Autoimmunity to hypocretin and molecular mimicry to flu in type 1 narcolepsy

Luo, Guo

2018-12-26


http://hdl.handle.net/10138/292422
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1818150116

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.
This is an electronic reprint of the original article.
This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.
Please cite the original version.
Autoimmunity to hypocretin and molecular mimicry to flu in type 1 narcolepsy

Guo Luo1, Aditya Ambati1,2, Ling Lin3,4, Méloïde Bonvalet5, Markku Partinen6,7, Xuhuai Ji8, Holden Terry Maecker9, and Emmanuel Jean-Marie Mignot10

1Center for Sleep Sciences and Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, CA 94304; 2Helsinki Sleep Clinic, Vitalmed Research Centre, 00380 Helsinki, Finland; 3Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Helsinki, 00100 Helsinki, Finland; and 4Immune Monitoring Center, Institute for Immunity, Transplantation, and Infection, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, CA 94305

Contributed by Emmanuel Jean-Marie Mignot, November 17, 2018 (sent for review October 25, 2018; reviewed by Roland S. Liblau and Joseph S. Takahashi)

Type 1 narcolepsy (T1N) is caused by hypocretin/orexin (HCRT) neuronal loss. Association with the HLA DQβ1*06:02/DQA1*01:02 (98% vs. 25%) heterodimer (DQ0602), T cell receptors (TCR) and other immune loci suggest autoimmunity but autoantigens are unknown. Onset is seasonal and associated with influenza A, notably pandemic 2009 H1N1 (pH1N1) infection and vaccination (Pandemrix). Peptides derived from HCRT and influenza A, including pH1N1, were screened for DQ0602 binding and presence of cognate DQ0602 tetramer-peptide–specific CD4+ T cells tested in 35 T1N cases and 22 DQ0602 controls. Higher reactivity to influenza pH1A273–287 (pH1N1 specific), PR8 (H1N1 pre-2009 and H2N2)-specific NP17–31, and Camidated but not native version of HCRT were tested in 35 T1N cases and 22 DQ0602 controls. Higher reactivity to influenza pH1A273–287 (pH1N1 specific), PR8 (H1N1 pre-2009 and H2N2)-specific NP17–31, and Camidated but not native version of HCRT were observed in T1N. Single-cell TCR sequencing revealed sharing of CDR3 TRBV4-2-<em>C</em>ASSQETQGRNYTF<em>C</em> in HCRT<em>α</em> and pH1<em>α</em>273–287-tetramers, suggesting molecular mimicry. This public CDR3<em>β</em> uses TRBV4-2, a segment modulated by T1N-associated SNP rs1008599, suggesting causality. TCR-<em>α</em>/<em>β</em> CDR3 motifs of H<em>α</em vraiment<em>α</em>287 tetramers were extensively shared: notably public CDR3<em>α</em>, TRAV2-CAVETDSWGKFQ-I<em>TRAJ24</em> that uses TRA24, a chain modulated by T1N-associated SNPs rs1154155 and rs1483797. TCR-<em>α</em>/<em>β</em> CDR3 sequences found in pH1<em>α</em>273–287, NP17–31, and HCRT<em>α</em>287, tetramer-positive CD4+ cells were also retrieved in single <em>INFγ</em>-secreting CD4+ sorted cells stimulated with Pandemrix, independently confirming these results. Our results provide evidence for autoimmunity and molecular mimicry with flu antigens modulated by genetic components in the pathophysiology of T1N.

Significance

This work shows that the amidated terminal ends of the secreted hypocretin (HCRT) peptides (HCRT<em>α</em>NH2) are autoantigens in type 1 narcolepsy, an autoimmune disorder targeting HCRT neurons. The autoimmune process is usually initiated by influenza A flu infections, and a particular piece of the hemagglutinin (HA) flu protein of the pandemic 2009 H1N1 strain was identified as a likely trigger. This HA epitope has homology with HCRT<em>α</em>NH2 and T cells cross-reactive to both epitopes are involved in the autoimmune process by molecular mimicry. Genes associated with narcolepsy mark the particular HLA heterodimer (DQ0602) involved in presentation of these antigens and modulate expression of the specific T cell receptor segments (TRA24 and TRBV4-2) involved in T cell receptor recognition of these antigens, suggesting causality.

