The recent increase in the number of microbial time series studies offers new insights into the stability and dynamics of microbial communities, from the world’s oceans to human microbiota. Dedicated time series analysis tools allow taking full advantage of these data. Such tools can reveal periodic patterns, help to build predictive models or, on the contrary, quantify irregularities that make community behavior unpredictable. Microbial communities can change abruptly in response to small perturbations, linked to changing conditions or the presence of multiple stable states. With sufficient samples or time points, such alternative states can be detected. In addition, temporal variation of microbial interactions can be captured with time-varying networks. Here, we apply these techniques on multiple longitudinal datasets to illustrate their potential for microbiome research.
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**Insights from microbial time series data**

Recent improvements in high-throughput sequencing have led to a rise in longitudinal studies that record the temporal variation of microbial communities in a wide range of environments. These time series studies can offer unique ecological insights on community stability and response to perturbations that cannot be gained otherwise. Here, we provide an overview of these insights and discuss a range of methods (summarized in Table 1) for the analysis of longitudinal sequencing datasets.

In a recent meta-analysis of longitudinal studies, roughly half of the communities had time-decay curves with negative slopes, that is their community dissimilarities increased with time [1]. In addition, the temporal variability of microbial community diversity was found to be comparable across studies within the same environment but varied across them, being lowest in soil and brewery wastewater and highest in the human palm and the infant gut [1].

Temporal variation is not restricted to global diversity — long-term studies conducted for marine microbiota [2,3,4] revealed, among other insights, strongly seasonal dynamics of individual community members. Some microbial communities go through a series of predictable states after colonization (primary succession), which occurs for instance during the formation of dental plaque, where oxygen-tolerant early colonizers prepare the ground for later oxygen-sensitive colonizers [5], and in soil and leaf surface communities (reviewed in [6]). In some cases, such as infant gut microbiota colonization [7], communities vary considerably in the initial stages of succession, but stabilize at similar states.

While large-scale studies such as the Human Microbiome and MetaHIT projects explored the phylogenetic and functional composition of the healthy human microbiota and its inter-individual variation [8,9], studies that investigate its temporal variation are still rare and either include many time points of a few subjects or a few time points of many subjects; thus large-scale (both in length and cohort size) longitudinal studies are needed. In one of the human microbial time series with the largest number of time points available to date, Caporaso et al. found considerable variability within body sites and only a small
Table 1
Summary of time series analysis techniques used in microbiome profiling studies. The table briefly explains each technique and the interpretation of its result, with references to specific implementations and microbial community studies applying these techniques. We do not mention the treatment of sequencing depth differences, which is relevant for all time series data generated with sequencing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Example implementation</th>
<th>Interpretation/goal</th>
<th>Pre-processing</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time-decay</td>
<td>Log-linear model fitted to sample dissimilarities versus time between observations.</td>
<td>R package vegan, function vegdist (for sample-wise dissimilarities)</td>
<td>Rate of community change ('turnover').</td>
<td>Filtering of rare OTUs is recommended.</td>
<td>Sensitive to the time scale.</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detrending</td>
<td>Common techniques include regression/differencing to remove linear trends</td>
<td>R package pracma, function detrend and package stats, function diff</td>
<td>Removal of trends that may hide underlying dynamics.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>Trends may be cyclic (see Auto-correlation) or non-linear.</td>
<td>[19**]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augmented-Dickey Fuller test</td>
<td>Stationarity of a time series is tested by fitting an autoregressive model.</td>
<td>R package tseries, function adf.test</td>
<td>Test whether microbial community has reached a stable state.</td>
<td>Filtering of rare OTUs is recommended.</td>
<td>Taxonomic resolution matters; strain-level dynamics may differ strongly from genus-level dynamics.</td>
<td>[19**]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-correlation</td>
<td>Correlation between two time series computed as a function of the lag of one with respect to the other one.</td>
<td>R package stats, function ccf</td>
<td>Delayed response of a taxon to another taxon or environmental parameter.</td>
<td>Equidistant time points.</td>
<td>Filtering of rare OTUs is recommended.</td>
<td>[19**]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local similarity analysis (LSA)</td>
<td>Dynamic programming determines the lags between two time series that optimize their dot product [37].</td>
<td>eLSA: <a href="http://meta.usc.edu/softs/lsa/">http://meta.usc.edu/softs/lsa/</a> [62,63] fastLSA: <a href="http://hallam.microbiology.ubc.ca/fastlsa/install/index.html">http://hallam.microbiology.ubc.ca/fastlsa/install/index.html</a> [64]</td>
<td>(Delayed) response of a taxon to another taxon or environmental parameter.</td>
<td>Equidistant time points.</td>
<td>Filtering of rare OTUs is recommended.</td>
<td>[3**,38*,39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-varying network inference</td>
<td>Various techniques, for example static network inference applied to time segments or time-varying dynamic Bayesian network (DBN) inference methods is available [29,30,64,65]</td>
<td>A number of microbial association network inference methods is available [29,30,64,65]</td>
<td>Time evolution of association networks and stability of individual associations.</td>
<td>Filtering of rare OTUs is recommended. Time series of sufficient length needed to detect associations in segments.</td>
<td>Association does not establish causality.</td>
<td>[66] (fishery data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto-correlation (Auto-correlogram)</td>
<td>The correlation of the time series to itself is plotted for all possible lags.</td>
<td>R package stats, function acf</td>
<td>Presence of periodical patterns, for example seasonality.</td>
<td>Equidistant time points.</td>
<td>Auto-correlations at larger lags are less reliable due to reduced overlap.</td>
<td>[2,3**]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurst exponent</td>
<td>Power law fitted to the lengths of time series segments versus the ranges of their cumulative deviations from the mean, rescaled by the standard deviation.</td>
<td>R package pracma, function hurstexp</td>
<td>Presence of persistent trends (Hurst exponent close to one) versus frequent fluctuations (Hurst exponent close to zero). A Hurst exponent of 1/2 indicates random walk.</td>
<td>Equidistant time points.</td>
<td>The Hurst exponent is sensitive to the sampling frequency and length of the time series.</td>
<td>[14**]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Marginal shifts to stable states in individual taxa. Logarithmic abundances. Confounding factors need to be controlled. Appropriate sampling frequency depends on system stability. Indicators hint at increased likelihood of a state shift but do not provide accurate predictions.

