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Granuloma Annulare and Morphea: Correlation with Borrelia burgdorferi Infections and Chlamydia-related Bacteria
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A retrospective study of 109 skin biopsies with granuloma annulare (GA) or morphea histology from patients with suspected tick bite was performed. Biopsies were tested for cutaneous Borrelia burgdorferi DNA using PCR. The same biopsies were analysed for tick-borne novel agents, Chlamydia-related bacteria (members of the Chlamydiales order), using a PCR-based method. Borrelia DNA was detected in 7/73 (9.6%) biopsies with GA and in 1/36 (2.8%) biopsies with morphea, while Chlamydiales DNA was found in 53/73 (72.6%) biopsies with GA and 25/34 (73.4%) biopsies with morphea. All Borrelia DNA-positive GA samples were also positive for Chlamydiales DNA. The Chlamydiales sequences detected in GA were heterogeneous and contained Waddliaceae and Rhabdochlamydiaceae bacteria, which are also present in Ixodes ricinus ticks, while the Chlamydiales sequences detected in morphea closely resembled those found in healthy skin. In conclusion, tick-mediated infections can trigger GA in some cases, while correlation of either Borrelia or Chlamydiales with morphea is unlikely.
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Granuloma annulare (GA) and morphea (localized scleroderma) are skin reactions with unknown aetiology (1–5). One of the possible causative agents is Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato, a spirochete transmitted to humans via tick bites. Although the causal connections between B. burgdorferi and GA and morphea have been explored in several studies using various methods, including indirect serological methods and direct detection by PCR, there is no firm evidence of a causative role (6–11).

In addition to B. burgdorferi sensu lato, ticks are known to serve as vectors for a number of other animal and human pathogens, such as Babesia microti, which causes babesiosis, Anaplasma phagocytophilum, which causes human granulocytic anaplasmosis, species of the spotted fever group of Rickettsiae, and Flavivirus, which causes tick-borne encephalitis (TBE) (12–15).

Recently, Ixodes ricinus ticks have also been shown to carry another group of potential human pathogens, Chlamydia-related bacteria (16–19). They share the characteristic features of the order Chlamydiales: strict intracellular lifestyle, biphasic developmental cycle and a large core-set of genes. The traditional members of the order are the established human pathogens Chlamydia trachomatis and Chlamydia pneumoniae (genus Chlamydia, family Chlamydiaceae). During the last 20 years, an increasing number of novel chlamydial species have been described and, currently, 8 additional families are recognized as belonging to the Chlamydiaceae order. These new families: Parachlamydiaceae, Waddliaceae, Simkaniaaceae, Rhabdochlamydiaceae, Criblamydiaceae, Piscichlamydiaceae, Clavichlamydiaceae and Parlichlamydiaceae are collectively called Chlamydia-related bacteria. They were originally detected in various types of environmental samples (e.g. soil and water from various sources), but subsequently also in animals, including arthropods, and humans. Many of them have pathogenic potential (20, 21), and their reservoirs, vectors and transmission routes have been widely investigated, but have mainly remained obscure.

In this study, 109 patient skin biopsies with histologically confirmed GA and localized scleroderma (morphea) were analysed retrospectively. The samples had been studied for the presence of B. burgdorferi, either because of a clinical suspicion of borreliosis or because of abundant plasma cells in the biopsy. In order to determine whether certain types of Chlamydia-related bacteria could play a role in the pathogenesis of these conditions, the occurrence and type(s) of Chlamydiales in the biopsies was examined, and the results compared with our previously published data on healthy skin and ticks (17). We recently reported the occurrence of Chlamydiales in up to 40% of Finnish ticks and, furthermore, in human skin biopsies. Chlamydiales DNA was found in human skin samples, with a prevalence of 49% in healthy skin (19/39, 49%) and 85% in skin samples from subjects positive for Borrelia burgdorferi PCR. This suggests that ticks could indeed serve as vectors for transmission of Chlamydia-related bacteria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients
Data for all patients whose skin biopsies had a histology of GA or morphea and were submitted to B. burgdorferi DNA analysis...
at the Department of Dermatology, Helsinki University Central Hospital from 2010 to 2015 were reviewed. The samples were studied for the presence of *B. burgdorferi* due to clinical suspicion of borreliosis, history of tick bites, or the dermatopathologist’s recommendation based on histological features. The study included 109 skin biopsies from 73 patients with GA and 36 with morphea. Clinical and serological data were retrieved from patient files retrospectively.

