris and Miller, 1996; Hyoki et al., 1998; Thomas and Russo, 2007)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frustration</td>
<td>VOG, VID, EDA, (PRS)</td>
<td>EXPR, ACT, SCL, POST</td>
<td>(Kapoor et al., 2007)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information processing (affective)</td>
<td>VOG</td>
<td>PS (TEPR)</td>
<td>(Partala and Surakka, 2003)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momentary processing capacity</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>ERP-P3↗</td>
<td>(Kok, 2001)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement readiness</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>ERP-CNV</td>
<td>(Boehm et al., 2014)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naturalness of behaviour (based on observer’s data)</td>
<td>ECG, PPG, RESP</td>
<td>HR, HRV, BVP, RR, SCL, (RRV)</td>
<td>(Mohammad and Nishida, 2010)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical stress</td>
<td>SPEECH</td>
<td>SP-NAQ, SP-HRF, SP-F0↗</td>
<td>(Godin and Hansen, 2015)</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>VOG</td>
<td>PS (TEPR)</td>
<td>(Pedrotti et al., 2014)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index</td>
<td>Assoc. Signals</td>
<td>Assoc. Metrics</td>
<td>References</td>
<td>Sect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPEECH</td>
<td>SP-TEO</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Zhou et al., 2001)</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPG, RESP, EDA, ECG, SCL, SCR, PS, BVP, BP, HR, RR, HRV, RSA, EMG-TR, (Ti/Tt), (Vi), (TEMP-L), (RRV)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Zhai and Barreto, 2006; Bonarini et al., 2008; Healey and Picard, 2005; Plarre et al., 2011; Setz et al., 2010b)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG, PPG</td>
<td>HRV ↘, PRV ↘</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Aasman et al., 1987; Yoo and Lee, 2011)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phobic distress</td>
<td>RESP, ECG, EDA, (TEMP), (CO2)</td>
<td>HR, SCL, SCR, RR, Vt, SIGH, (pCO2), (TEMP-L), (Ti/Tt), (Vi), (RA), (VtR)</td>
<td>(Alpers et al., 2005)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleepiness</td>
<td>EOG</td>
<td>SACC-PV ↘</td>
<td>(Hirvonen et al., 2010)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VOG, EOG</td>
<td>SACC-FREQ</td>
<td>(Wright and McGown, 2001; Hyoki et al., 1998)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VOG</td>
<td>SACC-VEL ↘</td>
<td>(Morris and Miller, 1996; Hyoki et al., 1998; Thomas and Russo, 2007)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valence</td>
<td>EMG</td>
<td>EMG-CS, EMG-ZM, EMG-OO</td>
<td>(Ravaja, 2004)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EMG, EDA, PPG</td>
<td>HR, SCL, BVP</td>
<td>(Leon et al., 2007)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.1: Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Assoc. Signals</th>
<th>Assoc. Metrics</th>
<th>References</th>
<th>Sect.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>HRV</td>
<td>(Gruber et al., 2015)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>EEG-DELTA,</td>
<td>(Güntekin and Başar, 2014)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEG-THETA,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEG-BETA,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEG-GAMMA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EMG, RESP, EDA,</td>
<td>EMG-NE, RR, SCL,BVP,</td>
<td>(Kim, 2007; Chanel et al., 2011; Kim and André, 2008; Chanel et al., 2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPG, SPEECH, EEG,</td>
<td>SP-SOUND,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ECG, (TEMP)</td>
<td>EEG-ALPHA,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEG-THETA,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEG-BETA, EEG-MI,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCR, BVP, BP, HR, HRV,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(RRV), (TEMP-V), (RA),</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(TEMP-L), (EEG-TFT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotions (neutral,</td>
<td>ECG, EMG, EOG, EDA,</td>
<td>HR, EMG-ZM, BLINK-R,</td>
<td>(Setz et al., 2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RESP, (TEMP)</td>
<td>SCL, SCR, (TEMP-L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotions (amusement, defection, anger,</td>
<td>ECG, EDA, (TEMP)</td>
