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Preschool children’s context-specific sedentary behaviours and parental socioeconomic status in Finland: a cross-sectional study
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ABSTRACT

Objectives This study examined the associations of parental socioeconomic status (SES) with preschoolers’ objectively measured sedentary time (SED) over the course of a week and with parent-reported children’s screen and reading times at home as indicators of sedentary behaviours (SB).

Design Cross-sectional.

Setting In years 2015 and 2016 in Finland.

Participants 864 children, aged 3–6 years, with their parents.

Outcome measures Children’s accelerometer data were transformed into average SED minutes per hour in different contexts (preschool, home during preschool days, weekend and total). Parent-reported children’s screen and reading times were expressed as average daily minutes. The SES indicators (maternal and paternal education and relative household income) were grouped into three categories. Linear or logistic regression analyses were used, with municipality, season, and children’s gender and age as covariates. CIs were adjusted for clustering at the preschool group level.

Results Children with low maternal (β=17.21, 95% CI: 8.71 to 25.71) and paternal (β=10.54, 95% CI: 0.77 to 20.30) education had overall screen time at home than their more advantaged counterparts. SES differences in overall screen time were mostly explained by TV viewing. Children with low as opposed to high maternal education (β=−2.66, 95% CI: −4.95 to −0.38) had less reading time at home. Children whose fathers were on the middle (β=−1.15, 95% CI: −2.01 to −0.29) educational level had less weekend SED than those with high paternal education. Otherwise, parental SES was not related to objectively measured SED.

Conclusions The results of this study highlight the fact that the associations between parental SES and preschoolers’ SB are dependent on the indicators of SES and SBD, and vary between different contexts. Generally, parental SES was not associated with SED, whereas some SES differences existed in screen time and reading time at home. Interventions aiming to diminish SES differences in children’s SB should focus on home hours.

Trial registration number ISRCTN57165350.

INTRODUCTION

Children as young as preschool age (defined here as aged 3 through 6 years) spend most of their waking hours in sedentary behaviours (SB),1 defined as set of activities characterised by low levels of energy expenditure and a sitting or reclining position.2 The overall sedentary time (SED) can be broken up into separate SBs—of which some are more harmful to health than others. The detrimental health effects of extensive screen-based SBs, especially TV viewing, on childhood obesity, other cardiometabolic risk markers, motor skill development, psychosocial well-being and cognitive development are recognised in several studies focusing on early years (roughly ages 0–5).3–6 On the other hand, a recent review points out the beneficial effects of reading (or being read to) for cognitive development at preschool age.7 There are limited indications of associations between overall objectively measured SED and health indicators among preschool children, but clearer evidence on adverse health outcomes of extensive SED has been found among adults.7–9 The SB habits formed at the preschool age tend to maintain throughout life course, and track over time predicting...
the future SB habits and health outcomes.\(^{10-13}\) Given this tracking tendency of SBs together with high levels of SB among contemporary preschool children population,\(^{14}\) understanding of the determinants of overall SED and specific SBs is relevant for health promotion strategies.

One important factor to be studied further is parental socioeconomic status (SES). A recent review concludes that a socioeconomic gradient for many predictors of obesity is established in early childhood, and health inequalities in early childhood predict poorer health later in life.\(^{15}\) Most previous studies focus on the associations between SES and preschoolers’ TV viewing, and there is concurrent evidence that preschoolers with a low SES background tend to spend more time watching TV than their counterparts with a high SES.\(^ {16-17}\) However, there is very little evidence with inconsistent findings of the possible SES differences in preschoolers’ objectively measured SED or in other specific SBs, such as reading and other screen-related SBs.\(^ {14-17}\) Other SBs are known to be major contributors to preschoolers’ overall SED,\(^ {18}\) and may have different associations with indicators of SES. Similarly, different indicators of SES (eg, education and income) may have different associations with preschoolers’ SBs.

