Toxicological characterisation of two novel selective aryl hydrocarbon receptor modulators in Sprague-Dawley rats
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The aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) mediates the toxicity of dioxins, but also plays important physiological roles. Selective AHR modulators, which elicit some effects imparted by this receptor without causing the marked toxicity of dioxins, are presently under intense scrutiny. Two novel such compounds are IMA-08401 (N-acetyl-N-phenyl-4-acetoxy-5-chloro-1,2-dihydro-1-methyl-2-oxo-quinoline-3-carboxamide) and IMA-07101 (N-acetyl-N-(4-trifluoromethylphenyl)-4-acetoxy-1,2-dihydro-5-methoxy-1-methyl-2-oxo-quinoline-3-carboxamide). They represent, as diacetyl prodrugs, AHR-active metabolites of the drug compounds laquinimod and tasquinimod, respectively, which are intended for the treatment of autoimmune diseases and cancer. Here, we toxicologically assessed the novel compounds in Sprague-Dawley rats, after a single dose (8.75–92.5 mg/kg) and 5-day repeated dosing at the highest doses achievable (IMA-08401: 100 mg/kg/day; and IMA-07101: 75 mg/kg/day). There were no overt clinical signs of toxicity, but body weight gain was marginally retarded, and the treatments induced minimal hepatic extramedullary haematopoiesis. Further, both the absolute and relative weights of the thymus were significantly decreased. Cyp1a1 gene expression was substantially increased in all tissues examined. The hepatic induction profile of other AHR battery genes was distinct from that caused by TCDD. The only marked alterations in serum clinical chemistry variables were a reduction in triglycerides and an increase in 3-hydroxybutyrate. Liver and kidney retinol and retinyl palmitate concentrations were affected largely in the same manner as reported for TCDD. In vitro, the novel compounds activated CYP1A1 effectively in H4IIE cells. Altogether, these novel compounds appear to act as potent activators of the AHR, but lack some major characteristic toxicities of dioxins. They therefore represent promising new selective AHR modulators.
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1. Introduction

The aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) is an evolutionarily ancient, ligand-activated transcription factor (Beischlag, et al. 2008). It regulates the activity of various genes in different cell types across all vertebrates, and is well known and extensively studied as the mediator of toxicity induced by a class of environmental contaminants called dioxins [polychlorinated dibenzo-para-dioxins (PCDDs), polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs) and dioxin-like polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs); reviewed, for example, in (Hahn and Karchner 2011, Mandal 2005)]. More recently, evidence about the importance of the AHR in numerous physiological phenomena has started to emerge, thus revealing its potential as a target for novel pharmacological therapies in several fields. Known endogenous functions of the AHR so far include, for instance, participation in the metabolism of xenobiotics; regulation of reproduction, development, cell growth and differentiation; and modulation of autoimmunity [reviewed for example in (Esser, et al. 2009, Fujii-Kuriyama and Kawajiri 2010, McMillan and Bradfield 2007)]. Furthermore, recent studies have revealed a role for the AHR in the control of intestinal microbiota and innate immunity (Kiss, et al. 2011, Lee, et al. 2012, Moura-Alves, et al. 2014).

The molecular mechanism of AHR action has been revealed in detail for transcriptional induction of a drug-metabolising enzyme, CYP1A1, which is believed to represent a more general pattern, known as the canonical pathway of AHR signalling. In its inactive state, the AHR is located in the cytosol in association with the chaperone proteins HSP90, XAP2 and p23. Binding of a ligand such as 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) triggers transformation in the protein structure causing the AHR to translocate into the nucleus. There it sheds the cytosolic protein partners and dimerizes with a structurally related protein, ARNT. The AHR-ARNT dimer then binds to the DNA at specific enhancer sites called dioxin response elements (DREs) in the promoter region of the Cyp1a1 gene, eventually leading to induced transcription of CYP1A1 mRNA (Ma 2011). This is a fairly rapid and highly sensitive marker for AHR activation (Abraham, et al. 1988). CYP1A1 activity in vivo can result in metabolic activation of potentially genotoxic compounds such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (Shimada and
Fujii-Kuriyama 2004), but the predominant consequence of its enhanced activity seems to be protective due to augmented detoxification capacity (Nebert, et al. 2004). Additional consequences of elevated CYP1A1 activity include changes in the metabolism of a variety of endogenous molecules with signalling properties, e.g. retinoids (Lampen, et al. 2000, Shmarakov 2015), steroid hormones (Spink, et al. 1992) and, apparently, polyunsaturated fatty acids (Hankinson 2016). Further, unlike previously presumed, Cyp1a1 induction does not automatically indicate dioxin-like toxicity (Hu, et al. 2007, Pohjanvirta, et al. 2011).

TCDD is the most toxic dioxin and has, as such, been widely employed in research as a classical compound for activation of the AHR (Van Den Berg, et al. 1998). As dioxins in general, it is chemically highly persistent and hydrophobic, which leads to its accumulation in the food chain (Travis and Hattemer-Frey 1991). TCDD causes a multitude of adverse effects in laboratory animals including hypophagia, wasting syndrome, developmental toxicity, endocrine disruption, carcinogenicity and immunotoxicity (Pohjanvirta and Tuomisto 1994). The current consensus is that these ultimately result from inappropriate and untimely activation of the AHR (Bock and Köhle 2006, Denison, et al. 2011). However, some of the biological impacts of TCDD are such that they could be potentially beneficial in the treatment of certain diseases, if they could be separated from the toxicity. These impacts are particularly related to immunomodulation (Zhu, et al. 2014). Appropriate activation of AHR, devoid of TCDD-like toxicity, could thus lead to novel therapeutics for treatment of, for instance, cancer, multiple sclerosis (MS), inflammatory skin diseases, Crohn’s disease and colitis (Arsenescu, et al. 2011, Benson and Shepherd 2011, Díaz-Díaz, et al. 2016, Furumatsu, et al. 2011, Haas, et al. 2016, Jin, et al. 2014, Quintana, et al. 2008, Van Den Bogaard, et al. 2013).

Selective AHR modulators, which elicit some desired effects imparted by AHR without causing the marked toxicity of dioxins, are presently under intense scrutiny (Safe, et al. 2013). This is in part due to their potential as novel pharmacological compounds, but also because they could be useful tools in
the quest of further elucidating the molecular mechanisms at play in the biological and toxicological roles of the AHR. Two recently introduced such molecules are IMA-08401 (later referred to as C2; Fig. 2) and IMA-07101 (later C4). They represent novel diacetyl prodrugs of AHR-active N-hydrogen metabolites of the immunomodulatory drug compounds laquinimod and tasquinimod, which are intended for treatment of MS and prostate cancer, respectively (Isaacs, et al. 2006, Polman, et al. 2005). *In vivo*, the prodrugs C2 and C4 readily hydrolyse to provide the deacetylated active compounds IMA-06201 (C1) and IMA-06504 (C3; unpublished data). The chemical relationships between the prodrug C2, laquinimod and the AHR-active form C1 are depicted in Fig. 1. C1 and C3 have previously been shown to be effective AHR activators *in vitro* ([Pettersson 2012] and unpublished data), but they are unsuitable for *in vivo* formulations due to their low aqueous solubility. Therefore, little information exists on the activity and toxicity of these compounds *in vivo* thus far. Here, the short-term toxicity of C2 and C4 was assessed in Sprague-Dawley (S-D) rats and compared with properties earlier established in the literature for TCDD. Both acute (single exposure) and subacute (daily dosing on five consecutive days) toxicities were studied. In addition, the AHR activation potential of the active compounds C1 and C3 relative to TCDD was screened *in vitro* by measuring CYP1A1 enzyme activity in the rat hepatoma cell line H4IIE.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals

