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Abstract
Karoo continental flood basalt (CFB) province is known for its highly variable trace element and isotopic composition, often attributed to the involvement of continental lithospheric sources. Here we report oxygen isotopic compositions measured with secondary ion mass spectrometry for handpicked olivine phenocrysts from ~190–180 Ma CFBs and intrusive rocks from Vestfjella, western Dronning Maud Land, that forms an Antarctic extension of the Karoo province. The Vestfjella lavas exhibit heterogeneous trace element and radiogenic isotope compositions (e.g., $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ from -16 to +2 at 180 Ma) and the involvement of continental lithospheric mantle and/or crust in their petrogenesis has previously been suggested. Importantly, our sample set also includes rare primitive dikes that have been derived from depleted asthenospheric mantle sources ($\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ up to +8 at 180 Ma). The majority of the oxygen isotopic compositions of the olivines from these dike rocks ($\delta^{18}\text{O} = 4.4$–5.2 ‰; Fo = 78–92 mol. %) are also compatible with such sources. The olivine phenocrysts in the lavas, however, are characterized by notably higher $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ (6.2–7.5 ‰; Fo = 70–88 mol. %) and one of the dike samples gives intermediate compositions (5.2–6.1 ‰, Fo = 83–87 mol. %) between the other dikes and the CFBs. The oxygen isotopic compositions do not correlate with radiogenic isotope compositions susceptible to crustal assimilation (Sr, Nd, and Pb) or with geochemical indicators of pyroxene-rich mantle sources. Instead, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ correlates positively with enrichments in large-ion lithophile elements (especially K) and $^{187}\text{Os}$. We suggest that the oxygen isotopic compositions of the Vestfjella CFB olivines primarily record large-scale subduction-related metasomatism of the sub-Gondwanan mantle (base of the lithosphere or deeper) prior to Karoo magmatism. The overall influence of such sources to Karoo magmatism is not known, but, in addition to continental lithosphere, they may be responsible for some of the geochemical heterogeneity observed in the CFBs.
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1. Introduction

Continental flood basalts (CFBs) are remnants of the largest subaerial effusive lava eruptions on Earth. Their petrogenesis and ultimate origin is challenging to study, because they are highly variable geochemically and show evidence of considerable interaction with (or even derivation from) continental lithosphere (e.g., Marsh, 1987). Just small amounts of assimilation of the parental melts with lithospheric materials enriched in incompatible trace elements can overshadow the trace element and radiogenic isotope signatures derived from sublithospheric mantle sources (e.g., Brandon et al., 1993; Hansen and Nielsen, 1999; Heinonen et al., 2016).
Oxygen isotopes are useful in studying source composition of primitive CFBs, because potential lithospheric assimilants have similar O concentrations with them unlike in the case of contamination-sensitive incompatible trace elements such as Sr, Nd, and Pb that are enriched in the lithosphere. In other words, small amounts of mixing with external materials does not strongly effect the O isotopic composition of a primitive CFB magma. Furthermore, the O isotopic compositions of olivine phenocrysts collected from high-Mg lavas and dikes reveal information from the very early stages of CFB magma evolution: early-crystallized olivines are more likely to preserve primary melt signatures that may have been overprinted in the residual melts by subsequent differentiation processes. Importantly, significant fractionation of O isotopes can only take place in relatively low-temperature environments, i.e., near the surface of the Earth. Anomalous primary δ18O values of CFB olivine phenocrysts and/or magmas (estimated on the basis of either whole-rock or phenocryst values) relative to mid-ocean ridge basalts and mantle peridotites (~5.5 ‰; Eiler, 2001) or mantle olivine (~5.2 ‰; Mattey et al., 1994) have thus been linked to considerable amounts of early crustal assimilation (e.g., Peng et al., 1994; Baker et al., 2000) or entrainment of recycled crustal materials in the mantle source (e.g., Harmon and Hoefs, 1995; Harris et al., 2015; Howarth and Harris, 2017; Yu et al., 2017).

The Jurassic (~190–180 Ma) Karoo large igneous province (LIP), related to the break-up of the Gondwana supercontinent and presently located in Africa and Antarctica (Fig. 1), is a widely known example of a CFB province that is characterized by considerable geochemical heterogeneity. For example, the initial εNd values of the basalts and associated dikes span from +9 to -17 at 180 Ma (Riley et al., 2005; Luttinen et al., 2015). Several studies have suggested an important role for the continental lithosphere in the petrogenesis of the CFBs (e.g., Duncan et al., 1984; Hawkesworth et al., 1984; Ellam and Cox, 1989; Jourdan et al., 2007; Neumann et al., 2011).

Although most of the Karoo LIP basalts represent differentiated melts, some highly magnesian magma types are also known. These include the Mwenezi and Tuli picrites in Africa which have been strongly influenced by enriched lithospheric sources (Ellam and Cox, 1989, 1991; Sweeney et al., 1991; Ellam et al., 1992; Ellam, 2006), although the involvement of a depleted mantle (DM) source has also been suggested (Ellam and Cox, 1991; Kamenetsky et al., 2017). Recently, the olivines from these picrites were found to exhibit elevated δ18O (6.0–6.7 ‰) that has been suggested to reflect the involvement of ancient recycled eclogite sources, probably emplaced into the Gondwanan SCLM keel (Harris et al., 2015). The role of such sources in the generation of the bulk of the Karoo LIP is unknown, because the picrites are a relatively minor component of the Karoo mafic lavas.

Several highly magnesian dikes are known from the Antarctic portion of Karoo LIP: these include variably enriched magma types that have sampled various recycled components in the sublithospheric or lithospheric mantle (E-FP, Groups 3 and 4; Riley et al., 2005; Heinonen et al., 2010, 2013, 2014). These magma types or their anomalous sources have likely had very limited influence in the petrogenesis of the Karoo LIP as a whole, however, and they are not the focus of this study. The most petrogenetically significant Antarctic Karoo magma types are the relatively depleted dikes (meimechites, ferropicrites, picrites, and basalts; D-FP1) derived from DM sources similar to those of modern Southwest Indian Ridge mid-ocean ridge basalts (SWIR MORBs) (Heinonen and Luttinen, 2008, 2010; Heinonen et al., 2010; Heinonen and Kurz, 2015; Luttinen et al., 2015). They do not show evidence of significant crustal assimilation and their depleted asthenospheric sources have likely provided parental melts for many of the Antarctic CFBs (Heinonen et al., 2016).

1 In previous studies, the MORB-like low-Nb dikes have been considered a separate magma type from other “depleted meimechites and ferropicrites” (D-FP), although they clearly share the same mantle source (see Heinonen et al., 2010; Luttinen et al., 2015). The only major differences are caused by slightly different conditions of mantle melting. We acknowledge the complexity of the magma type classification and simplify the discussion in this paper by including all of the DM-sourced dikes under the D-FP denotation regardless of whether they had common parental magmas or not.
In this study, we present olivine O isotope data acquired with secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) for Karoo-related CFBs and D-FP dikes from Vestfjella mountain range (western Dronning Maud Land, Antarctica (Fig. 2). The causes for the apparent O isotopic and coupled large-ion lithophile element (LILE) heterogeneity in their sources and the $^{18}$O-enriched isotope signatures of the Vestfjella CFBs, and overall implications of these findings for the petrogenesis of the Karoo LIP, are discussed.

