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Abstract. The volatility distribution of secondary organic aerosols that formed and had undergone aging – i.e., the particle mass fractions of semi-volatile, low-volatility and extremely low volatility organic compounds in the particle phase – was characterized in a boreal forest environment of Hyytiälä, southern Finland. This was done by interpreting field measurements using a volatility tandem differential mobility analyzer (VTDMA) with a kinetic evaporation model. The field measurements were performed during April and May 2014. On average, 40% of the organics in particles were semi-volatile, 34% were low-volatility organics and 26% were extremely low volatility organics. The model was, however, very sensitive to the vaporization enthalpies assumed for the organics ($\Delta H_{\text{VAP}}$). The best agreement between the observed and modeled temperature dependence of the evaporation was obtained when effective vaporization enthalpy values of 80 kJ mol$^{-1}$ were assumed. There are several potential reasons for the low effective enthalpy value, including molecular decomposition or dissociation that might occur in the particle phase upon heating, mixture effects and compound-dependent uncertainties in the mass accommodation coefficient. In addition to the VTDMA-based analysis, semi-volatile and low-volatility organic mass fractions were independently determined by applying positive matrix factorization (PMF) to high-resolution aerosol mass spectrometer (HR-AMS) data. The factor separation was based on the oxygenation levels of organics, specifically the relative abundance of mass ions at $m/z$ 43 ($f_{43}$) and $m/z$ 44 ($f_{44}$). The mass fractions of these two organic groups were compared against the VTDMA-based results. In general, the best agreement between the VTDMA results and the PMF-derived mass fractions of organics was obtained when $\Delta H_{\text{VAP}} = 80$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ was set for all organic groups in the model, with a linear correlation coefficient of around 0.4. However, this still indicates that only about 16% ($R^2$) of the variation can be explained by the linear regression between the results from these two methods. The prospect of determining of extremely low volatility organic aerosols (ELVOAs) from AMS data using the PMF analysis should be assessed in future studies.
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1 Introduction

Atmospheric aerosols influence the Earth’s climate both directly and indirectly through affecting the radiation balance, and altering the albedo, lifetime and precipitation patterns of clouds (IPCC, 2013). However, uncertainty in the spatial and temporal variability in the aerosol size distribution, chemical composition and physicochemical properties make it difficult to quantify the aerosol climate effects. The physicochemical properties of atmospheric aerosol populations vary (e.g., Jimenez et al., 2009). In terms of aerosol chemical composition measurements, one of the greatest challenges is the presence of a vast number of different organic components in the particles (Kanakidou et al., 2005; Goldstein and Galbally, 2007; Kroll et al., 2011; Donahue et al., 2013). Understanding of the chemical and physical properties of these organic compounds remains incomplete (Hallquist et al., 2009).

One of the key physicochemical properties of atmospheric organic compounds is their volatility, which determines their partitioning between the gas and particle phase (Pankow, 1994; Bilde et al., 2015). Atmospheric aerosol particles are mixtures of organic and inorganic compounds with different volatilities. Volatilities of the common inorganic species are relatively well known, while information on the volatility of organic species, especially on extremely low volatility organics (Ehn et al., 2014; Bilde et al., 2015), is still incomplete.

Different compounds evaporate differently at different temperatures depending on their volatilities, described with saturation vapor concentrations and enthalpies of vaporization (Kreidenweis et al., 1998). Therefore, measuring the evaporation of particles at different temperatures provides indirect information on the volatility of particles. Thermodensitometers (TD) where particle populations are heated, often coupled with a tandem differential mobility analyzer (TDMA), are often used to obtain volatility information on particles. More quantitative information on the volatility distribution can be further obtained by coupling the measurement data with a kinetic evaporation model (e.g., Riipinen et al., 2010; Cappa and Jimenez, 2010) that describes the evaporation rate of aerosols inside the TD. While the combination of different TD setups has been applied to quantify the volatility of laboratory-generated aerosol particles (e.g., Häkkinen et al., 2014) as well as field observations (e.g., Lee et al., 2010; Cappa and Jimenez, 2010; Häkkinen et al., 2012), it has not been utilized to determine the volatility distribution of ambient organic aerosol in a boreal environment.