The test compounds C1 (IMA-06201; \textit{N-ethyl-N-phenyl-5-chloro-1,2-dihydro-4-hydroxy-1-methyl-2-oxo-quinoline-3-carboxamide}); CAS Registry Number: 879410-94-3; Fig. 2), C2 (IMA-08401; \textit{N-acetyl-N-phenyl-4-acetoxy-5-chloro-1,2-dihydro-1-methyl-2-oxo-quinoline-3-carboxamide}; CAS: 1373260-17-3), C3 (IMA-06504; \textit{N-(4-trifluoromethylphenyl)-1,2-dihydro-4-hydroxy-5-methoxy-1-methyl-2-oxo-quinoline-3-carboxamide}; CAS: 1373259-57-4) and C4 (IMA-07101; \textit{N-acetyl-N-(4-trifluoromethylphenyl)-4-acetoxy-1,2-dihydro-5-methoxy-1-methyl-2-oxo-quinoline-3-carboxamide}; CAS: 1373259-76-7) were synthetized as described by Pettersson (2012). C2 and C4 stock solutions for the \textit{in vivo} studies were prepared by mixing the compounds with PEG-400 (Ph. Eur. grade, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and heating them in +80°C water bath for 1 h, with intermittent vortexing. Dilutions were prepared from the stocks with PEG-400. For \textit{in vitro} study stock solutions, C1, C3 and TCDD were dissolved in DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich). C3 in DMSO was heated in +65°C water bath for 45 min to dissolve. TCDD was purchased from Ufa-Institute (Ufa, Russia) and was over 98% pure as assessed by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. The stock solutions were further diluted with cell culture medium before application to cells.

---------- Fig. 2 approximately here ----------

2.2. Animals and their husbandry

S-D rats (males, ~9 weeks of age at exposures) were purchased from Harlan Netherlands. The rats were acclimatised to study conditions and handling for a minimum of one week after arrival, and were housed in groups of two or three in individually ventilated cages (Sealsafe IVC Blue Line, Techniplast, West Chester, PA, USA) throughout the studies. The rats were maintained on a 12-h light/dark cycle. The lights came on at 6 a.m., and during the night the room was lit with a dim red light. The cage floor
was covered with aspen wood bedding (Tapvei, Estonia), and each cage enriched with a transparent red plastic hiding tube, nesting material and chew blocks (both aspen wood, Tapvei, Estonia).

Commercial pelleted rat chow [RM1 (E) SQC Expanded; SDS Diets, Witham, Essex, England; 8554.27 International units vitamin A/kg diet] and filtered, UV-irradiated tap water were available ad libitum. The animal room was air-conditioned, the temperature kept at 22 ± 1°C and relative humidity at 38–75% (typically 50%).

All studies were authorized by the National Animal Experiment Board in Finland (Eläinkoelautakunta, ELLA; project licence code: ESAVI/6882/04.10.03/2012). All procedures were conducted in accordance with the Directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council.

2.3. Experimental design

Within the experiments, rats were randomly allocated into groups, which were matched for body weight (BW). In both experiments, the rats were weighed immediately before exposures and dosed intragastrically by oral gavage according to BW. The compounds were administered after a 3-h fast, for which rats were moved into identical but clean cages, where only water was available for the duration of the fast. After exposures, the fast was further continued for 3 h. At the end of the studies, carbon dioxide was used for euthanasia.

An acute toxicity study was carried out as a pilot experiment to make sure that the novel compounds C2 and C4 would not cause marked acute toxicity before their repeated administration to larger groups of rats. The study principle was loosely based on the OECD test guideline for acute oral toxicity [Up-and-Down-Procedure (OECD 2008)] to reduce the number of animals required. Estimation of LD50 values proved impossible because of the low toxicity and poor solubility of the compounds (see Results). Three different dose levels were tested for both compounds, the high doses being limited by
the maximum solubility of the compounds achieved (~18.5 mg/ml for C2 and ~15 mg/ml for C4). For C2, the dose levels tested were 8.75 (n=1), 17.5 (n=1), 30 (n=2) and 92.5 mg/kg (n=3). For C4, the dose levels were 8.75 (n=2), 27.5 (n=2) and 75 mg/kg (n=3). In addition, there was a control group (n=6) that received the vehicle (PEG-400).

The single exposures were started by administering the low dose of 17.5 mg/kg of C2 to a single rat at a volume of 10 ml/kg (day 0). As at 24 h after the exposure the rat seemed otherwise healthy but its faeces were runny ([a known possible side effect of PEG-400 (Hermansky, et al. 1995, Ueda, et al. 2011)], the volume administered for the rest of the rats was lowered to 5 ml/kg, which ameliorated the diarrhoea. Three days later (day 3), the exposures were continued with 8.75 mg/kg of C2 (n=1), 30 mg/kg of C2 (n=2), 8.75 mg/kg of C4 (n=2), and the vehicle for the control group (n=4). After 48 h (day 5), a further two control rats received the vehicle and rats of the experimental groups the test compounds as follows: 27.5 mg/kg C4 (n=2), 75 mg/kg C4 (n=3) and 92.5 mg/kg C2 (n=3). All rats were observed individually at least once during the first 30 min after dosing, and periodically thereafter during the first 24 h, with special attention given in the first 4 h. Each rat was also weighed and monitored daily for any clinical signs of toxicity, before being euthanised (on day 7–13 after exposure). After euthanasia, the thymus and liver were excised and weighed.

A subacute toxicity study explored the properties and toxicities of C2 and C4 after repeated administrations on five consecutive days. Five to six males were used per group. On the first day of exposures, the rats weighed 277 ± 12 g (n=17; mean ± SD). The substances were dosed at the highest concentrations attainable (which had proven to be not acutely toxic in the pilot experiment): 100 mg/kg/day for C2 and 75 mg/kg/day for C4. The volume administered was 5 ml/kg. The control group was treated with the same volume of the vehicle (PEG-400). After dosing, the rats were observed individually at least once during the first 30 min and periodically thereafter during the first 24 h, with special attention given during the first 4 h. They were weighed daily starting from the first day of
exposure (day 0), and after the last exposure they were further monitored for five days (until day 9) for any clinical signs. The rats were fasted for 5–10 h prior to euthanasia, which started at ~12.30 p.m. and finished within 6 h (animals of the three groups were euthanised in a rotating order). The thymus, liver, kidneys, testes and spleen were weighed. Serum, liver, duodenum, kidney, lung and testis samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen for further processing, and in addition samples from liver, spleen, kidney, lung and both testes were collected for histopathology.

2.4. Histopathology

Histological samples from the subacute toxicity study (liver, spleen, kidney, lung and testis) were fixed in 4% buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin and sectioned at 4 μm thickness. Slides were stained with hematoxylin-eosin for histopathological analysis. Microscopic findings were classified with standard pathological nomenclature and the severities of findings were graded on a scale of 1 to 4 as minimal, mild, moderate or marked, respectively. The grades of severity for microscopic findings were subjective; minimal was the least extent discernible. Microscopic findings that are not usually graded were listed as present.

2.5. Clinical chemistry

Clinical chemistry analyses following the subacute study were carried out at the Central Laboratory of the Department of Equine and Small Animal Medicine Helsinki, Finland. Enzymatic methods were used for the determination of serum free fatty acids (FFA; a.k.a. long-chain fatty acids [LCFA] or non-esterified fatty acids [NEFA]) (NEFA-C, Waco Chemicals GmbH, Neuss, Germany) and D-3-hydroxybutyrate (3-HB; RANBUT, Randox Laboratories Ltd. Crumlin, UK). The analyses were performed with an automatic chemistry analyser (KONE Pro Selective Chemistry Analyser, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Vantaa, Finland).
The rest of the serum analytes were analysed using the reagents and adaptations recommended by the manufacturer of the automatic chemistry analyser (Konelab 30i, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Vantaa, Finland). The activities of alanine aminotransferase [ALAT; (Schumann, et al. 2002a)] and aspartate aminotransferase [ASAT; (Schumann, et al. 2002b)] were measured according to the reference method of International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine (IFCC 2002/5 and IFCC 2002/6). Total bilirubin was measured by a modified acid diazo coupling method [Malloy-Evelyn; (Parviainen 1997)], creatinine by a kinetic, colorimetric method with alkaline picrate [method of Jaffe; (Fabiny and Ertingshausen 1971)], and glucose enzymatically with glucose oxidase and a modified Trinder colour reaction (Trinder 1969). Triglyceride, cholesterol and urea concentrations were determined by enzymatic methods (Allain, et al. 1974, Gutmann and Bergmeyer 1974, Wahlefeld 1974).