Existing studies on preschool children also tend to concentrate on weekly average SBs without considering the possible differences over the course of the week (eg, weekdays and at weekends) or in different settings (eg, preschool or home). For example, there may be no SES differences in children’s SBs during preschool time given that early educators predetermine most behaviours and allow little flexibility. During out-of-preschool hours (later referred as home hours), parents have more an important role for planning and deciding the activities for their children. Given that SES modifies parental attitudes, experiences and exposures to different behaviours,\(^ {19-21}\) the behavioural variation among children may be wider at home. The results of studies conducted among school-aged children suggest that overall SED is higher after school hours and during weekends;\(^ {22}\)\(^ {23}\) hence, it would be relevant to find out if there are also SES differences in SED. A previous study found that preschoolers’ with higher maternal education had more SED in the evenings.\(^ {24}\) However, specific SBs were not observed in this study, which could explicate the SES differences in overall SED. This study examines the associations of parental SES with preschool children’s objectively measured SED over the course of a week and with preschool children’s parent-reported overall screen time, screen-specific time (TV viewing, computer use, DVD/video watching and tablet computer/smartphone use) and reading time at home as indicators of their SBs.

**METHODS**

**Study design**

The DAGIS (Increased Health and Wellbeing in Preschools) study is a long-term project with multiple data collection phases.\(^ {25}\) As part of this project a cross-sectional study was conducted between autumn 2015 and spring 2016, the aim being to investigate socioeconomic differences in children’s energy-balance-related behaviours. It was a multiple method study covering children, parents and preschools.

**Study population**

The cross-sectional study was conducted in eight municipalities situated in Southern and Western Finland. Municipalities in Finland are responsible for organising preschool services based on national guidelines. Each child has a subjective right to a preschool place, and 74% of children aged 3–5 years are in preschool. About 76% of all children who are in preschools attend those organised by the municipality.\(^ {26}\) Only municipality-based preschools were randomly selected for the study. The main recruitment criterion for preschools was that there had to be at least one group of children aged 3–6 years in the preschool. The working language in preschool needed to be either Finnish or Swedish. We also excluded purely preprimary education classes and preschools that are open for 24 hours a day.

Eighty-six heads of preschools (56% participation rate) gave their written consent for participation in the study. Once the willingness of the preschools was ascertained, information letters and consent forms were distributed to parents via the respective schools. The main parental recruitment criterion was to have at least one child aged 3–6 years attending preschool regularly. Parents of 983 children (27% consent rate) gave their written consent for the study. Given the recruitment criterion of including only preschools with more than a 30% consent rate in at least one of the groups, the survey was conducted in 66 preschools, among a total of 892 children whose parents had consented to their participation. However, no research data were available on 28 children; hence, the final total was 864 children (24% of those invited).

**MEASURES**

**Indicators of SBs**

Children wore an Actigraph W-GT3X accelerometer (Actigraph, Pensacola, Florida) on the hip 24 hours a day for 7 days. Actigraph has been validated and used extensively as an objective measure of physical activity (PA) and SED.\(^ {7,27-29}\) Research assistant attached accelerometer to the child’s waist in the preschool. The parents received written instructions about its use. During the 7 days, the children were wearing the accelerometers the parents filled in a diary in which they reported their child’s sleeping hours and preschool hours, non-wearing times of the accelerometer and possible sickness days.

The epoch length was set at 15 s. Periods of 10 min or more at zero accelerometer counts were considered to be non-wearing times, and were excluded. The Evenson SED cut-point with vertical axis (≤100 counts per minute) was applied,\(^ {30}\) having been shown to be a good estimate of...
free-living SED. Hours of night sleeping and reported sickness days were excluded from the analyses. Four variables with different time criteria were formed to indicate different times of the week: (1) total SED time (at least 600 min per day, for at least 4 days with 1 weekend day); (2) preschool SED (at least 240 min per day, for at least 2 days); (3) home SED during preschool days (the same days as used in the preschool variable); (4) weekend SED (at least 600 min per day). All these variables were adjusted for the wearing hours so as to indicate the children’s SED minutes in an average hour in different contexts. The presented time criteria were based on previous studies that have estimated the wearing hours and days that best illustrate preschoolers’ habitual SED and PA during a whole measurement week, or in separate contexts.

The above-mentioned diary included a daily report on the children’s SBs that was based on previously validated method. Of the original method, only the SB section was retained. We made some modifications to the original version, asking separately about TV watching and DVD/video watching, and we added the use of tablet computers and smartphones as an option (see the online supplementary material 1). The parents were asked to state in the diary whether their child carried out any of the listed activities while sitting down or being still. They reported daily on whether the child engaged in a certain activity, how many times and for how many hours and minutes in total. They were also asked to consider only the time periods outside preschool hours. We used the following activities from the diary in this study: reading or looking at a book (later called reading), TV viewing, DVD/video watching, computer use, tablet computer and smartphone use. The reported hours and minutes devoted to these activities were transformed into minutes. The weighted daily averages (5/7 on weekdays and 2/7 at weekends) of TV viewing, DVD/video watching, computer use, tablet computer and smartphone use. The use of TV, computers, tablet computers, smartphones and DVD/videos were combined into one variable, screen time, as well as analysed separately. No data on specific preschool-based SBs were collected.