Here, it needs to be noted that the volatility distribution of ambient aerosols does not represent the volatility distribution of the condensing organic compounds in the gaseous phase. However, it provides insights into the evaporation potentials of the compounds that are present in the particle phase. Furthermore, it will be useful for closure studies combining this information with condensation studies aiming to derive how the aerosol size distributions are affected by given gaseous species. Finally, measuring the evaporation of aerosols is also essential for testing the applicability and limitations of TD setups for inferring the volatility of aerosols.

Positive matrix factorization (PMF) is one of the widely used factor analysis techniques for environmental applications. PMF allows separating organic aerosol (OA) mass spectra into individual groups based on their bulk chemical characteristics, providing information on the OA sources and atmospheric processing (Lanz et al., 2007; Huffman et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2011). Typical organic groups determined using the PMF analysis include e.g., hydrocarbon-like OA (HOA), biomass burning OA (BBOA) and cooking OA (COA) or oxygenated OA (OOA). OOA can be further separated into low-volatility OOA (LV-OOA) and semi-volatile OOA (SV-OOA). Even though there have been multiple studies using PMF to identify different organic OA groups from ambient data (Ulbrich et al., 2009; Hildebrandt et al., 2010; Ng et al., 2010), especially the SV-OOA and LV-OOA groups, to our knowledge there are only few studies (Cappa and Jimenez, 2010; Paciga et al., 2016) that have attempted to directly connect the oxygenation levels from these two OOA groups with the volatility of OA obtained by other methods. Comparing the volatility distribution obtained using a mass transfer model and VTDMA data to the oxidation level derived from the AMS data using PMF can help in quantifying the volatilities of SV-OOA and LV-OOA.

In this study, we provide quantitative information on volatility distributions of organic species of ambient aerosol in a boreal forest environment. The sensitivity of the kinetic model was tested towards different parameters of organic compounds, including density, molar mass, saturation vapor concentration, diffusion coefficient and vaporization enthalpy values. More specifically, the sensitivity result to assumed vaporization enthalpy values of organics is discussed. The VTDMA-derived volatility distributions are compared with the ones obtained from the statistical analysis of the AMS.

2 Methods

2.1 Measurements site

The measurements were performed at the Hyytiälä SMEAR II (Station for Measuring Ecosystem-Atmosphere Relations II) between 14 April and 31 May 2014. The SMEAR II station, located in southern Finland, is surrounded by a 54-year-old pine forest (Hari and Kulmala, 2005). The closest large city is Tampere, with a population of around 213 000 and about 48 km to the southwest of the measurement station.

A series of ambient parameters – e.g., particle number size distribution of 3–1000 nm particles (Aalto et al., 2001); ambient meteorological conditions such as temperature, relative humidity, solar radiation, wind speed and wind direction; and
gas phase concentrations of, for example, SO$_2$, O$_3$, NO$_x$ – are continuously measured at the station.

2.2 Particle volatility

The evaporation behavior of submicron aerosols was investigated using a volatility tandem differential mobility analyzer (VTDMA), which is part of a volatility–hygroscopicity tandem differential mobility analyzer (VH-TDMA) system (Hong et al., 2014). A brief schematic view of the VTDMA is shown in Fig. 1. In brief, a monodisperse aerosol population (particle diameter of 30, 60, 100 and 145 nm; RH < 10 \%) was selected by a Hauke-type differential mobility analyzer (DMA; Winklmayr et al., 1991). The aerosol flow was then heated by a thermodenuder at a set temperature, after which the remaining aerosol material was introduced into a second DMA followed by a condensation particle counter (CPC, TSI 3010 and TSI 3772), where the number size distribution of the aerosol after heating was measured. The spread of the number size distribution of the aerosol was taken into account in the data inversion using the piecewise linear inversion approach (Gysel et al., 2009). The thermodenuder is a 50 cm stainless steel tube. No adsorptive material for removing the gas phase was used after the heating section. The residence time inside the thermodenuder was around 2.5 s. The heating temperature of the setup ramped up from 25 to 200 °C. Due to these losses, the VTDMA-measured data underestimate the mass concentration of the monodisperse aerosol particles after heating. However, this study focused on the change in particle size, which should not be greatly affected by the losses. Hence, the effect of the particle losses on the study results can be considered negligible.