2.6. RNA Isolation and RT-qPCR

Total RNA was extracted from the liver, duodenum, kidney, lung and testes in the subacute study. For the isolation, Sigma GenElute™ Mammalian Total RNA Miniprep Kit was used according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). RNA was then treated with Ambion® TURBO DNA-free™ DNase treatment and removal reagent (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The concentration of total RNA was measured with a Nanodrop UV Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and RNA purity verified by 260/280 and 260/230 nm ratios. Total RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA at 50°C for 1 h using M-MLV RT RNase H- Point Mutant (Promega, Fitchburg, WI, USA). For each reaction (25 µl), 100 U of the enzyme and 800 ng of RNA were used. Real-time quantitative PCR (HOT FIREPol® EvaGreen® qPCR Mix Plus (no ROX), Solis Biodyne, Tartu, Estonia) was performed on the RotorGene 3000 instrument (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) to determine the mRNA levels of the AHR-battery xenobiotic metabolising enzyme genes: Cyp1a1, Cyp1a2, Cyp1b1, Ahrr, Nqo1, Tiparp, Ugt1a and Cyp2b1. This was carried out by absolute quantification using total RNA amount (20 ng/reaction) for normalization (see Supplementary Table 1 for information on primers) (Bustin 2002,
If the qRT-PCR result was below the detection limit, a conservative approach was taken and the sample given the value of the limit.

For comparison of gene expression with TCDD-treated Long-Evans (Turku/AB; L-E; n=5 per group) and Han-Wistar rats (Kuopio; H/W; n=5 per group), existing cDNA samples from a previous study were used (Lindén, et al. 2014). RT-qPCR on these samples was performed with the same primers and in the same conditions, but for statistical analysis, the data were treated separately. This comparison with the S-D rats used in the current study was deemed justified, as both L-E and S-D rats represent TCDD-sensitive rat strains with little difference in their overt responses to TCDD as regards adult exposures [the respective LD50 values are 18 and 43 µg/kg, while for TCDD-resistant H/W rats the LD50 is > 9600 µg/kg (Pohjanvirta, et al. 1993, Stahl, et al. 1992, Unkila, et al. 1994)]. Further, the AHR-mediated induction of xenobiotic-metabolising enzymes is exhibited by all rat strains in the same fashion, including H/W rats (Franc, et al. 2008).

2.7. Thyroxine (T4) detection by ELISA

Thyroxine (T4) levels were measured in sera from the subacute study according to manufacturer’s instructions using the Rat Thyroxine T4 ELISA Kit (Cusabio Biotech Co. Ltd, Wuhan, China).

2.8. Retinoid analysis by HPLC

Concentrations of all-trans-retinoic acid, 9-cis-4-oxo-13,14-dihydro-retinoic acid (9-cis-4-oxo-13,14-dh-retinoic acid), 13-cis-retinoic acid, 4-hydroxy-all-trans-retinoic acid (4-OH-all-trans-retinoic acid), retinol and retinyl palmitate were measured in liver, kidney, and serum samples from the subacute study. The different retinoid forms, extracted from tissue homogenates or serum, were separated on HPLC, and detected by UV at 340 nm for retinoic acid derivatives (Schmidt, et al. 2003a), and at 325
nm for retinol and retinyl palmitate (van der Ven, et al. 2009), i.e. the polar and apolar retinoid forms respectively. Briefly, and as reported previously (Schmidt, et al. 2003a), 300 mg of tissue was homogenised with 300 µL of water, and liquid-liquid extraction of retinoids in 400 µL of tissue homogenate or serum was performed with isopropanol. Separation of polar from apolar phase retinoid forms was achieved by solid-phase-extraction using an aminopropyl-phase cartridge (Agilent SampliQ amino, Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Analytes were separated on a Poroshell 120 EC-C18 column (Agilent) using a binary HPLC system (Agilent 1100 series, Agilent). Retinoid standards included 13-cis- and all-trans-retinoic acid from Sigma-Aldrich (Madrid, Spain), and 4-OH-all-trans-retinoic acid from Toronto Research Chemicals (Toronto, ON, Canada), while acitretin and retinyl acetate (Sigma-Aldrich) were used as internal standards. The limit of detection (LOD) for liver and kidney retinoid concentrations were 0.5 pmol/g for 13-cis-retinoic acid, 0.6 pmol/g for all-trans-retinoic acid, 1 pmol/g for 9-cis-4-oxo-13,14-dh-retinoic acid, and 4-OH-all-trans-retinoic acid, and 5.6 pmol/g for retinol and retinyl palmitate (Schmidt, et al. 2003a). LOD for serum retinoid concentrations were 0.3 pmol/ml for all-trans-retinoic acid, 0.4 pmol/ml for 13-cis-retinoic acid, 0.6 pmol/ml for 9-cis-4-oxo-13,14-dh-retinoic acid, and 4.2 pmol/ml for retinol and retinyl palmitate (Schmidt, et al. 2003a).

2.9. Screening of CYP1A1 enzyme activity in H4IIE cells

CYP1A1 enzyme induction potential was screened in vitro in the H4IIE rat hepatoma cell line to estimate the efficacy of the novel compounds compared with that of TCDD. The cells were acquired from ATCC (H-4-II-E ATCC® CRL1548™). They were cultured at 37°C and 5% CO₂ in Eagle’s Minimum Essential Medium (ATCC, Manassas VA, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The experiment was performed in a 96-well plate (Greiner Bio-One GmbH, Kremsmünster, Austria). Cells were seeded at 10,000 cells/well and allowed to equilibrate for about 40 h prior to exposures. The outer and corner wells were left without cells and filled with PBS in order to avoid the edge effect. The cells were then exposed for 24 h to 1, 5, 10 or 50 nM of C1, C3 or TCDD in culture
medium, or the vehicle (0.1% of DMSO in culture medium). All exposures were performed in triplicates. CYP1A1 activity was detected with P450-Glo™ CYP1A1 Assay (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Subsequently, CellTiter-Glo® Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (Promega) was used to confirm that there were no significant differences between the numbers of viable cells in the wells at the time of detection. MycoAlert™ Mycoplasma Detection Kit (Lonza Group Ltd, Basel, Switzerland) was used for parallel cells to ensure that the cells used in the experiment were not infected.

### 2.10. Data analysis and statistics

In the single-dose study, BW change and relative organ weights (liver, thymus) were statistically assessed only among control and the highest dosage groups of H2 and H4 because of the low number of animals in the middle dose groups. To this end, one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan’s new multiple range test were used applying the SPSS Statistics software (IBM Corp. Released 2013. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0/24.0. Armonk, NY, USA).

For the subacute toxicity study data of organ weights, clinical chemistry parameters and mRNA abundance, statistical analysis was carried out by one-way ANOVA and Student-Newman-Keuls post-hoc test. If variances were non-homogeneous in ANOVA (as assessed by Levene’s test), those values were log-transformed (which restored homogeneity) and then re-analysed by one-way ANOVA. The level of significance in all statistical analyses was set at p<0.05, unless specified otherwise.