Despite daily variations, subject-specific differences in gut microbiota, discovered in the late 90s [12], are preserved over decades [13].

Hekstra and Leibler [14**] suggest that species interactions can be inferred either from biological replicates at a single time point or from a single system monitored over several time points. Since controlled replicates are not easily available for microbiome profiling studies, these results imply that combining information across multiple time series can improve the inference of interactions from observations. Moreover, replicates are crucial to distinguish stochastic fluctuations from underlying statistical laws governing ecosystem dynamics.

Microbial communities tend to evolve towards a stable composition. A change in the community state can be triggered by changes in external conditions (e.g. diet), by a direct modification of the microbial community (e.g. by antibiotic or probiotic treatment) [15], or by a transient perturbation that pushes the system into an alternative stable state (Figure 1). In the latter case, alternative stable states are not fully determined by environmental factors, but emerge from the complex non-linear interactions among community members. Such systems are referred to as bistable or multi-stable. Thus, perturbation studies help to probe community dynamics and resilience. A lake ecosystem undergoing a massive alteration was shown to recover its initial state after a few days, demonstrating resilience [16]. In contrast, less complete recovery has been reported for the gut microbiota after antibiotic treatment [17,18], pathogen invasion [19**] or small bowel transplantation [20], hinting at the existence of alternative stable states. Similar observations have been made for environmental changes such as substrate overload in a biogas reactor [21] or dietary interventions [22,23]. However, responses to perturbations can vary substantially between individuals [23]. Thus, incomplete recovery may reflect stochastic effects rather than alternative stable states. For instance, stochastic processes dominate groundwater communities after perturbation by nutrient injection, which the authors attribute to reduced competition and priority effects [24]. Priority effects, that is the exclusion of latecomers by strains already present, have been observed in many other ecosystems (e.g. in rock pool communities [25]) and may contribute to the variability observed in the early stages of the human gut community development.

These complex interactions between microorganisms among themselves and with their environment are key contributors to microbial dynamics and increasingly explored with network inference techniques.
A change in environmental conditions (dashed vertical line) can affect a microbial community (pie chart) such that its composition shifts into an alternative state (a). An ecosystem can also exhibit two (or more) stable states under the same conditions (b). A switch of the steady state composition can be induced either by a change in the composition (removal of species via antibiotics or introduction of new species) or by a transient change of the environmental conditions that pushes the system beyond the tipping points (small brown circles). In both cases, a small change in external conditions in the vicinity of a tipping point can trigger an abrupt community shift. Such a state switch may be preceded by early warning signs [55**].

