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**BAP1 Germline Mutations in Finnish Patients with Uveal Melanoma**

Joni A. Turunen, MD, PhD,1,2* Salla Markkinen, MSc,2,* Rosi Wilska, MD,1 Silva Saarinen, MD, PhD,3 Virpi Raiio, MD, PhD,1 Martin Täll, MD,1 Anna-Elina Lehesjoki, MD, PhD,1,4 Tero T. Kivelä, MD, PhD1

**Purpose:** Germline mutations of the BRCA1-associated protein-1 gene (BAP1) predispose carriers to uveal melanoma. We report the population-based frequency of germline pathogenic variants of BAP1 in Finnish patients with uveal melanoma who live in a high-risk region for this cancer.

**Design:** Cohort study.

**Participants:** In Finland, uveal melanomas are treated centrally in the Ocular Oncology Service, Helsinki University Hospital. We collected clinical data and genomic DNA from 148 of 188 consecutive patients diagnosed from January 2010 through December 2012. Seven of these patients from 6 families had a history of uveal melanoma in at least 1 relative, and 2 patients from 2 additional families had such a history in 2 relatives.

**Methods:** Sequencing BAP1.

**Main Outcome Measures:** Pathogenic variants in BAP1.

**Results:** We found 2 different pathogenic variants in BAP1 in 3 patients. Two patients had a single nucleotide insertion in exon 14 resulting in a shift of reading frame. Both had a family history of uveal melanoma in at least 1 relative. One patient without a family history of uveal melanoma had a single nucleotide substitution in the conserved splice donor site of intron 2. BAP1 cancer predisposition syndrome-related cancers were present in all 3 families. The overall frequency of BAP1 pathogenic variants was 2.0% (3/148; 95% confidence interval, 0.4–5.8), the frequency among patients 50 years of age or younger was 3.6% (1/28; 95% confidence interval, 0.1–18), and a pathogenic variant was detected in 2 of 8 families with a history of uveal melanoma.

**Conclusions:** The frequency of BAP1 germline pathogenic variants in consecutive Finnish patients with uveal melanoma who come from a high-risk region for the development of this cancer is comparable with reports from other populations. Ophthalmology 2016;123:1112-1117 © 2016 by the American Academy of Ophthalmology.

Uveal melanoma is, after retinoblastoma, the second most common primary intraocular cancer in the world.1 It metastasizes in approximately one half of patients.2 Uveal melanoma develops from melanocytes in the choroid, ciliary body, or iris. The cause of this tumor is not well understood. For unknown reasons, the incidence of uveal melanoma is high in northern latitudes.3 In Finland, approximately 65 new uveal melanomas are diagnosed annually, yielding a crude incidence of 12 per 1 million.4 The age-standardized incidence in Nordic countries ranges from 6.1 to 8.6 per 1 million.4 Uveal melanoma is usually sporadic, but cases of familial uveal melanoma comprise 0.6% to 6% of reported series with this cancer.5,6

It is well known that loss of 1 chromosome 3 (monosomy 3) in tumor tissue is strongly associated with development of aggressive uveal melanoma.1,6 The breast cancer 1 (BRCA1)—associated protein-1 (BAP1) gene is located in chromosome 3p21.1. Both germline7,8 and somatic7,9 mutations of BAP1 have been implicated in tumor progression. BAP1 is mutated in most metastasizing uveal melanomas.7,9 Germline mutations of BAP1 predispose carriers to uveal melanoma, cutaneous melanoma, melanocytic BAP1-mutated atypical intradermal tumors, mesothelioma, renal cell cancer, and possibly some other tumor types.9,11,12 The BAP1 mutation—associated cancer risk is inherited in an autosomal dominant pattern with incomplete penetrance for any given cancer type.12 The BAP1 pathogenic variant frequency among patients with uveal melanoma has been estimated to be 2% to 3% in unselected populations.13 A recent referral-based study with 507 patients from the United States reported a mutation frequency of 1.6%.14 In a population-based Australian study of 66 patients diagnosed with uveal melanoma at the age of 50 years or younger or with bilateral uveal melanoma at any age, mutation frequency was 3.0%.15 Herein we report the frequency of BAP1 germline pathogenic variants in a consecutive, population-based cohort of patients with uveal melanoma diagnosed during a 3-year period in Finland, a high-risk region for the development of this cancer.