**Indicators of SES**

The educational level of both parents was reported in the consent form: they were asked to rank their highest educational attainment on a seven-item list. The response options were re-organised into three groups: a low educational attainment on a seven-item list. The response options ranged from less than €500 (1) to over €10000 (10) per month. The total household net income was divided by the number of family members using a standard equivalence scale that gave a weight to all members of the household. This relative household income variable was categorised into tertiles. Low-income families had a monthly-equalised income of less than €1894, and high-income families an income of €2501 or more.

**Covariates**

The analyses were adjusted for municipality, the child’s age and gender, and the season during which the accelerometer was used. Parents reported the child’s age and gender. Age was treated as continuous variable in the analyses. The season variable was divided into three categories: 1=September-October, 2=November-December and 3=January-April. Both the season and the municipality variables were treated as dummy variables.

**Statistical analyses**

The SPSS V.23 (SPSS) was used to derive the descriptive statistics. Screen time (n=4) and home SED (n=1) had outliers beyond three SD of the mean, and were thus removed from the analyses.

Linear regression analyses were conducted to examine the associations between the SES indicators and each SED variable, overall screen time and reading time. Logistic regression analyses were conducted to examine the associations between the SES indicators and TV viewing, DVD/video watching, computer use and tablet computer/smartphone use. Due to non-normal distribution, these four variables were dichotomised for logistic regression analyses so that children with highest 25% of using/viewing time were compared with other children. Mplus V.7.4. (Muthen & Muthen, Los Angeles, California, USA) with Maximum Likelihood Estimation and Robust Standard Errors was used to perform linear and logistic regression analyses. The non-independence of observations due to cluster sampling (children in the preschool groups) was taken into account in the analyses, and the highest SES group was treated as a reference category. After all the linear and logistic regression analyses were conducted, the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure was carried out for the obtained p values to control the false discovery rate. The significance level was established at p<0.05 and the false discovery rate was 0.25.

**RESULTS**

Of the 864 participating children, 17 (2%) did not want to wear the accelerometer and 20 (2%) did not return the diary. In addition, two accelerometers were not installed properly and two were not returned. We therefore had data from 821 children (95% of the participants) to be used in forming the variables. In accordance with the criteria presented above, between 772 and 789 children had produced the required amount of accelerometer data for the analyses. Those who did not produce valid accelerometer data for total time and weekend SED were
more likely to have a mother with a lower level of education than those who produced valid accelerometer data (data not shown). The overall average of daily wearing time was 773 min. A total of 771 parents filled in the diary properly. There were no differences in SES indicators between those who produced valid or invalid diary data. Parent-reported daily screen time correlated positively with objectively measured home SED (r=0.95, p=0.010) and with weekend SED (r=0.92, p=0.013), but negatively with preschool SED (r=-0.14, p<0.001). Reading did not correlate with any other outcomes. TV viewing correlated with preschool SED (r=-0.08, p=0.05), weekend SED (r=0.13, p=0.001) and total SED (r=0.08, p=0.05). Tablet computer/smartphone use correlated with preschool SED (r=-0.14, p<0.001), home SED (r=0.17, p<0.001), weekend SED (r=0.14, p<0.001) and total SED (r=0.08, p=0.05). Maternal education correlated with paternal education (r=0.49, p<0.001) and relative household income (r=0.31, p<0.001), and paternal education correlated with relative household income (r=0.32, p<0.001). Sample characteristics of the participants are described in Table 1.

Table 2 presents the results on the associations of maternal education, paternal education and relative household income with objectively measured preschool children’s SED in different contexts. According to the findings, children whose fathers had a medium as opposed to a high level of education had, on average, 1.2 min (95% CI: −2.01 to −0.29) less weekend SED per hour.