W

Whereas genetic (1–6), epidemiological (7–11), and pathophysiological (12–14) studies implicate autoimmunity in response to flu infection in type 1 narcolepsy (T1N), a disease caused by hypocretin (HCRT) neuronal loss (15–17), the autoantigen involved is still unknown. Unlike other autoimmune diseases, autoantibodies to HCRT cell proteins, HCRT itself (18–21), or other targets, such as TRIB2 (22, 23) or HCRT receptor 2 (HCRTL2) (24–27) have not been consistently found. This has led to the suggestion that HCRT cell loss may be T cell-mediated, with limited or no involvement of autoantibodies.

A large recent genome-wide association study analysis of over 5,500 patients across multiple ethnicities confirmed the primordial importance of the HLA DQ0602 heterodimer in disease predisposition, with important secondary associations in T cell receptor (TCR) loci α and β, and other immune loci, such as perforin (28). Of additional interest is the observation that TCR polymorphisms associated with TIN are quantitative trait loci (QTL) for TRAJ24 (decreasing), TRAJ28, and TRBV4-2 (increasing) usage in peripheral T cells in both controls and patients (28). A significant L to F coding polymorphism (underlined in sequences throughout the paper) located within the antigen binding complementarity-determining region (CDR) 3 loop of TRAJ24-expressing TCRs is also associated with TIN. These effects suggest that T cell responses involving TRAJ24- or TRAJ28- and TRBV4-2-bearing TCRs are likely bottlenecks responses in the causative autoimmune T cell responses leading to HCRT cell death. Overall, the genome-wide association study results suggest the importance of DQ0602 presentation of antigens to specific CD4+ T cells by antigen presenting cells and, considering the involvement of perforin, likely subsequent CD8+ destruction of HCRT cells (28). CD8+ mediation of HCRT cell death has also been shown to cause TIN in an animal model (29).

Recently, much has been learned regarding what may trigger TIN autoimmunity. Onset of TIN in children, which can often be timed to the week, is seasonal and peaks in spring and summer (10). Onset has also been associated with <em>S</em>trep<em>t</em>oc<em>c</em>occus <em>p</em>y<em>o</em>genes infections (9, 30) and influenza A (10), suggesting the trigger may be a winter infection, followed by a delay in peaking at 5 mo once sufficient HCRT cell loss has occurred (8–20%) and symptoms appear. Most strikingly, prevalence of TIN increased several-fold in mainland China and Taiwan, with a similar delay following the 2009–2010 “Swine Flu” H1N1 influenza pandemic (pH1N1) (10, 31–33), although this association is less clear in other countries (11). Similarly, cases of TIN have been triggered by the pH1N1 vaccine Pandemrix in Europe with relative risk increasing 5- to 14-fold in children and adolescents and 2- to
7-fold in adults after vaccination (33, 34). Because Pandemrix is an AS03 adjuvanted vaccine containing the artificially produced reassortant strain X-179A, a mix of PR8, an old H1N1 strain derived from pre-2009 seasonal H1N1, and A/California/07/2009 containing key H1N1 2009 surface proteins (hemagglutinin, HA and neuraminidase, NA) (35), flu proteins are likely critically involved in triggering T1N. The fact that HLA and TCR genetic associations are universal (6, 36-39) is also consistent with a flu trigger, because influenza A infections occur on a global basis (40). As has been illustrated above, both the H1N12009 pandemic and the Pandemrix vaccination also exhibited variable effects across different countries, thus demanding the consideration of additional factors to fully explain T1N occurrences.