The major particle losses during the heating process are from thermophoresis and Brownian diffusion (Wehner et al., 2002; Häkkinen et al., 2012). According to Ehn et al. (2007), who used a similar TD, the losses for aerosol particles above 15 nm in diameter were observed to be less than 20 \% when heated to 280 °C. Due to these losses, the VTDMA-measured data underestimate the mass concentration of the monodisperse aerosol particles after heating. However, this study focused on the change in particle size, which should not be greatly affected by the losses. Hence, the effect of the particle losses on the study results can be considered negligible.

The VTDMA measures the particle diameter (and concentration) after heating at each temperature for particles of a certain initial size. From this information volume fraction remaining (VFR) after the heating of particles of diameter $D_p$ can be defined as follows:

$$VFR(D_p) = \frac{D_p^3(T)}{D_p^3(T_{room})} = GF_V(T).$$ 

(1)

$GF_V$ describes how much of the particles shrink in size upon heating. With $VFR = 1$ at a given temperature, particles are considered to not evaporate, while with $VFR = 0$ particles fully evaporate upon heating at that temperature. The mass fraction remaining (MFR) after the heating was assumed to be equivalent to VFR assuming that particle density was constant upon heating (Häkkinen et al., 2012). Data during a running time window (5 h) were inserted into the model with a time resolution of half an hour to make sure a full thermogram, i.e., the VFR or MFR as a function of temperature, could be obtained. The corresponding results represented the conditions (VFR or MFR) at the median time of the 5 h time window.

2.3 Particle chemical composition

A high-resolution aerosol mass spectrometer (HR-AMS, Aerodyne Research Inc., Billerica, USA) was used to determine the chemical composition of aerosol particles during the experimental period. Detailed description of the instrument, measurement and data processing can be found in other publications (DeCarlo et al., 2006; Canagaratna et al., 2007). A Sunset semi-continuous OC/EC analyzer was deployed to determine the mass concentrations of organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC) concentrations in aerosols using a thermal–optical protocol (Bauer et al., 2009).

2.3.1 Pairing of inorganic species

The neutral inorganic salts were calculated from the molar concentration of all ions measured by the HR-AMS based on ion-pairing schemes introduced by Reilly and Wood (1969) and Gysel et al. (2007). SO$_4^{2-}$ was first neutralized by NH$_4^+$, and the excess of NH$_4^+$ was then used to neutralize NO$_3^-$. The simplified ion-pairing scheme was introduced as below:

$$n_{NH_4SO_4} = \text{max} \left(0, n_{SO_4^{2-}} - n_{NH_4^+}\right),$$

$$n_{NH_4HSO_4} = \text{min} \left(2n_{SO_4^{2-}} - n_{NH_4^+}, n_{NH_4^+}\right),$$

$$n_{(NH_4)_2SO_4} = \text{min} \left(n_{NH_4^+} - n_{SO_4^{2-}}, 0\right) \cdot n_{SO_4^{2-}},$$

$$n_{NH_4NO_3} = \text{min} \left(n_{NH_4^+} - 2n_{SO_4^{2-}}, 0\right) \cdot n_{NO_3^-}. \quad (2)$$

where $n$ denotes the number of moles. This should naturally be treated only as a rough estimation, as the scheme assumes perfectly internally mixed particles, and the competing bonding of NH$_4^+$ between SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_3^-$ in particle phase is not fully described.

2.3.2 Positive matrix factorization (PMF) of organic aerosol composition

Factor analysis is commonly used to de-convolve the time-dependent OA concentrations and mass spectra into their basic components, based on a linear algebraic model explaining the observed variance. The resulting components, i.e., factors, are interpretable as separate organic sub-groups. The sum of these organic groups’ concentrations should closely match the measured organic aerosol mass. PMF (Paatero, 1997) is one of these component analysis techniques, constrained so that only positive concentration and mass spectra
are obtained. In this study, PMF was applied by using the PMF2 algorithm implemented with the user interface Sofi by Canaco et al. (2013) to the organic aerosol data measured by the HR-AMS.

