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ABSTRACT

The emergence of multiresistant Gram-negative bacteria requires new therapies for combating bacterial infections. Targeting the biogenesis of virulence factors could be an alternative strategy instead of killing bacteria with antibiotics. The outer membrane (OM) of Gram-negative bacteria acts as a physical barrier. At the same time it facilitates the exchange of molecules and harbors a multitude of proteins associated with virulence. In order to insert proteins into the OM, an essential oligomeric membrane-associated protein complex, the β-barrel assembly machinery (BAM) is required. Being essential for the biogenesis of outer membrane proteins (OMPs) the BAM and also periplasmic chaperones may serve as attractive targets to develop novel antiinfective agents. Herein, we aimed to elucidate which proteins belonging to the OMP biogenesis machinery have the most important function in granting bacterial fitness, OM barrier function, facilitating biogenesis of dedicated virulence factors and determination of overall virulence. To this end we used the enteropathogen Yersinia enterocolitica as a model system. We individually knocked out all non-essential components of the BAM (BamB, C and E) as well as the periplasmic chaperones DegP, SurA and Skp. In summary, we found that the most profound phenotypes were produced by the loss of BamB or SurA with both knockouts resulting in significant attenuation or even avirulence of Ye in a mouse infection model. Thus, we assume that both BamB and SurA are promising targets for the development of new antiinfective drugs in the future.

Introduction

The use of traditional antibiotics that target essential processes in bacteria (e.g. DNA or RNA synthesis, protein synthesis, cell division) has the great disadvantage to select for resistant subpopulations and thereby promotes the emergence of drug resistant bacteria. The spread of these resistances profoundly limits the treatment options for many bacterial infections and has become a serious global health problem. More recently, particularly Gram-negative bacteria, e.g. carbapenem-resistant or enhanced spectrum β-lactamase producing Enterobacteriaceae (ESBLs) have become a great problem especially in hospital settings. We urgently need to develop alternative strategies to combat Gram-negative bacterial infections. One attractive approach is to control pathogens without killing them in first place while preserving the endogenous microbiota that is usually severely affected by antibiotic treatment. This could be achieved by drugs that interfere with the pathogens virulence mechanisms but do not kill them directly (e.g. by blocking adhesion to host cells or by rendering bacteria more susceptible to killing by the host immune system). The development of drugs directed against Gram-negative bacteria is a great challenge due to the OM, which prevents substances from entering the cell because of its barrier function. One approach is to define targets that are in close proximity to the OM or reside in the periplasm. Since a lot of functions of the OM of Gram-negative bacteria are...
related to OMPs and particularly virulence associated proteins are often OMPs\textsuperscript{8,9} it seems worthwhile to investigate if non-essential factors of the OMP biogenesis pathway might provide potential targets for the development of new antiinfective drugs. Additionally, drugs that are able to induce global rearrangements of the OM that lead to a disruption of the OM barrier function could be exploited as sensitizers administered along with classical antibiotics.

The OM of Gram-negative bacteria is an asymmetrical lipid bilayer. The inner leaflet consists of phospholipids whereas the outer leaflet consists mainly of lipopolysaccharides (LPS).\textsuperscript{10} OMPs are synthesized in the cytoplasm with an N-terminal signal sequence. These precursors are then translocated across the inner membrane via the Sec-machinery having their signal sequence cleaved off to reach the periplasm.\textsuperscript{11} There, periplasmic chaperones like SurA or Skp bind to the nascent OMPs to keep them in a protected, unfolded state and guide them to the OM.\textsuperscript{12} Another chaperone, DegP, functions primarily as a protease to degrade misfolded and aggregated OMPs.\textsuperscript{13} There exist two pathways of chaperoning OMPs across the periplasm: (I) the SurA pathway and (II) the Skp/DegP pathway.\textsuperscript{12} Although most OMPs seem to prefer the SurA pathway, they can use Skp/DegP as a rescue pathway under stress conditions.\textsuperscript{12,14} Actually, it has been shown that a distinct subset of proteins that are strongly intertwined with the pathogenic potential of bacteria strictly relies on the interaction with SurA in order to be inserted properly into the OM.\textsuperscript{15,16,17} For folding and insertion of β-barrel proteins into the OM the BAM complex is essential.\textsuperscript{18} In \textit{E. coli} this multiprotein complex is composed of five proteins: the two essential components BamA and BamD and the three non-essential components BamB, BamC and BamE.\textsuperscript{18,19} BamA is the central component of the BAM complex.\textsuperscript{18} The N-terminal periplasmic part of BamA consists of five polypeptide transport-associated (POTRA) domains.\textsuperscript{20} The POTRA-domains enable BamA to interact directly or indirectly with the other components of the BAM complex, its substrates (i.e. nascent OMPs) and periplasmic chaperones like SurA.\textsuperscript{12,21,22} BamB has been suggested to increase the efficiency of OMP biogenesis by providing a scaffold during β-barrel folding of nascent OMPs at the BAM complex.\textsuperscript{23} The BamC/D/E subcomplex has been proposed to regulate the function of the BAM complex by driving conformational changes of BamA which enable the insertion of β-barrel proteins into the OM.\textsuperscript{4,24} Even though the general pathway for OMP biogenesis from the bacterial cytoplasm to the BAM complex has already been addressed,\textsuperscript{26} it is still unknown how OMPs are inserted into the OM exactly and how they adopt their final conformation. Nevertheless, the recently described crystal structure of the entire BAM complex of \textit{E. coli}\textsuperscript{24} will allow us to experimentally address concrete hypotheses that may explain the mechanisms underlying OMP biogenesis in the future.

\textit{Yersinia enterocolitica} (Ye) is an enteropathogenic Gram-negative bacterium that belongs to the family of \textit{Enterobacteriaceae}. This human pathogenic bacterium can be transmitted on oral-fecal route, usually by the uptake of water and food contaminated with excrements of infected pigs or other animals.\textsuperscript{27} In the small bowel, Ye adheres to and invades epithelial cells\textsuperscript{28} leading to mostly self-limiting enterocolitis with diarrhea, fever and occasionally to mesenterial lymphadenitis and secondary diseases like erythema nodosum and arthritis. In some cases, the infection can lead to life-threatening diseases like septicemia.\textsuperscript{27,29} In the established infection model of murine yersiniosis it has been observed that Ye causes similar diseases compared to humans.\textsuperscript{30} Thus this model is perfectly suited to address all questions concerning the contribution of distinct factors of the OMP biogenesis machinery to virulence. The virulence of Ye is determined by several virulence factors, the most important ones being the \textit{Yersinia} adhesin A (YadA),\textsuperscript{31,32} the adhesion Invasin (Inv)\textsuperscript{33} and a type III secretion system.\textsuperscript{34,35} YadA and Inv mediate binding to host cells via extracellular matrix proteins and/or β1-integrins.\textsuperscript{36,37,38} Besides facilitating attachment to host cells, YadA also mediates serum resistance, an important virulence trait. Serum resistance is achieved by the interaction with various negative regulators of the complement cascade, namely factor H, C3b and iC3b\textsuperscript{39,40,41,42} and vitronectin.\textsuperscript{43}

Our research aims at the identification of new targets worthwhile to be addressed in the development of new antiinfective drugs directed against Gram-negative pathogens. We therefore addressed the role of the non-essential components of the OMP biogenesis machinery (BamB, C, E, DegP, Skp, SurA) for virulence associated phenotypes and overall virulence. To this end we used the model organism Ye, generated single gene knockouts, phenotypically characterized the resulting strains and finally tested their virulence in a mouse model of infection.