![Fig. 1. A reconstruction (modified after Hergt et al., 1991; Storey et al., 1992; Segev, 2002; Leat et al., 2006; Jourdan et al., 2007) of Gondwana supercontinent showing the distribution of Mesozoic CFBs. In the case of the Karoo LIP, the extent of related intrusive rocks (found outside CFBs) is also shown.](image1)

![Fig. 2. Distribution of Karoo LIP CFBs in western Dronning Maud Land. Lithospheric boundary is based on a reconstruction of Corner (1994). H.U.S. = H.U. Sverdrupfjella. A schematic cross section across line segment x–x' is illustrated in Fig. 8.](image2)

**2. Geological background**

The ~180 Ma Karoo LIP represents one of the three major CFB provinces related to the early breakup of Gondwana supercontinent (other two being the ~180 Ma Ferrar province, sometimes joined together with Karoo as the Karoo-Ferrar LIP, and the ~130 Ma Paraná-Etendeka province; Fig. 1). CFBs and related intrusive rocks belonging to the Karoo LIP are found sporadically covering an area of about two million km$^2$ in southern Africa and Dronning Maud Land in East Antarctica.
The basement of the Karoo LIP CFBs consists of partially reworked Archean cratons surrounded by numerous Proterozoic metamorphic belts that together form the Kalahari craton (e.g., Jacobs et al., 2008). There are indications that the lithosphere beneath the central parts of the craton is still more than 200 km thick (e.g., Rudnick and Nyblade, 1999). In Africa, the lavas are in places overlain by Cretaceous and Phanerozoic sedimentary rocks.

2.1. Geology of the study area

The foundation of the Karoo-related CFBs at western Dronning Maud Land (Fig. 2) is composed of sporadic Permian fossil-rich sedimentary rocks (e.g., Lindström, 1995) and a Precambrian basement complex (e.g., Groenewald et al., 1995; Jacobs et al., 2008; Wolmarans and Kent, 1982). The Archean Grunehogna Craton, suggested to dominate the northern part of the area on the basis of geophysical studies (Fig. 2), only crops out in one location that consists of ~3.1 Ga S-type granite (Marschall et al., 2010). The craton is otherwise overlain by Mesoproterozoic metasupracrustal rocks belonging to the Ritscherflya Supergroup and ~1.1 Ga Borgmassivet mafic intrusions (e.g., Moyes et al., 1995). The Grunehogna Craton is bounded in south by the Proterozoic Maud Belt that mostly consists of highly metamorphosed supracrustal rocks (e.g., Groenewald et al., 1995). These are related to the ~1.1 Ga Grenvillian orogeny and experienced significant tectonic reworking accompanied by metamorphic and magmatic overprinting during the ~0.5 Ga Pan-African orogeny (Jacobs et al., 1993, 1998).

Antarctic Karoo LIP CFBs and related intrusive rocks are found at least in Ahlmannryggen, Heimefrontjella, H. U. Sverdrupfjella, Kirwanveggen, Mannefallknausane, and Vestfjella (Fig. 2). Vestfjella is a ~130-km-long discontinuous chain of nunataks, almost solely composed of CFBs having a stratigraphical thickness in excess of 1 km (Luttinen and Furnes, 2000). Together with the associated intrusive rocks, the Vestfjella CFBs form the volumetrically most important part of the Karoo CFB province exposed in Antarctica.

2.2. Vestfjella CFBs and D-FP dikes

The Vestfjella CFB lavas represent tholeiitic basalts and rare picrites that show significant trace element and isotopic variation (Fig. 3). In the traditional high-Ti low-Ti classification, most of the lavas are low-Ti types, whereas intrusive rocks contain several high-Ti types (Luttinen and Furnes, 2000; Luttinen et al., 2015; see Fig. 3a for magma types analyzed in this study). The lavas can be divided into four chemical types (CT) on the basis of trace element and Nd isotopic composition: high-εNd CT1, low-εNd CT1, CT2, and CT3 (Luttinen and Siivola 1997; Luttinen et al. 1998; Luttinen and Furnes 2000). The various intrusive rocks of Vestfjella include the primitive DM-sourced D-FP magma type that is found as relatively thin cross-cutting dikes throughout Vestfjella (Heinonen and Luttinen, 2008; Heinonen et al., 2010; Luttinen et al., 2015). One dike is also known from Mannefallknausane.

Recent modeling using energy-constrained assimilation-fractional crystallization equations has shown that the different CT lavas may have differentiated from DM-sourced parental melts similar to some D-FP magmas: 1–15 wt. % assimilation of Archean crust (low-εNd CT1), Proterozoic lower crust (low-εNd CT1), and various portions of SCLM (CT2 and CT3) by MORB-like parental melts can largely explain their incompatible immobile trace element and Nd isotopic variation (Heinonen et al., 2016). Despite having interacted with very different lithospheric materials, both CT1 and CT3 magma types show significant enrichment in many fluid-mobile LILEs (namely Rb, Ba, K, and Sr; Fig. 3d,e). Such LILE enrichment, also observed in some of the D-FP dikes (Fig. 3f), is considered to be a primary source-derived feature and is lacking from the CT2 magma type (Luttinen et al., 1998; Luttinen and Furnes, 2000).
3. Samples and analytical methods

3.1. Samples

Our single-grain olivine O isotopic analyses focused on the D-FP magma type and Vestfjella CFBs. In the case of the CFBs, we only sampled low-$\varepsilon$Nd CT1 and CT3 magma types, because lavas that contain fresh olivine are not known from high-$\varepsilon$Nd CT1 and CT2 lavas. Major element, trace
element, mineral chemical, and Sr, Nd, Pb, Os, and He isotopic data for these rocks have been reported elsewhere (Luttinen and Furnes, 2000; Heinonen and Luttinen, 2008, 2010; Heinonen et al., 2010; Heinonen and Kurz, 2015). Some crucial major and trace element characteristics of these samples and their respective magma types are illustrated in Fig. 3.

The D- FP samples AL/B7-03, AL/B9-03, and AL/WM1e-98 are exceptionally fresh and do not exhibit any notable signs of secondary alteration or crustal contamination, and are close representatives of primary melt compositions (Heinonen and Luttinen, 2008, 2010; Heinonen et al., 2010). D- FP sample 5-mk-91, however, is a cumulate sample that has been collected close to a contact with a Proterozoic granite from Mannefallknausane (Heinonen et al., 2010). The sample from West-Muren, AL/WM1e-98, exhibits notable enrichment in LILEs (especially K) relative to other D- FP samples despite having similar U, Th, Nb, and LREE concentrations – this feature is primary and is pervasively observed in all fresh samples collected from the same dike (Fig. 3f).