<td>HR, SCL, (TEMP-L)</td>
<td>(Nasoz et al., 2004; Lisetti and Nasoz, 2004)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotions (anger, fear,</td>
<td>RESP, ECG</td>
<td>RR, RSA, HRV</td>
<td>(Rainville et al., 2006)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotions (esp. positive vs. negative)</td>
<td>RESP</td>
<td>Ti, Te, (Ti/Tt), (Re/Vt), (Tt), (V)</td>
<td>(Boiten, 1998)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index</td>
<td>Assoc. Signals</td>
<td>Assoc. Metrics</td>
<td>References</td>
<td>Sect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotions (neutral, fear, sadness)</td>
<td>ECG, RESP, EDA, EMG, (PRS), (ICG), (CO2), (TEMP)</td>
<td>TWA, HR, HRV, PEP, BP, PA, PTT, SCL, SCR, RR, Vt, RSA, PEP, ACT, BLINK-M, EMG-CS, EMG-ZM, (HSV), (VtR), (Ti/Tt), (Vi), (pCO2), (TEMP-L)</td>
<td>(Kreibig et al., 2007; Kolodyazhniy et al., 2011)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotions (happiness, disgust, fear)</td>
<td>EMG, ECG, RESP, EDA</td>
<td>EMG-FR, EMG-NA, HR, RR, SCL, SCR</td>
<td>(Rigas et al., 2007)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotions (joy, anger, pleasure, sadness)</td>
<td>RESP, EDA, ECG</td>
<td>RR, SCL, HR, (RA)</td>
<td>(Wagner et al., 2005)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotions (neutral, anger, fear, sadness, frustration)</td>
<td>ECG, EDA, (TEMP)</td>
<td>HR, SCL, (TEMP-L)</td>
<td>(Lisetti et al., 2003)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotions (neutral, anger, hate, grief, platonic love, romantic love, joy, reverence)</td>
<td>EMG, PPG, EDA, RESP, ECG, EMG, EDA, (SMM)</td>
<td>EMG-MS, BP, SCL, RR, HR</td>
<td>(Picard et al., 2001; Calvo et al., 2009; Christie and Friedman, 2004)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotions (neutral, joy, angry, surprise, fear, sadness, disgust)</td>
<td>VID</td>
<td>EXPR</td>
<td>(Shan et al., 2009)</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotions (neutral, sadness, amusement)</td>
<td>VID, ECG, EDA, (TEMP), (PRS)</td>
<td>EXPR, HR, BP, PEP, PA, PTT, SCL, ACT, (TEMP-L)</td>
<td>(Bailenson et al., 2008)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotions (sadness, anger, stress, surprise)</td>
<td>ECG, EDA, (TEMP)</td>
<td>HR, HRV, SCL, SCR, (TEMP-V)</td>
<td>(Kim et al., 2004)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index</td>
<td>Assoc. Signals</td>
<td>Assoc. Metrics</td>
<td>References</td>
<td>Sect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-level cognitive mental states (agreement, concentrating, disagreement, interested, thinking, unsure)</td>
<td>VID</td>
<td>EXPR</td>
<td>(El Kaliouby and Robinson, 2004)</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task response (engagement, anxiety, boredom, frustration, anger)</td>
<td>ECG, EDA, EMG, (TEMP), (HSOUND)</td>
<td>PEP, HR, BP, SCL, SCR, EMG-CS, EMG-ZM, EMG-TR, BLINK-R, (TEMP-L), (TEMP-V), (DAUB)</td>
<td>(Rani et al., 2006)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task response (engagement, confusion, boredom, hopefulness)</td>
<td>EEG, ECG, PPG, EDA</td>
<td>EEG-ALPHA, EEG-DELTA, EEG-THETA, EEG-BETA, EEG-GAMMA, HR, BP, SCL</td>
<td>(Shen et al., 2009)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task response (engagement, enjoyment, entertainment)</td>
<td>EDA, PPG, ECG, RESP, (TEMP)</td>
<td>SCL, HR, BVP, BP, HR, RR, (TEMP-L)</td>
<td>(Yannakakis et al., 2010; Tognetti et al., 2010)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task response (neutral, high stress, low stress, disappointment, euphoria)</td>
<td>EMG, RESP, EDA, ECG</td>
<td>HR, RR, SCL, SCR, (additional EDA metrics)</td>
<td>(Katsis et al., 2006, 2008)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.1: Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Assoc. Signals</th>
<th>Assoc. Metrics</th>
<th>References</th>
<th>Sect.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Task response (liking, anxiety, engagement)</td>
<td>ECG, PPG, EDA, EMG, (TEMP), (HSOUND), (ICG)</td>
<td>HR, PTT, SCL, SCR, EMG-TR, EMG-ZM, EMG-CS, BLINK-D, BLINK-R, (DAUB)</td>
<td>(Liu et al., 2008)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task response (neutrality, panic or fear, frustration or anger, boredom)</td>
<td>EDA, ECG, EMG, PPG, (PRS)</td>
<td>SCL, BP, EMG-CS, EMG-ZM, EMG-FR, HR, (SENT)</td>
<td>(Nasog et al., 2010)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.1 Application areas