\textbf{Materials and methods}

\textbf{Bacterial strains and growth conditions}

Bacteria used in this study are listed in Table S1. Ye strains were routinely grown at 27°C in lysogeny broth (LB) containing suitable antibiotics overnight with shaking. Antibiotics were added at the following concentrations: nalidixic acid 10 µg/ml, kanamycin 25 µg/ml, spectinomycin (Sp) 100 µg/ml, chloramphenicol (Cm) 25 µg/ml and tetracyclin (Tet) 6 µg/ml. Overnight cultures were diluted into fresh medium to an OD\textsubscript{600} of 0.1. To generate growth
curves, bacteria were grown in 50 ml LB medium with shaking and growth was recorded by measuring the OD at 600 nm every 30 min over 9 h at 37°C, respectively. Expression of bamB from pASK-IBA4C was induced using anhydrotetracyclin (AHTC) in a final concentration of 200 ng/ml in overnight culture and subculture.

**Generation of knockout strains**

The knockout strains, that are listed in Table S1 were generated using the suicide plasmid pSB890Y (a derivative of pSB890\(^{46}\) where internal PstI restriction sites were removed). The sequences of primers used are listed in Table S2. First, pSB890Y was linearized by PCR using the primers gib_uni_890_r2 and gib_uni_890_f2. Also the flanking regions ~1000 bp up- and downstream of the target genes were amplified by PCR using the primer pairs listed in the category “Generation of deletion mutants” in Table S2. The vector pSB890Y and the flanking regions were then assembled using a selfmade Gibson Assembly Master Mix\(^{47}\) containing T5 Exonuclease (10U µl, Epi-centre), Phusion Polymerase (2U µl, New England Biolabs) and Taq-DNA-Ligase (40U µl, New England Biolabs). Next, the strain *E. coli* 118\(^{8}\)pir was transformed with the resulting plasmid. Tet\(^{R}\) clones (indicative for the presence of pSB890Y) were selected and the presence of the inserts comprising the up- and downstream regions of the target genes was verified by PCR with primers pSB890_seq_f and pSB890_seq_r. The plasmid was then isolated using the peqGold plasmid miniprep kitI (VWR) and transformed into the diaminopimelic acid auxotroph strain *E. coli* B2163. Finally, the plasmid was transferred into the *Ye* wildtype strain via mating, which resulted in Tet\(^{R}\) merodiploid Ye. After growth at 27°C without antibiotics for 24 h, Ye were counterselected on sucrose for loss of pSB890Y. pSB890Y encodes for a Levansucrase (sacB) that produces a toxic metabolite if grown on sucrose. Only if the plasmid was lost due to a second recombination event, Ye could grow on sucrose-containing media. The knockout of target genes was verified by PCR using the primer pairs listed in the category “Verification of deletions (genomic DNA)” in Table S2.

**Generation of complementation constructs**

For complementation of the *bamB, surA* and *skp* mutant, the coding sequences were amplified by PCR from genomic DNA of Ye wildtype strain and were assembled with the vector pACYC or pASK-IBA4C for inducible expression (bamB complementation) using Gibson Assembly Master Mix. Primer pairs used for the generation of the different pACYC constructs are listed under the category “Cloning of expression vectors for complementation of deletions” in Table S2.

**Antibiotic sensitivity**

Sensitivity of the different mutant strains to rifampicin, erythromycin, vancomycin and bacitracin was measured by a disk diffusion assay using 6 mm filter paper-disks (BD) after growth at 27°C for 20 h, as described previously.\(^{48}\) Three independent experiments were carried out for each strain.

**Sensitivity against bile salts/ SDS**

Sensitivity against bile salts or SDS was assessed by the ability to grow on Mac Conkey agar (1.5 mg/ml bile salts) or LB agar containing 0.0125 % SDS by plating a dilution series and determining the presence of bacterial growth after 24 h at 27°C.

**Preparation of OM fractions**

For preparation of an OM protein fraction 50 ml of bacterial culture grown at 37°C for 4 h with matched numbers of bacterial cells were used. Cells were harvested and resuspended in 500 µl resuspension buffer (0.2 M Tris, 1 M sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8). Then 500 µg lysozyme (20 units µg; MSB) and 3.2 ml water were added and the samples were incubated for 20 min at room temperature. After the addition of lysis buffer (2% Triton X-100, 50 mM Tris, 10 mM MgCl\(_2\), pH 8) protoplasts were lysed. Released DNA was digested with 50 µg DNasel (10 mg/ml, Roche Applied Science). OMs were pelleted by centrifugation at 77.100 × g for 45 min at 4°C. Resulting pellet was washed in buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl pH8, 2% (w/v) Triton-X-100 and 10 mM MgCl\(_2\), centrifuged at 85.000 × g for 15 min at 4°C. After three washing steps with water, the membranes were resuspended in SDS sample buffer. The OM proteins in the samples were visualized by staining SDS gels with Coomasie Brilliant Blue (Bio-Rad) for 1 h followed by destaining. For recording of images the Odyssey imaging system (Li-Cor Biosciences) was used.

**Western blot analysis**

For analysis of whole cell lysates, samples were taken after subculturing for 4 h at 27°C (analysis of Inv expression) or 37°C (analysis of YadA expression). Pellets were resuspended in H\(_2\)O and Laemmli buffer (Bio-Rad) to obtain 5×10\(^6\) bacteria/ml. Samples were boiled for 10 min at 95°C before loading on the gel. After SDS-PAGE proteins were transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. The membranes were blocked overnight with 5% milk powder in PBS at 4°C. Membranes were probed with antisera against Inv (rabbit anti-Inv, 1:2000), YadA (rabbit anti-YadA, 1:1000), OmpA (rabbit
anti-OmpA, 1:1000), OmpF (rabbit anti-OmpF, 1:1000), BamB (rabbit anti-BamB, 1:1000) and RNA-Polymerase β subunit (mouse anti-RNA-Polymerase, Neoclon, 1:1000) and secondary antibodies goat anti-rabbit IgG DyLight 680 conjugate or goat anti-mouse IgG DyLight 800 conjugate (Thermo Fisher scientific). For detection of bands, the Odyssey imaging system (Li-Cor Biosciences) was used.