On the contrary, the olivine-rich samples from the Vestfjella CT lavas have rarely preserved their primary compositions and the selected samples from the low-εNd CT1 (AL/307) and CT3 (sk221-AVL; sk229-AVL) magma types are all from altered cumulate parts of lava flows. The hand-picked olivines from the crushed samples, however, contain pristine parts which have preserved magmatic compositions (Online Resource 1). We only analyzed transparent fresh grains and avoided serpentine-filled fractures or inclusions. In the most altered sample sk221-AVL, the olivine grains were quite small and tightly attached to other silicate and oxide minerals and the separated grains were thus polymineralic (Online Resource 1). For the purpose of whole-rock geochemical comparison in the case of the Vestfjella CT lavas, we prefer using the compositions of the unaltered non-cumulate samples of the respective magma types (Fig. 3; see Online Resource 2). Note that the relative large-ion lithophile element (LILE: Rb, Ba, K, Sr) enrichment is a characteristic feature of the low-εNd CT1 and CT3 magma types (Fig. 3d,e) – this characteristic has been disturbed by secondary alteration in the olivine-bearing samples (see Luttinen and Furnes, 2000). This is clearly demonstrated in the differences between the LILE patterns of the two CT3 samples analyzed in this study (Fig. 3e).

3.2. Oxygen isotope and mineral chemical analysis

The hand samples were crushed and the freshest olivine grains were hand-picked from sieved and magnetically separated fractions with a diameter of 0.25–1 mm. The grains were mounted in epoxy, and polished. Optical and scanning electron microscope images of the fractions are presented in Online Resource 1. The mounts were mapped and analysis points selected using secondary electron microscopy at the Geological Survey of Finland. After adding a 30 nm gold coating, the oxygen isotope analyses were performed at the NordSIM laboratory, Swedish Museum of Natural History using a Cameca IMS 1280 multicollector-equipped large geometry ion microprobe. The basic instrument setup and analytical protocols closely follow those described by Heinonen et al. (2015a) for zircon, staying well within limits on in-run beam centering in the field aperture described by Whitehouse and Nemchin (2009). All data were normalized to regular measurements of the San Carlos olivine that bracketed every six unknown analyses, assuming a δ18Ov-SMOW of 5.30 ‰, similar to recent measurements using CO2-laser BrF5 fluorination techniques of 5.28 ± 0.04 ‰ (1σ) by Kusakabe & Matsuhisa (2008) and 5.27 ± 0.04 ‰ (2σ) by Ahn et al. (2012). Several studies have reported that different batches of “San Carlos olivine” are not necessarily homogeneous (e.g., Thirlwall et al., 2006; Starkey et al., 2016) and laser fluorination analysis of single grains from the batches available at NordSIM have yielded values ranging from 5.12 ± 0.07 to 5.46 ± 0.03 ‰ (n = 4, average 5.3 ± 0.4 ‰ (2σ); C. Harris, University of Cape Town, pers. comm.). Since this range is likely to be batch related, any deviation will impose a systematic bias of < ±0.2 ‰ rather than a random error component and for this reason, we have plotted our data using the analytical session uncertainties without propagating this additional uncertainty.
Minor drift corrections (< 3 ppm/run) were applied to the data sets where applicable based on minimizing the session external uncertainty on the standards (n = 74 over three sessions), which was ± 0.34 ‰ (2σ). This value is propagated in quadrature with the generally much smaller (<0.1 ‰ s.e. mean) within run uncertainty. The olivines studied here range from Fo70 to Fo92, which is within the range shown recently by Isa et al. (2017) to be not significantly affected by compositionally dependent instrumental mass bias. Complete data of the olivines analyzed in this study and standard runs are presented in Online Resource 3.

Olivine major and minor element compositions were determined at the Department of Geosciences and Geography, University of Helsinki, with a JEOL JXA-8600 electron probe microanalyzer (EPMA) equipped with four wavelength-dispersive spectrometers. The analysis spots were selected as close to the SIMS spots as possible. The details as well as precision and accuracy of the method has been described by Heinonen and Fusswinkel (2017), who utilized the same olivine mounts that were used in this study.

4. Results

The results of our O isotope and mineral chemical analyses of olivines are presented in Table 1 and illustrated in Fig. 4a. For comparison with other trace element and isotope compositions acquired from whole-rock samples, we use calculated melt δ18O values (Fig. 4b): these are discussed in detail in section 5. We acknowledge that the precision of our analyses does not match that obtainable by modern laser fluorination techniques, but it is still enough to validate the significant variation shown by the current data.

All analyzed olivine grains have high CaO contents (> 0.19 wt.%; Table 1) indicating that they are phenocrysts and not mantle xenocrysts (see Simkin and Smith, 1970; Heinonen and Luttinen, 2010). D-FP sample AL/B7-03 olivines exhibit fairly low δ18O (4.4–4.9 ‰, average = 4.6 ‰, Fo82–92), similar to or slightly lower within error compared to common mantle olivine (4.8–5.5 ‰; Mattey et al., 1994). Olivines from D-FP sample AL/B9-03 exhibit slightly higher δ18O (4.4–5.2 ‰, average = 4.9 ‰, Fo84–90), but still within error compared to those of AL/B7-03 at a given Fo content (Fig. 5a). Sample AL/WM1e-98 olivines show the highest and δ18O (5.2–6.1 ‰, average = 5.6 ‰, Fo83–87) of the D-FP magma type. Interestingly, the olivine having the highest δ18O value is the most primitive one in this sample (Fo87). Cumulate sample 5-mk-91 with the most evolved olivines of the D-FP group exhibits low olivine δ18O (4.5–4.9 ‰, average = 4.7 ‰, Fo78–81).

The olivines from the CFB lavas exhibit considerably higher δ18O compared to D-FP olivines in general: there is within-error overlap with only three of the AL/WM1e-98 olivines. The δ18O of the different CT magma type olivines are very similar to each other (low–ɛNd CT1 sample AL/307: 6.3–7.0 ‰, average = 6.6 ‰, Fo80–88; CT3 sample sk221-AVL: 6.2–7.5 ‰, average = 6.7 ‰, Fo70–75; CT3 sample sk229-AVL: 6.6–6.9 ‰, average = 6.7 ‰, all are Fo79). The majority of the values are within-error to the high values reported for the olivines of the Tuli and Mwenezi picrites (Fig. 4a; Harris et al., 2015).

