Small oral tongue cancers (ae 4 cm in diameter) with clinically negative neck

Almangush, Alhadi

2018-10


http://hdl.handle.net/10138/251521
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00428-018-2417-y

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.
Small oral tongue cancers (≤ 4 cm in diameter) with clinically negative neck: from the 7th to the 8th edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer

Alhadi Almangush1,2,3 · Antti A. Mäkitie4,5 · Laura K. Mäkinen4 · Joonas H. Kauppila6,7 · Matti Pukkila8 · Jaana Hagström1,9,10 · Jussi Laranne11 · Ylermi Soini12 · Luiz Paulo Kowalski13 · Reidar Grénman14 · Caj Haglund10,15 · Ricardo D. Coletta16 · Tuula Salo1,6,17 · Ilmo Leivo2

Received: 8 June 2018 / Accepted: 15 July 2018 / Published online: 23 July 2018
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract
One of the main changes in the 8th edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) for staging of oral cancer is the inclusion of depth of invasion (DOI) in the T category. However, cancers in different oral subsites have variable behavior, with oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma (OTSCC) being the most aggressive one even at early stage. Thus, it is necessary to evaluate the performance of this new T category in homogenous cohort of early OTSCC. Therefore, we analyzed a large cohort of patients with a small (≤ 4 cm) OTSCC to demonstrate the differences in T stage between the AJCC 7th and 8th editions. A total of 311 early-stage cases (AJCC 7th) of OTSCC were analyzed. We used 5 mm and 10 mm DOI for upstaging from T1 to T2 and from T2 to T3 respectively, as in the AJCC 8th. We further reclassified the cases according to our own proposal suggesting 2 mm to upstage to T2 and 4 mm to upstage to T3. According to AJCC 7th, there were no significant differences in the survival analysis. When we applied the 8th edition, many cases were upstaged to T3 and thus associated with worse disease-specific survival (HR 2.37, 95% CI 1.12–4.99) and disease-free survival (HR 2.12, 95% CI 1.09–4.08). Based on our proposal, T3 cases were associated with even worse disease-specific survival (HR 4.19, 95% CI 2.27–7.74). The 8th edition provides better survival prediction for OTSCC than the 7th and can be further optimized by lowering the DOI cutoffs.
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Introduction

Tumor-Node-Metastases (TNM) staging system is widely used as a universal tool for the classification of many cancers. TNM staging defines extent of tumor and provides prognostic information, which is traditionally used for treatment planning [1]. Treatment of small tumors (T1–T2) of oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma (OTSCC) with clinically negative neck (cN0) still remains a dilemma. Although such cancers are considered at “early-stage” and referred to “low-risk,” many of the cT1–2N0 cases have been associated with poor prognosis [2, 3]. Therefore, cTNM has been criticized over the years for presenting low prognostic capacity for early-stage OTSCC [4]. The main shortcoming of the T stage category is that it describes only the tumor diameter. Thus, a small superficial tumor with < 4 cm in diameter is considered (according to the 7th edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer, AJCC manual [5]) at early-stage, similar to another small tumor < 4 cm in diameter but with deeper invasion.

The AJCC has recently released the 8th edition of manual for cancer staging [6]. For oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC), one of the main changes in this new edition was the incorporation of depth of invasion (DOI) in the T category. Researchers have conducted numerous studies to evaluate the prognostic value of DOI in OSCC [3, 4, 7]. There is a wide agreement between most of the recent studies about the importance of DOI in prognostication of OSCC [3, 7, 8]. However, a debate about the optimal cutoff point remains among the published studies. For example, cutoff points of 2 mm [9], 4 mm [3, 10], and 5 mm [11] have been used for risk stratification. AJCC has used > 5 mm for upstaging from T1 to T2 and > 10 mm for upstaging to T3. The aim of this study was to demonstrate the effects of changes regarding T stage between the AJCC 7th and 8th editions [5, 6] in a multicenter cohort of 311 cases previously identified as early stage (cT1–2N0). We also re-stage these 311 cases by the AJCC 8th (Table1) to re-stage these 311 cases by the AJCC 8th (Table1) as follows: T1, tumor ≤ 2 cm and DOI ≤ 2 mm; T2, tumor ≤ 2 cm and DOI > 2 mm and ≤ 4 mm; or tumor > 2 cm but ≤ 4 mm and DOI ≤ 4 mm; T3: tumor > 4 cm or any tumor DOI > 4 mm.