**Network reconstruction from microbial time series**

A number of methods are available to construct taxon co-occurrence networks from cross-sectional data (reviewed in [26]), ranging from correlation combined with permutation tests [27] and similarity assessment with the hypergeometric distribution [28] to approaches dealing with compositionality [29,30], indirect edges [31] and multiple factors influencing taxon abundances (multiple regression [29,32]).

These static network inference techniques can be applied to construct dynamic models. For instance, community dynamics is often mathematically described with the generalized Lotka–Volterra equations, which models the change in abundances as a function of taxon-specific growth rates and pair-wise interaction strengths. These parameters can be determined from time series data by (sparse) multiple regression ([33–36], also see [26]).

However, time series provide additional information ignored by such methods, namely the ordering and dependencies between the time points. These properties are exploited by dynamic approaches.

**Dynamic network inference**

Cross-correlation quantifies the similarity between shifted time series, as for instance in David *et al.*, where cross-correlations were calculated with varying lags from de-trended, clustered time series in order to detect associations between taxon abundances and host metadata [19**]. Interpolation, that is fitting a function to observed values to fill ‘gaps’ in a time series, allows selecting equidistant time points and lags smaller than the sampling interval, but can introduce bias, for instance when linear interpolation is applied to non-linear dynamics.

Local similarity analysis (LSA) employs dynamic programming to identify the lags between two time series that maximize their similarity score [37] and can therefore also detect associations between shifted time series. For instance, LSA was applied to predict interactions between bacteriophages and their hosts and between protist grazers and their prey from the San Pedro Ocean time series [38**,39].

Dynamic Bayesian network (DBN) techniques model the state of each variable as a function of the parent variables in the preceding time point. Thus, DBNs can detect dynamic dependencies, including cyclic ones, in time series [40]. Compared to standard Bayesian networks, their dynamic counterparts provide a more powerful modeling framework, albeit with increased computational cost, limited scalability [41], and difficulties in identifying the correct models when many alternative networks explain the data equally well.

Another group of dynamic network inference techniques is based on cross-prediction, which quantifies how well the future of one time series can be predicted from
another time series within the same system. These methods include Granger causality [42] and Sugihara’s novel convergent cross mapping [43].

**Time-varying networks**

All techniques mentioned above infer a single network of species interactions from the entire time series. However, species interactions and hence the network structure may change over time. Time-varying network inference techniques aim at inferring such evolving network structures. One option is to build static networks for different, potentially overlapping, segments of a time series. A time-varying network constructed in this way from data reported in [19**] shows that in the human gut microbiota, taxon associations are not equally stable and vary in their strength over time (Figure 2, Supplementary Movie 1).

Non-stationary [44] and time-varying [45] DBNs can also be used to infer temporal changes in network structure [45]. An additional advantage of the time-varying DBNs is that they can also estimate the optimal number of time windows.

**Lessons from microbial time series analysis**

Time series analysis is used to detect regularities such as trends and periodicity, but also the opposite: irregularities that challenge prediction and abrupt state shifts potentially preceded by early warning signs.

**Trends, periodicity and predictability**

Microbial abundance may increase or decrease monotonically by natural growth or in response to environmental variables such as temperature. The persistence of such trends can be quantified with the Hurst exponent, which indicates trend-following ($H > 0.5$), random-walk ($H \sim 0.5$), or highly fluctuating ($H < 0.5$) patterns [46]. Autocorrelograms visualize auto-correlations in time-series across all possible time lags and can highlight repetitive patterns and seasonality, as for instance in marine microbial communities [2,3] (see also Figure 3).

Linear trends and seasonality may mask other underlying signals, however, and are hence often removed by ‘detrending’ techniques. The Augmented Dickey Fuller test, which tests for the absence of such trends, was recently applied to quantify gut microbiota stability [19**].

Predictability analysis compares the ability of different models to predict future behavior of a time series based on earlier observations. Benincà and co-workers demonstrated, for instance, that a non-linear model significantly outperformed the best-fitting linear model in predicting temporal dynamics of phytoplankton communities [47**]. Rapid decrease in predictability with increasing prediction time hints at chaotic systems that are sensitive to small changes in initial conditions and perturbations, and hence not predictable in the long term. Positive (maximum) Lyapunov exponents for selected taxa from the Western English Channel time series [3] in Figure 3 hint at chaos underneath seasonal variation. This is in line with Dakos et al., who suggested that chaotic community dynamics is coupled with periodic forcing by the seasons [48]. However, distinguishing chaos from stochastic variation is challenging, and Lyapunov estimation is more robust when derived from explicit dynamical models rather than noisy observations; Benincà et al. calculated Lyapunov exponents both from the observational data and a model fitted to these data to test for chaotic dynamics.