**Methods**

**Patients**

In Finland, all uveal melanoma patients are referred to the Ocular Oncology Service, Helsinki University Hospital. Eligible for this study were all consecutive Finnish patients diagnosed with uveal
melanoma from January 1, 2010, through December 31, 2012. Patients were invited to participate beginning on November 5, 2012.

During the study period, 193 new patients were diagnosed with primary uveal melanoma. Five patients were excluded because they were not originally from Finland. In addition, 13 patients did not respond to the invitation, 4 patients elected not to participate in the study, and 23 patients had died before they could be enrolled, 18 of them of metastatic uveal melanoma and 1 patient of a cytologically confirmed oat-cell lung carcinoma.

We collected clinical data and peripheral blood samples from the 148 consecutive patients who consented to participate in the study. This included 7 patients with a history of uveal melanoma in 1 relative and 2 patients with 2 such relatives, representing 8 different families. The inclusion rate was 79% of all 188 eligible patients and the participation rate was 90% of the 165 patients who could be invited to participate. The clinical characteristics of the patients at the time of diagnosis are presented in Table 1. The project was approved by the appropriate institutional review board and followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

**Sequencing and Sequence Analysis**

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood using standard methods. All 17 coding exons and exon—intron junctions of \( \text{BAP1} \) (reference sequence, ENSG00000163930; available at: www.ensembl.org) were sequenced from polymerase chain reaction—amplified fragments. The polymerase chain reaction conditions and primer sequences are available from the authors upon request. The BigDye Terminator version 3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and an ABI 3730 DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) were used to sequence the purified polymerase chain reaction products. Sequence analysis was performed with the Sequencher 5.1 program (Genes Codes Corporation, Ann Arbor, MI). Two authors masked to each other (J.A.T. and S.M.) independently read sequences. All found mutations were compared with the human reference sequence (GRCh37) and variation database using the University of California Santa Cruz Genome Browser (available at: genome.ucsc.edu). Variant allele frequencies were determined using the Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC) database version 0.2 (available at: exac.broadinstitute.org) containing whole-exome variant information for 61,748 individuals worldwide, including more than 3300 Finnish individuals from the Sequencing Initiative Suomi project (https://www.sixsproject.fi). In silico predictions regarding functional performance were performed with PolyPhen2, MutationAssessor, SIFT, PROVEAN, and MutationTaster and regarding splice alterations with NNSPLICE, NetGene2. The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics guidelines were applied to the interpretation of sequence variants.

**Results**

The median age at diagnosis of the 148 patients was 62 years, and 28 (19%) of them were 50 years of age or younger; the median age of 40 patients who could not be enrolled was 68 years. None had bilateral uveal melanoma. The location of the tumor was the choroid or ciliary body in 143 eyes and the iris in 5 eyes. The tumor—node—metastasis stage distribution was essentially similar to that previously published. The median tumor thickness and largest basal diameter were 6.2 and 12.9 mm, respectively. A pathogenic variant in \( \text{BAP1} \) was identified in 3 patients (Fig 1), giving a frequency of 2.0% (3/148; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.4—5.8) in this population-based Finnish cohort of patients with uveal melanoma. Calculated for patients 50 years of age or younger or those with bilateral uveal melanoma, the \( \text{BAP1} \) pathogenic variant frequency was 1 of 28 patients (3.6%; 95% CI, 0.1—18.0). Of the 9 patients from 8 families with a positive family history of uveal melanoma, 2 patients from 2 families carried a \( \text{BAP1} \) germline pathogenic variant. The pathogenic variant frequency among the 135 patients with sporadic uveal melanoma was 0.7% (95% CI, 0.0—4.1). Of 141 patients with no \( \text{BAP1} \) germline mutation, so far 17 have demonstrated metastatic uveal melanoma. Their median age was 66 years, the median tumor thickness was 9.5 mm, and the median largest basal tumor diameter was 14.0 mm.