It is important to note that the olivines in the most altered sample sk221-AVL gave similar results compared to clearly fresh magmatic olivines from sample sk229-AVL representing the same CT3 magma type, although the one anomalously high value (6.90 ± 0.38‰) in the former may have been affected by low-T hydrothermal alteration after emplacement (see, e.g., Bindeman, 2009). Despite this one outlier possibly affected by secondary processes, the homogeneous within-error δ18O values of the different CT lavas are evidence of magmatic values recorded by the olivine phenocrysts at the time of their crystallization.
### Table 1 Oxygen isotope and mineral chemistry of olivine phenocrysts from the Vestfjella CFBs and related intrusive rocks.

| Sample    | Locality       | rock type | suite | Mg#\(\text{grain}\) | NiO (wt.%) | CaO (wt.% | MgO (wt.% | FeO (wt.% | F O (mol.% | \(\delta^{18}O\) (‰) | T (°C) & \(\pm 2σ\) (‰) | \(\delta^{18}O_{\text{MELT}}\) (‰) | suite | rock type | Mg#\(\text{grain}\) | SiO₂ (wt.%) | FeO (wt.%) | MnO (wt.%) | MgO (wt.%) | CaO (wt.%) | MgO (wt.%) | FeO (wt.%) | T (°C) & \(\pm 2σ\) (‰) | \(\delta^{18}O_{\text{MELT}}\) (‰) |
|-----------|----------------|----------|-------|---------------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|----------|----------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| AL/B7-03  | West Muren     | ferropicrite | D-FP  | 74                   | 1.0        | 3a         | 3b        | 5b        | 9b          | 10b             | 11b             | 12b             | 13b    | 14b       | 15b            | 41.55       | 11.58      | 0.14       | 48.90      | 0.25        | 0.48       | 99.62      | 100.20      | 134.2        | 5.38             | 9.95             | 5.53             | 0.12             | 6.29             | 6.05             | 4.69             | 5.90             | 1383         |
| AL/B9-03  | Steinkjeften  | meimechite | D-FP  | 79                   | 1.0        | 3a         | 3b        | 5b        | 9b          | 10b             | 11b             | 12b             | 13b    | 14b       | 15b            | 41.55       | 11.58      | 0.14       | 48.90      | 0.25        | 0.48       | 99.62      | 100.20      | 134.2        | 5.38             | 9.95             | 5.53             | 0.12             | 6.29             | 6.05             | 4.69             | 5.90             | 1383         |

*Note: AL = Allier, WM = West Muren, AV = Averrho, B9 = Basen 99, CT = CT3, FP = Fjell, AVL = Avlon.*
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Oxygen isotopic compositions of a) the analyzed Vestfjella olivines and b) equilibrium melts shown relative to olivine Fo content, error bars are ±2σ (Table 1). In a, δ18O ranges of olivines from Mwenezi and Tuli picrites from Africa are after Harris et al. (2015; Fo not reported) and the δ18O ranges of mantle olivine are after Matthey et al. (1994; full range) and Eiler (2001; average). In b, peridotite whole-rocks are after Eiler (2001) (average ± 1σ) and references therein. MORB data is based on analyses of MORB glasses from Pacific, Atlantic, and Indian Oceans (Eiler et al., 2001) (average ± 1σ). Fo content of equilibrium olivine has been calculated assuming Fe2+/Feo of 0.9 and Kd(Fe2+-Mg)ol-liq of 0.30. Fractional crystallization model (FC) is explained in section 6.

5. Oxygen isotopic composition of the parental magmas

The oxygen isotopic composition of olivine does not directly reflect that of the melt from which it crystallized (see, e.g., Eiler, 2001). We calculated the melt δ18O in equilibrium with each olivine analysis by utilizing the equation

$$10^{\delta_{\text{O}}^{18}\text{basalt}} = A \times 10^\frac{T}{T^2},$$

in which T is olivine crystallization temperature and A is -1.77, which was calculated for olivine in equilibrium with a normalized basaltic melt composition (Zhao and Zheng, 2003). Possible variations in factor A in high temperatures and MgO-rich compositions represented by our samples have a negligible effect on the fractionation of δ18O (see Eiler, 2001).

Melt compositions for the estimation of olivine crystallization temperature were calculated for melt-representative D-FP samples AL/B7-03 and AL/B9-03 by adding or subtracting equilibrium olivine in 0.5 wt. % steps until the resulting compositions were in equilibrium with the Fo content of each analyzed olivine. Similar approach was also used for AL/WM1e-98 after a slight correction for minor olivine accumulation (subtraction of average olivine until in equilibrium with the whole-rock composition). In the case of D-FP sample 5-mk-91 that represents a cumulate from a basaltic magma, a representative basaltic sample of the same magma type (P27-AVL; Luttinen and Furnes, 2000) was used as a starting melt composition. In the case of the CT lava samples that are altered cumulates, the composition of the melt in equilibrium with each analyzed olivine was estimated on the basis of averaged whole-rock trends of fresh low-εNd CT1 and CT3 lavas. (Fe2+/Feo)liq and Kd(Fe2+-Mg)ol-liq were estimated to be 0.9 and 0.35 in all cases, respectively (see Heinonen and Luttinen, 2008, 2010). The olivine crystallization temperatures for the resulting melt compositions were then determined with PELE software (Boudreau, 1999) at 5 kbar and assuming H2Oliq content of 0.5 wt.% in the case of CT samples and D-FP sample 5-mk-91 and at 10 kbar and assuming H2Oliq content of 1 wt.% in the case of other D-FP samples (see Heinonen and Luttinen, 2008, 2010; Heinonen et al., 2010). We note that an error of 100 °C in the crystallization temperature results in maximum δ18O error of 0.13 ‰ in the melt compositions, which is well within the precision of the measurements in olivine.
The calculated olivine crystallization temperatures and melt $\delta^{18}$O values are presented in Table 1 and the latter illustrated in Fig. 4b. The calculated melt $\delta^{18}$O values for the normal D-FP samples are similar to those of mantle peridotite whole-rocks and MORB glasses. The melt $\delta^{18}$O does not seem to clearly correlate with initial whole-rock Sr, Nd, and Pb radiogenic isotope compositions (only initial $^{206}$Pb/$^{204}$Pb ratio shows a slight negative correlation) of the studied rocks and magma types in general (Fig. 5a,b,d‒f). Instead, a strong correlation can be found relative to $^{187}$Os/$^{188}$Os (Fig. 5c).