**Alternative stable states and early warning signs**

Arumugam and colleagues suggested that the human gut microbiota preferentially assembles into three configurations of community composition known as enterotypes [49]. Since enterotypes have been linked to dietary habits [22,50], they might represent alternative states driven by external factors (Figure 1a) rather than multi-stability (Figure 1b). Though the exact nature of enterotypes is being debated [51], members of the enterotype drivers such as Prevotella and Bacteroides, as well as many other gut taxa, have been suggested to present independently varying, bistable ‘tipping elements’ of the gut microbiota, where the bistability is reflected by bimodal distributions with peaks at low and at high abundances ([52], Supplementary Figure 3), coupled with reduced stability at the intermediate abundance range. We also detected a bistable genus in the vaginal microbiota [53**], whose states of low and high abundance are visible across time in a single subject as well as across subjects (Figure 4). While sample clustering based on community similarity is frequently applied to discover alternative states in microbial communities (e.g. [49,53**,54]), sample-wise clustering is not sufficient for establishing multi-stability, as the clusters could be associated with unknown environmental factors.

Even a small perturbation may trigger an abrupt shift to a new stable state in a system that is close to a bifurcation or ‘tipping’ point (Figure 1). The theory of early warning signs claims that such abrupt state switches can be predicted from the time series directly. For instance, the increasing recovery time from small perturbations, as the system reaches the tipping point, can be quantified [55**]. Such early warning signs have been reported for instance in lake ecosystems [56].

**Discussion**

The measurement technique, spatial and temporal frequency of the sampling as well as the availability of replicates can all strongly affect the results of a time series analysis. Whereas frequent sampling is crucial to capture the full richness of community dynamics [57*], the ideal sampling frequency depends on the system
characteristics, rate of change, and the study hypotheses. In ocean microbiomes driven by seasonal patterns, typical sampling intervals range from weeks to months [48], whereas, for instance, in vaginal microbiomes more regular sampling frequencies counted in days have been used [53**]. Different sampling frequencies can be used to quantify different, complementary properties of a system and can even change the associations inferred from the time series data, as demonstrated for SAR11 members, which were highly correlated on a daily, but not on a monthly scale [58]. While seasonality typically characterizes the strongest signal at broader sampling intervals in marine communities, denser sampling can reveal chaotic fluctuations [48].
In general, increased sampling frequencies can provide increased resolution on the system dynamics, but there are limits due to costs and, in host microbiota studies, ethical issues. Besides sampling frequency, the regularity of sampling is an important factor for many analysis techniques, such as autocorrelation. Interpolation can provide estimates for specific time points when regular sampling intervals are not available, but can also be misleading if it relies on inaccurate modeling assumptions.

Although many standard approaches for longitudinal analysis require long time series with short and regular sampling intervals, the currently available metagenomic time series tend to be short (few time points), gapped (missing time points), sparse (zero-rich) and noisy, necessitating preprocessing steps such as filtering, standardizing, interpolation and detrending to make time points equidistant and comparable. Small sample sizes and low signal-to-noise ratios combined with heavy multiple testing from simultaneous profiling of up to thousands of taxonomic units poses challenges for statistical analyses. These problems with microbiome time series are particularly challenging in human studies, where recruitment and regular sampling of study participants under specific interventions and over long periods of time can be difficult and expensive. Another challenge to the analysis of microbial time series is the interplay of population and environmental dynamics, especially in air, river and ocean currents [58]. For instance, the importance of hydrological parameters and upstream events was recently demonstrated for river communities [59]. In general, dynamic environments increase sample heterogeneity, which can only be addressed by combining longitudinal with cross-sectional sampling.

