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Abstract. New particle formation (NPF) events have been observed all around the world and are known to be a major source of atmospheric aerosol particles. Here we combine 20 years of observations in a boreal forest at the SMEAR II station (Station for Measuring Ecosystem–Atmosphere Relations) in Hyytiälä, Finland, by building on previously accumulated knowledge and by focusing on clear-sky (non-cloudy) conditions. We first investigated the effect of cloudiness on NPF and then compared the NPF event and nonevent days during clear-sky conditions. In this comparison we considered, for example, the effects of calculated particle formation rates, condensation sink, trace gas concentrations and various meteorological quantities in discriminating NPF events from nonevents. The formation rate of 1.5 nm particles was calculated by using proxies for gaseous sulfuric acid and oxidized products of low volatile organic compounds, together with an empirical nucleation rate coefficient. As expected, our results indicate an increase in the frequency of NPF events under clear-sky conditions in comparison to cloudy ones. Also, focusing on clear-sky conditions enabled us to find a clear separation of many variables related to NPF. For instance, oxidized organic vapors showed a higher concentration during the clear-sky NPF event days, whereas the condensation sink (CS) and some trace gases had higher concentrations during the nonevent days. The calculated formation rate of 3 nm particles showed a notable difference between the NPF event and nonevent days during clear-sky conditions, especially in winter and spring. For springtime, we are able to find a threshold equation for the combined values of ambient temperature and CS, \( (CS \times 10^{-5} \times T) > 3.091 \times 10^{-5} \times T \text{ (in Kelvin)} + 0.0120 \), above which practically no clear-sky NPF event could be observed. Finally, we present a probability distribution for the frequency of NPF events at a specific CS and temperature.

1 Introduction

The effects of atmospheric aerosol particles on the climate system, human health and environmental interactions have raised interest in various phenomena associated with the formation, growth and loss of these particles (Pöschl, 2005; Seinfeld and Pandis, 2012; Apte et al., 2015). While primary emissions are a very important source of atmospheric aerosol particles, especially in terms of the aerosol mass loading, the particle number concentration is greatly affected by atmospheric new particle formation (NPF). During the last couple of decades, NPF has been observed to take place almost all over the world (Kulmala et al., 2004a; Zhang et al., 2011; Bianchi et al., 2016; Kontkanen et al., 2016a, 2017). Atmospheric NPF is thought to be the dominant source of the total particle number concentration (Kulmala et al., 2016) and a major source of cloud condensation nuclei in the global tro-
Understanding the NPF phenomenon requires understanding its precursors and pathways involved under different atmospheric conditions. For instance, high concentrations of low-volatility vapors result in a higher probability for NPF (Nieminen et al., 2015), whereas a high relative humidity and condensation sink (CS) tend to suppress NPF (Hyvönen et al., 2005; Nieminen et al., 2014). Recent laboratory experiments have shown the importance of sulfuric acid and low-volatile oxidized organic vapors to NPF (Metzger et al., 2010; Kirkby et al., 2011; Petäjä et al., 2011; Kulmala et al., 2013; Ehn et al., 2014; Riccobono et al., 2014). Additionally, atmospheric observations confirm the importance of these precursor vapors in the initial steps of NPF and in the further growth of newly formed particles (Kulmala et al., 1998; Smith et al., 2005; Kerminen et al., 2010; Paasonen et al., 2010; Ahlm et al., 2012; Bzdek et al., 2014; Nieminen et al., 2014; Vakkari et al., 2015). The Station for Measuring Forest–Atmosphere Relations (SMEAR II), located in Hyytiälä, southern Finland, compiles almost 21 years of particle number size distribution and extensive complementary data, providing the longest size distribution time series in the world, and hence allows for robust NPF analysis which is not readily possible at other sites. The station is located in a homogenous Scots pine forest far from major pollution sources. Hyytiälä is therefore classified as a background site representative of the semi-clean Northern Hemisphere boreal forests.