A practitioner’s purpose in using psychophysiology tends to fall into one of the four categories outlined below. In practice to date, outside specialised clinical uses, the most biosignal applications have been in the realm of classification, slightly fewer in that of prediction.

1. Among other applications, biosignals have been used for classification of a subject’s psychological state; the task being performed, from among a set of predefined tasks; or trait-like features such as a depressive tendency (Weinberg et al., 2016).

2. The second most common area of application is prediction of behaviours, by learning a model of behaviour-associated physiology. Data can come from either a group of other individuals (in a between-subjects scenario) or a ‘user model’ of prior recordings (in a within-subjects design). Another prediction option is imminent concept drift/shift (Black and Hickey, 1999).

3. Biofeedback can be used in setting up, for example, an explicit control loop, psychological reinforcement directed toward desired states, navigation of information spaces, or clustering information presentation that is based on self-similarity of evoked physiology.

4. Entrainment may be applied to influence physiology toward desired states without biofeedback – for example, through rhythmic audio stimuli.

Classification The canonical use case is classification of some psychological state in line with biosignals. It is most often performed in a basic research setting. While this work would seem straightforward, there is a large amount of hidden complexity, in elements ranging from signal processing (see the discussion on feature extraction in section 3.2) to establishing psychological ‘ground truth’ by choosing some model of cognitive/affective state. For example, using the circumplex model of emotion, as described in section 3.2, is only one of many options (see chapter 5, below).
It is clear from the foregoing discussion that, the maturity of the field notwithstanding, there is still a wealth of open questions. For just one example, we can consider EDA. Whilst it provides a robust, responsive, and feature-rich measure of autonomic arousal, many studies of EDA have used a model with only a single dimension, of low to high arousal, recorded from a single hand. One possible future improvement would be to link any feature of EDA to specific cognitive states. It is known that the CNS origins of EDA are multi-level and complex, with both ipsilateral and contralateral influences (Dawson et al., 2000), and that sympathetic arousal is not always symmetric across the body (Picard et al., 2015). If a novel EDA metric were established that considers the difference between right- and left-hand EDA features, hemispheric asymmetry in the brain might be determined to be responsible for the signal differential. Accordingly, cognitive indications could be compassed. Although highly speculative, this example illustrates well the untapped potential of an area of study that is more than 100 years old, and similar issues exist for most other signals.

Moving beyond basic research to explore applications is the true cutting edge. For example, cardiovascular metrics are already fairly well established as indicators of, for example, mental workload (see section 3.1). However, such classifiers tend to require offline analysis, especially on account of the problem of accounting for variation stemming from the context; accordingly, using ECG in an online manner as a control signal in physiology-responsive systems remains problematic.

**Prediction** Predicting future behaviour, whether between or within subjects, is a large research area with ramifications for work in such fields as operator safety, online recommendations, and games. Using biosignals for this purpose is rendered difficult by their inherent noise. At present, brain–computer interface technology is being developed primarily to aid incapacitated individuals. The system must predict the user’s intentions on the basis of an EEG signal for appropriate control of a system such as a wheelchair; however, in most real-world conditions, this is a very difficult task, as EEG signals are noisy and multidimensional. Another possible use of predicting changes in a user’s state is
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in helping to address ‘concept drift’, wherein change over time invalidates existing classifications. For example, classification of autonomic arousal can be sensitive to ambient temperature, as the user’s thermoregulatory sweating alters the tonic conductance level. A system with predictive capacity can adapt to this change by updating its classifier.