Quantification of Inv and YadA surface display by flow cytometry

After growth for 4 h at 27°C (analysis of Inv expression) or 37°C (analysis of YadA expression), 5 × 10^7 bacteria were harvested, washed with PBS, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and blocked with 1% BSA in PBS. Cells were incubated with rabbit anti-Inv or rabbit anti-YadA (1:200) antibodies overnight at 4°C followed by incubation with secondary antibody donkey anti rabbit-APC (1:200, Jackson ImmunoResearch) for 1 h at room temperature. Surface display of Inv or YadA was measured by flow cytometry using an LSRFortessa cell analyzer (BD Biosciences). Data were analyzed with WinMDI (J. Trotter) software.

RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR

Relative mRNA levels of target genes were determined using quantitative real-time PCR (RT-PCR). Bacteria were grown for 4 h at 27°C (for verification of deletions at mRNA level) or at 37°C (for quantification of mRNA levels in relation to the MS data which were obtained at 37°C) in LB medium. RNA of bacteria was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer protocol. Genomic DNA was digested with DNAsel (recombinant, RNase free 10 U µl; Roche). Primer pairs used for RT-PCR are listed in the category “Verification of deletions (qRT-PCR)” and “Quantification of expression levels of selected OMPS” in Table S2. For quantitative RT-PCR the Brilliant II SYBR® Green 1-Step Master Mix (Agilent) was used. Relative quantifications were performed with a LightCycler480II instrument (Roche). For determination of transcriptional levels of target genes with the expression of gyrB as a reference the advanced relative quantification tool of the LightCycler480 software 1.5 was used. Experiments were performed three times independently.

NanoLC-MS/MS analysis

OM protein fractions were subjected to 1D SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie Brilliant blue (Bio-Rad) for 1 h followed by destaining. Lanes were cut into three slices of comparable size and digested in gel with trypsin as described previously. Peptide mixtures were separated on the EasyLC nano-HPLC (Proxeon Biosystems) coupled to an LTQ Orbitrap XL (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Binding and chromatographic separation of the peptides was performed on a 15 cm fused silica emitter with an inner diameter of 75 µm (Proxeon Biosystems), in-house packed with reversed-phase ReproSil-Pur C18-AQ 3 µm resin (Dr. Maisch GmbH). The peptide mixtures were injected in HPLC solvent A (0.5% acetic acid) at a flow rate of 500 nl/min and subsequently eluted with an 127 minute segmented gradient of 5–33–50–90% of HPLC solvent B (80% acetonitrile in 0.5% acetic acid) at a flow rate of 200 nl/min. The mass spectrometer was operated in the data-dependent mode to automatically switch between MS and MS/MS acquisition. Precursor ions were acquired in the mass range from m/z 300 to 2000 in the Orbitrap mass analyzer at a resolution of 60,000. Accumulation target value of 10^6 charges was set and the lock mass option was used for internal calibration. The 10 most intense ions were sequentially isolated and fragmented in the linear ion trap using collision-induced dissociation (CID) at the ion accumulation target value of 5000 and default CID settings. The ions already selected for MS/MS were dynamically excluded for 90 s. The resulting peptide fragment ions were recorded in the linear ion trap.

MS data processing and analysis

Acquired MS spectra were processed with MaxQuant software package version 1.5.2.8 with integrated Andromeda search engine. Database search was performed against a target-decoy Ye serotype O:8 specific database obtained from Uniprot, containing 4172 protein entries, and 245 commonly observed contaminants. This database did not include an entry for TolC. Therefore, data were also analysed with a Ye Uniprot database containing 23,675 protein entries. Endoprotease trypsin was defined as the protease with a maximum missed cleavage of two. Oxidation of methionines and N-terminal acetylation were specified as variable modifications, whereas carbamidomethylation on cysteines was defined as a fixed modification. Initial maximum allowed mass tolerance was set to 4.5 ppm (for the survey scan) and 0.5 Da for CID fragment ions. A false discovery rate of 1% was applied at the peptide and protein level. The label-free algorithm was enabled, as was the “match between runs” option. MaxQuant output table was filtered for OM proteins (lipoproteins and β-barrel proteins). Forty-seven OM proteins could be identified and were kept for further analysis. Perseus software (version 1.5.0.15), a module from the MaxQuant suite, was used for calculation of the significance B (psigB) for each protein ratio (calculated based on averaged LFQ intensities)
with respect to the distance of the median of the distribution of all protein ratios as well as its LFQ intensity. All proteins with psigB < 0.05 in a pairwise comparison were considered to be differentially expressed. The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange\textsuperscript{54} Consortium via the PRIDE\textsuperscript{55} partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD004429 (http://proteomecentral.proteomeexchange.org/cgi/GetDataset). Username: reviewer56328@ebi.ac.uk Password: XBrxYXb4E) and in Table S4. Hydropathy indices of proteins were calculated using the tool http://www.gravy-calculator.de/.

LPS analysis

LPS analysis was performed as described previously\textsuperscript{56,57} using 4% stacking and 12% separating deoxycholate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (DOC-PAGE). After the run the material was visualized by silver staining.\textsuperscript{58}

Quantification of O-antigen surface display by flow cytometry

After growth for 4 h at 27°C, 1 × 10\textsuperscript{8} bacteria were harvested, washed with PBS, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and blocked with 1% BSA in PBS. Cells were incubated with Ye O:8-antigen-specific mouse F(ab\textsuperscript{2}) antisera (1:20) monoclonal antibody\textsuperscript{59} overnight at 4°C followed by incubation with secondary antibody goat anti mouse IgGCy2 (1:200,Dianova) for 1 h at room temperature. Surface display of O-antigen was measured by flow cytometry using an LSRFortessa cell analyzer (BD Biosciences). Data were analyzed with WinMDI (J. Trotter) software.

Microscopy

For preparation of electron microscopy pictures bacteria were grown at 37°C for 5 h, fixed in Karnovsky's fixative, embedded in agarose, coagulated, cut in small blocks and fixed again in Karnovsky's solution. After post-fixation and embedding in glycid ether blocks were cut using an ultramicrotome. Sections (30 nm) were mounted on copper grids and analyzed using a Zeiss LIBRA 120 transmission electron microscope.