Many studies have investigated the role of different variables in causing, enhancing or preventing new particle formation (Hyvönen et al., 2005; Baranizadeh et al., 2014; Nieminen et al., 2015). In particular, Baranizadeh et al. (2014) studied the effect of cloudiness on NPF events observed at SMEAR II in Hyytiälä. They concluded, in agreement with some other studies, that clouds tend to attenuate or interrupt NPF events (Sogacheva et al., 2008; Boulon et al., 2010; Baranizadeh et al., 2014; Nieminen et al., 2015). In this study, we eliminated one variable that limits NPF (cloudiness) in order to provide a better insight into the other quantities related to atmospheric NPF. Based on 20 years of observations and data analysis for the SMEAR II station in Hyytiälä, we aim to (i) quantify the effect of cloudiness on new particle formation frequency, (ii) characterize the differences between NPF event and nonevent days during clear-sky conditions, (iii) explore the connections between new particle formation rates calculated from precursor vapor proxies and the occurrence of NPF events, (iv) formulate an equation that predicts whether a clear-sky day with specific temperature and CS is classified as an event, (v) use the clear-sky data set to calculate the NPF probability distribution based on temperature and CS.
Solar radiation in the wavelengths of UV-B (280–320 nm) and global radiation (0.30–4.8 μm) are monitored using pyranometers (SL 501A UVB, Solar Light, Philadelphia, PA, USA; Reeman TP 3, Astrodatta, Töravere, Tartumaa, Estonia until June 2008, and Middleton Solar SK08, Middleton Solar, Yarraville, Australia since June 2008) above the forest at 18 m. The air temperature is measured with 4-wire PT-100 sensors, and the relative humidity (in percent) is measured with relative humidity sensors (Rotronic Hygromet MP102H with Hygroclip HC2-S3, Rotronic AG, Bassersdorf, Switzerland). These data are provided as 30 min averages.

2.2 Data analysis

2.2.1 New particle formation events classification

The formation of new aerosol particles in Hyytiälä is typically observed in the time window of several hours around noon, while this phenomenon seems to be rare during nighttime (Junninen et al., 2008; Buenrostro Mazon et al., 2016). Accordingly, aerosol number size distribution data from the DMPS measurements at around this time window are used for classifying individual days as new particle formation event or nonevent days. The classification follows the guidelines presented by Kulmala et al. (2012) and the procedure presented in Dal Maso et al. (2005). The latter uses a decision criterion based on the presence of particles <25 nm in diameter and their consequent growth to Aitken mode. Event days are days on which sub-25 nm particle formation and growth are observed. Nonevent days are days on which neither modes are present. Undefined days are the days which do not fit either criterion.

2.2.2 Selecting noncloudy days

The cloudiness parameter \( P \) is the ratio of measured global radiation (Rd) divided by the theoretical global irradiance (Rg):

\[
P = \frac{\text{Rd}}{\text{Rg}}.\tag{1}
\]

The theoretical maximum of global radiation (Rg) is calculated by taking into consideration the latitude of the measurement station and the seasonal solar cycle. While a complete cloud coverage is classified as \( P < 0.3 \), a clear-sky is classified as \( P > 0.7 \) (Perez et al., 1990; Sogacheva et al., 2008; Sánchez et al., 2012). In Hyytiälä, the great majority of NPF events are initiated during the morning hours after sunrise, but before noon (Dada et al., 2017). Since the time of sunrise varies widely in Hyytiälä between the different seasons, the time window 09:00–12:00 seems a reasonable compromise for considering whether NPF occurred or not. We found that NPF events occurring outside our selected time window were very few. Accordingly, in this work, the days were classified as cloudy or clear-sky days based on the median value of \( P \) during 09:00–12:00 each day, corresponding to the time window for new particle formation. The median value ensures that at least half of our selected time window is clear-sky while the rest can vary between clear-sky and minor scattered clouds. The median is also useful because NPF is a regional-scale phenomenon, so for instance, scattered clouds on an otherwise sunny day affecting the local radiation measurements (and leading to a momentarily drop in \( P \)) do not usually interrupt the regional NPF process. Clear-sky days were those with a median of \( P > 0.7 \) between 09:00 and 12:00 and are the focus of this study. For consistency, the variables compared in our study are taken from the same time window, 09:00–12:00.

