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A rural environment and farming lifestyle are known to provide protection against allergic diseases. This protective effect is expected to be mediated via exposure to environmental microbes that are needed to support a normal immune tolerance. However, the triangle of interactions between environmental microbes, host microbiota, and immune system remains poorly understood. Here, we have studied these interactions using a canine model (two breeds, n = 169), providing an intermediate approach between complex human studies and artificial mouse model studies. We show that the skin microbiota reflects both the living environment and the lifestyle of a dog. Remarkably, the prevalence of spontaneous allergies is also associated with residential environment and lifestyle, such that allergies are most common among urban dogs living in single-person families without other animal contacts, and least common among rural dogs having opposite lifestyle features. Thus, we show that living environment and lifestyle concurrently associate with skin microbiota and allergies, suggesting that these factors might be causally related. Moreover, microbes commonly found on human skin tend to dominate the urban canine skin microbiota, while environmental microbes are rich in the rural canine skin microbiota. This in turn suggests that skin microbiota is a feasible indicator of exposure to environmental microbes. As short-term exposure to environmental microbes via exercise is not associated with allergies, we conclude that prominent and sustained exposure to environmental microbiotas should be promoted by urban planning and lifestyle changes to support health of urban populations.

Significance

Urban, Westernized populations suffer extensively from non-communicable diseases such as allergies. However, the overlapping effects of living environment and lifestyle are difficult to separate. Intriguingly, also our fellow animals, dogs, suffer from analogous diseases. Therefore, we suggest that pet dogs, sharing their environment and lifestyle with humans but having a comparatively simple life, provide a valuable model for understanding origins of noncommunicable diseases. We show that living environment and lifestyle concurrently, but still independently, shape both the skin microbiota and the risk of allergic disease in dogs. Urbanized lifestyle, featuring restricted animal contacts and small family size, is allergy promoting both in rural and urban dogs. Hence, both environment and lifestyle seem to influence the microbiota and, probably consequently, immune tolerance.
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types during exercise, and (iii) lifestyle of the dog owner (Fig. 1). We tested how these factors relate to the skin microbiota, characterized by bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences, and allergies, quantified by a large questionnaire developed by canine dermatologists. We also tested the relation of residential environment at the time of birth with skin microbiota and allergies in adult dogs.

Results

Exposure to Environmental Microbes Shapes the Skin Microbiota. All three factors characterizing the total exposure of a dog to environmental microbes—i.e., residential environment, exercise environment, and lifestyle (Fig. 1)—were related to skin microbiota. Interestingly, microbial communities tended to cluster according to both the residential environment and lifestyle (Fig. 2), while the effect of exercise environment was marginally significant (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). In other words, dogs living in an urban environment, exposed to urban lifestyle (i.e., living in a single-person family without other pets and having many dog-related hobbies such as agility or tracking, SI Appendix, Fig. S2), differed in their skin microbiota from dogs living in the same environment but having owners with a rural lifestyle (i.e., living in a large family with other pets, SI Appendix, Fig. S2). However, it is important to note that these three explanatory variables were somewhat correlated (SI Appendix, Fig. S3), which makes interpretation challenging.

While the effects of residential environment and lifestyle were partly overlapping (these variables were correlated; r = 0.48, P = 3.3e−11), they also tended to be associated with independent aspects of the skin microbiota, as can be seen in Fig. 2 with separate but partly overlapping groups. More specifically, the first axis of principal component analysis (PCA1) of the land-use types correlated slightly but significantly with the second axis of principal coordinates analysis (PCoA2) of skin microbiota (Pearson’s correlation: −0.36, P_{adj} = 0.00001). Instead, PCoA1 of lifestyle correlated with PCoA4 of skin microbiota (Pearson’s correlation: 0.25, P_{adj} = 0.003). While these correlations were low, they indicate that lifestyle (i.e., active exposure) and the residential environment (i.e., passive exposure) independently influenced the composition of the skin microbial community.