2.4 Kinetic evaporation model

A time-dependent evaporation model (Riipinen et al., 2010) was used to simulate the evaporation of a monodisperse aerosol population in a heated flow tube by solving the relevant mass transfer equations. The TD temperature profile, residence time, initial particle size and the thermophysical properties of the aerosol particles were used as input to the model. The volatility of the aerosol constituents was described by the effective saturation concentration, $C^*$, at standard conditions.

According to Donahue et al. (2013) and Murphy et al. (2014), compounds with different effective saturation vapor concentrations can be classified into extremely low volatility (ELVOC; $C^* < 10^{-4} \mu g m^{-3}$), low-volatility (LVOC; $10^{-3} \mu g m^{-3} < C^* < 10^{-1} \mu g m^{-3}$), semi-volatile (SVOC; $10^{-0.5} \mu g m^{-3} < C^* < 10^{2.5} \mu g m^{-3}$) and intermediate-volatility (IVOC; $10^{3.5} \mu g m^{-3} < C^* < 10^{6.5} \mu g m^{-3}$) organic compounds. In the model, we assume the OA to consist of three organic groups with their individual characteristic saturation concentration of $10^{-5}$ (ELVOA), $10^{-2}$ (LVOA) and $10^{-5} \mu g m^{-3}$ (SVOA), corresponding to $10^{-10}$, $10^{-7}$, and $10^{-5} Pa$ or $10^4$, $10^7$, and $10^{10} molec cm^{-3}$: the aim being to obtain the particle mass fractions of each of the organic group. The ambient particles were assumed to be a mixture of six species, including the aforementioned organic groups and three inorganic components, namely ammonium nitrate (AN), ammonium sulfate (AS) and EC. AN and AS were assigned with their own characteristic effective saturation vapor concentration and effective vaporization enthalpies obtained from laboratory measurements (see Table 1). EC was assumed to be non-volatile in the temperature range used in this study (assuming $C^*$ of $10^{-30} \mu g m^{-3}$). As a result, the corresponding average volatility distribution of the ambient aerosol was obtained by letting the difference between the measured and modeled evaporation of the ambient aerosol to reach a minimum with a certain pair of mass fractions of these three organic groups together with known mass fractions of AS, AN and EC from HR-AMS and OC/EC measurements. The MATLAB optimization function fmincon with constraints was used to obtain the optimal fit between the measured and modeled thermograms. This optimization method was constrained by setting the sum of mass fraction of organics from the model to be equal to the mass fraction of OA measured by HR-AMS and the mass fraction of each individual organic group to be larger than zero but lower than the total measured mass fraction of OA.

The input parameters, including the physicochemical properties of the six components used for the model as well as particle properties, are summarized in Table 1. Specifically, a mass accommodation coefficient of unity was used along the whole study, thus yielding the maximum estimates for $C^*$ s. To best match the overlapping size ranges of the instruments (VTDMA 30–145 nm and HR-AMS 60–1000 nm), in this study we focus on modeling the evaporation of 100 nm particles.

Lee et al. (2010) reported that the modeled MFR is likely to depend strongly on the vaporization enthalpy values. Hence, sensitivity tests towards this variable were performed. In the sensitivity analysis the vaporization enthalpy values of organics with different volatilities were either assumed to be the same or varied for the different organics, e.g., [100 80 60] kJ mol$^{-1}$. Epstein et al. (2010) fitted the average $\Delta H_{\text{VAP}}$ as a function of log$_{10}C^*$ to a set of surrogate organic compounds and obtained the following relationship:

$$\Delta H_{\text{VAP}} = -11*\text{log}_{10}C^* + 129,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\Delta H_{\text{VAP}}$ and $C^*$ are in units of kJ mol$^{-1}$ and $\mu g m^{-3}$, respectively. This vaporization enthalpy ($\Delta H_{\text{VAP}}$) of Epstein et al. (2010) (Eq. 3) was also tested in the model calculations. The combinations of enthalpy values of all these three organic groups used in this study are summarized in Table 2.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Inorganic volatility