**Histopathology**

Histopathological analysis was performed as routine investigation in the Laboratory of Dermatopathology, Skin and Allergy Hospital, Helsinki University Central Hospital by an experienced dermatopathologist. Histological slides were available for re-examination by one of the authors (LT) in 71 cases of GA and 34 cases of morphea.

GA has 2 predominant histological variants, palisading and interstitial, both of which are characterized by mucin and dermal inflammation (2). The histopathology of morphea is indistinguishable from the skin lesion of systemic sclerosis (3). The histopathological findings in the samples of our patients with GA and morphea were classic and identical to the description in standard textbooks.

**Detection of *B. burgdorferi* in skin biopsies by PCR**

*B. burgdorferi sensu lato* DNA detection was performed as a part of routine diagnostics by PCR amplification and hybridization with 16S rRNA- and OspA-specific primers and probes, as described earlier (6, 22). Real-time PCR with LightCycler® (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) was also performed. Briefly, PCR reactions contained 10 µl 2× MasterMix of the DyNAmo Flash Probe qPCR Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), 1 µl 10 µM the corresponding forward and reverse primers, 0.5 µl 10 µM probe, and 100 ng template DNA in a total reaction volume of 20 µl. PCR cycling (60 cycles of 95°C 15 s, 60°C 1 min) was performed with a LightCycler instrument (Roche).

**Borrelia antibodies**

Anti-borrelia IgG and IgM antibodies were determined by 2 immunoassays. If the screening test (Genzyme Virotech GmbH, Russelsheim, Germany) was positive, a confirmatory chemiluminescence immunoassay (Liaison®) was performed as a routine procedure (DiaSorin, Saluggia, Italy) by HUSLAB (23). The results were interpreted as described earlier (22).

**Detection of Chlamydia-related bacteria in skin biopsies by PCR and sequencing of amplicons**

*Chlamydiales* DNA was detected with a pan-*Chlamydiales* real-time TaqMan-PCR method, as described earlier (17, 24). Extreme precautions were taken to avoid cross-contamination, and no-template (water) controls were included in each PCR run. The resulting DNA amplicons (approximately 200 bp of the 16S rRNA gene region) were purified by Illustra ExoProStar

### Table I. Characteristics of 109 patients with granuloma annulare (GA) or morphea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GA</th>
<th>Morphea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients, n</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>8 (11)</td>
<td>6 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>65 (89)</td>
<td>30 (83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, mean (range)</td>
<td>59 (7–85)</td>
<td>55 (8–84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tick-bite recalled</td>
<td>17 (23)</td>
<td>7 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up time, months, mean (range)</td>
<td>9 (0–55)</td>
<td>18 (0–55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localized disease, n (%)</td>
<td>38 (52)</td>
<td>20 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized disease, n (%)</td>
<td>35 (48)</td>
<td>16 (44)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1-Step (GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Sequencing was then performed at the sequencing unit of the Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland. BLAST analysis was performed in order to compare the obtained Chlamydiales sequences to the known sequences in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database, especially the Chlamydiales sequences from biopsies of healthy skin and from Finnish *Ixodes ricinus* ticks. For family-level classification, the first established *Chlamydiales* strain of the BLAST hit list was determined, and if the sequence identity was ≥90%, the 2 sequences were considered as members of the same *Chlamydiales* family (17, 25, 26).

**RESULTS**

**Clinical and histological findings**

Clinical characteristics of the patients are summarized in **Table I**. Most patients were female. The mean age of patients with GA was 59 years (range 7–85 years) and that of the patients with morphea was 55 years (range 8–84 years). Most of the patients did not recall having had a tick bite; and this has been reported even for patients with confirmed erythema migrans (22), since the very tiny nymphs fall off the skin unnoticed after feeding. GA was localized in 38/73 (52%) and generalized in 35/73 (48%) of the patients (Table I, **Fig. 1**). Morphea was localized in 20/36 (56%) and generalized in 16/36 (44%) of the patients (Table I, **Fig. 1**).