Rural lifestyle and environment were associated with the increasing abundance of microbes from environmental sources on skin microbiota (Fig. 2B). In contrast, both urban lifestyle and urban living environment were associated with an enrichment of taxa often found in built environments, such as Chroococcidiopsis (Fig. 2B). In addition, (human) skin-related taxa such as Propionibacteriaceae and Friedmanniella were enriched on dog skin in an urban environment, which, in turn, was associated with a homogenization of urban dog microbiota (the within-group dissimilarity of skin microbiota was smaller in urban dogs than in rural dogs; P = 0.0001). On the contrary, urban lifestyle seemed to increase within-group dissimilarity (P = 0.0026).

Allergic Symptoms Associated with Exposure to Environmental Microbes and Skin Microbiota. In addition to microbial composition, land use and lifestyle were also associated with the prevalence of allergic symptoms in dogs (Fig. 3), while the exercise environment was not associated with allergic symptoms. Allergies were most common in dogs living in urban environments and having an urban lifestyle, whereas the lowest prevalence was associated with rural environments and rural lifestyle (Fig. 3A). Urban-type lifestyle seemed almost to double the prevalence of allergies in dogs living in rural environments compared with dogs with rural-type lifestyle in rural environments (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, not just the prevalence of allergic symptoms (P = 0.006) but also the severity of the symptoms was positively associated with urban lifestyle (P = 0.0001). We also tested classical biomarkers of allergy and inflammation, Immunoglobulin E (IgE) and C-reactive protein (CRP) (SI Appendix, Table S1), against environment and lifestyle, but we did not discover any robust associations. Moreover, the severity and presence of allergic symptoms were not associated with IgE or CRP levels.

Interestingly, the predicted prevalence of allergic symptoms and the skin microbiota changed concurrently along the land use of the residential environment (Fig. 4A). An increasing area of forest and arable land correlated with a greater amount of different Proteobacteria and other soil-related taxa on skin. In urban areas, in turn, general skin-related bacteria such as Actinobacteria, especially of the genera Friedmanniella and Microhunts (Propionibacteriales), were enriched on skin (Fig. 4B). In other words, these results suggest that the living environment, skin microbiota, and allergic diseases were interrelated. This was also supported by both differing skin microbiota and prevalence of allergies in groups based on residential environment and lifestyle (Figs. 2A and 3B).

The microbial communities of allergic dogs resembled each other more than the communities of healthy dogs (P = 0.0001), indicating commonalities in the skin microbiota in allergic individuals. However, the variation among dogs was still so high that no clear key taxa in microbiota of allergic dogs could be identified in the random forest (RF) analysis, apart from the enrichment of human-derived skin microbiota. However, the summed abundance of microbes relevant in the skin microbiota of urban individuals (defined by the RF analysis of land use against microbiota) was significantly higher in allergic dogs, but individual-level variation remained large (SI Appendix, Fig. S4).

Early-Life Environment Partly Associated with the Current Skin Microbiota and Allergic Symptoms. Interestingly, we found that the skin microbiota differed between dogs belonging to healthy and allergic dog families (i.e., dog families with only healthy puppies vs. at least one allergic puppy, P = 0.0156; SI Appendix, Fig. S5A). This compositional difference seemed to relate to both early-life and current residential environment. Microbiota of dogs belonging to healthy dog families was significantly associated with increasing area of arable land and forest in the surroundings of the birthplace (P = 0.0007).
and current home \((P = 0.0003; SI \text{ Appendix, Fig. S5A})\). For example, several operational taxonomic units (OTUs) from the genus *Acinetobacter* were more abundant in agricultural early-life environment and were differently, although not significantly, abundant in allergic and healthy dog families (SI Appendix, Fig. S5B). Also, among allergic dog families, human skin-related Actinobacteria (genus *Friedmanniella*) was more abundant than in healthy dog families.