Mouse infection experiments

Mouse infection experiments were performed according to German law with permission of the Regierungspräsidium Tübingen (permission number H1/14). Groups of five female C57/B6 mice (Harlan) of similar age (6–8 weeks) and weight (~17 g), were injected intravenously with 1 × 10\textsuperscript{8} Ye wildtype or mutant bacteria in PBS that had been grown for 4 h at 37°C. Mice were sacrificed after one or three days post infection and the colony forming units (CFU) in the spleen were determined. For oral infection groups of seven BALB/c mice (Harlan) of similar age (6–8 weeks) and weight (~17 g) were fed 1 × 10\textsuperscript{8} Ye wildtype or mutant bacteria in PBS that had been grown for 4 h at 27°C. At one, three and five days post infection the CFU in feces were determined. At day five post infection mice were sacrificed and the CFU in spleen, peyers patches and mesenteric lymph nodes were determined. Organs were homogenized in 4 ml (spleen), 2 ml (peyers patches) or 1 ml (mesenteric lymph nodes and feces) sterile PBS (Life Technologies). The number of bacteria and the detection limit were determined as described previously.\textsuperscript{60} The detection limit of CFU per gram was 500 (log\textsubscript{10} 500 = 2.69; spleen), 1000 (log\textsubscript{10} 1111 = 3.05; peyers patches), 333 (log\textsubscript{10} 333 = 2.47; mesenteric lymph nodes) and 654 (log10 654 = 2.8; feces).

Serum killing assay

Normal human serum (NHS) was collected from at least 4 healthy volunteers and pooled. The IgG and IgM antibody titer against Yersinia in serum was tested by ELISA. Only IgG and IgM negative pooled serum was used throughout the experiments. Aliquots were stored at −80°C and thawed only once. Heat inactivated serum was generated by incubating the serum at 56°C for 30 min immediately before use. To analyze the susceptibility of Ye against complement mediated killing, 5 × 10\textsuperscript{6} bacteria were incubated in 5% HIS or NHS for 15 min at 37°C. After that the samples were placed on ice for 5 min and an equal volume of brain heart infusion medium was added to stop complement activity. Serial dilutions of the samples were prepared and plated on selective agar plates. After incubation at 27°C for 24 h CFU were determined by counting.

Statistics

Data are means ± SD. Statistical analysis was carried out using GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA) applying one-way ANOVA analysis with either Dunett's multiple comparison test or with a Kruskal Wallis test and Dunn's multiple comparisons test as described in the figure legends. Differences were considered significant if *p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01, ***p ≤ 0.001.

Results

Generation of knockout strains and impact of knockouts on growth of Ye

In order to address the importance of BamB, BamC, BamE, DegP, SurA and Skp for fitness and virulence of
Ye, we generated single gene knockouts by a mating and homologous recombination strategy using a suicide plasmid (see Materials and Methods section for details). To this end we used the strain Ye WA-314. The resulting single gene knockout strains were verified by PCR and the different mutant strains. The deletion of target genes was verified by qRT-PCR using gyrA as reference gene. Ye whole cell lysates were probed with antibodies raised against E. coli BamB or BamC that exhibited cross-reactivity with the respective Ye proteins (all other available antibodies did not cross-react with the Ye homologs). The calculated molecular weight of the tested proteins from E. coli and Ye homologs are indicated in the table on the right-hand side.

**Figure 1.** Verification of knockout strains. (A) Genomic DNA of indicated strains was isolated and the deletion of target genes was verified by PCR. The expected product sizes are indicated in the table on the right-hand side. (B) Total RNA was extracted from Ye wildtype and the different mutant strains. The deletion of target genes was verified by qRT-PCR using gyrA as reference gene. (C) Ye whole cell lysates were probed with antibodies raised against E. coli BamB or BamC that exhibited cross-reactivity with the respective Ye proteins. However, only the BamB and BamC-specific antibodies did cross-react with the Yersinia proteins (Fig. 1C). Though, PCR and RT-PCR clearly demonstrate that our single gene deletions were successful for all targeted genes. To test if the individual knockout of BamB, BamC, BamE, SurA, DegP, or Skp had a general impact on Ye growth at host temperature, we performed growth curves at 37°C (Fig. 2A). Compared to the wildtype strain only the BamB deficient strain displayed slightly reduced growth. This turned obvious at 4 h after inoculation and sustained throughout recording of the growth curve.
Figure 2. Growth of single-gene knockout strains. Bacteria were grown at 37°C for 9 h in LB. Samples were taken every 30 min and the OD of appropriate dilutions was determined at 600 nm. (A) Comparison of growth of the parent strain Ye wildtype, all mutant strains Ye ΔbamB, ΔbamC, ΔbamE, ΔdegP, ΔsurA and Δskp and the complemented mutants Ye ΔbamB-pACYC, ΔsurA-pACYC and Δskp-pACYC. (B) Growth curves of Ye wildtype strain, ΔbamB strain and ΔbamB strain carrying wildtype BamB on a plasmid (ΔbamB-pACYC).

Assessment of OM Integrity

There are different means to assess the integrity and permeability barrier function of the Gram-negative OM. Usually the OM of Gram-negatives quite effectively excludes several antibiotics from entry into the cell. It was reported earlier that the loss of BamB in E. coli leads to an increase in the susceptibility against various antibiotics as a result of increased permeability of the OM.48,62 We therefore investigated the susceptibility of the different Ye knockout strains to the antibiotics rifampicin, erythromycin, vancomycin and bacitracin using a disk diffusion assay (Table 1). We found that the sensitivity of the ΔbamC and the ΔdegP mutant strains to all antibiotics used was comparable to that of the wildtype strain, whereas the ΔbamE mutant showed increased susceptibility to erythromycin. Also the Δskp mutant was more sensitive to erythromycin compared to the wildtype strain. Additionally, the inhibition zone around the vancomycin disk was hazy, which could mean that the concentration of vancomycin was subinhibitory for this mutant. More striking phenotypes were observed with the ΔbamB mutant that displayed increased sensitivity to rifampicin, erythromycin, vancomycin but not to bacitracin. The greatest impact on antibiotic sensitivity was observed in the ΔsurA mutant strain that displayed increased sensitivity to all four antibiotics tested as compared to the wildtype strain. By introducing plasmids harbouring wildtype bamB, surA or skp into the corresponding deletion strains the wildtype phenotype could be restored at least partially. These results demonstrate that in Ye the loss of either BamB or SurA significantly enhances the efficacy of selected antibiotics. The observed effect is most likely the result of impaired OM integrity. Skp and BamE seem to play only minor or redundant roles in keeping up OM integrity. The loss of BamC or DegP did not affect the OM permeability in our hands.

Another possibility to assess the integrity and function of the OM is to challenge the bacteria with detergents like bile salts or SDS. As for antibiotics, the OM usually is an efficient barrier for these substances.