Based on the above information, T cell reactivity to various autoantigens has also been explored, starting with HLA and CT motifs, with various results reported as follows. In 2013, homology between DQ0602-binding sequences pH273-287 and HCRT56-68/HCRT87-99, sequences encoding the C-terminal end of secreted hypocretin-1 and hypocretin-2 was noted and mimicry suggested, although part of the results published showing differential ELISpot reactivity in narcolepsy versus controls were later retracted (18, 41). A lack of differential ELISpot CD4+ T cell reactivity (measured by INF-γ and IL-17) to HCRT53-67 and HCRT56-97 was subsequently found by Kornum et al. (19), who tested 22 cases and 23 DQ0602 controls with 6 known HCRT sequences binding DQ0602 (detection limit of 1 in 10,000 cells). Similar results were found by Ramberger et al. (20), who tested CD4+ cells of 15 patients and 13 DQ0602 controls after an 8-d culture amplification with HCRT peptide pools in carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE), followed by FACS. These authors found three potentially reactive subjects in patients and none in controls, suggesting no differential effects.

The situation changed a few months ago, thanks to work published by Latorre et al. (42). In this work, the authors used an ultrasensitive technique to detect autoantigen T-cell responses that involves polyclonal expansion and cloning of CD45RA+ CD4+ T cell lines, followed by screening of these lines for proliferation as a surrogate of reactivity to autoantigen peptide pools presented by autologous B cells. Screening peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) of 19 T1N cases [15 with documented HCRT deficiency, defined by low hypocretin-1 in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF)] and 13 DQ0602 controls (19) who tested 22 cases and 23 DQ0602 controls with 6 known HCRT sequences binding DQ0602 (detection limit of 1 in 10,000 cells). Similar results were found by Ramberger et al. (20), who tested CD4+ cells of 15 patients and 13 DQ0602 controls after an 8-d culture amplification with HCRT peptide pools in carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE), followed by FACS. These authors found three potentially reactive subjects in patients and none in controls, suggesting no differential effects.

In this work and following on our 2013 initial findings, we have continued to systematically interrogate DQ0602-restricted flu and autoantigen CD4+ responses in DQ0602 T1N patients versus matched controls. To increase sensitivity of detection, we used DQ0602 tetramers examining frequency and TCR sequences of CD4+ T cells recognizing specific HCRT and flu epitopes bound to DQ0602 tetramers. Results of our experiments now confirm our initial hypothesis of molecular mimicry between pH273-287 and HCRT54-66/HCRT56-97, although autoreactivity is only found with the amidated, posttranslationally modified version of the antigen (HCRT54-66/HCRT56-97/HCRT56-97/HCRT56-97 denoted collectively as HCRTN12). TCR sequences involved in these responses involve TRA124 and TRBV4-2, correlating with genetic effects and supporting causality. Our data suggest the importance of TCR-α/β chain-specific responses in driving autoimmunity through the hitchhiking of partner TCR-α/β cross-reactive sequences, a phenomenon that can be best visualized through TCR-α/β network analysis across epitopes and individuals.

**Results**

DQ0602 Restricted Epitopes of H1N1 Flu Responses in T1N Versus Controls Suggest a Role for pH273-287 and NP261-275. We screened overlapping 15-mer peptides for DQ0602 binding for key proteins contained in Pandemrix and pH1N1 wild-type, HA, NA, influenza RNA-dependent RNA polymerase subunit 1 (PB1), and nucleoprotein (NP) (Dataset S1), as described previously (18). These proteins were selected on the basis of their abundance in Pandemrix (enriched by vaccine design) (35, 43) and the wild-type pH1N1 (present naturally). DQ0602 peptide screening was done using peptides derived from A/reassortant/NYMC X-179A (A/California/07/2009 × NYMC X-157, reference ADE2909) and complemented with peptides of wild-type pH1N1 sequence (A/California/07/2009, reference ADE2909) when polymorphism was evident, or peptides were of distinct origin (i.e., NP). Screening for these peptides identified a large number of binders (Dataset S1) using the biotin-conjugated Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV)56-60 protein (Bio-EBV), a known binder (18, 44). Peptides that outcompeted >75% of the reference EBV peptide signal were considered binders and selected for tetramer construction and screening (18, 41).

PBMCs from six cases and four DQ0602 controls were expanded in an in vitro 10-d culture stimulated with Pandemrix (100 ng/mL) or the corresponding single peptide (6.25 μM) (see SI Appendix, Supplementary Methods and Dataset S9 for demographic information, and Dataset S11 for staining results). The control and narcoleptic subjects were all known post-Pandemrix vaccinees, except for one case who developed T1N independent of vaccination 4 mo before blood sampling (recent-onset case).