**Biofeedback**  Biofeedback is an established clinical application but also is seeing increasing popularity in consumer products. For example, respiration is partly conscious and partly unconscious; it thereby exemplifies in one signal the concepts of implicit and explicit biofeedback – i.e., feedback coming from signals outside or within conscious control. Breathing techniques have been used over much of recorded history as an aid to self-control of body and mind. Hence, studying the explicit/implicit use of respiration can aid in illustrating how the balance between explicit and implicit feedback is handled in signals that are less easy to control.

**Entrainment**  Entrainment is the least mature of the four areas and hence the least application-ready. Research in this area points to the possibility of cognitive enhancement via physiological entrainment (Reedijk et al., 2015). Individual-to-individual differences in biological characteristics relevant in respect of cognition are one modulating factor that must be accounted for. This is a thorny issue, because usually which particular individual difference is relevant is far from unambiguous.

**Summary**  Though these areas of application are conceptually fundamental, the practice in each of them is going to be radically altered by novel technology in sensors and signal processing, driven by miniaturisation, remote sensing, and constantly increasing computation power and algorithmic sophistication. For example, remote methods have recently been demonstrated as able to measure heart rate in the absence of physical contact, by such means as video (see section 3.9, above) or radar (Ren et al., 2015; Sakamoto et al., 2015). Remote sensors can, in turn, be connected directly to high-performance computers, a
combination that creates possibilities for real-time analyses of the sort previously limited to offline application.

4.2 Practical guidelines

As chapter 3 makes clear, numerous signals and devices are available, and the development of novel measurement and analysis methods is advancing rapidly. While on the surface it appears as if almost everything is possible, with a large proportion of the current solutions there are specific limitations to applicability due to technical, physiological, and model-dependent demands.

To arrive at valid, reproducible results, one should possess a sound theoretical understanding as to where and how a given psychophysiological signal originates, how the physiological signal behaves under variable conditions, and the way in which the index in question is derived from the signal. In the literature on applied integration of psychophysiology and HCI, there is a tendency to rely on a specific commercial device manufacturer’s methods in calculating an index for factors such as stress, without any consideration of methodology. Before making a selection, the practitioner should understand the signal origin and the underlying psychophysiological model/mechanisms. The constraints and limitations these impose on the relevant use case must be borne in mind.

In this section of the primer, we guide the reader through a practical process of signal source selection for psychophysiological indices. From chapter 3, the reader will be aware of the large number of options for implementing the application in question. A simple process is required for handling this complexity. The process applied should comprise at least the following steps, as illustrated in Figure 4.1.

1. **Select the desired indices for physiological phenomena**

   Table 4.1 provides a starting point for mapping out the possible options.

   For example, if you are interested in measuring *stress* and *physical strain* in operation of a large touchscreen interface for stock
exchange data, various indices may be suitable. Questionnaire data show that the operators experience time pressure and worry about making the right decisions. Additionally, in working with this large touchscreen interface, they have to hold their hands up, facing the screen, and then make large movement gestures in order to operate the interface.

2. **Map out psychophysiological metrics within reach, for use in your system, and consider their parameters**

Which physiological phenomena have been shown to indicate the target internal state? What is the temporal resolution of the signal, what physiological lags are present, and how well do these dovetail with the requirements of the system being developed? What is the ‘resolution’ of the signal – is it suitable for indicating a continuous change or providing a binary classification
between two states? Which of the possible choices serves as a credible technical solution for the application? Again, Tables 2.2 and 4.1 can assist you in the selection of candidate metrics.

In the touchscreen example, you might select heart rate metrics, EDA, and speech analysis as the stress signal candidates and choose surface-EMG measurements as a candidate for measuring activity with the touch-based interface and the resulting physiological strain on the upper torso muscles. You are likely to find that all of these candidates (ECG, EDA, speech-recording microphones, and sEMG) can be addressed by existing compact wearable devices, although each of them comes from a separate manufacturer and, hence, using all of them would mean having the user wear a large set of measurement devices.

3. Validate the plausibility of the selected measurement methods in the use scenario

The next step is to consider the circumstances of use: Does the subject move extensively or instead stay put at a desk? Is the environment noisy or perhaps exposed to direct sunlight, with potential to affect the measurements? What kind of equipment is the user able (or willing) to wear? What kind of instrumentation might affect the user’s ability to operate the equipment used in his or her work? Are there environmental disturbances such as sources of electrical interference, vibration, or extreme temperatures? Finally, how long does the equipment take to set up, and is it going to need periodic (re-)calibration?