Figure 2 illustrates the measured and model-interpreted thermograms (i.e., MFR as a function of the heating temperature) of ammonium nitrate and ammonium sulfate. Vallina et
al. (2007) reported that for 150 nm AN and AS particles, the volatilization temperatures (temperature of full particle evaporation) are around 60 and 180 °C, respectively, by using a similar VTDMA system with a residence time of around one second. According to the experimental curves (black line) in Fig. 2, AN and AS evaporated completely at around 45 and 180 °C, respectively. These results are close to those of Val-lina et al. (2007) when the effects of faster evaporation for smaller particles and longer residence time of this study are taken into account.

Modeled thermograms for both AN and AS were obtained by treating the saturation vapor pressures and enthalpy of vaporization as fitting parameters. The optimum $C^* - \Delta H_{\text{VAP}}$ pair was obtained by minimizing the difference between the measured and model-interpreted thermograms (red lines in Fig. 2). The measured evaporation of AN was reproduced using $C^*$ and $\Delta H_{\text{VAP}}$ of 76 µg m$^{-3}$ (corresponding to $2.6 \times 10^{-3}$ Pa) and 152 kJ mol$^{-1}$, respectively. The obtained $\Delta H_{\text{VAP}}$ is 1.5 times higher than reported previously (Brandner et al., 1962; Hildenbrand et al., 2010a; Salo et al., 2011), and the saturation vapor concentration is of the same magnitude as in previous studies (Brandner et al., 1962; Chien et al., 2010). For AS, $C^*$ and $\Delta H_{\text{VAP}}$ of $2 \times 10^{-3}$ µg m$^{-3}$ and 94 kJ mol$^{-1}$ reproduced the measurements best. Chien et al. (2010) reported an observation of AN partially decomposing to NH$_3$ and HNO$_3$ upon heating. Huffman et al. (2009) similarly suggested that AS might decompose to ammonium bisulfate and ammonia when heating to around 90–140 °C. The evaporation mechanisms of these inorganics might be different from the evaporation of organics, where the $\Delta H_{\text{VAP}}$ of Epstein et al. (2010) was obtained since, besides sublimation, decomposition might also occur during the evaporation of inorganics. Hence, the vaporization enthalpy from Eq. (3) is not used.
for the simulation of the evaporation of inorganics. In short, even though there have been aforementioned earlier studies reporting the $C^\ast$ and $\Delta H_{\text{VAP}}$ of AN and AS, we selected the ones shown by the red curves in Fig. 3 from our VTDMA technique for the model input to simulate the evaporation of ambient aerosols. Moreover, according to the saturation vapor concentration obtained for AN and AS in this study, we can conclude that AN and AS can be considered as semi-volatile and low-volatility compounds, respectively.

The measured thermogram and corresponding evaporation mechanism of ammonium bisulfate (NH$_4$HSO$_4$) are not available at present. In order to neglect the effect of ammonium bisulfate on particle evaporation behavior, only data with the mass fraction of ammonium bisulfate less than 10% of total aerosol mass (calculated from Eq. 2) were analyzed.

### 3.2 Performance of the model for TD data on the organic mixtures

Figure 3 shows example fits to the observed thermograms using different combinations of organic vaporization enthalpies (Table 2). The different simulated evaporation behavior indicates that the model is sensitive to $\Delta H_{\text{VAP}}$ values. The median norm of residuals, which describes the difference between the fit and observed thermograms, was the largest when the $\Delta H_{\text{VAP}}$ of Epstein et al. (2010) (e.g., Combination 9 in Table 2) for organics were applied in the model. As $\Delta H_{\text{VAP}}$ increases, the sensitivity of $C^\ast$ to temperature changes also increases, requiring also lower $C^\ast$ values to match observations (see the red curve in Fig. 3). This is also in line with Cappa and Jimenez (2010), who suggested that value of $C^\ast$ as low as $10^{-15}$ $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ for extremely low volatility material is required to match the observations when $C^\ast$-dependent vaporization enthalpy values of Epstein et al. (2010) are used.