Two patients (Table 2) carried an identical single nucleotide insertion (T) in exon 14 (c.1780_1781insT; p.T594fs*49). The insertion leads to a frame shift and a premature stop codon after 49 amino acids. These 2 patients were not known to be related, but they come from the same geographic region of Finland. The first proband (UMG10-66) had a son (UMG08-58) diagnosed in 2008 at the age of 26 years with a T1a choroidal melanoma that later metastasized (Fig 2, family FUMH-03). The son was tested and also found to carry the c.1780_1781insT mutation. His brother was diagnosed with sarcoma at the age of 63 years and died without being tested. Another brother was diagnosed with renal cancer at the age of 60 years and with prostate cancer at 68 years. The mother of the proband had breast cancer diagnosed at the age of 68 years, and her grandmother had a history of uveal melanoma at the age of 67 years with later metastases; her chart had been discarded, however, so details were not available. The family of the second proband (UMG11-51) also revealed other cancers (Fig 2, family FUMH-08). His deceased father had mesothelioma at the age 61 years, and his grandfather had a T2a choroidal melanoma excised at the age of 56 years that later also metastasized. The c.1780_1781insT mutation was not present in the ExAC database. The mutation has not been described previously.

*The third patient (UMG12-01; Table 2) identified with a \( \text{BAP1} \) pathogenic variant had a heterozygous G-to-T transversion in the canonical splice donor in intron 2 (c.67+1G→T), potentially

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>No. (%)</th>
<th>Median (Range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>148 (100)</td>
<td>79 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>69 (47)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>73 (53)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age, yrs</td>
<td>63 (20—89)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median thickness, mm</td>
<td>4.1 (3.1—18.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median largest basal diameter, mm</td>
<td>11.7 (1.5—20.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM category</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>55 (37)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>42 (28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>40 (27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>11 (7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>53 (36)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIa</td>
<td>35 (24)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIb</td>
<td>29 (20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIa</td>
<td>18 (12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIb</td>
<td>4 (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIc</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not defined*</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LBD = largest basal diameter; TNM = tumor—node—metastasis. *TNM stage is not defined for iris melanoma.
leading to incorrect splicing of BAP1 mRNA and, consequently, a nonfunctional protein. Using prediction software, the mutation was predicted to result in loss of the splice donor site with no new donor splice site predicted nearby. The patient also had been diagnosed with bladder cancer at the age of 55 years, and has now demonstrated metastatic uveal melanoma. His family history showed other cancers (Fig 2, family BUMH-01). One deceased brother was diagnosed with bladder cancer and lung cancer, both at the age of 61 years. Another deceased brother was diagnosed with mesothelioma at the age of 47 years. His daughter was diagnosed with renal cancer at 45 years of age and with a neuroendocrine carcinoma of the appendix at 61 years of age. One sister of the proband had breast cancer and the mother had cutaneous melanoma. The c.67+1G→T variant was not present in the ExAC database. The variant has not been described previously.

In addition to the 2 pathogenic variants, we found a non-synonymous coding variant (c.1689C→A; p.His563Gln) of uncertain significance in 1 65-year-old patient with sporadic uveal melanoma. Four programs (PolyPhen, SIFT, PROVEAN, and MutationAssessor) predicted in silico that the variant is non-deleterious to the protein function, although MutationTaster software predicted it to be possibly disease causing. The patient’s family history did not reveal any malignancies in close relatives: his father, mother, and only brother did not have any cancers. The variant was not present in the dbSNP (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP/), but 1 Finnish carrier was found in the ExAC database (allele frequency, 0.00015).

