A complex genomic locus drives mtDNA replicase POLG expression to its disease-related nervous system regions
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A complex genomic locus drives mtDNA replicase POLG expression to its disease-related nervous system regions
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Abstract
DNA polymerase gamma (POLG), the mtDNA replicase, is a common cause of mitochondrial neurodegeneration. Why POLG defects especially cause central nervous system (CNS) diseases is unknown. We discovered a complex genomic regulatory locus for POLG containing three functional CNS-specific enhancers that drive expression specifically in oculomotor complex and sensory interneurons of the spinal cord, completely overlapping with the regions showing neuronal death in POLG patients. The regulatory locus also expresses two functional RNAs, LINC00925-RNA and MIR9-3, which are co-expressed with POLG. The MIR9-3 targets include NR2E1, a transcription factor maintaining neural stem cells in undifferentiated state, and MTHFD2, the regulatory enzyme of mitochondrial folate cycle, linking POLG expression to stem cell differentiation and folate metabolism. Our evidence suggests that distant genomic non-coding regions contribute to regulation of genes encoding mitochondrial proteins. Such genomic arrangement of POLG locus, driving expression to CNS regions affected in POLG patients, presents a potential mechanism for CNS-specific manifestations in POLG disease.
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Introduction
Mutations in the genes encoding mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) replisome proteins cause mtDNA maintenance defects, which lead to common metabolic disorders (Visconi & Zeviani, 2017). The most common nuclear gene underlying mitochondrial disorders is the mtDNA replicative polymerase gamma (POLG), with more than 145 disease mutations (http://tools.niehs.nih.gov/polg/). POLG is a prime example of tissue- and genotype-specific variability of manifestations, and POLG mutations cause highly tissue-specific disorders typically manifesting in the nervous system, but also affecting the liver and skeletal muscle. Despite the apparent requirement of mtDNA replication in cell division, the patients with POLG disorders do not typically show symptoms deriving from highly proliferating cell types, such as anemia. The reasons for such postmitotic cell manifestations—and mechanisms of tissue specificity overall—are unknown.

The clinical manifestations of the mutations of POLG are diverse: (i) Alpers–Huttenlocher syndrome, an epileptic encephalopathy of early childhood, manifesting during the first years of life and typically progressing to death within a few years (Naviaux & Nguyen, 2004); (ii) teenage-onset epileptic encephalopathy (SCA-E; Winterthun et al., 2005); (iii) adult-onset mitochondrial recessive ataxia syndrome (MIRAS) (Hakonen et al., 2005; Winterthun et al., 2005); and (iv) adult-onset progressive external ophthalmoplegia (PEO) with or without sensory neuropathy, often associated with parkinsonism and premature menopause (Van Goethem et al., 2001; Luoma et al., 2004). Epilepsy in POLG syndrome may develop to status epilepticus and is associated with poor prognosis (Hikmat et al., 2017). The patients may develop cognitive defects and complex psychiatric manifestations, ranging from avoidant personality to depression or paranoia (Hakonen et al., 2005). The mechanistic basis of the exceptionally variable nervous system defects of POLG—from epilepsy to cognitive decline, ataxia, psychiatric symptoms, and parkinsonism—is unknown. Furthermore, even patients with the same ancestral MIRAS allele (homozygous allelic mutations leading to p.W748S=E1143Q amino acid changes) show variable disease manifestations, with SCA-E, MIRAS, or
PEO–polyneuropathy–parkinsonism (Hakonen et al., 2005). The variability of CNS manifestations even in patients with the same disease allele indicates strong modifier effects/genes contributing to the manifestations. These observations prompted us to explore the genomic regions of mtDNA maintenance loci to identify potential genomic modifiers for these disorders.

Results and Discussion

To clarify the upstream regulation of the mtDNA replicase expression, we analyzed the activity of the proximal promoter of POLG. Its promoter has been reported to drive relatively low expression levels in different tissues and organisms (Carninci et al., 2005). However, in a luciferase expression system in vitro, the promoter was highly active, comparable to viral SV40 promoter (Fig 1A), and required the presence of one of the two predicted CAAT boxes (Fig 1A and B).