2.2.3 Sulfuric acid and oxidized organics proxies

The gaseous sulfuric acid concentration is estimated from a pseudo-steady-state-approximation proxy developed by Petäjä et al. (2009). This proxy takes into consideration the sulfuric acid source and sink terms as

\[
[H_2SO_4]_{\text{proxy}} = k \cdot \frac{[SO_2] \cdot \text{UVB}}{CS}.\tag{2}
\]

Here, UVB (W m\(^{-2}\)) is the fraction of the UV radiation reaching the earth after being screened by ozone (280–320 nm). The coefficient \( k \) (m\(^2\) W\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)) is obtained from the comparison of the proxy concentration to the available measured H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) data and has a median value of 9.9 \times 10\(^{-7}\) m\(^2\) W\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\).

The concentration of monoterpene oxidation products, called oxidized organic compounds (OxOrg) here, is estimated using a proxy developed by Kontkanen et al. (2016b). This proxy is calculated by using the concentrations of different oxidants (the measured ozone concentration [O3] and parameterizations for the hydroxyl and nitrate radical concentration, [OH] and [NO\(_3\)], respectively) and their reaction rates, \( k_i \), with the monoterpenes. The MT-proxy (in this case MT\(_{\text{proxy}}(t_{\text{day}})\)) is calculated by taking into account the effect of temperature-driven emissions, the mixing of the boundary layer and the oxidation of monoterpenes (Kontkanen et al., 2016b).

\[
[\text{OxOrg}]_{\text{proxy}} = \left( k_{\text{OH}+\text{MT}}[\text{OH}] + k_{\text{O3}+\text{MT}}[\text{O3}] + k_{\text{NO3}+\text{MT}}[\text{NO3}] \right) \cdot \text{MT}_{\text{proxy}}.\tag{3}
\]

2.2.4 Particle formation rates

The formation rate of nucleation mode particles \( (J_{3,C}, \text{ particle diameter} > 3 \text{ nm}) \) was calculated based on the method suggested by Kerminen and Kulmala’s equation (Kerminen and Kulmala, 2002). This quantity is a function of the calculated formation rate of 1.5 nm-sized particles \( (J_{1.5,C}) \), their growth rate (GR) and the CS:

\[
J_{3,C} = J_{1.5,C} \exp \left( -\gamma \frac{\text{CS}}{\text{GR}_{1.5-3}} \left( \frac{1}{1.5} - \frac{1}{3} \right) \right).\tag{4}
\]
where $\gamma$ is a coefficient with an approximate value of 0.23 m$^3$ nm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. The value of $J_{1.5,C}$ was calculated by assuming heteromolecular nucleation between SA and OxOrg as follows:

$$J_{1.5,C} = K_{\text{het}}[\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4]_{\text{proxy}}[\text{OxOrg}]_{\text{proxy}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The heterogeneous nucleation coefficient used in Eq. (5) is the median estimated coefficient for Hyytiälä scaled from Paasonen et al. (2010): $K_{\text{het}} = 9.2 \times 10^{-14}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$. The scaling was made in order to fit the current data. The median value of [OxOrg] during the event days in April and May was found to be $1.6 \times 10^7$ cm$^{-3}$ (Paasonen et al., 2010), whereas the revised median value of [OxOrg] by Kontkanen et al. (2016b) is $1.3 \times 10^8$ cm$^{-3}$. The scaling factor is the ratio between new and original [OxOrg] (0.1194). Accordingly, while the value of $K_{\text{het}}$ from Paasonen et al. (2010) is $1.1 \times 10^{-14}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$, after the scaling by 0.1194 we obtain the revised $K_{\text{het}} = 9.2 \times 10^{-14}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$.