Histological findings are summarized in **Table II** and visualized in **Fig. 2**. Of the GA cases, 38% were of the interstitial type. Plasma cells were present in 48% of the palisading GA cases and 70% of the interstitial GA cases. Of the morphea cases, plasma cells were present in 31/34 (91%). No correlation between the presence of plasma cells and positivity for either *Borrelia* or *Chlamydiales* DNA could be found in either group.

![Histology of granuloma annulare (GA) and morphea.](image)

**Table II. Summary of histological findings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cases n (%)</th>
<th>Plasma cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>Negative n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granuloma annulare</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>31 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palisading pattern</td>
<td>44 (62)</td>
<td>23 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstitial pattern</td>
<td>27 (38)</td>
<td>8 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphea</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3 (9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 2.** Histology of granuloma annulare (GA) and morphea. (A) and (B) Palisading GA: central area of necrobiotic collagen and mucin surrounded by histiocytes, lymphocytes and giant cells. (C) Interstitial GA: histiocytes and lymphocytes are scattered around collagen bundles and blood vessels. Mucin is prominent. In this case plasma cells are present. (D) Histology of fibrotic stage morphea is characterized by tightly packed and eosinophilic collagen bundles, atrophic sweat glands and minimal inflammatory infiltrate. (Haematoxylin-eosin stain; original magnification: A and D ×4; B ×10; C ×20).
Prevalence of Borrelia DNA

*B. burgdorferi* DNA was detected in 7/73 (9.6%) of the GA cases and 1/36 (2.8%) of the morphea cases. Unlike erythema migrans, lymphocytoma or acrodermatitis chronica atrophicans skin samples (27), 6/7 (85.7%) of the above GA biopsies and the single morphea sample were positive only for *ospA*. Only one GA sample was positive for both *ospA* and 16S rRNA targets. Three of the 7 patients with GA had received oral antibiotics before our PCR determination (during the preceding year), which may have influenced the result. Most, 5/7 (71%), of the GA cases positive for *Borrelia* DNA were of the interstitial type.

Borrelia serology

Anti-borrelial antibodies were measured in 69 patients with GA and in 35 patients with morphea. The antibodies were defined positive in 8/69 (11%) cases of GA and in 4/35 (11%) cases of morphea. In addition, 7/69 (10%) patients with GA and 4/35 (11%) patients with morphea had borderline antibody titres.

The antibody levels did not correlate directly with the presence of *Borrelia*-specific DNA in the skin biopsies, since the only PCR-positive morphea patient and 3/7 (43%) of the PCR-positive GA patients had only borderline positive antibody reaction and the rest, 4/7 (57%) of the PCR-positive GA patients had negative serology.

Antibiotic treatments and their efficacy

All patients positive for *Borrelia* DNA received antibiotic treatment with amoxicillin, doxycycline or ceftriaxone with dosage recommended for *Borrelia* infections (27). Of the GA-cases positive for *Borrelia* DNA, 5/7 (71.4%) persisted regardless of adequate antibiotic therapy. One patient was cured with parenteral ceftriaxone treatment and one patient was lost to follow-up. The only morphea case positive for *Borrelia* DNA was cured with a course of amoxicillin and topical corticosteroid. In *Borrelia* PCR-negative cases, 40/67 (59.7%) of the GA lesions and 22/35 (62.9%) of the morphea lesions persisted until the end of the follow-up. The mean follow-up time was 9 months for GA and 18 months for morphea patients.

Prevalence and sequence analysis of Chlamydia-related bacteria detected in granuloma annulare and morphea skin biopsies

*Chlamydiales* DNA was detected by PCR in 53/73 (72.6%) of biopsies from lesions of GA, and in 25/34 (73.4%) of biopsies from morphea. Altogether 70 sequences were obtained (45 from GA and 25 from morphea). When possible, the sequences were classified by BLAST analysis to family level. In morphea lesions, most of the *Chlamydiales* sequences belonged to the families of Parachlamydiaceae (56%) and Criblamydiaceae (12%), while 23.5% remained unclassified. Within the GA group, a wider range of *Chlamydiales* sequences were detected: again, the most prevalent types were Parachlamydiaceae (24.4%) and Criblamydiaceae (15.6%), but in addition to those we detected members of Waddliaceae (11.1%), Rhabdochlamydiaceae (4.4%) and Chlamydiaceae (2.2%). Within the samples from GA, 42.2% of the Chlamydiales sequences could not be classified to the family level (Fig. 3).