When expressed in vivo, however, in transgenic E12.5 mouse embryos, the Polg promoter was active especially in the midbrain, dorsal root ganglia (DRG), developing motoneurons of the neural tube, and in skeletal muscle somites with very low expression outside CNS (Fig 1C). This muscle–CNS expression pattern was surprising for a mtDNA replicase, because of ubiquitous requirement of the mitochondrial genome replication, and raised the question whether the tissue-specific expression pattern of the proximal Polg promoter was modified by potential enhancer elements (EEs).

We used in silico prediction by Enhancer Element Locator program, which searches for conserved DNA elements of < 2,000 base pairs, which also show a conserved order of transcription factor binding sites within the EE (Hallikas et al., 2006). Within 100 kb up- and downstream of human, mouse, and rat POLG locus, we identified three strongly conserved putative EEs with high scores [768, 671, 522; when > 500 indicates likely enhancer (Hallikas et al., 2006)], 34–55 kb upstream of the coding region (Fig 1D, Appendix Table S1). Similar analysis for other mtDNA maintenance genes (POLG2, TWNK, SSBP1, and TFAM) suggested no strong candidates as their specific distant genomic regulators (Fig 1D).

To examine whether the three predicted EEs of the POLG locus were active in vivo in mice, we generated EE-specific transgenic mice. We cloned each of the mouse EEs separately in front of an mtDNA maintenance genes (Appendix Table S1). Similar analysis for other mtDNA maintenance loci has been reported to drive relatively low expression levels in different tissues and organisms (Carninci et al., 2005). However, in a luciferase expression system in vitro, the promoter was highly active, comparable to viral SV40 promoter (Fig 1A), and required the presence of one of the two predicted CAAT boxes (Fig 1A and B).

When expressed in vivo, however, in transgenic E12.5 mouse embryos, the Polg promoter was active especially in the midbrain, dorsal root ganglia (DRG), developing motoneurons of the neural tube, and in skeletal muscle somites with very low expression outside CNS (Fig 1C). This muscle–CNS expression pattern was surprising for a mtDNA replicase, because of ubiquitous requirement of the mitochondrial genome replication, and raised the question whether the tissue-specific expression pattern of the proximal Polg promoter was modified by potential enhancer elements (EEs).

We used in silico prediction by Enhancer Element Locator program, which searches for conserved DNA elements of < 2,000 base pairs, which also show a conserved order of transcription factor binding sites within the EE (Hallikas et al., 2006). Within 100 kb up- and downstream of human, mouse, and rat POLG locus, we identified three strongly conserved putative EEs with high scores [768, 671, 522; when > 500 indicates likely enhancer (Hallikas et al., 2006)], 34–55 kb upstream of the coding region (Fig 1D, Appendix Table S1). Similar analysis for other mtDNA maintenance genes (POLG2, TWNK, SSBP1, and TFAM) suggested no strong candidates as their specific distant genomic regulators (Fig 1D).

To examine whether the three predicted EEs of the POLG locus were active in vivo in mice, we generated EE-specific transgenic mice. We cloned each of the mouse EEs separately in front of an HSV-1k minimal promoter driving lacZ expression (Hallikas et al., 2006). All the predicted EEs were biologically active and drove strong expression in distinct regions of the developing CNS of E12.5 embryos. No expression was detected in the liver or other organs (Fig 1E–M, Appendix Fig S1A). EE1 was active in proliferating immature neuronal precursors of the ventral and mid-trunk dorsal neural tube, EE2 and EE3 in dorsal neural tube, and EE2 also in DRG (Fig 1E–J). All the EEs also drove expression in specific brain regions (Fig 1K–M): EE1 expression overlapped with ISL1/2 motor neuron progenitors of the oculomotor complex, which innervate the extraocular and upper eyelid muscles (Fig 1K and N); EE2 in the superficial stratum of the superior colliculi (Fig 1L); EE3 in the dorsolateral midbrain, including both the ventricular and mantle zones (Fig 1M).

None showed activity in somites. These results indicate that all EEs of the POLG locus are functional, nervous system-specific enhancers, with high specificity for defined neuronal regions. Enhancers typically show different temporal characteristics, and therefore, we studied whether the three Polg EEs were also functional in the adult brain. EE2 showed prominent expression in all of the EE2 transgenic lines with activity in the gray matter of the brain, most intensively in the hippocampus (CA1 and dentate gyrus > CA2 and 3), cortex, thalamus, mitral cell/external plexiform layer of olfactory bulb, cerebellar Purkinje, and granular cell layers (Fig 2A). Expression was also detected in the neural precursor regions: subventricular zone and rostral migratory stream (Fig 2B), which have been specifically found to be affected in POLG-deficient, progeric mtDNA mutator mice (Trifunovic et al., 2004; Ahlqvist et al., 2012). EE1 was not active in adults, and the expression patterns in different EE3 lines in the brain were inconclusive because of variability between transgenic lines. The results indicate that EE2 is the main CNS enhancer of Polg locus in adult mice, driving expression to the large neurons of neocortex and cerebellum as well as neural precursors.