Table 1. Sensitivity of knockout strains against antibiotics, bile salts and SDS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain/Zone of growth on MacConkey agar</th>
<th>Rifampicin</th>
<th>Erythromycin</th>
<th>Vancomycin</th>
<th>Bacitracin</th>
<th>Growth on SDS 0.0125 %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ye wildtype</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>+6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ye ΔbamB</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ye ΔbamB-pACYC-bamB</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>+/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ye ΔbamC</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ye ΔbamE</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ye ΔdegP</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ye ΔsurA</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ye Δskp-pACYC-skp</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ye Δskp</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Antibiotic sensitivity was determined by a disk diffusion assay as described in material and methods. The diameter of the inhibition zone around the disk (diameter 6 mm) was measured and is shown in mm. Rif = Rifampicin, Ery = Erythromycin, Van = Vancomycin, Ba = Bacitracin. Sensitivity to bile salts and SDS was assessed by the ability of the bacteria to grow on plates containing these compounds as described in material and methods section. Growth of the strains in the presence of bile salts is indicated by (R), whereas strains that did not grow are labeled by (S). Growth of the strains in the presence of SDS is indicated by a (+), whereas strains that did not grow are labeled by (−).
We therefore tested growth of the different knockout strains on MacConkey agar, which contains bile salts, or agar containing SDS. We found that the sensitivity of the $\Delta$bamC, $\Delta$bamE, $\Delta$degP, and $\Delta$skp mutants to bile salts and SDS was comparable to wildtype (Table 1). In contrast, the $\Delta$bamB and the $\Delta$surA mutants displayed increased sensitivity to both bile salts and SDS compared to the wildtype strain. Again, these phenotypes were restored by introducing wildtypic bamB or wildtypic surA into the corresponding mutants. Overall these results corroborate our findings obtained by the disk diffusion assays and demonstrate that BamB and SurA are essential for maintaining envelope integrity in Ye. Moreover, BamB and SurA seem to fulfill non-redundant functions, whereas BamC, BamE, DegP, and Skp appear to play only a minor role.

**OM protein composition of knockout strains**

OM integrity is significantly determined by OMP abundance and composition. As we had observed that the OM integrity is disturbed in some of the mutant strains we wanted to get a more in-depth view into their OMP profile. To do so, we prepared OMP fractions of equal bacterial numbers as described elsewhere and assessed gross OMP composition by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie blue staining. We found that the pattern and the intensity of numerous bands in all knock-out strains differed to variable extent compared to the wildtype strain (Fig. 3A). The most noticeable effect was observed in the $\Delta$bamB mutant strain where the levels of almost all OMPs were drastically reduced. To get a more comprehensive insight into which set of OMPs is affected by the absence of BamB, SurA or Skp (i.e. the strains which had shown some phenotype in the experiments carried out before) we performed proteome analysis of OM fractions and compared it to the wildtype strain (Fig. 3B, coloured columns). Semiquantitative proteomic analysis was performed using tryptic in-gel digestion and LC-MS/MS analysis. We could identify 47 OMPs (lipoproteins and $\beta$-barrel proteins; for sake of clarity only some of these are included in Fig. 3B; for the full list please refer to http://proteomcentral.proteomexchange.org/cgi/GetDataset using the Dataset Identifier PXD004429 or Table S4), that were kept for further analysis. Compared to Ye wildtype six OMPs were identified as having significantly higher or lower signal intensities by applying a stringent selection ($p < 0.05$). In the $\Delta$bamB mutant strain BamE (Protein ID A1JKI3: $\log_2$ $\Delta$bamB/WT = 3.12) and a OmpA-C like protein (Protein ID A1J757: $\log_2$ $\Delta$bamB/WT = 4.21) were significantly more abundant, in the $\Delta$skp
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mutant strain we found a membrane-bound lytic murein transglycosylase A precursor (Protein ID A1JPB3; log2 Δskp/WT = 1.57) and a starvation-inducible outer membrane lipoprotein (Protein ID A1JRD0: log2 Δskp/WT = 0.87) at significantly higher intensities. In both the ΔsurA and Δskp mutant strains, FyuA (Protein ID A1JTG3: log2 ΔsurA/WT = −4.10; log2 Δskp/WT = −2.36) was significantly less abundant. Additionally, we observed a significant reduction of Inv (Protein ID A1JT35: log2 ΔsurA/WT = −4.37) in the ΔsurA mutant strain. Being especially interested in the effects of the knockouts on the abundance of virulence factors, the BAM itself and the most abundant OMPs we generated a summary about the effects on protein levels shown as the log2 (mutant/wildtype)-fold change of label-free quantification (LFQ) intensities (Fig. 3B). To decipher if the observed changes in protein abundance might be attributed to downregulation of gene expression, we assessed the relative mRNA levels of representative candidates in the bamB, surA or skp deletion mutants by quantitative RT-PCR (Fig. 3B; changes in mRNA levels are indicated by +, =, - - and - - for precise numbers please refer to Table S3). As expected, a reduction of mRNA was associated with reduced abundance of protein for all of the highly abundant major OMPs we tested (OmpA, OmpF, LamB). Interestingly, we found that in some cases the strong reduction of protein abundance could not be explained by massive downregulation of mRNA expression. This holds true for Inv and FyuA in the ΔsurA and LptD in the ΔbamB, ΔsurA and Δskp mutant strain. Inv, FyuA and LptD might thus be defined as “true” substrates of SurA, strictly relying on its presence in order to be inserted efficiently into the bacterial OM. We also found that in the ΔbamB mutant all BAM-complex components were more abundant in the OM whereas the corresponding mRNA levels were at wildtype levels. A possible explanation for this is that upon the downregulation of the highly abundant porins (OmpA, C, F, X and LamB) the spectrum of BAM substrates changes profoundly. Consequently, other BAM substrates whose mRNA expression remains unchanged - such as BamA - are inserted into the OM at a higher rate. This might result in the assembly of more BAM complexes in the OM of the ΔbamB mutant strain compared to the wildtype strain. Taken together, we identified the Ye virulence factor Inv as a new true substrate of SurA and figured out that also other important OMPs that contribute either to virulence or bacterial fitness (i.e. FyuA as the receptor for Yersiniabactin that is decisive for efficient iron uptake;67 and LptD, an essential protein in E. coli that is involved in LPS transport68) are less abundant in the mutant strains. Their loss might consequently influence the virulence especially of the Ye ΔbamB, ΔsurA and possibly also of the Δskp mutant strain.