Continuing with the example above, you may find from analysis of the operators’ daily behaviour at the screen that the subject is constantly moving, implying that stress metrics based on heart rate variability would likely be confounded by changes in heart function that arise from physical activity. On the other hand, this scenario speaks in favour of applying ECG, sEMG, and/or three-dimensional actigraphy for physical strain measurements. With this continual movement not being great enough to make the operator sweat, EDA might still prove a viable signal source
for analysing stress. The operator in the scenario may also speak regularly with colleagues in the same room and over the phone, wearing a microphone for phone calls. This gives you the opportunity to record speech utterances in high quality as a natural part of the work without having to fabricate subtasks designed to generate speech for research purposes.

After working through steps 1–3, you should have a compact list of candidate signals for indicating psychophysiological phenomena. For a more in-depth application that involves implementing a software client that takes physiological signals as inputs, the following issues should be considered too:

- How will you implement the interface of the measurement device(s) for accessing data (online)? Does the device manufacturer offer access to the raw data stream or instead a pre-calculated, proprietary index? Does the device support an open-access interface or provide a well-documented application programming interface (API), used for interfacing new code with a system’s existing features)? See section 3.12 for details on this issue.

- Will you be able to create a multimodal set-up? This should increase redundancy, allow you to correlate information across signals in order to improve the robustness and sensitivity of your set-up, and possibly aid in disambiguating overlapping analysis results. Section 3.11 can guide you further in this respect.

- What is the temporal nature of the input – with what frequency do changes in the signal represent meaningful changes in the underlying state of the subject? For example, a physiological signal indicating drowsiness (exhibiting slow change) could be an input that causes the UI to be more stimulating or is used by a machine recommender system to deliver fewer recommendations, whereas a signal indicating interest (exhibiting rapid change) could prompt highlighting individual knowledge units as more relevant. See Table 2.2 for estimates of each signal’s temporal frame.
Overview and application

- You should consider the significance of the input: How fundamental are changes in the signal to the state of the user? How can you validate against a ground truth? How sensitive is the signal to a user’s state changes, and how ambiguous are those changes? In what ways does inherent measurement noise affect validity? What is the resolution of a relevant change in the signal level, and how can that be mapped to functionality on the application side?

- You should investigate the reliability and robustness of the signal and possible countermeasures to address noise and other external effects. What are the possible sources of noise (in the relevant use scenario), and how can they be countered? Should the strap be tightened, or, in contexts such as laboratory research, should you use a conducting gel for obtaining better contact for the measurement?

- There may well be inter-individual variability in signal quality. What kinds of differences do individuals exhibit with respect to the physiological phenomenon that is being measured? For instance, resting heart rate might vary by 20% between subjects. How do differences in physiology between individuals, such as body shape or amount of hair, influence the signal?
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Concluding remarks

Challenges

Physiology in HCI is emerging as an important area for study, as is attested by journal special issues, books, and conference sessions that explore applications in everyday situations beyond sports and clinical settings. Diverse technological, methodological, and theoretical challenges therefore exist in this regard. For assurance of deployability and acceptance by the user, there must be improvements in sensor robustness, sensors’ calibration, miniaturisation, and integration into ergonomically designed and unobtrusive products. Robustness and reliability in identifying and recognising physiological states remains an unresolved problem. Moreover, many psychophysiological metrics rely on sources that change over time, thereby creating difficulties in user calibration.

Research in physiology is dispersed across numerous fields and is often difficult to build upon or compare with previous work. Addressing this issue is going to require efforts devoted to standardisation of methods and procedures, in order to provide established results that can inform development of solutions. Often, the studies reported upon
use ad hoc machine learning methods of various types, which are difficult to replicate. In particular, there is a dearth of standard tools, equipment, and specifications that could serve building of systems and applications in HCI, because, when choosing a device, developers are confronted with a vast range of devices that have various degrees of validation and many proprietary APIs.

The advantage brought by rapidly improving technology (see section 4.1, above) also poses challenges. One drawback of employing novel methods is that they might generate noisier signals (this is especially true of remote sensing, as exemplified by radar HR) or more complex data (in particular, with novel hardware, as in skin imaging). Therefore, metric extraction algorithms, in turn, need to increase in complexity if they are to generate meaningful indices.