Fig. 5. Average sample-specific melt $\delta^{18}$O values of the Vestfjella samples shown relative to a) $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr, b) $\varepsilon$Nd, c) $^{187}$Os/$^{188}$Os, d) $^{206}$Pb/$^{204}$Pb, e) $^{207}$Pb/$^{204}$Pb, and f) $^{208}$Pb/$^{204}$Pb at 180 Ma. Sources for the radiogenic isotope data are Heinonen and Luttinen (2008) and Heinonen et al. (2010). Trend line of all data is shown with square of correlation value ($R^2$). The O isotopic composition of the depleted MORB mantle (DM) is after Eiler (2001). The Sr, Nd, and Pb isotope composition of DM at 180 Ma calculated using present-day compositions and parent-daughter ratios reported by Workman and Hart (2005), except present-day $^{208}$Pb/$^{204}$Pb composition after Hart (1988). The Os isotopic composition of DM at 180 Ma calculated using present-day $^{187}$Os/$^{188}$Os and $^{187}$Re/$^{188}$Os reported by Shirey and Walker (1998). Continuous depletion of the DM source not taken into account as it does not have a notable effect on the isotopic compositions in these timescales. Energy-constrained assimilation-fractional crystallization models (Bohrson and Spera, 2001) with Archean (AC) and Proteorozoic (PC) crust are shown for all isotopes except for Os (model parameters for this element, e.g., in terms of the local crust are poorly constrained). Tick marks indicate the mass of assimilated material relative to the mass of the original magma. The model parameters are as in Heinonen et al. (2010) with the following additions and exceptions: $\delta^{18}$O of the parental magma is 5.37 ‰ (average of AL/B7-03 and AL/B9-03 olivines; Table 1), $\delta^{18}$O of AC is 10 ‰ (Johnstone, 2001), $\delta^{18}$O of PC is 12 ‰ (Johnstone and Harris, 2001), and isobaric specific heat and crystallization enthalpy of the parental magma is after Heinonen et al. (2016). The anomalous Pb isotopic composition of the Low-Nb D-FP sample 5-nk-91 is likely due to minor in-situ assimilation of the adjacent Proterozoic granite (Heinonen et al., 2010). The pink quadrangles represent hypothetical compositional range of the metasomatized but otherwise depleted mantle source – estimating this range for Pb isotopes is difficult, because all the involved parent and daughter elements (U, Th, and Pb) may be variably mobile in subduction environments (e.g., Kogiso et al., 1997).
6. The effect of fractional crystallization on δ¹⁸O

We have modeled the effect of fractional crystallization of olivine on melt δ¹⁸O using the equation $\Delta = 1000f^{-1} - 1$, in which $\Delta$ is the change in the δ¹⁸O value, $f$ is the fraction of remaining melt, and $\alpha$ is mineral-melt oxygen isotope fractionation factor. The latter is slightly affected by decreasing temperature in a fractionating magma; we simplified this effect by using constant $\alpha_{\text{olivine-basalt}}$ of 0.9993 which is a representative average value for MgO-rich magmas crystallizing in temperature range of ~1500–1200 °C (see Zhao and Zheng, 2003). Including pyroxene and An-rich plagioclase in the modeling would make the effect of fractionation less significant as both minerals have $\alpha_{\text{mineral-basalt}}$ values that are closer to 1 (and more than 1 for plagioclase) than $\alpha_{\text{olivine-basalt}}$ value (Zhao and Zheng, 2003). Therefore, our model can be considered to illustrate the maximum effect of crystal fractionation. Starting composition was a melt-representative D-FP sample AL/B9-03 in equilibrium with Fo92 olivine and having δ¹⁸O of 5.3 ‰, Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ of 0.9, and K_d(Fe²⁺–Mg)₈⁰⁻₉⁰ of 0.35 (see Heinonen and Luttinen, 2010).

It is evident from our fractional crystallization model that such a process cannot explain the relative ¹⁸O enrichment of the Vestfjella CT lavas and the West-Muren D-FP sample (Fig. 4b). The fact that the most Mg-rich olivines of the West-Muren sample exhibit the highest δ¹⁸O is intriguing. This is also the only sample, in which the olivines exhibit reverse zoning in terms of Fo content, a feature that has been attributed to magma mixing (Heinonen and Luttinen, 2008). The O isotopic data hints to mixing between an evolved “normal” D-FP magma and relatively more primitive ¹⁸O-enriched magma in the case of this dike.

7. The effect of crustal assimilation on δ¹⁸O

Most D-FP samples do not show any trace element or radiogenic isotope (Sr, Nd, or Pb) evidence of crustal assimilation (Heinonen et al., 2010). This observation alone precludes crustal assimilation as the cause of the high δ¹⁸O in the otherwise isotopically depleted West-Muren D-FP sample and connects the O isotopic variation within this magma type to its mantle sources.

The case with the uniformly ¹⁸O-enriched Vestfjella CT lavas is more complicated, as they show evidence of interaction with lithospheric materials either in the source or in large crustal magma chambers before eruption (Luttinen et al., 1998; Luttinen and Furnes, 2000; Heinonen et al., 2016). Unlike fractional crystallization, large-scale crustal assimilation can result in significant changes in magma δ¹⁸O value (e.g., Baker et al., 2000). This effect depends on the degree of assimilation, as well as on the relative difference in the δ¹⁸O composition of the magma and assimilated material.

Several lines of evidence indicate that the relatively high δ¹⁸O values shown by the Vestfjella CT lavas are not dominantly caused by crustal assimilation: 1) δ¹⁸O does not correlate with Sr, Nd, and Pb radiogenic isotope compositions (Fig. 5). 2) The Vestfjella samples do not plot close to crustal assimilation trends in δ¹⁸O vs. radiogenic isotope plots (Fig. 5). Lead is highly enriched in continental crust and just small amount of crustal assimilation would shift the Pb isotopic composition towards that of the crustal assimilant (Fig. 5d–f). On the other hand, the Pb isotopic signature of continental crust is highly variable and it is possible that the potential crustal assimilants had Pb isotopic composition similar to that of the hypothetical parental melt. We emphasize that we used the highest δ¹⁸O values known from the common rock types of the local basement and that the selected Archean assimilant represents the best-fit assimilant composition on the basis of 16 trace elements and Nd isotopes for the low-εNd CT1 lavas (Heinonen et al., 2016). 3) The CT lavas show uniform δ¹⁸O within Fo range of 70–88 mol. %. In contrast, negative correlation of Fo vs. δ¹⁸O would be expected in the case of progressive crustal assimilation (Fig. 4). 4) Trace element and radiogenic isotope modeling indicates that low-εNd CT1 magmas assimilated Archean
crust whereas CT3 magmas did not assimilate crust at all, but originated in part from SCLM sources (Luttinen and Furnes, 2000; Luttinen et al., 2015; Heinenon et al., 2016). Yet, both of these magma types show uniformly elevated δ^{18}O values that are similar to the highest values shown by the West-Muren D-FP sample (Fig. 4). 5) Local Archean granitoids record whole-rock δ^{18}O values of 10 % (Johnstone, 2001). Energy-constrained assimilation-fractional crystallization models (see Fig. 5 for details) indicate that 40 wt.% of assimilation of such crustal rocks would be required to achieve the magmatic δ^{18}O values of the low-ε<sub>Nd</sub> CT1 lavas, assuming an initial common-mantle-like δ^{18}O value of ~5.4 ‰ for the parental magma. Such high amounts are not thermodynamically feasible and the resulting considerably Si-enriched compositions would not be picrites or high-Mg basalts anymore (see Heinenon et al., 2016).

On the basis of the evidence presented above, we propose that the 18O-enriched signal of the Vestfjella CT lavas is not the result of crustal assimilation, but derives from their mantle sources. This mantle-derived 18O-enriched signal has been recorded by the most Mg-rich olivines of the LILE-enriched West-Muren D-FP dike that likely shared the same ultimate asthenospheric mantle sources with the CFB lavas (see Heinenon et al., 2016).