Expression and surface presentation of Inv and YadA in knockout strains

One interesting finding of our MS analyses was that YadA and Inv were affected to different extent by the knockout of bamB, surA or skp. Both YadA and Inv belong to the same membrane protein family that consists of numerous virulence factors and is termed the type V secretion system.6,8,69 Also called autotransporters (AT). Whereas YadA belongs to the type Vc AT and forms trimers, Inv is a monomeric, inverted AT belonging to the type Ve AT. Both YadA and Inv are known to be inserted into the OM BAM-dependently70,71 and both are anchored to the OM by a 12-stranded β-barrel (consisting of 3 monomers contributing 4 β-strands each in the case of YadA). Thus, we wondered why Inv biogenesis was affected more severely by the absence of BamB or SurA than that of YadA. To this end, we first wanted to verify our MS data by quantifying the OM abundance of YadA and Inv by other means. We prepared whole cell lysates and performed Western blots and additionally carried out flow cytometry analysis with intact bacteria using antibodies directed against the passenger domains of YadA or Inv, respectively (Fig. 4). Whereas YadA protein levels were hardly affected by any of the knockouts (Fig. 4A), we observed a clear reduction of the Inv total protein amount in the Ye ΔbamB (Fig 4C, upper panel) and ΔsurA (Fig 4B, upper panel) strains and consequently also of passenger domain surface display as assessed by flow cytometry (Fig. 4B and Fig 4C, lower panel). This reduction could be restored by the ectopic expression of SurA from a plasmid (samples labelled -pACYC for complementation). However, in the case of BamB it seemed that the expression level achieved with this plasmid was not adequate to complement for the absence of BamB in the bacterial chromosome. Therefore, we generated another plasmid for the complementation of the ΔbamB mutant strain that allowed inducible expression and therefore titration of the amount of BamB produced. Using this plasmid the reduction of Inv could be restored in the ΔbamB mutant strain. Taken together, it seems that the BAM complex can efficiently catalyze YadA β-barrel assembly and OM insertion even in the absence of BamB in the bacterial chromosome. Therefore, we attempted to generate a surA-skp double knockout mutant, but the deletion of both chaperones seems to be synthetically lethal in Ye. This observation has been described before for E. coli.72 In contrast, the efficient folding and insertion of Inv is
Figure 4. Differential impact of single gene knockouts on YadA and Inv protein levels and surface presentation. (A upper panel) Ye wild-type and the different mutant strains were grown in LB. Whole cell lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and probed with antibodies raised against YadA. As a loading control, all samples were probed with antibodies directed against bacterial RNA-Polymerase I (RNA-Pol.). (A lower panel) Flow cytometry analysis of YadA cell surface exposure was investigated using antibodies directed against YadA. Bar charts show values of the mean fluorescence intensities +/− SD of 3 independent bacterial cultures. (B upper panel) Western blot analysis of Ye whole cell lysates as described above, but probed with anti-Inv antibodies. (B lower panel) Flow cytometry analysis of Inv cell surface exposure was carried out using antibodies directed against Inv. (C upper panel) Western blot analysis of Ye whole cell lysates as described above for Ye wildtype, ΔbamB, ΔbamB-pIBA and ΔInvasin strains, using antibodies directed against Inv, BamB and RNA-Pol. (C lower panel) Flow cytometry analysis of Inv cell surface exposure in Ye wildtype, ΔbamB, ΔbamB-pIBA and ΔInvasin strains was carried out as described above. Bar charts show values of the mean fluorescence intensities +/− SD of 3 independent bacterial cultures. The main p value was determined by one-way ANOVA (B, flow cytometry: p < 0.0001). Multiple comparisons were performed by one-way ANOVA with a Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test and the p values are indicated with asterisks. *p ≤ 0.05; **p ≤ 0.01, ***p ≤ 0.001.
significantly impaired if BamB or SurA are absent. Possible reasons for this will be discussed later.

**Morphology of selected knockout strains**

So far, our experiments demonstrated that the deletion of BamB, SurA and, to a lesser extent, of Skp lead to changes in the OM integrity and abundance of selected OMPs. As the OM composition is intrinsically tied to shaping of the bacterial morphology, we asked whether our mutant strains displayed visible morphological changes. To address this question we prepared electron microscopy pictures of the Ye wildtype and the ΔbamB, ΔsurA and Δskp mutant strain. In the Ye ΔbamB, the ΔsurA and the Δskp mutant strains we observed the formation of outer membrane vesicles (OMVs; Fig. 5). The phenotype seemed to be somehow less pronounced in the Δskp mutant. The release of OMVs is a common phenomenon of all Gram-negative bacteria to secrete OM and periplasmic material and serves as an indicator for cell envelope stress. Thus, our results indicate that the loss of BamB, SurA or Skp induces cell envelope stress and accumulation of envelope components in Ye, which may then be released from the cell surface contained in OMV.

**Impact of knockouts on Ye virulence in mouse infection**

All our data obtained point at a profound change in OM integrity and OMP composition upon the loss of BamB, SurA and Skp. Therefore, we wanted to know how these changes translate into overall virulence of Ye. In order to assess the effect of the individual deletions of BamB, BamC, BamE, DegP, SurA or Skp during systemic infection, we injected female C57BL/6 mice intravenously with Ye. The bacterial burden (CFU) in the spleen was assessed one and three days post infection (Fig. 6A, left panel). One day post infection the Ye wildtype strain resulted in a bacterial burden of $7.33 \pm 0.42 \log_{10}$ per gram spleen whereas bacterial counts were below detection limit in the spleens of mice infected with the ΔbamB mutant ($p < 0.001$). The ΔbamC ($7.32 \pm 0.12 \log_{10}$ CFU), the ΔbamE ($7.01 \pm 0.34 \log_{10}$ CFU) and the ΔdegP ($7.61 \pm 0.14 \log_{10}$ CFU) mutant strains exhibited CFU comparable to the wildtype. The ΔsurA ($3.97 \pm 0.33 \log_{10}$ CFU; $p < 0.01$) strain was significantly attenuated, whereas the Δskp ($6.97 \pm 0.33 \log_{10}$ CFU) mutant was only slightly attenuated. To figure out the further development of the CFU of the ΔsurA and the Δskp mutant strains we assessed the bacterial burden in the spleen also at three days post infection (Fig. 6A, right panel). We were not able to detect Ye ΔsurA ($p < 0.01$) in the spleens of mice at this later timepoint. The CFU of the Δskp mutant strain ($5.38 \pm 3.23 \log_{10}$ CFU; $p < 0.01$) was still below wildtype levels ($9.77 \pm 0.11 \log_{10}$ CFU). This indicates that both the Δskp and the ΔsurA mutant are not able to replicate at wildtype levels in the host and are cleared more efficiently by the host.