Results

This study includes 165 men and 146 women. One hundred five tumors were well differentiated, 131 were moderately differentiated, and 75 tumors were poorly differentiated. According to the AJCC 7th, 124 cases were of stage T1 and 187 cases were of stage T2. Survival analyses (Table 2) did not show any statistically significant differences for DSS (HR 1.48, 95% CI 0.87–2.54, P = 0.15) or DFS (HR 0.87, 95% CI 0.57–1.33, P = 0.53) when we analyzed the prognostic value of T stage in these 311 cases.

When we re-staged the cases according to the criteria of the AJCC 8th, 90 cases were of stage T1, 201 were of T2, and 20 cases were of T3. Univariate survival analyses showed statistically significant differences in the prognosis of the cases identified as T3 (Table 2 and Fig. 1). They were associated with worse DSS (HR 2.21, 95% CI 1.05–4.64, P = 0.036) and DFS (HR 2.08, 95% CI 1.07–4.01, P = 0.03) compared with early-stage cases (T1–T2). There was no statistically significant difference between T1 and T2 cases. In multivariate analysis, which includes age of patient, gender, and WHO histologic grade, small tumors (≤ 4 cm in diameter, with cN0)
identified as T3 (AJCC 8th) were associated with worse DSS (HR 2.37, 95% CI 1.12–4.99, P = 0.023) and DFS (HR 2.12, 95% CI 1.09–4.08, P = 0.027).

According to our proposal, 32 cases were of stage T1, 118 were of T2, and 161 cases were of stage T3. Survival analyses (Table 2 and Fig. 2) showed statistically significant differences and the cases identified as stage T3 were associated with worse DSS (HR 3.87, 95% CI 2.10–7.13, P < 0.001) and DFS (HR 1.55, 95% CI 1.01–2.37, P = 0.04). There was no statistically significant difference between stage T1 and T2 cases. In the multivariate analysis, cases that were identified as T3 were associated with worse DSS (HR 4.19, 95% CI 2.27–7.74, P < 0.001) and DFS (HR 1.62, 95% CI 1.05–2.49, P = 0.029).

The association analysis of age, gender, and WHO histopathologic grade with T stage (AJCC 7th) revealed a significant relationship between gender and T stage, as men were more affected by T2 tumors than women (P = 0.008). Similarly, applying the AJCC 8th, men were more affected by T2 and T3 tumors than women (P = 0.02). There was no significant relationship between T stage (AJCC 7th or AJCC 8th) and age of patients or WHO tumor grade. Our proposed T stage had a significant association with WHO grade (P = 0.02), but there was no significant relationship between with age or gender.

### Discussion

One of the main aims of the TNM classification is to provide prognostic information that allows clinician to classify a newly diagnosed case of OTSCC at “low-risk,” which will be managed by surgery only, or at “high-risk,” which is prone to receive a treatment based on surgery associated with elective neck dissection and radiotherapy. The recently revised 8th edition of AJCC staging manual has incorporated the DOI in the T stage criteria, with the aim of increase the predictive power of TNM system. Here, we analyzed the effects of this modification in a large multicenter cohort of small (≤ 4 cm in diameter) OTSCC lesions with clinically negative neck. We found that many cases classified as T1 or T2 stage (early stage) according to the AJCC 7th become T3 (advanced stage) according to the AJCC 8th, and these T3 cases have a poor prognosis compared with T1–T2 cases.