8. Reason for the 18O enrichment in the mantle sources of the Vestfjella CFBs

Mantle-derived high δ^{18}O of the West-Muren D-FP dike and CT lavas requires the incorporation of near-surface materials in their mantle sources: the most probable candidates are 1) recycled crust and 2) subduction-related fluids.

8.1. Recycled crust in the source?

Hydrothermally altered oceanic upper crust and overlying sediments may exhibit δ^{18}O values up to 20 ‰ and 40 ‰, respectively (Gregory and Taylor, 1981; Eiler, 2001), and elevated δ^{18}O in primitive volcanic rocks has been linked to entrainment of recycled crustal materials in their mantle sources (e.g., Woodhead et al., 1993; Workman et al., 2008; Harris et al., 2015). In fact, Eiler et al. (2000) linked the correlation of relatively high δ^{18}O with elevated K and Ba contents in MORBs to entrainment of up to 7 wt.% of subducted upper oceanic crustal materials. Recycled crust may be present in the mantle as separate bodies of eclogite/pyroxenite or as a geochemical component in refertilized peridotite.

Potential indicators of recycled pyroxenitic sources are olivine Ni (Sobolev et al., 2005) and whole-rock Zn/Fe (Le Roux et al., 2010), MgO/CaO (Herzberg and Asimow, 2008), and FC3MS (FeO<sub>tot</sub>/CaO – 3 × MgO/SiO<sub>2</sub>; Yang and Zhou, 2013). First three of these may also be affected by refertilized peridotite sources (Yang et al., 2016). Furthermore, e.g., temperatures and pressures of melting and crystallization have been shown to have a considerable effect on Ni partitioning in olivine (Li and Ripley, 2010; Matzen et al., 2013). For a thorough review on the different pyroxenite source indicators, the reader is referred to Yang et al. (2016). Importantly, the rocks studied here belong to the same portion of the Karoo LIP and it can be assumed that they have originated under fairly similar mantle conditions beneath thick continental lithosphere. Therefore, the information given by the aforementioned source indicators here may be more meaningful than, e.g., in the case of global comparison of within-plate basalts (see Yang and Zhou, 2013, Howarth and Harris, 2017).

If recycled pyroxenite sources are the explanation for the 18O-enrichment in the Vestfjella CFBs, positive correlations of δ^{18}O with other pyroxenite source indicators would be expected. Such correlations have been identified in a recent study on Paraná-Etendeka and African Karoo LIP picrites (Howarth and Harris, 2017). It is evident from Fig. 6a that there are no significant differences in olivine Ni within the analyzed samples at a given olivine Fo. The D-FP and CT3 samples show similar Zn/Fe that is close to that of a hypothetical peridotite melt (Fig. 6b). A couple
of low-$\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ CT1 samples show slightly elevated Zn/Fe, but this may also be due to them being olivine cumulates from more evolved magmas (see Le Roux et al., 2010). Most of the primitive D-FP and CT3 samples show similar MgO/CaO and plot in or close to the “peridotite partial melt” field in the diagram (Fig. 6c). More differentiated samples show evidence of fractionation of clinopyroxene and plagioclase and distinction between peridotite vs. pyroxenite sources cannot be made in this respect (see Herzberg and Asimow, 2008). Furthermore, the composition of some of the MgO-rich low-$\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ CT1 samples may be influenced by accumulation of olivine from more evolved magmas and/or assimilation of CaO-poor Archean crustal materials (see Heinonen et al., 2016). One of the CT3 samples also plots in the field of excessively MgO-rich pyroxenite melt compositions; we do not consider it further, but speculate that it may be caused by olivine accumulation from more evolved magmas or depletion of Ca due to secondary alteration. Finally, all of the D-FP samples and most of the Vestfjella CT lavas exhibit FC3MS values below the upper limit of peridotite-derived melts (Fig. 6d). Again, the FC3MS parameter of some of the low-$\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ CT1 samples could have been compromised by assimilation of SiO$_2$-rich and CaO-poor Archean crustal materials.

In summary, although elevated $\delta^{18}$O and K could hypothetically indicate the involvement of recycled pyroxenitic sources for West-Muren D-FP dike and CT lavas, evidence from other pyroxenite source indicators is not convincing (Fig. 6). This is especially important in the case of olivine Ni that is well-shielded from the effects of crustal assimilation, crystal fractionation, accumulation, and secondary alteration which may have compromised the other pyroxenite-source parameters, especially in the case of the CT lavas. The presented geochemical evidence indicates relatively similar peridotite-dominated mantle sources for the Vestfjella D-FP and CT magma types (see also Heinonen et al., 2016). It is also important to note that the apparent Fe- and Ti-enrichment of D-FP is not the result of pyroxenite sources, but of generation of the highly magnesian parental melts at low degrees of melting (resulting in high Ti and high Fe) of dominantly peridotitic mantle at high pressures (resulting in even higher Fe and low Al) beneath the cratonic Gondwanan lithosphere; this aspect has been extensively discussed elsewhere (Heinonen and Luttinen 2010; Heinonen et al., 2010; Heinonen and Kurz, 2015; Heinonen and Fusswinkel, 2017; see also Walter, 1998). The possibility of peridotite sources refertilized by recycled crust is more difficult to evaluate, however, although many of the aforementioned pyroxenite indicators overlap with results from refertilized peridotite experiments (Yang et al., 2016). Regardless of whether traces of recycled components were present in the mantle source in a form or another, there is considerable evidence of the involvement of fluids in the sources of the $^{18}$O-enriched samples as will be discussed in the following section.
8.2. Metasomatism of the source?

High \( ^{18}\text{O} \) signal of the upper oceanic crust can also be released from the subducting slab to the overlying mantle by metasomatic processes (e.g., Eiler et al., 1998; Liu et al., 2014). Although it is likely that most of such activity takes place in arc environments at relatively shallow depths,
some fluids or fluid-rich low-degree melts may be released as deep as mantle transition zone (e.g., Maruyama and Okamoto, 2007; Dixon et al., 2017) or even in the lower mantle (Palot et al., 2016).

Numerous crystallized melt inclusions in the D-FP olivines contain primary kaersutite that indicates water contents of ~1–2 wt. % in the parental magmas and enrichment of water in their mantle sources (Heinonen and Luttinen, 2010). The inclusions in the West-Muren D-FP olivines contain more kaersutite on average (34–51 vol.%) than those in other D-FP samples (7–24 vol.%). Although melt inclusions have not been mapped in detail in CT lavas, it is worth nothing that higher degree of melting in the hydrous DM source relative to all D-FP magmas (Heinonen et al., 2016) could result in relatively lower water content in their parental melts.