All 7 GA skin biopsies positive for *Borrelia* DNA were also positive for *Chlamydiales* DNA. However, the single morphea patient positive for *Borrelia* DNA was negative when tested for *Chlamydiales* DNA.

Comparison of the Chlamydiales profile in GA and in morphea to those of healthy skin and *Ixodes ricinus* ticks (17)

The diversity of *Chlamydiales* sequences in skin lesions of morphea closely resembled that found in healthy skin (Fig. 3). However, in the GA lesions, the diversity was wider and also contained members of families Waddliaceae and Rhabdochlamydiaceae, also found in *Ixodes ricinus* ticks (Fig. 3).

DISCUSSION

This study is the largest of its kind on PCR and hybridization-based detection of *B. burgdorferi* in patients with GA. Also, it is the first to inspect *Chlamydiales* in GA and morphea. According to our results on the prevalence of *Borrelia* DNA, we propose that infections with *B.
**burgdorferi** can trigger GA in some cases, but the direct causal role seems unlikely. The prevalence of *Borrelia* DNA in our data was 9.6%, which is in accordance with the few previous studies (10). It is noteworthy that *Borrelia* DNA may persist in the skin for long time (28) and does not necessarily reflect an active *Borrelia* infection. However, in our retrospective study, the presence of *Borrelia* DNA was studied because of a clinical or histopathological suspicion of *Borrelia* infection. Thus, the prevalence in the whole spectrum of GA may be somewhat lower. Since all our GA patients positive for *Borrelia* DNA were treated with appropriate antibiotics and in at least 71.4% of the cases GA lesions persisted regardless of antibiotic treatment, *Borrelia* infection does not seem to be directly causative, but rather a triggering factor. This idea is supported by the finding that the antibody levels did not directly correlate with the presence of *Borrelia*-specific DNA. This is in accordance with the current literature (1). It could also be argued that the only *Borrelia* DNA positive GA patient whose GA lesions resolved after antibiotic treatment may have actually been a case of GA-like acrodermatitis chronica atrophicans. Four similar cases have been reported recently by French authors (29).

The prevalence of *B. burgdorferi* in morphea lesions has been studied much more than its correlation with GA. Most studies report a low or missing prevalence of *Borrelia* (10). Our result with a single case (2.8%) positive for *Borrelia* DNA is in accordance with previous studies. Because of the low prevalence, a causative or even triggering correlation between *Borrelia* infection and morphea is unlikely.

Members of the *Chlamydiales*, *Chlamydia*-related bacteria are naturally resistant to beta-lactam antibiotics, including amoxicillin and ceftriaxone used in the treatment of *Borrelia* infections. However, *Chlamydia*-related bacteria should be sensitive to doxycycline (30). In our study, 8 patients with GA positive for *Chlamydiales* DNA were treated with doxycycline, but none of them was cured. This speaks against a direct causative role of *Chlamydiales* in the pathogenesis of GA. Thus, only a triggering effect for GA is possible.

This study has some limitations. The clinical and serological data were retrieved retrospectively from the patient files, and thus the documentation or follow-up of patients was not standardized. The description of possible systemic symptoms was vague or absent in most of the cases, and the majority of patients with localized disease was not followed after initiation of treatment, mostly topical corticosteroid creams or ointments.

In conclusion, *Chlamydiales* DNA is frequently detected in skin lesion in GA and morphea. In GA, the *Chlamydiales* diversity differs from that in healthy skin and resembles the diversity observed in *Ixodes ricinus* ticks, suggesting a tick-derived origin. In morphea, however, the diversity of *Chlamydiales* sequences was close to that in healthy skin. The occasional presence of *Borrelia* DNA and the tick-like *Chlamydiales* diversity in GA lesions combined with poor response to antibiotic treatment favours a possible triggering role of these tick-mediated infections in GA. Their correlation with morphea, however, is unlikely.
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