Statistical analysis of the mRNA abundance data from the TCDD-treated L-E and H/W rats used for comparison was carried out by Student’s t-test for independent samples. The results were verified by Mann-Whitney U test due to small group sizes and some of the data not being normally distributed (as assessed by Shapiro-Wilk’s test).
BW development in the subacute study was statistically analysed using mixed between/within subject two-way ANOVAs. For this purpose, the data were verified for normal distribution by Shapiro-Wilk’s test, equality of error variances and covariance matrices was assessed by Levene’s and Box’s tests, respectively, and the homogeneity of the variances of the differences between all combinations of levels of the within-subjects factor (sphericity) by Mauchly’s test. Simple main effects were analysed by univariate ANOVA and the Tukey HSD post-hoc test. The level of significance was set at $p<0.05$ in all other cases except for Box’s test where only values $p<0.001$ were considered significant.

Serum thyroxine levels were statistically assessed using Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric ANOVA. As mentioned above, they were determined with an ELISA kit. A scrutiny of the standard curve revealed that the lowest standard yielded absorbances that were incompatible with those of the other ones. Because of this and the fact that we were more interested in possible differences among the groups than actual thyroxine levels *per se*, we decided to utilize the absorbances themselves in the statistical analysis instead of their converted thyroxine concentrations. This approach was statistically justified as we used a non-parametric approach (Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA) based on rank orders of the values in the experimental and control groups. We further verified the methodology with sera from TCDD-sensitive L-E rats collected at 10 days after exposure to 100 µg/kg TCDD or the vehicle (corn oil) (Lindén, et al. 2014). The control samples were run on the same ELISA plate and handled identically to the actual samples, except that the non-parametric test in this case was Mann-Whitney U since only two groups were compared. Based on the absorbance analysis, there was a statistically significant decrease in thyroxine levels caused by TCDD in L-E, which is in line with previous findings (Pohjanvirta, et al. 1989).
Retinoid concentrations were expressed as mean ± SD. Pairwise multiple comparisons between exposed and control means were performed by using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and linear contrast tests. Significance was considered for values of $p < 0.05$, and tendency for $p < 0.1$ in R software version 3.2.3, (R Development Core Team, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). Each retinoid was assessed individually. In addition, Box plots were used for verification of normal distribution.

The luminescence data from the in vitro CYP1A1 activity assay were analysed in two different ways. First, the concentrations were individually and compound-wise compared with the control by Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric ANOVA, considering the significances asymptotic. Therefore, the significances of the pairwise comparisons were not adjusted. Second, the fold-changes were subjected to two-way ANOVA. Because the original data as well as the transformations attempted (log10, natural logarithm, square root and square) all yielded non-homogeneous variances (Levene's test: $p<0.001$) and there was slight deviation from normal distribution in one of the datasets (C1, 50 nM; Shapiro-Wilk's test: $p=0.015$), the significance level for the interaction term was set at $p<0.001$. Simple main effects were assessed by multiple pairwise comparisons with Bonferroni's adjustment.
3. Results

3.1. Acute toxicity

Acute toxicity was screened by administering single doses of both C2 and C4 at three different dose levels, the highest of which (92.5 mg/ml and 75 mg/ml, respectively) were determined by the solubility of the compounds. The only conspicuous clinical sign of toxicity was watery faeces in one individual rat that received the first low dose (17.5 mg/kg of C2) in the volume of 10 ml/kg, a common side effect of PEG-400 (Hermansky, et al. 1995, Ueda, et al. 2011). Subsequently, the volume administered was lowered to 5 ml/kg for the other rats, which ameliorated the diarrhoea. There were no further clinical signs of toxicity seen during the experiment at any dose levels tested, which is why the highest doses were selected to be used in the repeated dosing experiment. As the number of rats in each group was low in this experiment (n=1–3, except for controls where n=6), data from it should be considered with caution, and statistical evaluation was only performed among control and the highest doses of C2 and C4. However, BW gain at 7 days after exposures appeared to show a slightly delayed trend, reaching statistical significance (p<0.05) for 75 mg/ml C4. The dose of 92.5 mg/ml C2 did not differ from either control or 75 mg/ml C4 (Supplementary Table 2). Also, both relative and absolute thymus weights exhibited a decreasing trend, with a statistically significant (p<0.05) relative weight loss of 30 % in both high dose groups compared with controls (Supplementary Table 2). Liver weights showed a slight (10 %) decrease in the C4 mid- and both high dose groups when compared with controls, but statistical significance was not attained (Supplementary Table 2).

3.2. Clinical signs of subacute toxicity

For the evaluation of subacute toxicity, C2 and C4 were administered once a day for 5 consecutive days at 100 mg/kg/day and 75 mg/kg/day, respectively. After exposures, the rats were monitored for further 5 days before euthanasia and collection of samples. Contrary to the characteristic wasting syndrome of TCDD, BW gain tended to be only marginally decelerated (Fig. 3). Two-way mixed ANOVA revealed a statistically significant interaction in BW gain between treatment and time (F[4,28]=3.647;
p=0.016; partial $\eta^2=0.343$), and subsequent univariate ANOVAs (followed by the Tukey HSD tests) at the three time-points showed that the BW gain of C2 at 9 days (4.7%) was lower than that of control (10.1%; $p = 0.049$). Further, at repeated exposures, slightly soft faeces were seen in many rats in all groups (including controls) also at 5 ml/kg of PEG-400. Other than those, there were no conspicuous clinical signs of toxicity in either group, apart from peculiar, transient hyperaemia of the ear pinnae (Supplementary Fig. 1). This change appeared on the first day after the end of the 5-day dosing regimen and persisted for 3–4 days. There were 3/5 rats in C2 group and 5/6 rats in C4 group to display this effect. The severity of the hyperaemia varied among individuals, and mostly both ears were affected (6–7/8).

---------- Fig. 3 approximately here ⬇️

### 3.3. Changes in AHR-battery gene expression

In the repeated exposure experiment, Cyp1a1 gene expression was determined in liver, duodenum, kidney, testis and lung, and in addition Cyp1a2, Cyp1b1, Cyp2b1, Ahrr, Nqo1, Tiparp, and Ugt1a mRNA abundances were determined in liver. For comparison of C2 and C4 with TCDD, liver cDNA originating from a previous study (Lindén, et al. 2014) was analysed with the same primers and in the same conditions as the samples from the current study. In the Lindén study, TCDD-sensitive L-E and TCDD-resistant H/W rats were exposed to a single ig dose of 100 µg/kg TCDD and euthanised on day 10. This comparison was considered justified, as there is little difference in TCDD-sensitivity between adult S-D and L-E rats [the respective LD50 values are 43 and 18 µg/kg, while for H/W rats the LD50 is > 9600 µg/kg (Pohjanvirta, et al. 1993, Stahl, et al. 1992, Unkila, et al. 1994)]. Further, induction of xenobiotic-metabolising enzymes is similarly manifested in both sensitive and resistant rat strains (Franc, et al. 2008).
Cyp1a1 gene expression, a sensitive marker for AHR activation, was substantially increased in all examined tissues by C2, and, apart from testis, also by C4 (p<0.05; Fig. 4). Increased gene expression by C2 in the liver was additionally measured for the AHR-battery genes Cyp1a2, Cyp1b1, Ahrr, Nqo1 and Ugt1a, but by C4 only for Cyp1a2 and Ahrr (p<0.05; Table 1). However, all of the changes were much less pronounced than after a single dose of 100 μg/kg of TCDD. The most conspicuous differences in the induction profiles of TCDD and C2/C4 were discernible in Cyp1b1, Ahrr, Nqo1 and Tiparp, all of which were markedly induced by TCDD but feebly, if at all, by C2/C4 (Table 1).

--- Fig. 4 approximately here ---

--- Table 1 approximately here ---

3.4. Organ weights

After the subacute toxicity experiment, the thymus, liver, kidneys, spleen and testes of each animal were weighed, and liver, spleen, kidneys, testes and lung were examined histologically. Thymus was the only studied organ where statistically significant changes in weight were seen: both the absolute and relative weights were decreased by C2 and C4 alike. In both groups, the relative weights were about 40% lower than in the control group (40% for C2, and 36% for the C4 group; one-way ANOVA p<0.001; Fig. 5). The slight increases in relative testis weights (11% for C2, and 6 % for C4) evaded statistical significance (one-way ANOVA p=0.068).