### 3.3 AMS-derived volatility distribution using PMF

Two organic aerosol groups (SVOA and LVOA) with different volatilities were separated from the AMS data using the PMF method (Sect. 2.3.2). This common two-factor separation is driven by the relative fractions of m/z 44 (f44) and m/z 43 (f43), connected to the oxidation state (e.g., Aiken et al., 2008). Higher factor solutions associated with other organic groups, commonly determined by PMF analysis, such as biomass burning organic aerosol or hydrocarbon-like organic aerosol, were not pursued. Since this study focuses on the volatility distribution of organics using a complex kinetic
model, we chose to limit the PMF OA components to the main ones clearly connected with oxidation state.

The mass spectra of the two organic groups are shown in Fig. 4. The LVOA mass spectrum shows a highly abundant \( m/z \) 44 signal, which mostly corresponds to the \( \text{CO}_2^+ \) ion (Aiken et al., 2008). The mass fraction of \( m/z \) 44 shows a good correlation with the \( O : C \) ratio in the organic aerosols (Aiken et al., 2008). The SVOA mass spectrum has a high signal at \( m/z \) 43, corresponding to the \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}^+ \) ion, which is often considered as a proxy for less oxidized organic aerosol. Hence, the relative abundances of ions at \( m/z \) 43 (f43) and \( m/z \) 44 (f44) are our main indicators to separate these two organic groups with different volatilities arising from their different degrees of oxygenation.

Paciga et al. (2016) studied the volatility distribution of an LVOA factor determined by the PMF analysis and found that a significant amount of the LVOA mass was attributable to ELVOCs with effective saturation concentrations \( \leq 10^{-3} \) \( \mu \text{g m}^{-3} \). Hence, probably further advances in the PMF analysis would be needed to assign more than two groups of OA. We tested a three-factor application of PMF, based on the ratio of masses of ions between \( m/z \) 44 and...
1H

Figure 6. Median organic volatility distribution of the ambient aerosols of this study obtained from the VTDMA data interpreted by the kinetic evaporation model (Riipinen et al., 2010) and the AMS data derived from the PMF analysis. \( \Delta H_{\text{Vap}} = 80 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \) was used in the kinetic evaporation model.

\[ m/z \ 43, \] and compared the resulting three organics factors with the mass fractions of different organics from the VTDMA data. There was no correlation \( (R = 0.02) \) between the mass fraction of LVOA from the model and any of three factors from PMF analysis. We are not confident to explain the reason behind this, but it seems possible that the mass spectral statistics based on the PMF classification does not match with the actual volatility grouping. The following discussion thus only focuses on the well-established two-factor PMF solution (SVOA, LVOA) for the organic components.

## 3.4 Comparison between organic aerosol volatility from VTDMA and PMF analysis

### 3.4.1 General results

In Fig. 5, we compare the organic volatility distributions obtained from the VTDMA data using constant \( \Delta H_{\text{Vap}} \) values (Combinations 1 to 3 in Table 2) with PMF analysis results. Since we used PMF-derived two-factor results, we summed up the mass fractions of LVOA and ELVOA from the VTDMA for the comparison. The correlation coefficients for the two data sets were relatively similar with \( \Delta H_{\text{Vap}} \) values of 60 kJ mol\(^{-1} \) \((R = 0.48)\) and 80 kJ mol\(^{-1} \) assumed for all organic groups \( (R = 0.41) \). Using \( \Delta H_{\text{Vap}} \) of 100 kJ mol\(^{-1} \) for all organic groups leads to a clearly worse correlation \( (R = 0.25) \) and the model interpreted that the particles were solely consisting of low volatility organics besides the inorganic species. Using the enthalpy value of 60 kJ mol\(^{-1} \) for all organic groups, the modeled mass fraction of SVOA was higher than the SV0A from the PMF analysis. The opposite was true for LVOA; while using \( \Delta H_{\text{Vap}} \) values of 100 kJ mol\(^{-1} \) for all organic groups, the comparison results differed significantly from the 1 : 1 line. With the enthalpy value of 80 kJ mol\(^{-1} \) for organics, the VTDMA-based OA composition was approximately equal to the ones from the PMF results, however, with a linear correlation coefficient of only 0.4. This relatively low correlation coefficient suggests that additional information on each of the methods is needed for analyzing the potential links between the AMS and volatility data. Moreover, Paciga et al. (2016) studied the volatility distribution of the PMF-derived organics and estimated that almost half of the SVOCs, which were determined from PMF, are semi-volatile, while 42 % are low-volatility and 6 % are extremely low volatility. This suggests that the two PMF-derived organic groups, commonly labeled for their oxidation levels, might not be directly linked to their actual volatilities.