This modification in the T category solved the drawback in many cases that had occurred when using the previously in staging of patients with small OTSCC with clinically negative neck. Recent studies have reported that such cases had a high rate of lymph node metastasis, recurrence, and cancer-related mortality [2, 3]. In our previous study covering all stages (I–IV) of OTSCC, stage II

### Table 1
T staging criteria for small OTSCC (≤ 4 cm in diameter) with clinically negative neck in the AJCC 7th, the AJCC 8th (which incorporated depth of invasion, DOI (DOI is the measurement of depth of invasion and not tumor thickness)), and our proposal using a lower cutoff point for DOI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T category</th>
<th>Criteria in the AJCC 7th</th>
<th>Criteria in the AJCC 8th</th>
<th>Our proposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Tumor ≤ 2 cm</td>
<td>Tumor ≤ 2 cm, ≤ 5 mm DOI</td>
<td>Tumor ≤ 2 cm, ≤ 2 mm DOI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>Tumor &gt; 2 cm but ≤ 4 cm</td>
<td>Tumor ≤ 2 cm, DOI &gt; 5 mm and ≤ 10 mm; or tumor &gt; 2 cm but ≤ 4 cm, and ≤ 10 mm DOI</td>
<td>Tumor ≤ 2 cm, DOI &gt; 2 mm and ≤ 4 mm; or tumor &gt; 2 cm but ≤ 4 cm, and ≤ 4 mm DOI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Tumor &gt; 4 cm or any tumor &gt; 10 mm DOI</td>
<td>Tumor &gt; 4 cm or any tumor &gt; 4 mm DOI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2
Survival analysis of 311 cases of small OTSCC (≤ 4 cm in diameter) with clinically negative neck according to the AJCC 7th edition, the AJCC 8th edition, and our proposal. In the multivariate analyses, T stage was entered into the model comprising age, gender, and WHO grade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Number of cases (%)</th>
<th>Disease-specific survival</th>
<th>Disease-free survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Univariate analysis</td>
<td>Multivariate analysis</td>
<td>Univariate analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (95% CI), P</td>
<td>HR (95% CI), P</td>
<td>HR (95% CI), P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T stage (AJCC 7th)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1N0</td>
<td>124 (39.9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2N0</td>
<td>187 (60.1)</td>
<td>1.48 (0.87–2.54), P = 0.15</td>
<td>1.67 (0.97–2.89), P = 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T stage (AJCC 8th)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1–2N0</td>
<td>291 (93.6)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>20 (6.4)</td>
<td>2.21 (1.05–4.64), P = 0.036</td>
<td>2.37 (1.12–4.99), P = 0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T stage (our proposal)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1–2N0</td>
<td>150 (48.2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>161 (51.8)</td>
<td>3.87 (2.10–7.13), P &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>4.19 (2.27–7.74), P &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
patients had a poor survival, which was almost similar to stage III patients [14]. This indicates understaging in some stage II cases according to the AJCC 7th [5]. In such cases, understaging would be solved by applying the AJCC 8th, which incorporates DOI, and thus upstaging them from stage T1–T2 to T3.

The AJCC 8th applies a cutoff point of 5 mm DOI for upstaging from stage T1 to T2 and 10 mm for upstaging to

---

**Fig. 1** Kaplan-Meier curves for DSS (a) and DFS (b) in small OTSCC according to AJCC 8th. DSS, disease-specific survival; DFS, disease-free survival

**Fig. 2** Kaplan-Meier curves for DSS (a) and DFS (b) in small OTSCC according to our proposal. DSS, disease-specific survival; DFS, disease-free survival
T3. However, this appears to be problematic as numerous cases of deeply invasive tumors (> 4 mm to 10 mm DOI) will remain in stage T1 or T2. It is noteworthy that deep invasion of > 4 mm carries a risk for locoregional metastasis and is associated with poor prognosis [3, 7, 8, 13, 15, 16]. Several recent studies (Table 3) have evaluated the prognostic value of DOI and reported consistent results with DOI being a promising prognosticator. Although the cutoff point has varied in these studies, a value of 4 mm is widely used. Of note, 4 mm has been also suggested as an optimal cutoff point in a meta-analysis of OSCC studies [12]. For this reason, we propose for future discussions regarding the development of the AJCC staging system that cases with invasive growth deeper than 4 mm should be considered for upgrading to advanced T category, i.e., stage T3.