In the adult mouse spinal cord, EE2 and EE3 showed overlapping, specific expression patterns in the laminae I–III of dorsal horns (Fig 2C–E) and the neuronal precursors of the central canal, which also were positive for POLG protein (Fig 2C and E). The dorsal horn sensory tracts receive nociceptive information from primary afferent nerves and contain mostly GABAergic, glycineergic, or glutamatergic interneurons (Todd, 2010). The EE2- and EE3-positive cells were often calbindin-positive (Fig 2F and G), pointing to activity in glutamatergic spinal interneurons. The evidence shows that EEs of the Polg locus drive expression to adult spinal sensory tracts and neural precursors of the spinal cord.

DNase I hypersensitive sites (DHSs) mark open DNA structures, typical for active promoters and regulatory elements (Gross & Garrard, 1988; Thurman et al., 2012). Furthermore, simultaneous opening of genomic elements on a chromosomal region is a strong indicator of functional cooperation of the two regions (Thurman et al., 2012). To clarify the genomic regions potentially regulating mtDNA maintenance genes, we analyzed their DHS patterns and correlated the DNase I sensitivity of distal DHSs with those proximal to the transcription start site of the target gene (Thurman et al., 2012). We found 123 distal DHSs correlating with POLG promoter DHSs (> 0.85 correlation), standing out from other mtDNA maintenance genes [TWNK (0), POLG2 (0), SSBP1 (1), and TFAM (5); Fig 3A and B]. These distant DHSs of the POLG locus overlapped with the genomic locus of the enhancer elements, but also contain a long non-coding RNA gene (human LINC00925; mouse Aii854517; Fig 3C–E). Other genes in the POLG locus were found to have few DHSs FANC1 (2), RHCG (0), and TICRR (1) strongly pointing the enhancer locus being a specific POLG regulator (Fig 3A and D). These evidences support EE1–3, with a locally transcribed long non-coding RNA gene (human LINC00925; mouse Aii854517), to be regulatory for POLG expression.

LncRNAs are often structural components of EEs when transcribed on site: They mediate chromatin looping and physically link the enhancer and promoter together, thereby inducing target gene transcription (Orom & Shiekhattar, 2011; Plank & Dean, 2014). The joint EE1-3/LINC00925/Aii854517 locus suggested that the IncRNA might be coexpressed with POLG. We found LINC00925/Aii854517 to be expressed exclusively in neural cells (Fig 3F), and its expression levels correlated significantly with POLG expression in different developmental stages in mouse CNS (Fig 3G). No Aii854517 expression was detected in mouse liver, indicating that liver has distinct regulatory mechanisms for POLG expression (Appendix Fig S2A). Repeated experiments to delete conserved regions of LINC00925 by
Figure 1. Three distant enhancers drive POLG expression in the central nervous system.

A (Left) Luciferase expression in HEK293 cells driven by deletion constructs of POLG proximal promoter. (Right) Luciferase expression with mutated CAAT boxes in POLG promoter. The error bars indicate standard deviation in three biological replicates. AU; arbitrary units.

B POLG promoter sequence showing predicted CAAT boxes. Red shows disrupted nucleotides by site-directed mutagenesis in (A).

C Expression pattern driven by 500-bp Polg proximal promoter in E12.5 mouse embryo. LacZ-positive cell populations in the developing midbrain (black arrows), dorsal root ganglia (gray arrows), and motoneuron progenitors (arrowhead) of the neural tube. Somites show some expression (white arrow). Sectioning planes indicated by red lines. Scale bars 100 μm.