The agreement between the VTDMA- and PMF-based OA volatility distributions depends on the inorganic mass fractions. The agreement tended to be somewhat better when the inorganic mass fraction was lower (see Fig. S1 in the Supplement). Interestingly, when the inorganic mass fraction was lower than 0.3, the modeled results correlated well with the PMF results, with \( \Delta H_{\text{Vap}} \) values of 100 kJ mol\(^{-1} \) used in the model. Results of Håkkinen et al. (2014) suggested that relatively more particle-phase processing, i.e., condensed-phase reactions, take places within organic–inorganic aerosol mixtures having a higher aerosol inorganic mass fraction – which could be consistent with our results as well.

The use of varying \( \Delta H_{\text{Vap}} \) values for ELVOA, LVOA and SVOA did not improve the correlation with the PMF results (see Figs. S2 and S3). Specifically, using \( \Delta H_{\text{Vap}} \) values from Eq. (3) would result in particles exclusively consisting of low-volatility organics besides the inorganic species. Lee et al. (2010) reached a similar conclusion. A single effective \( \Delta H_{\text{Vap}} \) value can thus well represent the OA mixture. Cappa and Wilson (2011) studied the volatility of secondary organic aerosol from the oxidation of \( \alpha \)-pinene and reached a similar conclusion: \( \alpha \)-pinene SOA behaved as if it were comprised of a single “meta-compound”.

As discussed in Sect. 3.1 we would expect the \( \Delta H_{\text{Vap}} \) of Epstein et al. (2010) to be the physically most correct of the alternatives tested – at least when it comes to simple reversible evaporation. However, if there are other processes in addition to evaporation taking place in the particle phase upon heating, such as the molecular decomposition or dissociation of unstable functional groups, the model might not be able to capture the measured thermogram using Eq. (3). In this case we might end up with an overestimate in the mass fraction of extremely low-volatility organics. Donahue et al. (2006) and Riipinen et al. (2010) also discussed that the evaporation of a mixture is best approximated with considerably lower effective vaporization enthalpy than the one of a pure component aerosol. For VTDMA measurements of ambient aerosols with various compositions and external conditions, the relation between the C\(^{\ast} \) and vaporization enthalpy values might be nonlinear and species- and/or system-dependent. Moreover, Saleh et al. (2013) reported that the evaporation of particles in laboratory experiments could be simulated using a mass accommodation coefficient much less than one. Tong et al. (2011) concluded that the diffusion coefficient of a viscous solution might affect the kinetics of evaporation of non-liquid particles, as aerosol particles in boreal forest environment are expected to be viscous according to
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Figure 7. Time series of particle chemical composition obtained from HR-AMS (a), mass fractions of VTDMA- and PMF-derived SVOA (b) and mass fraction of VTDMA- (the sum of LVOA + ELVOA) and PMF-derived LVOA (c) on 21 April 2014.

Figure 8. Time series of particle chemical composition obtained from HR-AMS (a), mass fractions of VTDMA- and PMF-derived SVOA (b) and mass fraction of VTDMA- (the sum of LVOA + ELVOA) and PMF-derived LVOA (c) on 1 May 2014.
Virtanen et al. (2010). Hence, non-unity mass accommodation coefficients of a mixture and the particle-phase diffusion limitation on evaporation can also add uncertainties to the interpretation of the TD data.