Further, one insertion carrier (UMG10-66) had a non-synonymous coding variant (c.1727C→T; p.Thr576Ile) of uncertain significance. This variant was not found in his mother, who carried the insertion mutation, and it was predicted in silico to be nonleterious to the protein function with the same 4 programs as the other variant, but MutationTaster again flagged it as possibly disease causing. It was found in dbSNP (rs374920141) with an allele frequency of 0.00013.

**Discussion**

Herein we report sequencing analysis of the BAP1 gene in 148 Finnish uveal melanoma patients drawn from a population-based, consecutive cohort treated from January 2010 through December 2012. The inclusion and participation rates were high at 79% and 90%, respectively. Altogether, we found 2 different germline pathogenic variants in BAP1 in 3 patients, 1 of whom was 50 years old or younger. Two of them had a family history of uveal melanoma. All 3 patients were younger than the median age of our consecutive series. The overall pathogenic variant

---

**Table 2. Characteristics of the Germline BAP1 Pathogenic Variant Carriers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Largest Basal Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Tumor–Node–Metastasis Category</th>
<th>Tumor–Node–Metastasis Stage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UMG10-66</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>T2b</td>
<td>IIb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMG11-51</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>T3b</td>
<td>IIIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMG12-01</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>T2a</td>
<td>IIA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 1.** Sequence chromatograms of the 2 BAP1 pathogenic variants. The arrows show the mutations. A, Frameshift mutation in 2 patients (UMG10-66 and UMG11-51) and a control sequence. UMG08-58 is the son of UMG10-66, also with the pathogenic variant. B, Splice donor site mutation (UMG12-01) in intron 2 (c.67+1G→T) and control sequence.
The frequency of 2.0% is comparable with that in a referral-based study of North American patients, with a frequency of 1.6% (95% CI, 0.7–3.1).\(^\text{14}\) Moreover, the frequency of 3.6% among patients 50 years of age or younger or with bilateral uveal melanoma was comparable with a population-based Australian study of 66 such patients with a frequency of 3.0% (95% CI, 0.4–10.5).\(^\text{15}\) Finally, among 14 selected American patients 30 years of age or younger, a germline \(BAP1\) pathogenic variant was found in 1 patient (7%; 95% CI, 0.2–34)\(^\text{26}\) as compared with neither of the 2 patients of this age group in our series; however, a relative of 1 of the 3 \(BAP1\) pathogenic variant carriers had been diagnosed with uveal melanoma at 26 years of age. The mutation frequencies consequently were not notably higher in our population that comes from a higher-incidence region for uveal melanoma than Northern America, comparable with Australia, where a mean age-standardized incidence of 7 per 1 million has been reported.\(^\text{27}\) In families with 2 or more patients with uveal melanoma, a germline \(BAP1\) mutation was reported variably in 4 of 6 Danish families,\(^\text{28}\) as well as in 1 of 5 and in 2 of 11 families in 2 North American series,\(^\text{10,14}\) as compared with 2 of 8 Finnish families in our series.

The strength of our study is its good coverage of Finnish uveal melanoma patients between 2010 and 2012, because all patients are treated centrally in Helsinki University Hospital, a national referral center for this cancer. Furthermore, the participation rate was good. However, our estimate of pathogenic variant frequency may be biased downward because 23 patients had died before they could give consent to this study. Eighteen of these patients had an aggressive form of uveal melanoma with metastasis and could potentially harbor \(BAP1\) germline mutations more often than average. Indeed, in 1 study, mutations were detected in 4 of 50 patients (8%; 95% CI, 2–19) in whom metastatic uveal melanoma had developed, as opposed to