The 8th edition of the AJCC manual states that DOI, not tumor thickness, must be used for T staging. However, a recent study has reported a similar performance whether using DOI or tumor thickness for T staging according to AJCC 8th [17]. Tumor thickness can be evaluated preoperatively by ultrasonography [18, 19] and magnetic resonance imaging [20–23]. On the other hand, it is sometimes difficult to assess DOI accurately using imaging [1]. In the histopathologic assessment, significant differences between the measurements of tumor thickness and DOI were noted in only a small number of cases [17]. In addition, agreement between histologic measurement and preoperative image measurement of tumor thickness has been reported in OTSCC [24]. Therefore, further research should evaluate the incorporation of tumor thickness as measured by preoperative imaging in the T staging. This might be more useful for treatment planning than DOI, which is a histopathologic measurement and accurately assessed only postoperatively. Importantly, evaluation of DOI in preoperative biopsies requires truly representative samples, which is sometimes challenging [25].

In conclusion, the new criteria introduced in the AJCC 8th for T staging reclassified many T1–T2 OTSCCs with cN0 in our series to T3 (advanced stage), and such cases had a poor prognosis. The T stage criteria can be further optimized by considering any tumor > 4 cm in diameter and/or any tumor with DOI > 4 mm as T3 stage. Larger studies will be needed to further validate the prognostic value of OTSCC staging according to the AJCC 8th edition, and are also necessary to assess our proposal for re-staging using DOI of 4 mm for upstaging to T3.
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**Table 3** Summary of recent studies published during the last 10 years (2007–2017) and have evaluated the prognostic value of DOI in large cohorts (> 100 cases) of small OSCC with clinically negative lymph nodes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors, year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>DOI cutoff point (mm)</th>
<th>Main finding about DOI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Melkane et al. [15], 2012</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>cT1–T2N0</td>
<td>OSCC</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>The sentinel node (SN) involvement was associated with DOI (median DOI for SN tumors was 6.5 mm).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganly et al. [7], 2012</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>cT1–T2N0</td>
<td>OTSCC</td>
<td>2, 4</td>
<td>DOI is a prognostic factor for NRFS in multivariate analysis. DOI is associated with worse DSS and OS in univariate analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melchers et al. [16], 2012</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>pT1cN0</td>
<td>OSCC</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>DOI is an indicator to perform elective neck dissection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almangush et al. [3], 2015</td>
<td>Finland, Brazil and USA</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>cT1–T2N0</td>
<td>OTSCC</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>DOI is associated with DFS and DSS in multivariate analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xie et al. [13], 2015</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>cT1–T2N0</td>
<td>OTSCC</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>DOI was significantly associated with LN metastasis and OS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hakeem et al. [11], 2016</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>cT1–T2N0</td>
<td>OTSCC</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>DOI was associated with development of regional recurrence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al. [9], 2017</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>cT1–T2N0</td>
<td>OSCC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>DOI was not associated with OS in multivariate analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arora et al. [8], 2017</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>cT1–T2N0</td>
<td>OSCC</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Patients with a DOI &gt; 4 mm have a high risk of LN involvement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DOI, depth of invasion; DSS, disease-specific survival; DFS, disease-free survival; NRFS, neck recurrence-free survival; LN, lymph node; OSCC, oral squamous cell carcinoma; OTSCC, oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma; SN, sentinel node; OS, overall survival
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