A key challenge is that physiological responses cannot precisely and uniquely identify a source, and a given signal may be correlated with multiple psychophysiological states or phenomena. Research should move beyond investigating how physiology is linked to certain levels of any one state (low or high arousal, for instance) to examine how physiology is linked to multiple states.

Besides the more established indices listed in Table 4.1, there are numerous psychological states and phenomena that are starting to be investigated in earnest, so that applications that bring added value to users in everyday life can be developed. Examples of novel indices include relevance in information retrieval (Eugster et al., 2014; Barral et al., 2015), flow in games (Nacke and Lindley, 2008), mindfulness related to HRV (Burg et al., 2012), derivatives of social presence in a mediated virtual environment (Spapé et al., 2013), and mediated touch through haptic feedback (Spapé et al., 2015). The emerging interest in many, quite different psychophysiological phenomena and indices raises the issue of investigating which of these are independent or instead can be explained in terms of existing indices.

One of the challenges beyond those of signal analysis is the problem of establishing consensus on the underlying theories that govern or link the psychological phenomena to physiology. Emotions are a good example. There is still debate on their definition, exemplified by the
argument over whether facial expressions are an accurate reflection of internal emotion (Fridlund, 1991; Hess et al., 1995) (see also the discussion in section 3.4, above). The competing theories have resulted in creation of several, very different models, such as the PAD circumplex of emotion (Posner et al., 2005) (see Figure 2.1, above), the PANAS model (Watson et al., 1988; Mehrabian, 1997), and the basic Ekmanian emotions (Ekman and Davidson, 1994).

Another example that can be cited is arousal, which is often addressed with a uni-dimensional, bipolar model. This is clearly a gross simplification. Stress is one commonly studied index related to arousal, and it alone comes in multiple flavours even when one considers only the difference between positive eustress and negative distress. Furthermore, as mentioned above in section 3.2, arousal can be described not just in terms of affect but also in connection with effort and preparatory activation. Similar complexity is characteristic of any other aspect of psychophysiology. This supports the assertion that the average practitioner, who is more interested in applications than in basic research, stands to benefit from selecting and thoroughly understanding a single validated model and approach for the problem at hand.

Recent advances in machine learning allow one to develop systems linking signals and higher-level states through black boxes, particularly through deep learning approaches. While such approaches do provide a solution in certain cases, the true challenge is to use machine learning in support of theory development, so that causal models can be identified and, thereby, more transferable knowledge can be gleaned.

**Conclusion**

The application of psychophysiology to HCI is complicated by the extreme breadth and depth of topics and also by the great complexity of parameter choices and design decisions for algorithms and hardware. We have addressed this complexity by a) focusing on practical aspects and b) introducing the topics in an order roughly corresponding to the depth of the topics and breadth of their range (from the standpoint of application). Throughout the work, we have aimed for a tight focus on
the function of a primer. No effort has been made to delve into issues such as privacy, compliance, or ethics – in part because such issues are covered elsewhere (Fairclough, 2009a; Ajunwa et al., 2016).

Narrative-style reviews are inexhaustive and non-systematic by nature. Therefore, they are susceptible to bias. The method by which we compiled Tables 2.1, 2.2, and 4.1 is systematic and replicable (see chapter 2). Nonetheless, we have deliberately avoided meta-analysis, because a) the number of such analyses needed is very high ($N = \text{at least the number of indices in Table 4.1}$) and b) every one of these analyses requires a detailed report, which should be accessible as a standalone reference text and, accordingly, is a subject for future work. Such work could take the form of a systematic review of the measurement properties of a psychophysiological index; for example, the properties of mental workload. See Vet et al. (2011) for a discussion of this approach. Finally, quantification of results also necessarily becomes dated, while this primer is intended to serve as a relatively stable reference text.

The medical domain shows great success at answering clearly defined research questions through systematic review – a task with which psychophysiology for health applications or HCI has not yet engaged. So long as there remains a lack of systematically derived guidelines with broad coverage, there is need for a ‘quick and dirty’ guide to the field such as this, to serve as an introduction and reference point for non-specialists. The paper addresses said need in a manner that is modular and very broad in scope, so that the reader can obtain a clear picture of the entire field yet also focus directly on implementing useful applications.
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