Table 3 presents the results on the associations of maternal education, paternal education and relative household income with children’s daily overall screen time and reading time at home. Compared with children whose mothers had a high level of education, those with a low or a medium level of maternal education had, respectively and on average, 17.21 (95% CI: 8.71 to 25.71) and 11.17 (95% CI: 3.69 to 18.64) min more screen time daily. Children whose fathers had a low level of education had, on average, 10.54 (95% CI: 0.77 to 20.30) min more screen time than their counterparts with high paternal education. Children whose mothers had a low level of education had, on average, 2.66 (95% CI: −4.95 to −0.38) min less reading time daily than their counterparts with high maternal education.

Table 4 presents the results on the associations of maternal education, paternal education and relative household income with children’s TV viewing, computer use, DVD/video watching and smartphone/tablet computer use. Compared with children whose mothers had a high level of education, those with a low or middle level of maternal education had a significantly increased risk of viewing TV over 72 min per day with the highest risk in the group with the lowest educated mothers (OR in low educated group: 2.59, 95% CI 1.58 to 4.26; OR in middle educated group: 2.00, 95% CI 1.22 to 3.27). Children whose fathers had a low level of education had an increased risk of viewing TV over 72 min per day (OR: 1.96, 95% CI 1.21 to 3.15) compared with their counterparts with a high paternal education. Compared with children who had a high level of household income, those with a low or middle level of household income had an elevated risk of viewing TV over 72 min per day with the highest risk in the group with the lowest income (OR in the low income group: 1.74, 95% CI: 1.05 to 2.87; OR in the middle income group: 1.64, 95% CI 1.00 to 2.69).

Table 1 Sample characteristics in the Increased Health and Wellbeing in Preschool (DAGIS) study conducted between years 2015 and 2016 in Finland (n=864)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Value *</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Children’s age</td>
<td>4.73 (0.89)</td>
<td>864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children’s gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season during which the accelerometer was worn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September-October</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November-December</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January-April</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (1)</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium (2)</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (3)</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paternal education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (1)</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium (2)</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (3)</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (1)</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium (2)</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (3)</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children’s sedentary time measured by the accelerometer (min/hour)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total time</td>
<td>28.11 (4.01)</td>
<td>772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preschool</td>
<td>26.47 (5.11)</td>
<td>778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home time in preschool days</td>
<td>29.74 (4.96)</td>
<td>777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekend</td>
<td>28.47 (4.76)</td>
<td>779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children’s sedentary time measured in the diary (min/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screen time</td>
<td>111.02 (48.50)</td>
<td>767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV viewing</td>
<td>56.14 (28.20)</td>
<td>771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer use</td>
<td>9.06 (20.32)</td>
<td>771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tablet/smartphone use</td>
<td>21.82 (26.18)</td>
<td>771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVD/video watching</td>
<td>25.66 (30.50)</td>
<td>771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>19.19 (11.35)</td>
<td>765</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are mean (SD) unless otherwise stated. n=864.
Table 2  The associations between parental socioeconomic status and preschool children's objectively measured sedentary time (min/hour) over the course of the week measured by means of linear regression models, adjusted for municipality, season, and the children’s gender and age in the Increased Health and Wellbeing in Preschool (DAGIS) study conducted between years 2015 and 2016 in Finland

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socioeconomic status Indicator</th>
<th>Sedentary time in preschool</th>
<th>Home sedentary time in preschool days</th>
<th>Sedentary time in weekends</th>
<th>Total sedentary time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β</td>
<td>Lower 95% CI</td>
<td>Higher 95% CI</td>
<td>β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal education (n between 738 and 744)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
<td>-1.37</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paternal education (n between 682 and 691)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-1.14</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>-1.28</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household income (n between 639 and 646)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>-1.16</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Children whose family had a middle level of household income had a higher risk of watching DVD/videos over 44 min per day (OR: 1.68, 95% CI: 1.05 to 2.68) and a lower risk of using tablet computers/smartphones over 33 min per day (OR: 0.53, 95% CI: 0.33 to 0.84) compared with their counterparts with a high household income. Using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure with the false discovery rate of 0.25, the association between low household income and children’s screen time displayed in Table 3 became significant (data not shown). That is, children whose family had a low level of household income had more screen time compared with their counterparts with a high household income. All the previously mentioned results also remained significant using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

**DISCUSSION**

The main findings of this study show that children with low parental education had more overall screen time at home than their counterparts with highly educated parents, whereas those whose mothers had a higher as opposed to a lower level of education had more reading time. Screen-specific (TV viewing, DVD/video watching, computer use and tablet computer/smartphone use) analyses indicated that SES differences in overall screen time were mostly explained by TV viewing. Otherwise, parental SES was mainly unrelated to the children’s objectively measured SED over the course of the week.