D Prediction of enhancers in the genomic loci of mtDNA maintenance genes, 100 kb upstream of the analyzed gene, found in human–mouse and human–rat comparisons. EEL score for individual elements: red bars. Protein-coding genes upstream from mtDNA maintenance genes are shown with black lines under each locus (picture not in scale). POLG shows three highly conserved elements in a gene-poor region. TWNK shows one distant element, with several genes between the element and the gene, suggesting the element not be a specific regulator for TWNK. TWNK, Twinkle mtDNA helicase; POLG, DNA polymerase gamma, catalytic subunit; POLG2, DNA polymerase gamma, accessory subunit; SSBP1, single-stranded DNA-binding protein 1; TFAM, mitochondrial transcription factor A.

E–G POLG enhancer elements are functional in vivo and drive expression in E12.5 transgenic mice. Sectioning planes indicated by red lines. Black line in (E) marks the expression in the dorsal neural tube, whereas rostral and caudal regions lack dorsal expression (black arrows).

H–J Neural tube lacZ expression driven by (H) EE1: immature neuronal precursors (gray arrow), (I) EE2: dorsal neural tube (gray arrow) and dorsal root ganglia (black arrow), and (J) EE3: dorsal neural tube (gray arrow). Scale bars 100 μm.

K–M Midbrain lacZ expression, driven by (K) EE1, (L) EE2, and (M) EE3. Black arrow indicates neuronal population from EE1 embryo stained in (N). Scale bars 100 μm.

N EE1 drives expression in oculomotor complex; immunofluorescent costaining with antibodies against ISL1/2 (motoneurons of oculomotor complex; red) and β-Gal (green). LacZ staining of the region in (K); black arrow. Scale bars 50 μm.
different Crispr/Cas9 approaches failed to result in targeted clones, which could be a result of growth disadvantage of the targeted clones caused by decreased POLG expression and compromised mtDNA replication. In situ hybridization showed completely overlapping expression patterns of Polg and Ai854517 transcripts across hippocampus, cortex, and cerebellum (Fig 3H), the areas of EE2 activity in adult mice.

In addition to the EEs, the LINCO0925/Ai854517 intron also harbored the gene for MIR9-3 (Fig 3E). MIR9 is expressed from three different genes, which reside in different genomic regions, have non-overlapping expression patterns but produce identical processed transcripts. Intronic MIRs are commonly transcribed together with the primary transcript (Kim & Kim, 2007), and accordingly, the expression levels of MIR9-3 and Ai854517 correlated significantly in CNS (Fig 3I). The programs TargetScan, PicTar, miRDB, and PITA predicted six common top targets for MIR9, and MIR9 has recently been shown to downregulate the expression of transcription factors ONECUT1, ONECUT2, and NR2E1 (Madelaine et al, 2017). Therefore, we selected these nine putative targets for further analysis (Fig 3J). Overexpression of MIR9 in HEK293 cells significantly downregulated the mRNA expression of NR2E1 and LDLRAP1, and MTHFD2 trended downwards (Fig 3K, Appendix Fig S2B). MTHFD2 was especially interesting, as it is the rate-limiting enzyme of the mitochondrial folate cycle and highly induced as part of the integrated mitochondrial disease stress response in mtDNA maintenance disease (Bao et al, 2016; Nikkanen et al, 2016) and a reported target of MIR9-3 (Fig 3K) (Selcuklu et al, 2012). Low-density lipoprotein receptor adaptor protein-1 (LDLRAP) showed overlapping expression pattern with EE2/POLG/IncRNA (Lein et al, 2007) and has been reported to contribute to lipoprotein internalization for cholesterol synthesis (Mameza et al, 2007). NR2E1 is a transcription factor which has been shown to be essential for maintaining neural stem cells in undifferentiated state linking the stem cell pool maintenance to POLG expression (Shi et al, 2004).

We propose that the targets of MIR9 are downregulated specifically in the EE/lncRNA/MIR9/POLG-positive neural cells that promote mtDNA maintenance. Furthermore, the results propose an inverse coregulation of POLG and MTHFD2, with important potential consequences for disease: (i) the activation of EE/lncRNA/MIR9/POLG locus and consequent MIR9-mediated suppression of MTHFD2 would blunt the ability of these specific neurons to induce a stress response as a consequence of mtDNA maintenance defect, and that (ii) the same neurons would become especially dependent on CNS folate availability, which is highly regulated through active transport by FOLR1 (Steinfeld et al, 2009).