--- Fig. 5 approximately here ---
3.5. Histopathology

In the subacute toxicity study, C2 and C4 administration induced minimal hepatic extramedullary myeloid haematopoiesis (EMH; Fig. 6) (Thoolen, et al. 2010). This was observed in 3/5 C2-treated and in 4/5 C4-treated animals; none was present in controls. The very lenient reaction consisted of some tiny (<10 cells) sinusoidal foci and of small portal or perivascular infiltrates in selected animals. In general, the most prevalent were deeply basophilic nucleated erythrocytes and undifferentiated progenitor cells with lesser numbers of immature granulocytes. In addition to EMH, no other significant lesions were noted; some animals amongst both treatment groups and controls exhibited few mixed or lymphocytic cell infiltrates or parenchymal inflammatory foci (Thoolen, et al. 2010). One C4-treated animal showed a mild (micro- and macrovesicular) fatty change without extramedullary haematopoiesis and one C2-treated animal a focal minimal fatty change with minimal EMH. All spleen samples exhibited minimal to moderate EMH of all three lineages (Cesta 2006). In contrast to the liver, the intensity of EMH in the spleen did not, however, correlate with the treatments (Supplementary Table 3). No significant histopathological alterations were detected in the lungs or in the testes.

--- Fig. 6 approximately here ---

3.6. Clinical chemistry

In the subacute toxicity study, the only marked alteration in serum clinical chemistry variables was a reduction of triglycerides by C2 (44%, one-way ANOVA, p=0.02; Fig. 7). C4 had a similar effect, but the 30% decrease caused by it did not reach statistical significance. In addition, there was a statistically significant increase of 86% in the level of 3-HB by C4 (ANOVA p=0.045). A similar increase of 58% by C2 was not statistically significant.
3.7. Thyroxine (T4) levels

Thyroxine levels were measured in sera collected upon termination of the subacute toxicity test. There were no statistically significant differences among the groups (ANOVA p=0.426; Supplementary Table 4).

3.8. Retinoid analysis

Analysis of polar and apolar retinoid concentrations in the liver, kidney and serum was performed for the control, C2 and C4 groups after the subacute toxicity experiment. In the liver, statistically significant decreases in concentrations of 4-OH-all-trans-retinoic acid, 9-cis-4-oxo-13,14-dihydro-retinoic acid, and retinyl palmitate were found in both the C2 and C4 groups, while the concentrations of 13-cis retinoic acid and retinol were not significantly affected in either group (Table 2). The observed increase in hepatic all-trans retinoic acid concentration was significant in group C2 only. In serum, significant decreases occurred in concentrations of 13-cis-retinoic acid, 9-cis-4-oxo-13,14-dihydro-retinoic acid and retinyl palmitate in both C2 and C4 groups, while retinol concentration was increased by both compounds, and all-trans retinoic acid levels were not affected (Table 2). In the kidney, significant increases were detected in retinol and retinyl palmitate concentrations in both the C2 and C4 groups, while the observed increase in renal all-trans retinoic acid level was significant in C2 group only (Table 2). Renal concentrations of 9-cis-4-oxo-13,14-dihydro-retinoic acid were not influenced by the treatments.

The magnitudes of effects caused by C2 and C4 in retinoid concentrations were largely comparable. For most retinoid forms analysed, the changes recorded were slightly more pronounced in C2 vs C4.
group, in line with the higher dose given of this compound. Two exceptions to this rule were provided by renal retinyl palmitate and serum 13-cis-retinoic acid concentrations which were affected clearly more by C4 than C2.

Two exceptions to this rule were provided by renal retinyl palmitate and serum 13-cis-retinoic acid concentrations which were affected clearly more by C4 than C2.

Table 2 approximately here

3.9. Screening of CYP1A1 enzyme activity in vitro in H4IIE cells

The compounds C1 and C3 were screened in vitro in the H4IIE rat hepatoma cell line for their CYP1A1 enzyme induction potential, and compared with that of TCDD. The cells were exposed to 1, 5, 10 or 50 nM of either compound or TCDD for 24 h, after which CYP1A1 activity was assessed by a luminescent method. All of the compounds showed a statistically significant induction of CYP1A1 (ANOVA p<0.005), which increased in a dose-dependent fashion (Fig. 8). Apart from the dose level of 1 nM, each concentration of the compounds increased CYP1A1 induction in a statistically significant manner when compared with the control group (Fig. 8). In two-way (compound x concentration) ANOVA on the fold-change data, the interaction term proved significant [F(6,57)=7.883, p=3E-6, partial $\eta^2=0.454$]. Subsequent analysis of simple main effects showed that at all concentrations but the lowest one (1 nM), TCDD differed from both C1 and C3 in a statistically significant manner (p<0.05).

Fig. 8 approximately here
4. Discussion

The AHR is notorious for mediating the toxic effects of TCDD and other related environmentally persistent organic pollutants, both in laboratory animals and humans. Based on epidemiological data, exposure to high levels of dioxins is in humans associated with an overall elevation in cancer risk and chloracne, while much lower exposure levels within sensitive time-windows may cause endocrine disruption, altered sex ratios of offspring and lowered quality of sperm (White, et al. 2011). Less evident but still possible adverse health effects include type 2 diabetes and reproductive effects such as increased risk for infertility. In laboratory animals, characteristic adverse effects also include alterations in blood lipids and thyroid function, and immunological effects, but the epidemiological data for these effects in humans remain conflicting (Bastomsky 1977, Fletcher, et al. 2005, Gorski and Rozman 1987, Kerkvliet 2011, Pohjanvirta, et al. 1989, White, et al. 2011). The current consensus is that the adverse effects of dioxins are a consequence of untimely and protracted activation of the AHR, while its appropriate activation is in fact fundamental for normal development and function of all vertebrates, especially for the balanced action of immune system (Bock and Köhle 2006, Denison, et al. 2011, Fernandez-Salguero, et al. 1995, Harrill, et al. 2013). Thus, compounds that could activate the AHR without causing toxicity could have great potential as pharmaceuticals, which could form a basis for novel treatments of diseases in several fields. Here, we characterised toxicological properties of two such candidate compounds, abridged C2 and C4, which are intended as novel selective modulators of the AHR. Their properties were studied in S-D rats, both after a single and 5-day repeated dosing, and in H4IIE cells.

The aim of the single dose experiment was to verify that the acute toxicity of the compounds was so low that they could be administered repeatedly. The experiment confirmed that, as during its performance there were no apparent clinical signs of toxicity. However, at the highest dose of both compounds (n=3), thymus size was significantly diminished and a tendency towards dampened growth was evident. Yet, because the numbers of animals used at each dose level in this experiment were low
in accordance with the modified Up-and-Down procedure applied (OECD 2008), the conclusions drawn from it were regarded as indicative. Therefore, all of the results discussed below are from the 5-day repeated dosing experiment, unless otherwise specified.