The systemic mouse infection model is very helpful to determine the overall pathogenic potential of a Ye strain. However, the natural route of Ye infection is orogastric. In contrast to systemic infection where the bacteria are immediately confronted with host immune cells and also the complement system, stressors are rather different in the oral infection model. Here, the bacteria have to cope with the passage through the stomach and gut and to compete with the endogenous microbiota. To test how our mutant strains behave under these circumstances, we infected mice orally with the Ye wildtype strain or the ΔbamB, ΔsurA or Δskp mutant strains (Fig. 6B). The bacterial burden in feces was then determined at one, three and five days post infection. In animals infected with the wildtype strain we measured a bacterial burden of $5.52 \pm 1.79 \log_{10}$ CFU per gram feces at day one post infection. At day three and five the bacterial numbers

![Figure 5](image-url). Deletion of bamB, surA and skp leads to formation of OMV. Ye wildtype and the different mutant strains were grown in LB, fixed with Karnovsky-solution and then analysed by electron-microscopy. Scale bars correspond to 0.2 μm.
had increased (6.86 ± 1.30 log_{10} CFU at day 3; 8.71 ± 0.35 log_{10} CFU at day 5). In contrast, we found that the bacterial numbers were slightly lower in animals infected with ΔbamB (3.23 ± 0.83 log_{10} CFU), ΔsurA (4.02 ± 1.56 log_{10} CFU) and Δskp (3.04 ± 0.47 log_{10} CFU) mutant strains at day one post infection. After three days
the CFU of the ΔbamB (p ≤ 0.05) and the ΔsurA (p ≤ 0.001) mutant strains dropped below our detection limit. The Δskp mutant was still detectable at day three and five but at slightly lower CFU compared to the wildtype strain (5.27 ± 1.16 log_{10} CFU at day 3; 6.15 ± 1.04 log_{10} CFU at day 5). These results demonstrate that the ΔbamB and ΔsurA mutant strains were not detectable, whereas Ye Δskp (6.39 ± 0.80 log_{10} CFU/ g tissue) was detectable, but with slightly lower CFU compared to the wildtype (7.98 ± 1.89 log_{10} CFU/ g tissue). In the mesenteric lymph nodes we were able to detect wildtype only (4.54 ± 4.15 log_{10} CFU). The results of our animal infection models demonstrate that ΔbamB and ΔsurA are unable to disseminate, whereas the Δskp mutant is able to enter the peyer’s patches but cannot disseminate to the mesenteric lymph nodes. We could not detect any bacteria in the spleen five days after oral infection. Overall these data show that the loss of BamB or SurA and to a lesser degree Skp leads to attenuation of Ye in both systemic and oral infection.

Serum killing assay

One important virulence trait of Ye is serum resistance. Ye has evolved a multitude of mechanisms to evade the action of the serum complement and can therefore withstand complement-mediated lysis quite efficiently. Therefore, we wondered if this aspect of Ye virulence is influenced in the strains that were significantly attenuated in systemic infection and might thus explain the findings of our animal infection experiments. To compare the serum resistance of wildtype and the ΔbamB, the ΔsurA and the Δskp mutant strains we carried out serum killing assays (Fig. 7). Actually, we found that the ΔbamB, the ΔsurA and the Δskp mutant (although to a lesser extent) are highly susceptible to serum killing. We could restore this serum sensitivity phenotype by ectopic expression of BamB, SurA or Skp from plasmids. For complementation of the ΔsurA and the Δskp strain we used a plasmid (pACYC) that leads to constitutive expression of SurA or Skp respectively. For BamB we used an inducible plasmid, since the constitutive expression of BamB from a plasmid did not restore the phenotype. Serum sensitivity could be a decisive factor explaining the quick elimination of the ΔbamB, ΔsurA and the Δskp mutant strains in the systemic infection model either due to enhanced direct lysis by the terminal complement complex or due to more efficient opsonophagocytosis.

Discussion

In our study we wanted to investigate if single BAM-complex components and periplasmic chaperones could serve as targets to develop antivirulence drugs. We could show that SurA and BamB are key factors for virulence of Ye and might therefore serve as good candidates. Their loss led to significant attenuation in a mouse infection model and significantly enhanced killing by NHS. BamB and SurA are not only key factors determining virulence of Ye, but also in a number of other important Gram-negative pathogens. The importance of BamB has been described for E. coli and Salmonella. A key role of SurA for virulence was reported also for Salmonella enterica, Shigella flexneri, uropathogenic E. coli, Yersinia pseudotuberculosis and Yersinia pestis. Thus the spectrum of pathogens that could be treated by
new drugs that aim at reduction of virulence and impairment of OM barrier could be extended or adapted to a large range of Gram-negatives. In fact, the sequence homology of BamB and SurA in a variety of enteropathogens is quite high, particularly in the family of Enterobacteriaceae comprising e.g. Ye, E. coli, Salmonella enterica or Klebsiella pneumoniae (70% protein sequence identity and higher). Therefore, it is possible that a drug that targets BamB or SurA of Enterobacteriaceae can hit several pathogens. Besides targeting OM virulence factors of pathogens by interfering with their biogenesis a drug inhibiting SurA or BamB may also be very helpful to sensitize pathogens to treatment with available anti-biotic substances due to the general membrane defect that is induced. By lowering minimal inhibitory concentrations we additionally could reduce the consumption of antibiotics. This would be an important contribution to the avoidance of the emergence of antibiotic resistance and spread.

Our main interest here was to decipher the impact of the knockouts of non-essential BAM proteins and periplasmic chaperones on Ye virulence. Among the best-studied virulence factors of Ye are its adhesins Inv and YadA. We know that a deletion of Inv does not lead to reduced virulence, however, the course of Ye infection is somehow delayed in such mutant strains. In contrast, YadA deficient mutants are avirulent in mouse infection models. Even a reduction of YadA molecules in the OM may lead to a significant decrease in virulence. However, we think that the reduced virulence of the ΔbamB and ΔsurA mutant strains in the mouse infection is confounded by several aspects of Ye virulence. One candidate protein that has been shown to be required for full virulence of Ye in mice is the iron uptake receptor FyuA. FyuA abundance was strongly affected in the ΔsurA strain, also in the Δskp and to a lesser extent in the ΔbamB mutant strain and might thus contribute to reduced virulence. Another important virulence trait of Ye is serum resistance. The main factor determining serum resistance is YadA, but also LPS and Ail have been shown to contribute. However, since both YadA and Ail protein levels and surface display were hardly affected in the ΔbamB and ΔsurA mutant strains it is unlikely that changes in YadA or Ail abundance are the reason for the rapid and efficient serum killing we have observed. Therefore, we suspected that changes in LPS could contribute to this phenotype. However, although we had observed profound changes in the abundance of the LPS transport proteins LptD and LptE, the amount of surface exposed LPS (as revealed by O-antigen surface stainings; Fig. S1) of the ΔsurA and Δskp mutant strain was comparable to wildtype. Thus, enhanced serum killing does not seem to be an effect of impaired LPS transport onto the bacterial surface at least in the ΔsurA and Δskp mutant strain.