The typical symptoms of POLG patients include sensory neuropathy, ataxia, and progressive ophthalmoplegia, arising from the same regions of CNS where we found EE2 activity: sensory tract of medulla, cerebellum, and oculomotor nucleus. POLG patients often show severe loss of vibration sense and hyperalgesia (Luoma et al, 2004;
Van Goethem et al., 2004; Winterthun et al., 2005), as a consequence of damage in the dorsal columns of the spinal cord (Lax et al., 2012; Palin et al., 2012). We demonstrate here severe degeneration of the dorsal columns of the spinal cord, with preservation of motoneurons of ventral horns, as well as spongiotic degeneration and loss of neurons in the oculomotor complex in autopsy samples of an adult POLG patient, who manifested with severe sensory neuropathy, ataxia, and ocular muscle paralysis (Fig 4A and B). The muscular versus oculomotor nuclear origin of the extraocular muscle weakness—a typical manifestation of adult-onset mitochondrial diseases—has

Figure 3.
Figure 3. LINC00925 regulates POLG expression.

A | The number of distal DNase I hypersensitive sites (DHHS) of mtDNA maintenance genes and genes in the genomic POLG locus correlating > 0.85 with target promoter DHS across 125 cell lines. TWNK, Twinkle protein; POLG, DNA polymerase gamma, catalytic subunit; POLG2, DNA polymerase gamma, accessory subunit; SSBP2, single-stranded DNA-binding protein 1; TFAM, mitochondrial transcription factor A; FANCI, Fanconi anemia group I protein; RHCG, ammonium transporter Rh type C, TICRR, Treslin.

B | Distribution histogram of DHSS for mtDNA maintenance genes in different human tissues and cell types. Quantitative PCR amplification of cDNA. IP5, induced pluripotent stem cell; SHSY, neuroblastoma line; HepG2, liver hepatocellular carcinoma line; U2OS, bone osteosarcoma line. Error bars indicate standard deviation in three technical replicates. AU, arbitrary units.

C | Genomic distribution of POLG DHSS. Black arrow shows a cluster upstream from POLG coding region.

D | Genes surrounding LINC00925.

E | Conservation of regulatory elements of POLG genomic locus in species: LINC00925, POLG EEs, Mir9-3. PanTro, Pan troglodytes; Mm, Mus musculus; MonDom, Monodelphis domestica. Xen, Xenopus levis. Adapted from https://rvista.dcode.org.

F | Expression of LINC00925 and POLG in different human tissues and cell types. Quantitative PCR amplification of cDNA. IP5, induced pluripotent stem cell; SHSY, neuroblastoma line; HepG2, liver hepatocellular carcinoma line; U2OS, bone osteosarcoma line. Error bars indicate standard deviation in three technical replicates. AU, arbitrary units.

G | Polg and long non-coding RNA A1854517 (mouse homolog of human LINC00925) correlate tightly in mouse cerebellar development. Time points: E18, postnatal days 0, 3, 6, 9; three mice per time point. Expression calculated as cap analysis of gene expression (CAGE) hits in the transcription start site (CTSS).

H | A1854517 and Polg transcripts colocalize in adult mouse brain; in situ hybridization. HC, hippocampus; M, cerebellar molecular layer; PC, Purkinje cell layer; GC, granular cell layer. Scale bars: hippocampus 760 μm, cerebellum 300 μm.

I | Expression levels of MIR9-3 and A1854517 correlate in mouse cerebellar development. Time points: E18, postnatal days 0, 3, 6, 9; three mice per time point. Expression calculated as cap analysis of gene expression (CAGE) hits in the transcription start site (CTSS).

J | Predicted targets of MIR9. Six targets were predicted by all prediction programs, TargetScan, PicTar, miRDB, and PITA: low-density lipoprotein receptor adaptor protein-1, LDLRAP1, methylene tetrahydrofolate dehydrogenase-2, MTHFD2, follistatin-like 1, FSTL1, capping actin protein of muscle Z-line alpha-subunit 1, CAPZA1, PR/SET domain 1, PRDM1, paired related homeobox 1, PRX1. One cut homeobox 1 and 2 (ONECUT1 and ONECUT2) and nuclear receptor subfamily 2 group E member 1 (NR2E1) are recently discovered MIR9 targets.