The particle growth rate over the particle diameter range of 1.5–3 nm was calculated by taking into account the size of the condensing vapor molecule size and the thermal speed of the particle (Nieminen et al., 2010). The growth rates (1.5–3 nm) were calculated as 30 min averages and as the sum of the growth rates due to the sulfuric acid (SA) vapor and OxOrg vapor condensation. The density of the particle was assumed to be constant (1440 kg m$^{-3}$). For SA, we first determined the SA concentration needed to make the particles grow at the rate of 1 nm h$^{-1}$ by taking into account the mass of hydrated SA at the present RH and its density (Kurtén et al., 2007). Then, we calculated the GR of the particles due to SA condensation by using the SA proxy concentration. The same method was used for GR due to OxOrg condensation, where the vapor density was assumed to be 1200 kg m$^{-3}$ (Hallquist et al., 2009; Kannosto et al., 2008). Similarly, the GR due to OxOrg was calculated by using OxOrg proxy concentrations divided by the concentration needed for 1 nm h$^{-1}$ GR.

2.2.5 Calculation of backward air mass trajectories

Air mass trajectories were calculated using the Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT_4) model at 96 h backward trajectories at 100, 250 and 500 m arrival heights once per hour.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Effect of cloudiness on NPF

We studied NPF events as a function of cloudiness. Figure 1a shows the fraction of event, nonevent and undefined days as a function of cloudiness parameter. We can see that clear-sky conditions favor the occurrence of NPF: the fewer clouds there were, the higher was the fraction of NPF event days. For instance, for days with the cloudiness parameter of 0.3 or less, the fraction of event days was less than 0.1 of the total classified days. However, the fraction of NPF event days reached a maximum of around 0.55 during complete clear-sky conditions ($P > 0.7$), with 877 days classified as NPF events, 560 undefined days and only 229 as nonevents. On the NPF event days, the median cloudiness parameter $P$ during the time window 09:00–12:00 was found to be 0.75 (Fig. 1b), while the nonevent days were characterized by lower values of $P$ (a median of around 0.25). Also, 75 % of the NPF event days were found to have a cloudiness parameter larger than 0.5. The pattern found in Fig. 1a follows from the fact that radiation seems essential for NPF at this site, as the events occur almost solely during daylight hours (Kulmala et al., 2004b). Also, NPF is favored under abundant radiation conditions since the main components of
freshly formed particles are mainly formed photochemically (Petäjä et al., 2009; Ehn et al., 2014). The fraction of undefined days, however, remained constant regardless of cloudiness conditions.

Our results emphasize the fact that radiation favors the occurrence of NPF, while clouds tend to decrease the probability of NPF. Undefined days were observed under cloudiness conditions that fell between those for NPF events and nonevents. In general, undefined days can be interrupted NPF events or unclassified plumes of small particles due to pollution (Buenrostro Mazon et al., 2009). The interruption of a NPF event can be due to a change in the measured air mass or to the attenuation of solar radiation caused by the appearance of a cloud during the event. We will not consider undefined days further in our analyses.

The monthly variation of daily median cloudiness parameter within the time window of 09:00–12:00 during the classified days is shown in Fig. 2. Spring showed the best separation between the events and nonevents in terms of the cloudiness parameter, while the separation became weaker during the summer and especially for June and July. Taken together, Figs. 1 and 2 emphasize the observation that the presence of clouds decreases the probability of NPF events.

### 3.2 General character of NPF on clear-sky days

Upon visualizing the cloudiness conditions during events and nonevents, we chose a fixed constraint for clear-sky conditions \((P > 0.7)\) during the time window of NPF \((09:00–12:00)\) and will focus on other parameters that distinguish NPF events from nonevents in the following.

The monthly distribution of the event fraction on clear-sky days appeared as double peaks in spring and autumn, with spring having a higher fraction of events (Fig. 3a). The minimum fraction of NPF events was recorded in December.

The fraction of nonevent days peaked in winter with another peak in summer. The total number of NPF events varied from year to year between 1996 and 2015. However, this variation did not show any specific trend of frequency (Fig. 3b), which is in agreement with previous statistics reported from studies that did not consider clear-sky classification (Nieminen et al., 2014).