In our study, preschoolers with lower parental education had between 10 (paternal education) and 17 (maternal education) min more daily screen time at home than their counterparts with higher parental education. Especially, the children with lower SES backgrounds had an increased risk of viewing TV over 72 min per day, compared with children with higher SES backgrounds. Our results support therefore findings of other studies that conclude preschool children with low SES backgrounds tend to have higher risks to exceed the screen time recommendations. However, a recent meta-analysis reports that the associations of SES and children’s SB are dependent on the country so that SES is inversely associated especially with screen time and TV viewing time in high-income countries whereas SES is positively associated with ‘other’ screen time such as computers and videos in low-middle-income countries. The clinical relevance of a 10 to 17 min educational difference in screen time at home requires further evaluation. The result, however, has public health importance when developing the strategies to diminishing socioeconomic gradient in preschool children’s screen time.

Different types of screens have become part of everyday life in families with preschoolers, and controlling screen use may be difficult for parents. Higher as opposed to lower parental education is usually related to enhanced awareness, capabilities and skills in terms of adopting a healthy lifestyle. Screen-time reduction may require additional resources (eg, financial, time) that parents are not necessarily able to provide, which in turn could add to parental stress. Stress in combination with a lack of resources might make it challenging for parents with a low educational level to limit screen time among their children. Previous studies suggest that parents with lower SES backgrounds have less rules related to TV viewing, allow TV viewing more often and view TV together with their child more frequently. Other studies suggest that in general, parents might have strict screen time...
Table 4 The associations between parental socioeconomic status and preschool children's daily average TV viewing, computer use, DVD/video watching and smartphone/tablet computer use measured by means of logistic regression analysis, and adjusted for municipality, season, and the children's gender and age in the Increased Health and Wellbeing in Preschool (DAGIS) study conducted between years 2015 and 2016 in Finland*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socioeconomic status indicator</th>
<th>TV viewing at home (over 72 min per day)†</th>
<th>Computer use at home (over 1 min per day)†</th>
<th>DVD/video watching at home (over 44 min per day)†</th>
<th>Smartphone/tablet computer use (over 33 min per day)†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Lower 95% CI</td>
<td>Higher 95% CI</td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal education (n=731)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paternal education (n=679)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household income (n=630)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are ORs (95% CIs).
†The highest 25% of parental reported children's screen-specific time in minutes per day (1) was compared with others.
rules for their children, but parents who are high screen users themselves more often fail to follow these rules and have joint screen time more frequently.\textsuperscript{46-50} Parental rules and restrictions around children’s screen time may therefore be important factor to focus in future interventions aiming to diminish SES gradient in children’s screen time. Another potential factor may be the parental perceptions of suitable screen time for children.\textsuperscript{51} although possible SES differences in parental perceptions are less clear. The tighter norm for suitable children’s screen time could mean tighter rules and restrictions around children’s screen time. However, parental perceptions about the suitable amount of screen time as intervention strategy has not previously been used in interventions focusing on preschool children’s screen time.\textsuperscript{52} although successful changes have been achieved in other health behaviour interventions focusing on changing norms.\textsuperscript{53} More study is anyhow needed to explore the potential factors acting as mediators in the associations between parental SES and children’s screen time. Such information may help target and design more effective family-based interventions aiming to diminish socioeconomic gradient in children’s screen time.

Parents seem to value optimal cognitive development during early childhood.\textsuperscript{54} Previous studies have illustrated that parents of preschool-aged children consider screen-time activities to be good educational tools, whereas the detrimental effects of extensive screen time on cognitive development are not mentioned.\textsuperscript{55-58} These studies have not taken possible SES differences into consideration. Parents with a higher level of education might realise the harmful health effects of increased screen time and place more value on their children’s educational achievements, and therefore encourage them to spend more time with books instead of watching a screen. It may be that parents with a low educational background do not realise the detrimental effects of screen time on cognitive development, and place more value on the educational aspects. Still, it should be acknowledged that some aspects of screen time could be educational. Applications in touchscreen devices such as tablet computers and smartphones are being used to an increasing extent as learning tools in preschools, for example. However, there is little current research about the real educational benefits of using these tools.\textsuperscript{59} The results of some studies suggest that the use of touchscreen devices inhibits social interaction and children’s ability to self-regulate their behaviour, although benefits related to early literacy skills, the stimulation of concentration and the fostering of independent learning are also acknowledged.\textsuperscript{59} Nevertheless, screen time is usually sedentary in nature, and it is therefore important to limit its use.