Fluid-rich mantle metasomatism would result in the formation of hydrous phases, e.g., phlogopite and/or K richterite at the base of the continental lithosphere (Sudo and Tatsumi, 1990; Konzett and Ulmer, 1999). Figure 7 illustrates the effect of adding 5 wt.% of phlogopite or K richterite component into a normal-δ18O D-FP magma in terms of incompatible trace elements. Such addition is broadly analogous to these components being consumed by partial melting in the mantle source. Mineral major and trace element compositions have been acquired from mica-amphibole-rutile-ilmenite-diopside (MARID) xenoliths that derive from the base of the Kaapvaal SCLM (Grégoire et al., 2002). Addition of phlogopite causes major enrichment of K, Rb, and Ba (Fig. 7a), whereas addition of K richterite causes major enrichment of K and minor enrichment of Rb and Sr (Fig. 7b). Addition (i.e. contribution to the primary melt) of these minerals to a normal-δ18O D-FP magma results in strikingly similar trace element pattern to that of the West-Muren D-FP dike and implies that melts from mica- and/or amphibole-bearing sources were involved in its petrogenesis. It is important to note that the kaersutite-bearing melt inclusions indicate the presence of water also in the sources of normal-δ18O D-FP melts and their trace element patterns indicate minor enrichment in Rb (Fig. 3f). This could imply that their sources contained only minor amounts of mica/amphibole or possibly some other high-P H2O-bearing phases, e.g., garnet that can store up to 0.1 wt. % of water at mantle conditions (Mookherjee and Karato, 2010).

Assuming that 5 wt.% addition of a metasomatic component to a normal-δ18O D-FP magma explains the trace element signature of the West-Muren D-FP dike (Fig. 7), this component would have to have δ18O of ca. 20 ‰ to explain the change in δ18O from ~5.5 ‰ (normal D-FP melt) to ~6.3 ‰ (average West-Muren melt). Although this is a simplification of how the actual process involving complex fluid-rock interaction may have taken place, it nevertheless gives broad constraints for the O isotopic composition of the metasomatic component. If associated with subduction of oceanic crust, such high values are only found in its sedimentary portions (clastic sediments, pelagic clays, carbonates, or opaline oozes; 10–40 ‰; Gregory and Taylor, 1981; Eiler, 2001). Importantly, recent studies have suggested that at mantle depths of 180–280 km below subduction zones, supercritical C-O-H-Cl fluids derived from carbonated sediments dominate the volatile budget (Dixon et al., 2017). In addition, super-hydrated clay minerals may be able to carry significant amounts of water to similar or even greater depths (Hwang et al., 2017). Fluids from these kinds of high-δ18O sources in subducted crust could have metasomatized parts of the mantle below or in the lower parts of the Gondwanan lithosphere.

Similar calculations and comparisons are much more difficult to make for the CT lavas because their geochemistry has been affected by more significant interaction with lithospheric materials (Heinonen et al., 2016). More 18O-enriched or larger amounts of fluid-enriched mantle components would nevertheless be needed to explain their high mantle-derived δ18O solely by metasomatic fluids (Fig. 4b). Importantly, Os isotopic compositions are not easily modified by crustal assimilation, because crustal rock types usually have very low Os concentrations (e.g., Carlson, 2005). Furthermore, Re may be very mobile in subduction-related metasomatic fluids (Dale et al., 2007) resulting in time-progressive increase in 187Os/188Os in the metasomatized source, which is compatible with the correlation of initial 187Os/188Os with δ18O (Fig. 5c). The Vestfjella lavas are also characterized by significant relative enrichment in K as well as Rb, Ba and Sr (Fig.
If the enrichment in these LILEs is a completely mantle-derived feature (see Luttinen and Furnes, 2000), more complex metasomatic processes than suggested for the source of the West-Muren D-FP dike would be required. In any case, the primary high δ18O of the lavas indicates recycling of surface O to the mantle, which together with the enrichment in fluid-mobile LILEs and 187Os/188Os can be reasonably attributed to subduction-related metasomatic processes in the mantle source.

Fig. 7. Mixing models of normal D-FP magma (sample AL/B7-03 with trace element concentrations comparable to those of the West-Muren dike) and a) phlogopite or b) K richterite shown in primitive-mantle-normalized trace element patterns. Normalization values after Sun and McDonough (1989). Trace element data of the D-FP samples from Heinonen and Luttinen (2008). Trace element compositions for phlogopite and K richterite that were used as mixing components are averages of respective minerals from the MARID xenoliths reported by Grégoire et al. (2002; P data not available).

9. Implications

Although we cannot preclude the involvement of recycled crustal rocks (especially in the form of refertilized peridotite), we suggest that the predominant cause for the 18O-enrichment in the olivines of the West-Muren D-FP dike and the Vestfjella CT lavas is mantle metasomatism related to the release of fluids from subducting slabs (Fig. 8). It is important to note that the proposed ancient eclogite-derived origin for the high δ18O observed in Karoo LIP picrites of southern Africa (Harris et al., 2015; Howarth and Harris, 2017) is not in discordance with our interpretations. The Mwenezi picrites have been shown to exhibit very strong affinity to SCLM (e.g., Hawkesworth et al., 1984; Ellam and Cox, 1989, 1991; Ellam, 2006; Jourdan et al., 2007) and have sampled different sources relative to dominantly sublithospheric Vestfjella magmas (Luttinen et al., 2015; Heinonen et al., 2016). Alternatively, the high δ18O of the Mwenezi picrites could also derive from the possible asthenospheric depleted end-member source (see Ellam and Cox, 1991; Kamenetsky et al., 2017) shared by the D-FP and Vestfjella CFBs. The overall influence of these high-δ18O sources, whether they are recycled eclogites or metasomatized peridotites, on Karoo magmatism as a whole is difficult to constrain. This is because extensive O isotopic data for Karoo LIP, especially in the case of widespread and voluminous plateau lavas and sills (e.g., Neumann et al., 2011), is lacking and magmatic compositions have often been overprinted by assimilation of lithospheric materials (this possibility should individually be evaluated for each suite). Nevertheless, such source rocks are much more fertile than dry peridotitic sources and would have a large potential to increase melt productivity and heterogeneity in the mantle. Wang et al. (2016) suggested high weighted mean water contents of 1.92 ± 0.12 wt.% for the parental magmas of Karoo LIP on the basis of phase equilibria and fluid-mobile trace element ratios, which is also compatible with general relative water enrichment in the mantle sources of the Karoo LIP.
Fig. 8. A schematic cross section across line segment x–x’ (Fig. 2) during Karoo CFB magmatism showing the primary magma sources for the CT lavas, West-Muren D-FP, and normal D-FP magmas. Models for assimilation implemented from Heinonen et al. (2016) and implications from the LILE enrichment (high-εNd CT1) or lack thereof (CT2) taken into account (see Luttinen and Furnes, 2000). Plumbing systems for D-FP magmas not shown in order to preserve clarity—they did not significantly fractionate in crustal magma chambers or assimilate lithospheric materials. AUC = Archean upper crust; ALC = Archean lower crust; PUC = Proterozoic upper crust; PLC = Proterozoic lower crust; SCLM = Subcontinental lithospheric mantle with metasomatized (veined) portions.