Finally, we compared the median volatility distributions of the organics during the whole campaign using the two methods (Fig. 6). A constant $\Delta H_{\text{VAP}}$ value of 80 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for all organics was chosen here as the kinetic model input. According to the PMF results, the SVOA contribution to the total organic aerosol mass was around 30%, which is somewhat lower than the SVOA contribution (approximately 40%) obtained based on the VTDMA results. The model estimated that the mass fractions of LVOA and ELVOA of the total OA mass were 34 and 26%, respectively.

### 3.4.2 Time-dependent case studies

Figures 7 and 8 show two case studies for 21 April and 1 May 2014. Time series of mass fractions of the particle constituents from HR-AMS, organic mass fractions from the VTDMA (using Combinations 1–3 in Table 2) and PMF analysis are shown.

When the ambient aerosol was dominated by organics (Fig. 7), the modeled SVOA mass fraction followed the temporal pattern of the one determined from PMF analysis. The elevated SVOA mass fraction in the early morning is probably due to the condensation of SVOC onto the particles when temperature was still low, and the following decrease in SVOA after the early morning could be caused by the evaporation of SVOA after the ambient temperature increased. The model-interpreted SVOA mass fraction using $\Delta H_{\text{VAP}}$ values of 80 kJ mol$^{-1}$ seemed to have a somewhat time-delayed effect compared with the one from the PMF analysis.

When the inorganic species dominated the ambient aerosol mass (Fig. 8), a clear diurnal pattern could also be seen from for both the VTDMA and the PMF-derived SVOA and LVOA mass fractions. However, the VTDMA-based mass fraction followed the PMF-derived ones better when using $\Delta H_{\text{VAP}}$ values of 60 and 80 kJ mol$^{-1}$ compared the one using $\Delta H_{\text{VAP}}$ values of 100 kJ mol$^{-1}$ (see also Fig. 5). The relative amount of inorganic species in the particle phase might thus affect the particle-phase processing. Conclusively, these two case studies suggest that an effective $\Delta H_{\text{VAP}}$ value of 60–80 kJ mol$^{-1}$ represents the boreal forest organic aerosols best and this effective $\Delta H_{\text{VAP}}$ value should be assumed in the model when comparing with the PMF results.

### 4 Summary and conclusions

The volatility of ambient aerosol particles formed and undergone aging was studied with a volatility tandem differential mobility analyzer (VTDMA) in a boreal forest environment in Hyytiälä from April to May 2014. A kinetic evaporation model was used to further interpret the results and quantify the mass fraction of organics with different volatilities.

When testing the performance of the model against the experimental volatility data, the model was observed to be sensitive to the vaporization enthalpy values of the organics. $C^*$-dependent vaporization enthalpies based on a semi-empirical formula by Epstein et al. (2010) were applied, but the modeled thermograms failed to reproduce the measurements in this case.

The best correlation between the VTDMA results and the PMF-derived mass fractions of organics was obtained when $\Delta H_{\text{VAP}} = 80$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ was assumed for all organic groups in the model, with a linear correlation coefficient of around 0.4. This relatively low correlation coefficient indicates that we need to acquire additional information on each of the method to address the potential relation between the AMS and volatility data.

With the use of a considerably lower enthalpy value (80 kJ mol$^{-1}$) than the semi-empirical ones, the model can best approximate the VTDMA data and the PMF results. Potential explanations to why artificially low vaporization enthalpy values provide the best approximation include a thermal decomposition process in addition to evaporation in the particle phase, mixture effects and different mass accommodation coefficients for aerosol mixtures rather than for a pure component system (Riipinen et al., 2010). The interpretation of the VTDMA data using the kinetic evaporation model cannot provide an accurate, definitive volatility distribution for boreal forest aerosols due to the uncertainties in $\Delta H_{\text{VAP}}$ and other potential issues mentioned above. However, using a proper effective $\Delta H_{\text{VAP}}$ value for OA, the VTDMA-model results nevertheless, for the first time, provide a rough estimate of the volatility for boreal forest aerosols, revealing that around 26% of the monodisperse (100 nm) OA mass is extremely low volatility.
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