The first weeks of life also had an influence on the prevalence of allergies. Allergies seemed to be most common in dogs that had spent their entire life in an urban environment, and rarest among dogs that were born and had lived only in rural environments (SI Appendix, Fig. S5C). It is noteworthy that dogs from healthy dog families, those born and living in rural environments, had a similar skin microbial composition (i.e., “the triangle of healthy individuals” delineated by the land-use axes in SI Appendix, Fig. S5A). Mothers and their puppies tended to be more similar in their microbial composition than random pairs between adults (dog mothers) and puppies \((P = 0.0001)\). Additionally, the dissimilarity between dogs was smaller in allergic than in healthy dog families, indicating, again, the homogenization of skin microbiota (SI Appendix, Fig. S5D, \(P = 0.0001\)). These findings suggest that puppies receive bacteria from the early-life living environment (most likely via their mother), which can affect their future health. However, we were unable to exclude the effect of genetic predisposition on the prevalence of allergies, which can be important as an allergic mother dog more likely had allergic puppies than a healthy mother \((P = 7.5e^{-5})\).

**Discussion**

Our results suggest a vital role of residential environment and lifestyle in dog health. Exposure to environmental microbes, both passively (via the living environment i.e., where one is) and actively (through lifestyle i.e., what one does), related to the composition of skin bacterial communities. Remarkably, both passive and active exposure were also associated with the prevalence of allergies, as shown previously (15). Finally, the composition of skin microbiota differed in healthy and allergic dogs. Therefore, our results suggest a triangle of associations between skin microbiota, allergies, and exposure to environmental microbes. Recent studies trying to relate the living environment and allergic disease have produced somewhat contrasting results, most likely due to several confounding factors associated with complexities of human lifestyles (8). While previous studies

---

**Fig. 2.** Land use in residential environment (Env) and lifestyle of dogs is associated with skin microbial communities. (A) The distance-based redundancy analysis (db-RDA) of the skin microbiota constrained by the rural–urban categories of residential environment \((P = 0.0006)\) and lifestyle \((P = 0.0024)\). Each line represents the distance of microbiota from a centroid of a group of an individual dog. Different colors indicate dogs as follows: blue, rural dog with rural lifestyle; purple, rural dog with urban lifestyle; red, urban dog with rural lifestyle; and gray, urban dog with urban lifestyle. Footnotes “en” and “li” mark residential environment and lifestyle, respectively. (B) Tukey boxplots of summed and square root transformed abundance (normalized counts) of taxa, which best predicted groups in A in an RF analysis. Outlier data points are not shown. Colors of the boxplots correspond to the groups in A. These groups were used to visualize the clustering of dogs’ microbiota due to the effect of constraining variables.

**Fig. 3.** Residential environment and lifestyle together shaped the prevalence of allergic symptoms in dogs. (A) The prevalence of allergy (lines), predicted from data. Red and light blue symbols indicate allergic and healthy dogs, respectively. (B) The prevalence of allergic symptoms in dogs having similar combinations of living environment and lifestyle (rural environment, RUen; rural lifestyle, RUli; urban environment, URen; and urban lifestyle, URIli).
on the relationships between the living environment, microbiota, and health have failed to find a robust link between environment-associated patterns in microbiota and allergic sensitization (3, 16), our simplified canine model has provided explicit empirical evidence of such interaction, as predicted by the biodiversity hypothesis of allergic diseases (5).