The finding that reading and screen time had opposite relationships with parental SES attests to the necessity of measuring different types of SBs to fully understand the SES differences. SES differences in reading time in early childhood are seldom addressed in SB studies, for example, although its beneficial influences on cognitive development and school readiness are recognised.\textsuperscript{3,60} These contradictory SES associations with different types of SBs could also partly explain the few associations between overall objectively measured SED and indicators of SES found in this study. It would therefore be relevant to consider whether it might be more worthwhile focusing on the type of SB than overall SED in research on SES differences in children’s SB. Similarly, the wide variation of screens currently available ensures variation in the way they are used. Tablet computers and mobile devices are used as behavioural-control tools to calm down or distract children in restaurants and cars, and for educational purposes.\textsuperscript{49,61} It may be worth considering the context in which the devices are used in future studies, as well as potential SES differences in the way they are used.

We did not find any SES differences in SED during preschool hours: to our knowledge, no other studies have addressed this issue. However, our finding is inconsistent with a previous study on school-aged children reporting that offspring with parents educated to university level or higher had less SED in schools than children with less highly educated parents.\textsuperscript{52} The school setting with its compulsory lessons is different than the preschool setting, however. The Finnish preschool model is based on learning by playing, and compulsory preprimary education in preparation for official schooling starts at the age of 6.\textsuperscript{63} We excluded preprimary education classes during the recruitment phase of the DAGIS study. However, we did not measure children’s specific SBs during preschool hours in more detail: we thought it would be too time consuming to list specific SBs in diaries for each child in the preschool group. According to our preparatory work before we conducted this cross-sectional survey, the availability of screens in Finnish preschools is limited.\textsuperscript{64} More research is therefore needed to shed light on the role of preschools in balancing SES differences in children’s SB. Future studies could compare the associations between SES and SB among children who are attending preschool and those who are mainly cared for at home, for example.

There are some limitations that should be taken into account in interpreting the results of our study. The DAGIS study is cross-sectional, and therefore the causality between parental SES and children’s SB cannot be fully established. The participation rate of families was low, which may influence the generalisability of our findings. It might be that a selected sample of participants from preschools participated in this study. Similarly, children who did not produce valid accelerometer data for total time (6%) and weekend SED (5%) were more likely to have a mother with a lower level of education suggesting that included children are not representative of the overall study population. There are several accelerometer cut-points for SED among preschool children, and there is no consensus as to which are the most suitable. However, the results of a comparative study among 4–6 years old children support the choice of Evenson cut-points for measuring SED.\textsuperscript{27} Moreover, the hip-worn accelerometer
might not give the most accurate measurements because it does not effectively separate standing from sitting and reclining positions. The information on children's screen time and reading was based on parental reports, and as with any other reported information, proxy reports may lead to bias in that parents might be unable to constantly monitor their children's behaviours. In addition, parents might have under-reported or over-reported in socially desirable manner the children's screen time and reading time. Nevertheless, the diary is generally considered to be more reliable than a few items in a questionnaire. A major strength of this study is that it encompasses a large sample, including children from 66 different preschools in various municipalities. Another strength is that we measured the preschoolers’ overall SED and specific SBs, and used several SES indicators. We also separated the different times of the week from the accelerometer data. We therefore contributed new information on how parental SES influences engagement in specific SBs and SED in different contexts. These novel data will be useful for future interventions focusing on diminishing preschoolers’ SBs.

Conclusion

The most consistent finding from this study is that overall daily screen time at home is higher among children with a low parental-educational background even at preschool age. It would therefore be valuable to develop strategies aimed at diminishing screen time at home among these children. The findings exemplify the multidimensionality of the relationship between preschoolers’ SBs and parental SES. Including multiple measurements of SBs and several indicators of parental SES, and taking into account the different contexts over the course of a week (eg, preschool, weekend) would deepen understanding of the association between SES and preschoolers’ SB.
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