---

**Figure 2.** Pedigrees showing the families of \(BAP1\) pathogenic variant carriers. The cancer type, age at diagnosis, and the \(BAP1\) pathogenic variant status when tested are shown. Core components of the \(BAP1\) cancer predisposition syndrome are underlined. UMG# is an institutional patient identifier. Uveal melanoma patients with or without other cancers are shown in red and patients with other cancers only in black. \(BAP^+\) = \(BAP1\) pathogenic variant present; \(BAP^-\) = \(BAP1\) pathogenic variant absent; \(ca\) = carcinoma; \(CM\) = cutaneous melanoma; \(UM\) = uveal melanoma; \(y\) = years of age.
none of 50 matched patients (95% CI, 0–7) with comparable tumors and follow-up but no metastases.27 If we accept an 8% frequency among patients with metastases, we would have missed up to 2 germline mutations, which would have given an overall pathogenic variant frequency of 5 in 165 patients (3.0%; 95% CI, 1.0–6.9). However, of the 3 BAP1 pathogenic variant carriers that we detected, only 1 so far has demonstrated metastases, whereas 18 patients without a germline BAP1 mutation by now have been diagnosed with metastatic uveal melanoma.

A second potential limitation is that we sequenced only the coding regions and nearby intronic areas. We could have missed intronic, promoter, and other regulatory area mutations. To date, all published germline BAP1 pathogenic variants similarly have been in coding regions or nearby intronic splice sites. No studies so far have sequenced the whole genomic region of the BAP1 gene.

The novel insertion mutation in exon 14 (c.1780_1781insT) results in an abnormal protein product with an incorrect and truncated amino acid sequence at the C-terminus. Many important BAP1 protein structures are located downstream from the altered reading frame, including the BRCA1-binding domain, the Yin and Yang 1—binding domain, and nuclear localization signal.30 To function properly as a tumor suppressor, BAP1 needs the nuclear localization signal.31 Further, BAP1 forms a protein complex with the host cell factor 1 and Yin and Yang 1. The depletion of the Yin and Yang 1—binding domain could interrupt the function of this protein complex as a cell cycle regulator.32 Deletion of the BRCA1-binding domain abolishes the BAP1—BRCA1 interaction. It is also possible that the quality control system of the cell recognizes the misfolded BAP1 protein and degrades it.33

The splice donor site mutation in intron 2 (c.67+1) also was novel. The splice donor site includes an almost invariant sequence GU at the 5′ end of the intron. Normally, the spliceosome recognizes the canonical sequence. The mutation likely leads to incorrect splicing of the BAP1 mRNA with a possible consequence of deletion of exons.34 This presumably also leads to degradation of the mRNA, protein product, or both.

However, we classified 2 nonsynonymous coding variants (c.1689C→A; p.His563Gln and c.1727C→T; p.Thr576Ile) as variants of uncertain significance according to the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics guidelines. The former was detected in a 65-year-old patient without any history of cancer in close relatives, and only 1 of 5 prediction programs considered it as possibly disease causing. The latter occurred in a patient carrying a pathogenic variant so that the family history was not informative, but only 1 of 5 prediction programs considered it as possibly disease causing.

A recent review of cancers of BAP1 mutation carriers identified uveal melanoma, mesothelioma, cutaneous melanoma, and renal cell cancer as the 4 most common types.35 In support of their role in causing disease, the family histories of the BAP1 pathogenic variant carriers revealed at least 2 of these malignancies in each of their families, but we also found additional cancers (e.g., sarcoma and neuroendocrine tumor) that currently are not considered to be core components of the syndrome. In future, we will gain a more precise picture of the BAP1 cancer predisposition syndrome when more patients with pathogenic variants are found and followed up over the long term. In clinical practice, sequencing the BAP1 gene in patients who have a family history of uveal melanoma or other typical BAP1 syndrome—related cancers is rational and also is supported by our data. However, the frequency of germline BAP1 pathogenic variants among patients with sporadic uveal melanoma without family history is 3 times lower.

In conclusion, we show herein that the germline BAP1 pathogenic variants are not present in a Finnish population-based sample of uveal melanoma patients more often than has been reported from other regions. We report 2 novel germline BAP1 pathogenic variants and 3 additional families. Family history of uveal melanoma and of other cancers related to the BAP1 predisposition syndrome should be obtained from all patients with uveal melanoma, and if relevant, BAP1 gene sequencing should be offered. Those who are found to carry a pathogenic variant and their families should be referred for genetic counselling.11–12
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