The combined effect of passive (living environment) and active (lifestyle) contact with environmental microbes on allergies in humans or dogs has previously received little attention. However, the contrasting allergy prevalence in farm and nonfarm children in rural areas (17), and in children predisposed to traditional and modern farming (18) suggest that active contact to the environment is important. Here we show that dogs living in urban environments and exposed to urban-type lifestyle—characterized by various hobbies and by living in apartments and in a single-person family without other pets—are most susceptible to allergic diseases. In opposite circumstances, i.e., when dogs are living in rural environments with a large family and frequent animal contacts, their allergies are rare. Interestingly, an urban-type lifestyle almost doubled the prevalence of allergies in dogs living in rural environments compared with dogs with rural-type lifestyle in rural environments. Furthermore, the skin microbiota of dogs differed between all combinations of rural and urban residential environment and lifestyle, suggesting that microbiota can have a role in the differing allergy prevalence in each group. This assumed interplay between microbial exposure, host microbiota, and allergies, which rises from findings here but also from previous work (3, 16–18), highlights the importance of natural environments for health. It also suggests that the exposure to a diverse microbial world can be a protective factor both in humans and dogs.

The effect of lifestyle on the skin microbiota has been suspected previously, but “lifestyle” is difficult to define. A recent study found that the living environment clearly differentiated the skin microbiota of children, but the effect disappeared in teenagers, which was likely due to shared lifestyle patterns regardless of living environment in this age group (16). Our findings highlight that where a dog lives and what a dog does can jointly influence microbiota and health. Surprisingly, the exercise environment (where a dog moves) was only marginally related to skin microbiota and did not associate with allergies. Obviously, living environment and lifestyle are more
sustained factors than exercise environment, which may change on a day-to-day basis and the exposure lasts only for short periods of time. Hence, we suggest that prominent, sustained exposure is needed for health-promoting changes in skin microbiota to arise or last.

We observed that certain common members of the human skin microbiota, for example Actinobacteria, were enriched in urban canine skin microbiota. On the contrary, soil-related and other microbes from environmental sources, such as Bradyrhizobium (Alphaproteobacteria) (19), were abundant in the rural skin microbiota, indicating that skin microbiota is a relevant indicator of exposure to environmental microbes. Moreover, the urban dogs were more alike than the rural ones, which indicates homogenization of skin microbiota in urban environments. Our observations resonate with previous research, showing that urban environmental microbiota is more homogenous than rural environmental microbiota (4), and that inside a city, the built areas are homogenous compared with parks (20). Moreover, microbiota in closed indoor spaces, such as apartments and subways, are dominated by human skin-origin microbes (21, 22).

Interestingly, human-derived microbes were enriched in allergic dogs compared with healthy dogs and that the skin microbiota in allergic dogs was more alike than in healthy dogs, pointing again to microbial homogenization. These findings indicate that either certain rural-dwelling microbes or a constant exposure to heterogeneous environmental microbial communities, or both, support normal immune function.

Previous studies have demonstrated the importance of early-life exposures in the development of allergic diseases in humans. The composition of gut microbiota during the first weeks of life tend to differ between those who do and do not develop allergies later on (refs. 23 and 24). Importantly, there seems to be a limited window of opportunity, during which immune education by microbiota is most decisive (24). When we studied microbiota of individuals from healthy and allergic dog families, we found that in addition to the current living environment, early-life environment was associated with later composition of skin microbiota. Excitingly, we observed that the genus Acinetobacter was more prevalent in healthy dog families. These bacteria have previously been associated with protection against allergies in humans (25–27) and in a mouse model (10, 28, 29). In allergic dog families, human skin-related Friedmanniella was also more prevalent. Both genera associated with land use in early-life environment, demonstrating that early-life environment can influence the microbiota. Nevertheless, a dog’s current environment seems to be more important in development of allergy even though early environment also leaves a mark. The skin microbiota was more homogenous in allergic than in healthy dog families, which can be a primary driver in the development of allergy. Based on these observations, we propose that lack of certain early-life exposure may create a susceptibility to develop allergy, but that later lifestyle and exposure can change the final outcome of allergy in dogs.