The Sr, Nd, Pb, Os, and He isotopic signatures of the D-FP dikes (including the West-Muren dike) strongly suggest long-term depleted sublithospheric sources for them. Specifically, the depleted radiogenic isotope character of the West-Muren dike indicates that the metasomatic process that resulted in the enrichment of δ¹⁸O and K must have been relatively recent. One of the small differences of the D-FP radiogenic isotope signature relative to those of DM and SWIR MORBs are the relatively higher initial ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr of the former (Heinonen et al., 2010). We suggest this to be the consequence of the Rb-enrichment in the source that has also resulted in the relative enrichment of Rb in the trace element patterns of all of the D-FP samples (Fig. 3f,g). If the Rb- and water-enrichment in the sources of the normal-δ¹⁸O D-FP samples is a faint reflection of the same metasomatic process that caused the enrichment in K (+ other LILE), ¹⁸⁷Os, and δ¹⁸O in the West-Muren D-FP dike and CT lavas, we can estimate the timing of this process with the help of simple Rb-Sr isotope modeling. Figure 9 illustrates the Sr isotopic evolution of the depleted MORB mantle (DMM) and of the source of the D-FP samples AL/B9-03 and AL/WM1e-98 that show the lowest ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr of the analyzed D-FP samples and have preserved the Rb/Sr of the primary magmas (see Heinonen and Luttinen, 2008, 2010). Depending on the degree of melting in the source required to produce such a melt (3–12%; Heinonen and Luttinen, 2010), the source diverged from the DMM evolution line and experienced Rb-enrichment no earlier than 750 Ma ago. Although the presented model is certainly a simplification, this lower limit is similar to what has been proposed for the age of the recycled and subduction-modified oceanic crustal component in the pyroxenitic sources of the Group 3 picrites from Ahlmannryggen (younger than 1 Ga and best-fit at 700 Ma; Heinonen et al., 2014). During these times, the cratonic cores of Antarctica and Africa were part of the supercontinent Rodinia, below which large quantities of oceanic lithosphere were subducting from several directions (e.g., Murphy et al., 2004). In addition, there are indications of subduction beneath the Kalahari-Grunehoga craton already before Rodinia assembly (Marshcall et al., 2013).
Whether the proposed metasomatism of the sub-Gondwanan mantle took place at the base of the lithosphere or in the deeper parts of the mantle is not readily answered by our data. However, Wang et al. (2015) linked the wet transition zone signal not to high but to low δ18O values in CFBs, because of supposed release of fluids from lower oceanic crust and ultramafic oceanic lithosphere in the deeper parts of the mantle. Importantly, and despite the elevated water contents, high temperatures are required for the generation of the D-FP magmas, which suggests that either mantle plumes and/or continental insulation processes played an important part in the generation of the Karoo LIP (Heinonen et al., 2015b). This does not in any way preclude the possible involvement of 'wet' transition zone upwellings (Wang et al., 2015) or rapid release of water from subducted slabs (Ivanov et al., 2016), possibly instigated by a mantle plume or some other geodynamic processes, although the age implications listed above are not directly compatible with the latter.

The presented O isotope data together with other geochemical evidence support the involvement of mantle components variably modified by subduction fluids in the petrogenesis of the Vestfjella CFBs. Given the prevalence of arc-like geochemical signatures in Karoo CFB province and other CFBs in general, such fertile sources may be important factors in the creation of vast amounts of basaltic magma in the asthenospheric mantle below continents (see Wang et al., 2016).

Fig. 9. Temporal Sr isotopic evolution of depleted MORB mantle (DMM) and the hypothetical sources of the D-FP samples AL/WM1e-98 and AL/B9-03. For the partial melting models and for the calculation of 87Rb/86Sr of the source, degrees of melting of 3–12 % (Heinonen and Luttinen, 2010) and garnet peridotite modes, melt modes, and partition coefficients of Janney et al. (2005) were utilized. DMM trend is after 3 Ga gradual depletion model of Workman and Hart (2005).

10. Conclusions

On the basis of olivine oxygen isotopic data for a suite of Jurassic dike rocks (depleted ferropicritic D-FP magma type) and CFBs (low-eNd CT1 and CT3 magma types) related to the Karoo LIP, we present the following conclusions:

1. The D-FP magma suite that has previously been affiliated with DM sources shows division into two subgroups on the basis of δ18O: olivines from most of the samples exhibit normal mantle-like δ18O of 4.4–5.2 ‰ (average = 4.8 ‰, Fo78–92), whereas olivines from a single dike from West-Muren exhibit slightly elevated δ18O of 5.2–6.1 ‰ (average = 5.6 ‰, Fo83–87). The two groups do not show significant differences in terms of Sr, Nd, and Pb radiogenic isotope compositions, but the 18O-enriched West-Muren ferropicrite exhibits relatively higher whole-rock 187Os/188Os and K contents. All of the LILE- and 187Os-enriched flood basalt samples exhibit considerably elevated
δ\(^{18}\)O in olivine (6.2–7.5 ‰; average = 6.7 ‰, Fo\(_{70-88}\)) regardless of the magma type (low-ε\(_{Nd}\) CT1 or CT3).

2. Fractional crystallization cannot explain the relative \(^{18}\)O-enrichments in the different magma types, because the variation is too large at a given Fo content.

3. Crustal assimilation cannot explain the relative \(^{18}\)O-enrichment of the different magma types: δ\(^{18}\)O does not correlate with assimilation-sensitive radiogenic isotopes, only low-ε\(_{Nd}\) CT1 shows other geochemical evidence of crustal assimilation, and thermodynamically excessive quantities of assimilation would be required.

4. Recycled pyroxenitic or eclogitic source could theoretically explain the elevated δ\(^{18}\)O, but is not supported by other indicators of such sources (olivine Ni and whole-rock Zn/Fe, MgO/CaO, and FC3MS). The role of peridotite sources refertilized by recycled crustal materials is more difficult to evaluate.

5. The primary cause for the elevated δ\(^{18}\)O, fluid-mobile LILEs, and \(^{187}\)Os in the West-Muren D-FP dike and the CT lavas is likely to be fluid-dominated metasomatism of their mantle sources (base of the lithosphere or deeper) or mixing of the primary magmas with such metasomatized components. Such a scenario is supported by evidence of H\(_2\)O-rich mantle sources. The metasomatic components originated from upper portions of subducted (oceanic) lithosphere.

6. Calculations on the basis of Rb-Sr isotopes imply that the metasomatic modification took place no earlier than 750 Ma ago, possibly during the time of supercontinent Rodinia. The involvement of such sources would have resulted in higher source heterogeneity and melt production due to increased mantle fertility, but their importance for the petrogenesis of the Karoo LIP as a whole remains to be studied.
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