#### 3.2.1 Backward air mass trajectories during clear-sky NPF events and nonevents

Since NPF is most frequent in spring, we dedicated our focus to this season (Fig. 3a). The springtime medians and percentiles of air mass trajectories arriving at Hyytiälä during clear-sky NPF events and nonevents were calculated 96 h backward in time at the 100, 250 and 500 m arrival heights for the years 1996–2015. The medians and similarly the percentiles were calculated by taking the median compass direction at every point on the trajectory (1 h between every two points), arriving every half an hour at Hyytiälä. Taken together, Figs. 1 and 2 emphasize the observation that the presence of clouds decreases the probability of NPF events.
north–west directions result in clean air with low pollutant (particulate matter and trace gas) concentrations (Nieminen et al., 2015). During NPF the nonevent days, air masses originated from more polluted areas in Europe and Russia, resulting in elevated levels of condensation sink and other air pollutants in Hyytiälä, as also seen in previous studies (Sogacheva et al., 2005).

### 3.3 Influences of CS, meteorological parameters and trace gases

In Fig. 5a we present the monthly variation of condensation sink during NPF events and nonevents under daytime clear-sky conditions. NPF events tended to be favored by low values of CS throughout the year. In all months except during summer, the 75th percentile of the event day values of CS was lower than the 25th percentile of the nonevent day values of CS. On the NPF event days, CS had its maximum in summer, which might be one of the main reasons for the local minimum in the NPF event frequency during the summer months (Fig. 3a). However, the monthly cycle of CS during nonevent days had two maxima, one in spring and another one in autumn, which might suggest that during these seasons, high values of CS prevented NPF occurring on particular days. The difference in the value of CS between the NPF event and nonevent days was the highest in March and the lowest during the summer months.

Figure 5b shows the monthly temperature conditions ($T$) during the daytime NPF events and nonevents. While higher temperatures favored NPF during months when the average temperature was below 273.15 K (0 °C; months 1, 2, 3, 11 and 12), the opposite was true at average temperatures above 273.15 K (0 °C). From our data set, clear-sky events occurred within temperatures ranging between 252 K (−21 °C) and 300 K (25 °C). Days with higher or lower temperatures than the range mentioned above are found to be nonevents. Accordingly, both very high and very low temperatures were not favorable conditions for NPF. Although an increase in the ambient temperature results in higher concentrations of monoterpenes due to increased emissions, thereby favoring new particle formation and growth (Kulmala et al., 2004a), Fig. 5b shows that very high temperatures tend to suppress NPF. This latter feature is at least partly related to the positive relation between the ambient temperature and pre-existing aerosol loading (and hence CS) in Hyytiälä (Liao et al., 2014), even though it might also be attributed to the increase in vapor evaporation coefficients, which results in less stable clusters at high temperatures (Paasonen et al., 2012).

As with an earlier study (Hamed et al., 2011), our results indicate that NPF is favored by low values of ambient relative humidity in Hyytiälä (Fig. 5c). This observation does not conflict with chamber experiments (e.g., Duplissy et al., 2016) or theory (Merikanto et al., 2016; Vehkamäki et al., 2002), which suggest higher nucleation rates at higher values of RH, because binary H$_2$SO$_4$–water nucleation is not expected take place in Hyytiälä. Other studies have proposed that increased RH limits some VOC (Volatile Organic Compounds) ozonolysis reactions, preventing the formation of some condensable vapors necessary for nucleation (Boy and Kulmala, 2002). This might partially explain the observed anti-correlation between RH and particle formation rates. Therefore, it seems plausible that RH affects NPF via atmospheric chemistry rather than by changing the sink term for condensing vapors and small clusters. Additionally, we found clear differences in how trace gas concentrations were associated with RH between the NPF event and nonevent days (Table 1). For instance, O$_3$ showed a strong negative correlation with RH during events and nonevents. However, during nonevent days, a positive correlation appears between RH and particle formation rates. This mightfully explain the observed anti-correlation between RH and particle formation rates. Our results show that air masses coming from central Europe and passing over the Baltic Sea tend to have higher values of RH.