Although the exposure period to the compounds in the subacute toxicity experiment was short (5 days), the animals were administered the highest doses practically achievable, and therefore it can be expected to have revealed the short-term toxic potential of the test compounds, in particular as to any sensitive endpoints. While the rats overall tolerated the treatments well, the compounds were not without effect. As assessed by their ability to induce hepatic Cyp1a1 gene expression, a fairly rapid and highly sensitive marker for AHR activation (Abraham, et al. 1988), both C2 and C4 appeared to be effective compounds, although apparently either not as much so as TCDD, or the effect was not equally long-lasting. A single dose of 0.1 mg/kg TCDD used previously in the study by Lindén et al. (2014) brought about, even 10 days after the exposure, more prominent hepatic induction of Cyp1a1: 1100-fold in the TCDD-sensitive L-E strain and 860-fold in the TCDD-resistant H/W strain. Here, doses of 100 mg/kg/day and 75 mg/kg/day for C2 and C4, respectively, administered daily on days 0–4, resulted in 370- and 140-fold inductions, when similarly measured in samples taken on day 9 (the molecular weights of C2 and C4 are 30–50% higher than that of TCDD). However, the true in vivo induction potencies of C2 and C4 may be greater than suggested by the findings of the present study, since the 5-day recovery period included may have markedly influenced the resultant gene expression levels measured. In support of this notion, 1–50 nM concentrations of C1 and especially C3 (the respective, active metabolites of C2 and C4, intended for in vitro assays) induced responses closer to the same fold-range as TCDD in the 24h CYP1A1 enzyme activity screening assay in the H4IIE rat hepatoma cell line in vitro (Fig. 8). Moreover, in our previous in vivo study, even a single dose of 4 mg/kg C2 induced hepatic Cyp1a1 expression 1700-fold compared with controls, when liver was sampled already at 28 h after exposure (Mahiout and Pohjanvirta 2016). Collectively, these findings imply a rapid and probably inducible elimination of C2 and C4 in S-D rats, with an elimination half-life within a range of hours to a
couple of days for repeated exposure. This may also account for the variability seen in Cyp1a1 induction data (Fig. 4), reflecting inter-individual differences in elimination rates of the compounds.

In addition to Cyp1a1, both compounds also induced here several other AHR-battery genes of xenobiotic metabolism, but the induction profiles were distinct for TCDD and C2/C4 (Table 1). In this regard, especially Ahrr and Cyp1b1 clearly stood out. Of special interest is also the lack of Ugt1 induction by C2/C4, because the reduction in serum thyroxine caused by TCDD chiefly emanates from accelerated thyroxine catabolism by liver UGT1A6 (Nishimura, et al. 2005), and C2/C4 failed to influence circulating thyroxine concentrations (see below). Overall, the results resembled those of Cyp1a1 activation in the sense that C2 appeared somewhat more effective than C4, and TCDD clearly more so than the two novel compounds. The difference between C2 and C4 is likely, at least partly, due to the dissimilarity of the doses used, which were dictated by the solubility of the compounds. This view is reinforced by the in vitro CYP1A1 induction results presented here, as well as by our yet unpublished in vitro data on these compounds (manuscript in preparation), which revealed that in fact C3 consistently appeared somewhat more effective than C1. As for the differences between C2/C4 and TCDD, a likely explanation lies in pharmacokinetics. After all, TCDD is well-known for its very low biodegradability, also in rats (Pohjanvirta, et al. 1990), which in turn leads to persistent activation of the AHR, enabling major toxicities to emerge. Hence, for pharmaceutical use, C2 and C4 appear to be much better-suited in this respect.

The likelihood that C2 and C4 are metabolised and excreted much more efficiently than TCDD should not lead to the assumption that they would be without other discernible effects than activation of metabolic pathways. Indeed, there were also other characteristic effects that appear to be quite similar between both C2/C4 and TCDD, even if somewhat less pronounced by the novel compounds: thymic atrophy, changes in tissue retinoid (vitamin A) concentrations and, as we previously reported for C2, novel food avoidance (Fletcher, et al. 2001, Gupta, et al. 1973, Harris, et al. 1973, Lensu, et al. 2011a,

As to retinoid homeostasis, retinol and retinyl palmitate concentrations in the liver, kidney and serum were affected in the same manner by C2 and C4. Of these, the hepatic and renal changes, along with the substantial diminution in 9-cis-4-oxo-13,14-dihydro-retinoic acid levels in the liver, were also reminiscent of those seen after short-term TCDD exposure in male rats (Hoegberg, et al. 2003, Nilsson, et al. 2000, Schmidt, et al. 2003b). However, the decrease detected in serum retinyl palmitate concentration in C2- and C4-treated rats is not a typical effect of TCDD, and high TCDD doses have been reported to elevate serum all-trans-retinoic acid levels (Hoegberg, et al. 2003, Nilsson, et al. 2000, Schmidt, et al. 2003b), while this retinoid species remained unaltered following C2 or C4 exposure. It should also be noted that there are not enough data in the literature on the effect of TCDD on several of the retinoic acid derivatives in the tissues that were analysed in the present study. In particular, data are lacking on the effect of TCDD on 4-OH-all-trans- or 13-cis-retinoic acid in rat tissues. Therefore, no firm conclusions can be drawn yet on the full extent to which the alterations induced by C2 and C4 resemble those of TCDD.

All of the effects mentioned above are classified as type I, and are thus responses that are similar in both TCDD-sensitive L-E and TCDD-resistant H/W rat strains (Pohjanvirta, et al. 2011). In this rat strain model of TCDD toxicity, TCDD resistance is based on an altered transactivation domain structure in the
AHR of the resistant H/W strain. This change results in an at least 100-fold difference in TCDD doses required to elicit certain responses in L-E vs. H/W rats (type II effects). As a corollary, it means that type I effects, which emerge at the same doses in both strains, are robust to structural variations in AHR transactivation domain and thereby represent more generic AHR-mediated impacts. As a rule, type II effects occur at higher doses of TCDD than type I effects, although exceptions exist. In this light, it is interesting that there are also several typical toxic effects of TCDD that are lacking altogether with C2 and C4, at least at the doses used here: hypercholesterolemia and reduced plasma thyroxine levels (both type I effects); acute lethality, wasting syndrome, grave liver and testis lesions, hypoglycaemia, and elevated plasma FFA levels (all type II effects) (Pohjanvirta, et al. 2011, Viluksela, et al. 1999).

Hence, these novel AHR activators bring about only a subset of the response spectrum previously reported to TCDD, and all those effects belong to type I category. Whether any of type II responses would manifest if higher doses of C2 or C4 could be administered is a matter of speculation. However, the slight downward tendency recorded in BWs in the present study might suggest that the existence of early alterations in the adverse outcome pathway that ultimately culminates to the wasting syndrome cannot be totally ruled out.

On the other hand, it is noteworthy that C2 and C4 also induced effects that have not been reported with TCDD. These included a conspicuous ear hyperaemia, minimal EMH in the liver, a reduction of serum triglycerides and an increase of serum 3-HB. The ear hyperaemia appears perplexing, as it has not been reported previously as a clinical response to AHR activators. There was no visible injury to the skin, nor any clinical sign of infection. The hyperaemia might suggest a transient disturbance of either systemic or local thermoregulation, or be due to changes in blood pressure or vasodilatation. Further studies are needed to resolve its pathogenesis. EMH has been reported on post-natal day 14 in the livers of mice exposed to TCDD in utero (Weinstein, et al. 2008), but to the best of our knowledge, not in animals exposed to TCDD at adult age. However, a multitude of factors (including xenobiotics) which cause e.g. local hypoxia, bone marrow failure or myelotoxicity can elicit it in laboratory animals,
most frequently in spleen but also in liver (Chiu, et al. 2015). In the present case, it is tempting to link it with the auricular hyperaemia, because both could represent a response to tissue hypoxia. In serum, the concomitant decrease of triglycerides and elevation of 3-HB point to enhanced β-oxidation at the expense of lowered de novo fatty acid biosynthesis in the liver. The interference of TCDD with hepatic lipid metabolism is unclear at present, because there is evidence in favour of accelerated (Muzi, et al. 1989, Potter, et al. 1986), decelerated (Christian, et al. 1986), and unaltered (Tomaszewski, et al. 1988) β-oxidation rate in rats treated with TCDD at doses capable of causing the wasting syndrome. In any case, serum ketone bodies typically remain unaffected (Pohjanvirta and Tuomisto, 1994), and thus these novel AHR activators stand out from the dioxin-like toxicity pattern in this respect.