K | RNA expressions of MIR9, NR2E2, LDLRAP1, and MTHFD2 in HEK293 untransfected controls and in cells transfected with pre-MIR9 or scrambled RNA. Shown is mean with standard error of the mean of 5 analyzed replicates. Statistical testing was performed using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s correction for multiple comparisons. AU, arbitrary units.

been debated already in 1990s (Rowland et al., 1997), and is clinically challenging to assess. Our finding of strong EE/IncRNA/MIR9-regulated POLG expression in oculomotor nucleus already early in embryogenesis argues for special importance of mtDNA maintenance in those neurons, and suggests that POLG disease-associated ophthalmoplegia may be of central origin. Overall, our findings indicate remarkable overlap of the temporally and regionally driven POLG expression and the CNS regions degenerating in POLG disease.

We report that mtDNA maintenance is regulated by a non-coding genomic complex driving POLG expression in specific neuronal populations. The functional connection of the enhancer LINC00925 locus on POLG transcription is supported by (i) coactivation of the enhancer Mir9/LINC00925 locus and POLG, demonstrated by an unsupervised DHS analysis; (ii) significant correlation of regulatory transcripts and POLG expression; (iii) specific degeneration of the enhancer-active regions in patients with POLG disorders showing that these regions are susceptible to POLG defects. These data have high importance in understanding the possible origin of the symptoms of POLG disorders and direct the research of POLG disease mechanisms toward more detailed neuronal populations. Moreover, our study suggests that the POLG regulatory locus has potential to modify distal genes and functions through MIR9 regulation. The in-trans link to NR2E1, involved in stem cell maintenance, is interesting, as POLG is known to affect somatic stem cells in nervous system: mtDNA mutant mice with exonuclease-deficient POLG manifest respiratory chain deficiency especially in the stem cell region of subventricular zone, and show reduced stemness properties (Ahlgqvist et al., 2012). Furthermore, the in-trans link between POLG and mitochondrial one-carbon metabolism would make the specific neurons expressing POLG in high levels especially vulnerable to decrease in CNS folate levels. The data suggest folate to be a modifier of POLG disease manifestations. Our evidence indicates...
that housekeeping proteins, such as the mitochondrial replicase required in all cells with mtDNA replication, may have genomic tissue-specific regulators that carry potential to modulate cell-specific sensitivity to secondary stresses/functions and thereby susceptibility to disease.

Materials and Methods

Prediction of enhancer elements

Enhancer Element Locator version 1.5.2.2 was used in enhancer element predictions. The coding regions and 100 kb upstream and downstream of the target genes were analyzed in human, mouse, and rat. The three POLG elements characterized in the article were found in human–mouse, human–rat, and mouse–rat searches. All transcription factor matrices provided by the program together with added matrices for AHRARNT.01 and NFX_ARNT.01 (Genomatix) were used in the analysis.

Prediction of transcription factor binding sites

POLG proximal promoter was analyzed for transcription factor binding sites with Genomatix Matinspector (www.genomatix.de).

Luciferase assay

Luciferase assays were done by transfecting HEK293 with 12.5 ng of promoter constructs (firefly luciferase) and 7 ng of Renilla luciferase as control. Transfections were done by using Lipofectamine 2000 following the instruction of the manufacturer. Dual-luciferase reporter assay system (Promega, #E1910) was used in determining the luciferase activity 24 h after the transfection by following instructions of the manufacturer. Firefly luciferase activity was normalized by Renilla luciferase activity.

MIR9 overexpression

Pre-MIR9 and scrambled control were transfected into HEK293 cells by Neon electroporation system (Thermo Fisher). Electroporation conditions: 1,100 V, 20 ms, 2 pulses.

Animal experiments

Animal work was approved by the ethical board of state province office for animal experimentation of Finland, and experiments were conducted according to the guidelines of the same ethical board. C57BL/6 male mice (age: 8–24 weeks) were used in the experiments. Mice were housed at 22°C with 12-h light/12-h dark cycles and had access to standard laboratory chow and water ad libitum. Sacrifice was performed by CO2 asphyxia followed by cervical dislocation. Before cardiac perfusion, animals were anesthetized by site-directed mutagenesis.

Two nucleotide changes were introduced to the promoter constructs by site-directed mutagenesis to disrupt the CAAT boxes. PCR primers introduced CA->TG change in the core nucleotides of the binding site.