After looking at the characteristics of clear-sky NPF event and nonevent days in terms of meteorological parameters and CS, we looked at the variation of trace gas (CO, SO$_2$, NO$_x$, and O$_3$) concentrations during these conditions (Fig. 6). Out of these gases, at least SO$_2$ and O$_3$ are expected to enhance NPF, SO$_2$ as a precursor for sulfuric acid and O$_3$ as an oxidant forming ELVOCs (extremely low volatile organic compounds; Donahue et al., 2012; Ehn et al., 2014). However, none of these vapors seemed to have higher concentrations during NPF event days. This suggests that, as tracers of pollution, these gases are strongly linked with high anthropogenic CS, so air masses with high trace gas concentrations often do not result in NPF in Hyytiälä.
3.4 Connection of nucleating precursor vapors with new particle formation rate

3.4.1 Precursor vapor proxies

In this study, we determined $J_{1.5,C}$ using the proxies for both SA and OxOrg. The monthly variations of these precursors (in the time window 09:00–12:00) are shown in Fig. 7. During clear-sky conditions, the SA proxy tended to have the highest median daytime values during the winter months with a maximum in February (Fig. 7a). Contrary to this, the seasonal distribution of the SA proxy reported in Hyytiälä appears as double peaks with an absolute maximum in spring and a smaller one in autumn when presenting the data, without excluding cloudy days (Nieminen et al., 2014). During winter, both condensation sink and boundary layer height are lower than in the summer (Paasonen et al., 2013), which might explain the higher concentrations of SA during the winter months.

Being a function of temperature, the OxOrg proxy concentration was generally found to follow the monthly cycle of the ambient temperature. The median value of [OxOrg] was higher on NPF events days in every month compared with nonevent days (Fig. 7b). The largest difference in [OxOrg] between the NPF events and nonevents, in terms of its median value, was recorded for January and the least difference was recorded for May. It is to be noted that the proxy values represent the measured values less accurately during winter than during the other periods (Kontkanen et al., 2016b).

3.4.2 Particle formation rates

The calculated new particle formation rate, $J_{1.5,C}$, approximated with Eq. (5) shows a similar behavior to the [Ox-Org] (see Figs. 7 and 8), being higher for the clear-sky NPF event days in comparison with nonevent days. Also, the difference in the value of $J_{1.5,C}$ between the NPF events and nonevents was highest in the winter and lowest in summer. The monthly cycle of $J_{1.5,C}$ closely followed that of [OxOrg], as the latter had a higher seasonal variability than the sulfuric acid proxy concentration, thereby being capable of affecting the seasonal pattern of $J_{1.5,C}$ (Fig. 8a). The diurnal cycle of $J_{1.5,C}$ during the NPF event days showed an increase along with sunrise, a peak at midday and decrease along with sunset. However, for nonevent days the $J_{1.5,C}$ value was relatively constant throughout the day and had clearly lower values than during the NPF event days (Fig. 8b).