Moreover, there are some characteristic adverse effects common to TCDD exposure that we did not look into in these experiments due to technical reasons, and thus information about the effects of C2 and C4 on these is, for the time being, lacking completely. These include further effects on the endocrine system, such as changes in testosterone, insulin or melatonin levels; changes in the degree of oxidative stress in various tissues; bone and tooth lesions; immuno- and developmental toxicity; and carcinogenicity. In adult rats, reduction of serum thyroxine appears to be one of the most sensitive endocrine indicators of exposure to TCDD with an ED₅₀ between 1 and 5 µg/kg in S-D rats (Viluksela, et al. 2004). Hence, the fact that its levels appear to be unaffected by C2 and C4 could predict that there would be few if any effects on other hormone levels either, but this should naturally be tested in the future, as well as the possible existence of the other effects that were missing here.

In addition to the AHR mediating immunotoxic effects, it has also been identified as part of a molecular pathway of physiological immune responses, and thus as a target for immunomodulatory therapies [reviewed in (Zhu, et al. 2014)]. Disease models in which AHR modulation has been suggested as a possible target include, for instance, cancer, Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis, diabetes, MS and inflammatory skin conditions such as atopic dermatitis (Benson and Shepherd 2011, Díaz-Díaz, et al. 2015).
Although the mechanisms of action of the parent compounds of C1 and C3, laquinimod and tasquinimod, are not yet fully elucidated, they are recognised as immunomodulatory compounds (Raymond, et al. 2014, Varrin-Doyer, et al. 2014). Moreover, the immunomodulatory mode of action of laquinimod, which produces low but persistent levels of C1, has been shown to be AHR dependent in the mouse Experimental Autoimmune Encephalomyelitis (EAEE) MS model (Berg, et al. 2016, European Medicines Agency 2014, Kaye, et al. 2016). Further, C1 is a more potent inhibitor of disease development in the EAE model than laquinimod (European Medicines Agency 2014). Finally, substantially higher levels of C1 are generated in vivo from C2 than from laquinimod (unpublished results). Therefore, it would be of high interest to study the likely effects that C2 and C4 have on the immune system in the future. So far, the only information is from the EAE model in rats, where C2 (total dose 4 mg/kg, sc) efficiently prevented EAE development (Pettersson 2012) and from unpublished data on its ameliorating effects in the dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitis model in mice (1 mg/kg, po).

In conclusion, it appears clear that these novel compounds are potent activators of the AHR, but lack some major characteristic toxic effects of TCDD. In addition, overall their observed effect profiles seem distinct from that of TCDD, and pharmacokinetics is likely to play a role in this. It is also possible that they have lower binding affinities to the AHR, or occupy a different position in the ligand-binding domain of the protein (Denison, et al. 2011); these would be interesting to explore in the future. Whether these compounds are capable of causing type II effects of TCDD at all would also be worth studying further. Nevertheless, based on our findings, both C2 and C4 appear to represent promising new selective AHR modulators.
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Tables

**Table 1** Expression of AHR-battery genes related to xenobiotic metabolism and Cyp2b1 in S-D rat liver triggered by C2 (100/mg/kg/day) and C4 (75 mg/kg/day; 5-day dosing regimen followed by 5-day monitoring period before euthanasia) vs. controls. For comparison, data from TCDD-treated\(^a\) (100 µg/kg single dose) L-E and H/W rats vs. controls are shown. (+)=Statistically significant induction, (−)=no statistical significance (p<0.05, one-way ANOVA/Student-Newman-Keuls or Student’s t-test).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C4</th>
<th>TCDD (L-E)</th>
<th>TCDD (H/W)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyp1a1</td>
<td>+ (370)</td>
<td>+ (140)</td>
<td>+ (1100)</td>
<td>+ (860)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp1a2</td>
<td>+ (5)</td>
<td>+ (2)</td>
<td>+ (8)</td>
<td>+ (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp1b1</td>
<td>+ (5)</td>
<td>– (1)</td>
<td>+ (1600)</td>
<td>+ (500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp2b1</td>
<td>– (3)</td>
<td>– (1)</td>
<td>+ (5)</td>
<td>– (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahrr</td>
<td>+ (6)</td>
<td>+ (3)</td>
<td>+ (230)</td>
<td>+ (160)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nqo1</td>
<td>+ (3)</td>
<td>– (2)</td>
<td>+ (50)</td>
<td>+ (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiparp</td>
<td>– (1)</td>
<td>– (1)</td>
<td>+ (25)</td>
<td>+ (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ugt1a</td>
<td>+ (1.4)</td>
<td>– (1.2)</td>
<td>+ (7)</td>
<td>+ (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(n=5-6\) in each group.

\(^a\)The data for the TCDD groups are from a previous study (Lindén, et al. 2014), where TCDD-sensitive L-E and TCDD-resistant H/W rats were exposed to a single ig dose of 100 µg/kg TCDD and euthanised at 10 days. The cDNA for these samples had been reverse-transcribed previously, but qPCR was performed with the same primers and in the same conditions as for C2 and C4.

\(^b\)Fold change = the ratio between the mean values for exposed and control rats.
Table 2 Concentrations of retinoids in liver, kidney and serum samples from the subacute study, in which vehicle, C2 (100 mg/kg/day) or C4 (75 mg/kg/day) was administered on 5 consecutive days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liver</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C4</th>
<th>p-value&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-trans-retinoic acid (pmol/g)</td>
<td>11.8±1.8</td>
<td>15.9±1.9</td>
<td>** 14.1±2.6</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-cis-retinoic acid (pmol/g)</td>
<td>3.76±0.67</td>
<td>7.70±5.11</td>
<td>4.32±0.89</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-hydroxy-all-trans-retinoic acid (pmol/g)</td>
<td>1.65±0.64</td>
<td>0.52±0.25</td>
<td>*** 0.55±0.25</td>
<td>*** 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-cis-4-oxo-13,14-dihydro-retinoic acid (pmol/g)</td>
<td>58.6±9.3</td>
<td>4.68±2.25</td>
<td>*** 3.86±1.62</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinol (nmol/g)</td>
<td>12.7±1.9</td>
<td>15.9±3.8</td>
<td>16.9±3.6</td>
<td>0.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinyl palmitate (µmol/g)</td>
<td>0.74±0.09</td>
<td>0.41±0.11</td>
<td>*** 0.52±0.11</td>
<td>** &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Serum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All-trans-retinoic acid (pmol/g)</td>
<td>1.02±0.28</td>
<td>1.14±0.34</td>
<td>1.15±0.24</td>
<td>0.687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-cis-retinoic acid (pmol/g)</td>
<td>1.36±0.30</td>
<td>0.74±0.16</td>
<td>*** 0.50±0.14</td>
<td>*** &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-cis-4-oxo-13,14-dihydro-retinoic acid (pmol/g)</td>
<td>3.52±0.84</td>
<td>1.58±0.56</td>
<td>** 2.15±0.75</td>
<td>** 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinol (nmol/g)</td>
<td>2.50±0.19</td>
<td>3.21±0.24</td>
<td>*** 3.12±0.15</td>
<td>*** &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinyl palmitate (nmol/g)</td>
<td>0.06±0.01</td>
<td>0.03±0.01</td>
<td>*** 0.03±0.01</td>
<td>*** &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kidney

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All-trans-retinoic acid (pmol/g)</td>
<td>7.88±0.60</td>
<td>9.92±0.94</td>
<td>** 8.41±1.03</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-cis-4-oxo-13,14-dihydro-retinoic acid (pmol/g)</td>
<td>1.15±0.44</td>
<td>1.80±1.00</td>
<td>1.45±0.88</td>
<td>0.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinol (nmol/g)</td>
<td>7.01±0.69</td>
<td>9.32±0.75</td>
<td>*** 9.10±0.62</td>
<td>*** &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinyl palmitate (nmol/g)</td>
<td>6.08±5.80</td>
<td>14.50±6.12</td>
<td>* 20.02±7.25</td>
<td>** 0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For control and C4 groups, n = 6. For liver C2 and kidney C2, n = 5. For serum C2, n = 4.