Actually, we found that in the ΔbamB mutant the LPS phenotype indeed was altered, i.e., it lacked O-antigen, whereas in the ΔsurA and Δskp mutant strains the O-antigen structure was not significantly different compared to the wildtype bacteria (Fig. S1).

The O-antigen does not contribute directly to serum resistance, however, it has been shown earlier that Ye lacking LPS O-antigen are highly susceptible to serum killing. Strikingly, YadA expression levels were comparable to wildtype in these strains. But even so, YadA-mediated binding to extracellular matrix proteins (collagen I and laminin) was reduced. It was hypothesized that this effect might be induced by conformational changes in the YadA tertiary structure caused by the absence of O-antigen. Such alterations have been shown to influence the interaction of YadA with collagen I. It is also conceivable that changes in the YadA tertiary structure might directly influence the serum resistance by changing the efficiency or the pattern of interactions with negative regulators of complement (factor H, C4BP, C3b, iC3b; reviewed in.). This is intriguing, as we have observed some time ago that a strain of Ye that expresses a YadA version that carries a single point mutation within its membrane anchor domain was present on the bacterial surface at wildtype levels, but also displayed reduced serum resistance. Also here, slight changes in tertiary structure that might alter the interaction with complement regulatory factors were one possible reason for explanation. Finally, an altered function of YadA might also be explained this way: the presence of O-antigen masks other factors on the surface of Ye that efficiently compete with YadA for the binding of ECM or serum proteins and thereby induce reduced serum resistance.

We claim that also the general reduction of OMP abundance might facilitate enhanced binding of terminal complement complex factors, simply due to better accessibility and possibly also due to changes in membrane charge. Taken together, we provide evidence that impaired serum resistance might contribute to the enhanced clearance of both Ye ΔbamB and ΔsurA in the systemic mouse infection model. The more pronounced phenotype of Ye ΔbamB regarding CFU in spleen is in good agreement with the greater importance of BamB also in maintenance of OM barrier integrity as assessed by sensitivity towards antibiotics, SDS and bile salts. Moreover, the lack of O-antigen in the ΔbamB mutant (Fig. S1) might also lead to further reduction of virulence. Indeed, it was shown previously that the O-antigen is a virulence factor in Ye and that a rough-mutant is attenuated in mouse infection. In the oro-gastric infection model, the disrupted OM barrier function of Ye ΔbamB and ΔsurA and the absence of O-antigen of Ye
ΔbamB might preclude the survival of Ye during the gastrointestinal passage as both strains have increased susceptibility to detergents and are unable to grow at pH 4.5 (own observation).

Besides deciphering the effect on virulence we wanted to investigate which effects the loss of single BAM-complex components and periplasmic chaperones has on the molecular level in Ye. We therefore analyzed the composition of OMPs and virulence factors. Data obtained by mass spectrometry analysis allowed us some insight into substrate preferences regarding BAM and periplasmic chaperones. We found that the absence of SurA or BamB led to significantly reduced levels of Inv in the OM but not of YadA, suggesting different contributions of these factors to the biogenesis of Inv and YadA. The loss of SurA or BamB significantly reduced the surface display of Inv, but did not alter the OM abundance of YadA. Thus, we assume that Inv is a true substrate of SurA, since its mRNA levels remained unchanged in the absence of SurA, whereas the OM insertion was reduced. Skp obviously is not able to take over the role of SurA for Inv transit and delivery to the BAM. In contrast, the decisive Ye virulence factor YadA can use both the chaperones SurA and Skp for periplasmic transit and BamB is dispensable for its assembly and OM insertion. YadA and Inv are both autotransporter adhesins with Inv being a monomer and YadA being a trimer made of three identical subunits that together form a single β-barrel. The actual mechanism of how these autotransporters are inserted independently of non-essential BAM proteins and the periplasmic chaperones but its assembly is strongly affected even at very early time points of BamA depletion. These criteria support the idea that YadA might belong to the class of TolC like BAM substrates. Consequently, we would like to support the recent suggestion that the susceptibility of these multimeric proteins specifically to BAM limitations is caused by the requirement of several BAM complexes to insert a multimer into the OM.

We also observed that not only the level of Inv (12 ß-strands) but also the levels of a variety of other OMPs, FyuA (22 ß-strands), LptD (26 ß-strands), OmpC (16 ß-strands), OmpF (16 ß-strands) and LamB (18 ß-strands), were reduced when BamB was absent. In the case of OmpF and LamB this can at least partly be attributed to a downregulation at mRNA level as a result of a σE stress response. Interestingly, we did not observe a markedly reduction of OmpA and OmpX in the ΔbamB mutant strain. The β-barrels of these OMPs are made of 8 ß-strands, whereas the β-barrels of OMPs that show high dependency on BamB for proper insertion, are made of 12 to 26 ß-strands. It was hypothesized previously, that BamB is more important for the biogenesis of OMPs that have large β-barrels by providing an increased β-strand binding capacity to the BAM. BamB forms an eight bladed β-propeller and is suggested to enhance OMP biogenesis efficiency by interacting with ß-strands from the nascent OMPs through β-augmentation before delivering them to BamA. Our results are in line with observations suggesting that BamB is important for assembly and insertion of OMPs consisting of monomeric β-barrels with a large number of ß-strands. Interestingly, we found that the OMPs that showed a high dependency on BamB for proper insertion (Inv, FyuA, LptD) appeared to be also more dependent on SurA, suggesting that OMPs delivered by SurA preferentially made use of BamB for assembly. Palomino and coworkers observed comparable results for the fimbrial usher FimD, an OMP from E. coli. Interestingly, BamA was kind of an exception from this rule. Although its β-barrel is composed of 16 ß-strands, its abundance was not reduced in the BamB deficient strain.

The recent suggestion that the susceptibility of these multimeric proteins specifically to BAM limitations is caused by the requirement of several BAM complexes to insert a multimer into the OM.
Actually, there is quite a good correlation for the impact of the absence of BamB on the number of \( \beta \)-strands and the hydrophathy. The lower the hydrophathy, the more striking the reduction of OM protein levels. Exceptions from this rule are FyuA which has hydropathy of \(-0.42\) albeit consisting of 22 strands and BamA which is rather unaffected by the absence of BamB and SurA although having 16 \( \beta \)-strands and a GRAVY index of \(-0.40\).

Finally, we would like to state that both BamB and SurA seem to be targets worthwhile to be addressed in future studies, possibly leading to the development of antivirulence or sensitizer drugs that will provide new options to treat infections caused by Gram-negative bacteria.
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