Since previous studies have shown that there is a clear difference in observed $J_3$ between the event and nonevent days and much less difference in observed $J_{1.5}$ (Kulmala et al., 2013), we decided to focus on $J_3$ in our event to nonevent discrimination. Previous studies which did not consider clear-sky conditions have reported values of observed springtime $J_3$ between 0.01 and 5 cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ (median = 0.94 cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$) during the period of active NPF (Kulmala et al., 2013). Our values of $J_{3,C}$ fit between the extremes of these values for the springtime and time window 09:00 to 12:00, with a slightly higher median value of 1.9 cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ (Figs. 9a, b). The formation rate of 3 nm particles is not only affected by the new particle formation rate ($J_{1.5}$) but also by the scavenging of newly formed particles by coagulation into pre-existing particles. We found that, in general, the values of $J_{3,C}$ calculated using Eqs. (4) and (5) were higher on NPF event days compared with nonevent days in all months (Fig. 9a). The difference between the event and nonevent days was the largest in winter and decreased towards summer. However, the diurnal cycles of percentiles and medians of $J_{3,C}$ during each month
peaked around noon for both NPF events and nonevents. One example is presented in Fig. 9b, showing that $J_{3,C}$ tended to increase after sunrise, peak at about midday and diminish after sunset. This kind of diurnal cycle was similar for all months. Hourly values of $J_{3,C}$ calculated during the NPF event days were higher than those during the nonevent days. During the spring months, the difference in the median $J_{3,C}$ between the NPF events and nonevents, calculated for every half an hour, appeared to increase at about 10:00 and then started to decrease again at about 13:00 (Fig. 9b). On NPF event days, in comparison to springtime $J_{1.5,C}$ which peaked at around 10:45 (Fig. 8b), $J_{3,C}$ peaked typically about half an hour later. This time delay indicates how long it takes for the particles to grow from 1.5 to 3 nm. This growth is a critical step of NPF (Kulmala et al., 2013) and it depends on concentrations of available vapor precursors.

In Fig. 10 we present the median diurnal cycles of $J_{3,C}$ and CS during classified clear-sky NPF events and nonevents. The diurnal cycle was calculated by taking the median CS at every half hour throughout the season. On the NPF event days, the CS had higher values during the nighttime and lower values during daytime with a minimum at noon. It is important to remember that $J$ was calculated only for daytime when the SA proxy was available (UV-B radiation is needed for the proxy). On nonevent days, the values of CS showed no clear diurnal pattern, had practically

Figure 5. Median and percentiles of monthly variation (09:00–12:00) at $P > 0.7$ of (a) CS, (b) temperature and (c) RH during NPF events (E, white) and nonevents (N, shaded). See Fig. 1 for explanation of symbols.

Figure 6. Springtime (months 3, 4, 5) medians and percentiles of trace gases during clear-sky events (E, white) and nonevents (n, shaded) during daytime (09:00–12:00). See Fig. 1 for explanation of symbols.

Figure 7. Monthly variation of medians and percentiles of (a) SA proxy and (b) OxOrg proxy at $P > 0.7$ during the time window 09:00–12:00 of NPF events (E, white) and nonevents (N, shaded). See Fig. 1 for explanation of symbols.
no difference between the daytime and nighttime hours and were roughly twice those recorded during the clear-sky NPF event days. The difference in CS between NPF events and nonevents follows from the distinctly different air masses arriving at Hyytiälä. For instance, it has been shown that air masses originating from the north and passing over Scandinavia have, on average, lower values of CS than the air masses passing over Russia and central Europe (Sogacheva et al., 2005; Nieminen et al., 2015).

On NPF event days, the median-approximated formation rate of 3 nm particles had its maximum value at about midday and was significantly higher than on nonevent days (Figs. 9b and 10). A clear negative relation could be seen between the median seasonal diurnal cycles of CS and $J_{3.5,C}$ on NPF event days (especially during spring daytime; Fig. 10). This kind of relation was not observed during nonevent days when these two quantities seemed to be independent of each other (Fig. 10). In summer, the median value of $J_{3,C}$ was roughly similar between NPF events and nonevents, whereas the median value of CS was almost 10 times higher during the nonevent days compared with event days. The high values of $J_{3,C}$ for the nonevent days in summer, despite the high CS values, seem to suggest that some other factor limits the actual NPF rate. One possibility is that freshly formed clusters are rapidly evaporated due to higher ambient temperatures (see Fig. 5b). This will be discussed in more detail in the following section. Higher values of CS on nonevent days are expected, bearing in mind that these particles act as surfaces for scavenging precursor gases and freshly formed particles (Hussein et al., 2008). The association of a high CS with the lower NPF probability has been observed in many studies conducted in Hyytiälä (Boy and Kulmala, 2002; Hyvönen et al., 2005; Baranizadeh et al., 2014), as well as in other rural and urban areas, including Egbert and Toronto in Canada (Jun et al., 2014), Preila in Lithuania (Mordas et al., 2016), Po Valley in Italy (Hamed et al., 2007) and Budapest and K-puszta in Hungary (Salma et al., 2016).