Because dogs move from their breeder to the owner at a young age (7–8 wk old), the window of opportunity regarding immune development may still be open at that time. This complicates the interpretation of importance of early versus later life exposure. Previous studies have reported associations between the environment and allergies in dogs (12, 30), but early-life environment was not important (15). Another limitation is that canine allergy is not a well-defined disease. Allergies in dogs are commonly clustered to food allergies (FAs), canine atopic dermatitis (CAD), while allergic respiratory diseases are absent (31); however, the symptoms in FAs and CAD are overlapping (32). Neither can the diagnosis be based on immunological biomarkers, such as IgE, as these do not clearly associate with symptoms, and thus their role in canine allergies is widely debated (33, 34). Our results echo this as we found no association between health status or severity of clinical signs and these biomarkers. Due to this lack of clarity, we have used a single measure of allergy, indicating the severity of any kind of allergic symptoms common in dogs, regardless of their origin. We were unable to exclude the effect of genetic predisposition to allergic diseases, which was concluded to be important in the development of CAD (together with environmental factors) in recent reviews (35, 36).

Also, in our data the Labrador retrievers were more allergic than the Finnish lapphunds, indicating the importance of genetics (12). However, the patterns we found in microbiota and the prevalence of allergies were similar in both breeds, indicating that the environmental component operates similarly regardless of the genetic background.

We have demonstrated here that pet dogs can be a convenient model for understanding the relation between living environment and inflammatory diseases. While free of most confounding factors associated with human life, pet dogs suffer increasingly from inflammatory diseases similar to those in humans, and they share the environment and lifestyle with their owners. This means that our results are likely to apply also to humans. However, the validation of this canine model requires comparative research efforts on dog and human microbiota and health. Finally, we want to emphasize the need for longitudinal or interventional studies, focusing on the triangle of interactions between health, microbiota, and the exposure to environmental microbes. Future studies should concentrate on better understanding the right timing, quality, and duration of exposure to environmental microbes, as well as the contribution of the microbiota in different body parts to health. Experimental studies should follow these, to confirm causality that cannot be established in our cross-sectional study. We suggest that model animal-based research is needed to speed up the definition process of optimal exposure, with pet dogs serving as a valuable real-life model with spontaneous allergy.

Materials and Methods

Data Collection. Breeders of either Labrador retrievers or Finnish lapphunds were invited to the study. These breeds are equally common in rural and urban areas and their large size eases blood sampling. The owners of puppies from selected litters were recruited via the breeders. Our sample included 169 dogs (39 were mother dogs). Skin microbial (swab) samples were taken from the inner side of the front leg, at about carpus level (3 x 3 cm2 area) (SI Methods). Next, DNA was extracted and the V1–V3 region of 16S rRNA gene was sequenced as previously described (16). Blood serum was collected for the analysis of IgE and CRP. Owners filled out a questionnaire (SI Appendix, Form ST), concerning their dogs’ environment, lifestyle, and allergic symptoms. A subset of owners (n = 96) followed their dogs’ movement for a week with a passive tracker (iTrail, SleuthGear). Details about participants and data collection are provided in SI Appendix, St Methods.

Bioinformatic Analysis. The processing of 16S rRNA gene sequences, formation of OTUs, and taxonomic classification are described in SI Appendix, St Methods. The processed sequence counts were normalized with cumulative-sum scaling (37) and contaminant OTUs were removed as described in SI Appendix, St Methods. Finally, our data included 45,905 normalized OTUs that were used in further statistical analysis. The large number of OTUs is explained by the strict similarity threshold we used. All 16S rRNA gene sequences have been deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (accession no. SRP 133457).

Simplification of Complex Data. The quantification of residential (birth and current) and exercise environment land use was done as described in Lehtimäki et al. (16), using PCA. From each PCA, the first or second component, or both, were extracted for further analysis (SI Appendix, Table S2). Higher values along the first axis indicated more rural, especially forested areas, while lower values indicated a higher proportion of urban such as built environments (SI Appendix, Table S2). Higher values along the second axis indicated a transition from forested areas to agricultural areas.