While simulations with varying time series lengths and noise levels may help to determine the required number of time points for particular analysis tasks, the analyses could also benefit from improved statistical techniques to integrate information across multiple time series [60]. A recent study demonstrated how pooling data from short time series across many individuals helps to quantify state stability in large cohorts [52]. Moreover, distinguishing
 PCA visualization (a) summarizing the vaginal microbial community compositions encountered in a cohort of 32 healthy women (data from [53**]). The visualization shows the trajectory (black line) of an individual (subject 10) through community composition space. The community types identified by Gajer et al. are indicated by red (I), black (II), green (III), purple (IVA) and blue (IVB); the gray background shade indicates the density of data points (in samples of the entire cohort). The trajectory highlights an abrupt shift from community type III to the community type IVA in subject 10. The heatmap (b) visualizes the abundance of the most abundant vaginal OTUs in individual 10 across time (horizontal axis). Blue and red indicate low and high abundance, respectively, with respect to mean abundance of the indicated OTU across all samples. The Atopobium abundance variation across time is highlighted by a black frame and seen to switch from low to high abundance in the 8th week, after the onset of menses. (c) Time series of Atopobium abundances for subject 10 across the sampling period (upper panel). The abundance histogram (middle panel) indicates two distinct states of low (blue) and high (red) abundance, confirmed by a high bimodality coefficient of 0.95 (implemented in the microbiome R package). The illustration of Atopobium abundances (lower panel; black dots) in all 32 subjects (horizontal lines) further indicates that low and high abundance states are divided by an unstable state, or a tipping point beyond which the system shifts to an alternative stable state (dashed line). This indicates instability at the intermediate abundance range.
between complex system dynamics such as chaos and stochastic variation, or ‘noise’, can be challenging [61], but can be to some extent addressed by systematic model comparisons [47**,61].

Whereas tests of community stability [19**] and early warning signs help to understand community dynamics, abrupt state shifts may also occur in response to unpredictable external perturbations. Uncontrolled environmental factors (confounding factors) can also hamper dynamic network inference, when a relationship is inferred between two taxa that respond to changing environmental factors, but do not interact. Thus, time series alone are not sufficient to distinguish correlation from causality.

The investigation of the impact of network structure on state transitions is still in its infancy [55**]. An interesting future line of research is to explore whether time-varying networks have ‘early warning’ properties that can predict such transitions.

Despite the challenges, time series analysis techniques already provide a rich set of tools to gain insights into temporal patterns, help to understand system dynamics and responses to perturbation, and to construct predictive models. We hope that this review will help to apply these powerful techniques in microbiology and metagenomics, where longitudinal time series and associated modeling challenges are now being encountered at an accelerating pace.
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   This study demonstrates plankton seasonality in one of the longest marine time series available, consisting of monthly samples spanning six years. It also provides an example to what extent a rare taxon can dominate the community in a bloom.


   In this ground-breaking study, the dynamics of three interacting species is monitored with an array of closed ecosystems, permitting the authors to compare changes in species abundance across repetitions and across time. Remarkably, they found that replicate measurements at a single time point can be used to infer species interactions that are also observed in long-term time series.


This study offers one of the longest, densely sampled metagenomic time series available to date, including two subjects sampled almost daily for a year. The special interest of this time series is the presence of perturbations, in the form of travel and diet change for subject A and food poisoning for subject B. In both subjects, the gut microbiota approaches its original state upon return to home (subject A) or recovery from enteric infection (subject B). This study also pioneers the application of a number of time series analysis techniques to microbiota, such as the Augmented Dickey Fuller test to quantify community stability.


In this study, ecological interactions between microorganisms from the San Pedro Ocean time series are predicted with the LSA network inference algorithm. The study suggests that viral–bacterial interactions are on average more specific than protistan–bacterial interactions.


This is the first demonstration of chaos in a long-term mesocosm experiment with a marine microbial food web. The mesocosm was sampled twice weekly for over six years. Besides demonstrating chaos, the article features a predictability analysis that compares the forecasting accuracy of a linear with that of a non-linear model.


This landmark study, which monitors the vaginal microbiota dynamics in 32 healthy women sampled twice weekly for 16 weeks, is one of a few metagenomic time series studies that combines a relatively large number of subjects with a long and dense sampling period. The study demonstrates that some women frequently switch between community states, whereas others have more stable vaginal microbiota. Interestingly, state transitions are not always linked to menstruation or sexual activity. The article pioneers the visualization of a microbiota’s trajectory in community space as well as the computation of microbial community state transition probabilities.


An excellent overview bridging the gap between the analysis of network structure and alternative stable states. The article describes, with examples from population ecology, financial market and climatology, how fundamental architectural features in ecological networks could create unstable tipping points where a small perturbation can trigger a drastic state shift. The article discusses how to detect early warning signals to anticipate such critical transitions based on time series analysis.


A comprehensive review on human microbiome time series studies, highlighting pitfalls in time series analysis and presenting cross-prediction methods and the application of the generalized Lotka–Volterra model in more depth.
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