<sup>a</sup>Comparison between groups was performed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

<sup>*</sup> Group significantly different vs control group according to linear contrast tests, after significant ANOVA.

<sup>b</sup>Some concentrations were close to or below the limit of detection, but were regardless calculated to allow statistical analysis.
Figures

Fig. 1 The AHR activator C1 is formed \textit{in vivo} by hydrolysis of the diacetate prodrug C2, but also in small amounts from laquinimod by N-dealkylation. C3 is similarly formed \textit{in vivo} from the prodrug C4 and tasquinimod.

Fig. 2 Chemical structures of TCDD, C2 and C4, and those of the respective deacetylated metabolites C1 and C3 (used in \textit{in vitro} assays)
Fig. 3 Left panel. The effect of C2 and C4 on BW (S-D rats; n=5–6, mean ± SD) on days 1, 5 and 9 after the beginning of exposures (day 0). C2 (100 mg/kg/day) and C4 (75 mg/kg/day) were administered ig on five consecutive days (days 0–4). The data in columns with different letters differ significantly from one another (p<0.05, one-way ANOVA/Tukey HSD). Right panel. Typical pattern of body weight loss in TCDD-induced wasting syndrome for comparison with the changes caused by C2 and C4. These data originate from a previous study (Lindén, et al. 2014), where TCDD-sensitive L-E rats were exposed to a single ig dose of 100 µg/kg TCDD (no statistical analysis was conducted).

Fig. 4 The expression of Cyp1a1 induced by C2 (100 mg/kg/day) and C4 (75 mg/kg/day) vs. controls in liver, duodenum, kidney, lung and testis in S-D rats (n=5-6, mean ± SD). The rats were exposed to the study compounds for 5 consecutive days, and monitored for further 5 days before euthanasia and sample collection. Columns with unidentical letters differ significantly from one another (p<0.05, one-way ANOVA/Student-Newman-Keuls).
Fig. 5 Relative mean (± SD) organ weights (percent of BW) of C2 (100 mg/kg/day), C4 (75 mg/kg/day) and control groups in S-D rats (n=5-6). Both compounds were administered i.g daily on days 0–4, and the rats were euthanised on day 9. The groups with unidentical letters differ significantly from one another (p<0.05, one-way ANOVA/Student-Newman-Keuls).

Fig. 6 Typical minimal hepatic EMH reaction with a lobular sinusoidal aggregate of deeply basophilic hematopoietic cells in C2/C4 group rats in the subacute toxicity experiment (left panel), and a corresponding area with no alterations in the control group for comparison (right panel). Central vein in the left lower corner and portal triad in the right upper corner in both pictures.
**Fig. 7** Effects of C2 (100 mg/kg/day) and C4 (75 mg/kg/day) on biochemistry variables in S-D rats (n=5-6, mean ± SD). Both compounds were administered ig daily on days 0–4, and the rats were euthanised on day 9. The groups with unidentical letters differ significantly from one another (p<0.05, one-way ANOVA/Student-Newman-Keuls). FFA=free fatty acids, 3-HB=D-3-hydroxybutyrate, Trigly=triglycerides, TotChol=total cholesterol, ALAT=alanine aminotransferase, ASAT=aspartate aminotransferase.

**Fig. 8** *In vitro* CYP1A1 activity induced by 1, 5, 10 or 50 nM of C1, C3 or TCDD in the H4IIE hepatoma cell line, measured by a luminescent method. The data are portrayed as fold changes over controls (n=3; mean ± SD). *=p<0.05, **=p<0.01, ***=p<0.001, Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric ANOVA followed by pairwise comparisons only with controls, therefore the p-values have not been adjusted. Two-way ANOVA showed a significant compound x concentration interaction term, and at the 3 highest concentrations, TCDD differed significantly from C1 and C3 (see text for further details).

Corresponding author: Selma Mahiout, University of Helsinki, selma.mahiout@helsinki.fi

**Supplementary Table 1** Primer sequences used in RT-qPCR runs. The primers were designed to span exon-exon junctions to further eliminate amplification of genomic DNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target gene</th>
<th>Forward primer-5’</th>
<th>Reverse-primer-3’</th>
<th>Amplicon (bp)</th>
<th>Amplification efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyp1a1</td>
<td>gtcaggacaggaggctggac</td>
<td>gattgtgcaaacccagtc</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0.89–1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp1a2</td>
<td>tcaaccatagtaagaagctg</td>
<td>actcaggtctctgtgatgg</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.94–0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp1b1</td>
<td>gtcggagggtaggactgc</td>
<td>gcaggtaggctgtaaaggg</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp2b1</td>
<td>ttgacccagccagctccc</td>
<td>acaaatggcctctccgtgg</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.98–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahrr</td>
<td>ctggttctctgctatgcag</td>
<td>cggccacaatgcaaaacaagg</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>0.91–0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nqo1</td>
<td>aaggctcttttccagaataagag</td>
<td>tgaattggccagagaaggtacg</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiparp</td>
<td>caactcttggtgctgagag</td>
<td>ccaccaaatgctcctgtaaatag</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ugt1</td>
<td>aacgatcctgtgctgtcatcc</td>
<td>gcggtgtcctcatgtcatacc</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>0.96–0.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supplementary Table 2** Body weight (BW) gain (% mean ± SD) and relative (% of terminal BW) thymus and liver weights (mean ± SD) in the acute toxicity study. The rats received a single dose of vehicle, C2 or C4 (3 dose levels), and were euthanised 7–13 days later. BW gain at 7 days after exposure is shown relative to the weight (%) on the day of exposure. Due to a low number of rats in the middle groups, only the highest dosage groups of C2 and C4 were statistically compared with the control (in bold). The groups with non-identical letters differ significantly from one another (p < 0.05). Statistical analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan’s new multiple range test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>C2 (mg/kg)</th>
<th>C4 (mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n=6</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>11.9 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW gain (%)</td>
<td>11.8 ± 2.6</td>
<td>7.8 ± 3.2ab</td>
<td>11.9 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thymus (% BW)</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.02a</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.01b</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver (% BW)</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.51</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.04</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplementary Fig. 1 Transient hyperaemia of the ear pinnae inflicted by C2 (100 mg/kg/day, 5 day repeated dosing) and C4 (75 mg/kg/day, 5 day repeated dosing), bilateral in the left panel and unilateral (right ear) in the right panel. The effect appeared on the first day after the repeated dosing regimen and persisted for 3-4 days. The pictures here were taken on the 3rd day the effect was observed.

Supplementary Table 3 Observed EMH in the spleen across groups (n=5–6) in the subacute toxicity study. The severities of findings were graded on a scale of 1 to 4 as minimal, mild, moderate or marked, respectively. The grades of severity for microscopic findings were subjective; minimal was the least extent discernible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>C2 (100 mg/kg/day)</th>
<th>C4 (75 mg/kg/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None (0)</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimal (1)</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>1/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild (2)</td>
<td>2/6</td>
<td>3/5</td>
<td>2/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate (3)</td>
<td>2/6</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>3/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marked (4)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Supplementary Table 4 Thyroxine absorbances (mean ± SD; n=5–6) in sera collected upon termination of the subacute toxicity test in S-D rats, determined with an ELISA kit. For comparison and verification of the method, as a positive control, sera from TCDD sensitive L-E rats collected at 10 days after exposure to 100 µg/kg TCDD or the vehicle (Lindén et al. 2014) were run on the same ELISA plate and handled identically to the actual samples. Statistical analysis was performed using Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric ANOVA or Mann-Witney U test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C4</th>
<th>TCDD</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S-D</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.13</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-E</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.09</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.16 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>