3.4.3 Threshold separating the NPF events and nonevents

Since quite a visible separation could be observed in the calculated values of $J_{3,C}$ between the springtime clear-sky NPF events and nonevents, and since $J_{3,C}$ had its maximum at around midday, the plot of CS versus temperature at midday (11:00–12:00) in spring provides an equation that effectively separates the NPF events from nonevents during this season (Fig. 11). This equation was determined using a linear discriminant analysis (LDA) similar to Hyvönen et al. (2005). The equation provides a line that separates NPF events from nonevents at 95% confidence towards nonevents. Based on their midday CS and temperature, the data point follows ei-
ther classes. More specifically, the days with
\[
\text{CS}(\text{s}^{-1}) > -3.091 \times 10^{-5} \times T \text{ (in Kelvin)} + 0.0120
\]  
(6)
lie above the threshold line. Almost no nonevent days fall below this line (<5%). The points above the line were also characterized with higher trace gas concentrations and lower
calculated formation rates of 3 nm particles than the rest of the points.

The separation between the clear-sky NPF events and nonevents in the CS versus \( T \) plot was less evident in autumn and disappeared completely in the summer and winter (Fig. 12). Interestingly, a large number of NPF event days during these seasons still fell below the threshold line given by Eq. (6). Furthermore, we analyzed the effect of RH in separating the events from nonevents, similarly to the study done on RH by Hyvönen et al. (2005). We found that compared with CS versus temperature data, depicting CS versus RH (data not presented) did not work better for separating NPF events from nonevents during clear-sky conditions.

### 3.4.4 Probability of NPF events and nonevents

Since the biggest difference in the calculated 3 nm particle formation rates between the NPF events and nonevents was observed around noon (Fig. 9b), and since CS and temperature showed promising threshold values for predicting the occurrence of NPF nonevents during spring (up to 95%) (Fig. 11), Fig. 13 presents the probability of having a NPF event in Hyytiälä at a specific CS and temperature within the time window 11:00–12:00. The probability was calculated by taking the fraction of events to the total events and nonevents in every cell which is 2.5 K on the \( x \) axis and a
Figure 12. Relationship between CS and temperature (time window: 11:00–12:00) NPF clear-sky event days and nonevent days. Horizontal line is calculated from spring LDA at 95% confidence relative to nonevents and is demonstrated by Eq. (6).

Figure 13. NPF probability distribution based on the CS and temperature conditions during clear-sky days (11:00–12:00). Marker size indicates number of days included in the probability calculation within every cell.

4 Conclusions

In this study we combined 20 years of data collected at the SMEAR II station in order to characterize the conditions affecting the frequency of NPF events in that location. By focusing only on clear-sky conditions, we were able to get a new insight into differences between the NPF events and nonevents. In clear-sky conditions, the meteorological conditions, trace gas concentrations and other studied variables on NPF event days appeared to be similar to those presented in the previous studies which did not consider clear-sky classification. Furthermore, the monthly data refined the analysis so that the differences caused by different quantities became more visible compared the previous studies conducted for this site. Our work confirms the conclusions of Baranizadeh et al. (2014) with a complementary data set: NPF events and nonevents are typically associated with clear-sky and cloudy conditions, respectively.

Our results showed that using SA and OxOrg proxies to calculate the apparent formation rates of 1.5 and 3 nm par-
Data measured at the SMEAR II station are available on the following website: http://avaa.tdata.fi/web/smart/. The data are licensed under a Creative Commons 4.0 Attribution (CC BY) license. Backward air-mass trajectories are freely accessible from the transport model which is developed and provided by NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) at (http://www.ready.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT.php). Input meteorological data required for the model were collected from GDAS (Global Data Assimilation System) archives.
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