As no single question regarding allergic symptoms was considered a reliable measurement of canine allergy, these questions (questions 5.1–6.14, SI Appendix, Form ST), containing several mutually correlating variables, were summarized to a single continuous variable using PCOA (based on Gower’s distance, accepting a mixture of numeric and categorical variables). The first PCOA axis captured the variation in the severity of allergic symptoms, with higher values indicating more severe symptoms. Similarly, we selected a set of family- and lifestyle-related questions (marked with * in SI Appendix, Form ST), which, according to our knowledge, influence how dogs are exposed to environmental microbes, to create a lifestyle variable. The first PCOA axis allocated dogs according to the lifestyle of the owner, which showed a gradient from rural to urban lifestyle. Briefly, rural lifestyle correlated with living in bigger (human) families, having more animal contacts, and living in town houses.
while urban lifestyle correlated with having many hobbies, traveling a lot, and living in an apartment (SI Appendix, Fig. 52). The resulting explanatory variables were also categorized. If the location of the dog’s current home or exercise environment was loaded positively (or negatively) on the PCA1 axis of land use, the dog was classified as rural (or urban). Similarly, if the score of the PCoA1 component of lifestyle was positive (or negative), the corresponding dog was classified as having an urban-type (or rural-type) lifestyle (SI Appendix, Fig. 52). Finally, a dog was defined allergic if its corresponding value along the PCoA1 axis was higher than 0.5 (a seemingly natural cutoff point based on the distribution of scores). A dog family (i.e., mother and its puppies) was defined allergic if at least one of the puppies in the litter was allergic (families with only one puppy were excluded, n = 6).

The Analysis of Relationships. Between-sample similarity of canid microbiota was calculated using the Bray–Curtis dissimilarity index (not sensitive to shared absence of OTUs between samples). Dissimilarity was analyzed against explanatory variables with multiple regression on distance matrices (MRM) (vegan package in R, ref. 38). To study relationships between microbiota, exposure to microbes, and allergic symptoms, we used PCoA and distance-based redundancy analysis (db-RDA) in the vegan package (38). First, we determined whether the current residential environment and lifestyle were associated with microbiota. The current residential environment or variables in lifestyle were used to constrain the microbial dissimilarity in db-RDA. These categories were also used in visualization of the groups in db-RDA ordination. Pearson’s correlations between the principal coordinates of microbiota and land use as well as lifestyle were estimated. Second, to study the effect of current residential land use on microbial composition, we used both PC1 and PC2 of the land use as explanatory variables in db-RDA. The severity of allergic symptoms was fitted on top of the ordination, assuming a Gaussian error distribution. Finally, we used db-RDA to assess microbial compositional difference among dog families and the effect of early-life environment on microbiota. In this analysis, PCA2 of land use in early-life environment and the categorization of allergic and non-allergic families were explanatory variables.

In support of each db-RDA, we used RF analysis (randomForest package in R; ref. 39) to specify those genera or OTUs that best predict environmental or lifestyle factors. If analysis was based on genera, such as in Figs. 2 and 4, the OTUs belonging to the same genus were merged prior to analysis. In RF analysis built on OTUs as in SI Appendix, Fig. S5, OTUs with greater abundance than third quartile of all OTUs were considered. All analyses were done in R version 3.3.2 (40). The significance level was set to P < 0.05. In the case of multiple comparisons, P values were adjusted using the false discovery rate (FDR) method (41) in R.

Ethics Statement. Sample collection was ethically approved, concerning dogs, by the Animal Ethics Committee of the State Provincial Office of Southern Finland, Hämeenlinna, Finland (ESAVI/6054/04.10.03/2012), and concerning dog owners, by the Coordinating Ethics Committee, University of Helsinki Central Hospital (188/1303/00/14). Sample collection and all subsequent experimental procedures were conducted in light of relevant guidelines and regulations. Before the sampling, we asked the owner of each dog to provide a signed informed consent.
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