LacZ staining

Cardiac perfusion was performed to adult mice with ice-cold PBS before tissue dissection. Tissues and freshly collected E12.5 mouse embryos were stained for lacZ expression using the following protocol. Samples were washed once with 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.3, and fixed for 30 min in fixing solution (0.2% glutaraldehyde, 5 mM EGTA, 2 mM MgCl2 in 0.1 M phosphate buffer) at room temperature. Next, embryos were washed 3 × 15 min with washing buffer (2 mM MgCl2, 0.01% DOC, 0.02% Nonidet P-40, 0.1 M phosphate buffer). Staining was done in X-Gal staining solution (5 mM K-Ferrocyanide, 2.5 mM K-Ferricyanide, 1 mg/ml X-gal in washing buffer) at 37°C upon agitation in dark. The intensity of the staining was monitored and stopped when desired intensity was reached (3 h–overnight). The stained embryos or tissues were rinsed and washed overnight at +4°C with washing buffer and fixed with 4% PFA overnight at 4°C.

Immunofluorescence staining

Cardiac perfusion with 4% PFA was performed to all mice before tissue embedding into paraffin. The primary antibodies for immunofluorescent stainings: anti-ISL1/2 (gift from Juha Partanen) 1:200, anti-calbindin (AB11426) 1:200, and anti-β-Gal (AB9361) 1:1,000. Secondary antibodies with appropriate fluorescent dye were all used 1:200 (Invitrogen). Sections were covered with Vectashield mounting medium (Vector Laboratories).

In situ hybridization

Non-radioactive mRNA in situ hybridization (ISH) was carried out as described (Copp & Cockroft, 1990). Digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled antisense cRNA probes were transcribed from plasmids according to standard protocols. Primer sequences for in situ probes are provided in Appendix Table S2.
The paper explained

Problem
DNA polymerase gamma (POLG) is a common cause for mitochondrial disorders causing neurodegeneration. POLG patients commonly manifest with sensory neuropathy, epilepsy, and ataxia. The underlying causes for the nervous system-specific manifestations of POLG diseases are currently not understood. Moreover, genomic regulation of nuclear-encoded mitochondrial gene expression as a contributor to tissue specificity remains unexplored.

Results
We identified a genomic regulatory locus that drives POLG expression to central nervous system. This locus functions through three enhancer elements but also expresses two functional RNAs, LINC00925 and MIR9. The targets of MIR9 include NR2E1 and mitochondrial folate cycle linking POLG expression in the specific neurons in trans to neural stem cell maintenance and vitamin B9 metabolism. The enhancer elements drive POLG expression to specific neuronal populations, including the oculomotor nucleus and sensory interneurons of the spinal cord, which we also found to degenerate in POLG patients.

Impact
Our results raise an important, previously unconsidered genetic contributor to mitochondrial disease tissue specificity, namely distant regulatory enhancer locus with non-coding RNAs coregulated with the disease gene. The remarkable overlap between the neuronal regions with POLG enhancer activity and those that degenerate in patients strongly suggests that the MIR9 targets sensitize the specific neurons to death. One of the targets is mitochondrial folate cycle, recently implicated in mtDNA maintenance disorders, adding a direct link between POLG locus and folate metabolism, and suggesting that the coregulation may underlie nervous system manifestations of POLG patients.

Immunohistochemistry staining
After standard deparaffinization, antigen retrieval was performed by 5-min incubation in 1% SDS in TBST followed by 3 × 5-min washes in TBST and 30-min incubation in 2–10 µg/ml (0.01%) saponin in TBST. POLG (Santa Cruz, sc-5930) antibody dilution was 1:50 in 2% BSA. Secondary antibody treatment and staining were done by using KPL HistomARK (71-00-26) kit.

Quantitative real-time PCR
Reverse transcription was performed with 500 ng of total RNA using Maxima First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit for RT–qPCR (Thermo Fisher). The qRT–PCR was performed using DyNAmo Flash SYBR Green qPCR Kit on Bio-Rad CFX96 Real-Time System. Primer sequences are provided in Appendix Table S2. MicroRNA amplification was performed using LNA primers for MIR9 (Exiqon, #204513) and SNORD49A (Exiqon, #203904) was used as a reference gene.

DHS analysis
Public data deposition from DNase I-seq experiments was utilized in identifying the regulatory distal DHSs for mtDNA maintenance genes as in Ref. (Thurman et al, 2012).

Mouse cerebellum expression analysis

Prediction of MIR9 targets
A gene was considered a likely target of MIR9, if it was included in the top 300 predicted targets by TargetScan, PicTar, miRDB, and PITA prediction programs.

Expanded View for this article is available online.
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