Fig. L7 shows controls and T1N patients (from 10 subjects) positive for each tetramer staining, as well as example staining (Fig. 1D) with selected tetramers. Tetramer positivity ranged from none to 10 positives for some tetramers (Fig. 1B). A global analysis of tetramer (peptides binned into respective proteins) reactivity confirmed (Fig. 1C) that post-Pandemrix cases and controls had similar flu antigen exposure, as no difference was found across the nine vaccinated cases and controls overall (all epitopes). Significantly lower reactivity with PB1 versus HA, NP, and NA was found, likely the consequence of the lower concentration of PB1 in Pandemrix (and all vaccines) (35).

X-179A–derived tetramers with the highest frequencies of specific CD4+ T cells included pHA273-287, pNA217-231 (pH1N1 specific epitope), NP17-31 (PR8 specific epitope), and NP261-275 (shared epitope between A/California/07/2009 and PR8) (Fig. 1D), suggesting these epitopes are immunodominant (Fig. L4, NP epitopes from pH1N12009 instead of PR8 indicated by asterisks), pHA273-287 was notable as it was previously reported to have homology with HCRT sequences HCRT54-66 and HCRT86-97 (18, 41). Interestingly, among immunodominant epitopes, responses to three epitopes—pHA273-287 (of H1N12009 origin), NP17-31 (of PR8 origin), and NP261-275 (shared with A/California/07/2009 and PR8)—were preliminarily increased in Pandemrix-vaccinated cases versus controls, with reactivity in the early-onset case (Fig. L4). These three epitopes were thus selected for additional investigation.

Additional analysis of 24 cases and 14 DQ0602 controls confirmed significant overabundance of T cells reactive to pHA273-287 and NP17-31 but not NP261-275 tetramers (Fig. 2A and C; SI Appendix, Fig. S1, and Dataset S11), suggesting that these two epitopes could be involved in the recruitment of differential CD4+ T cell populations related to T1N. This was also the case in post-Pandemrix subjects only (11 cases of mean age 17 ± 2 y vs. 8 controls of mean age 27 ± 6 y) (SI Appendix, Fig. S1D), an important control as these subjects had similar exposure to pH1N1 around the first wave of the pH1N1 pandemic infection.
Fig. 1. Results of primary tetramer screening in 10 subjects. (A) Tetramer positivity in post-Pandemrix patients (dark gray, from five total), post-Pandemrix controls (light gray, from four total), and one early-onset patient (onset = 0.3 y before blood sampling; blue, single subject). Tetramers were built one by one using peptide exchange and DQ0602-CLIP (SI Appendix, Supplementary Methods). Binder sequences are provided in Dataset S1. S, shared by A/California/07/2009 and A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 (PR8); asterisk (*), from A/California/07/2009; others are of PR8 origin. Last six peptides are posttranslationally modified sequences of prepro-HCRT, including N-pyroglutamate (pyro) HCRT34-48, HCRT36-52, HCRT36-47, and HCRT33-37 with double disulfide bond (DDB), C-terminal amidated HCRT37-51. (B) Distribution of positive subjects per antigen. (C) Mean percentage of positive subjects for DQ0602 binders in each protein in post-Pandemrix subjects. (D) Example of FACS staining for various selected tetramers. The entire FACS staining plots are provided as Dataset S11. CD3⁺ T cells are shown with frequency. C, control; C/Px, post-Pandemrix control; P, patient; P/Px, post-Pandemrix patient.
Flu reactivity in T1N is not broadly shared across all H1N1 epitopes, because other influenza epitopes, including pNA317-231, pNP261-275, and pNP17-31 pH1N1, the homologous sequence of PR8 NP17-31 in pH1N12009 (A/California/07/2009, 2 amino acid difference in the core) (Dataset S2), showed little to no significant differences overall and in subgroups (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 A–C).

**Fig. 2.** Results of tetramer screening using pH273-287, NP17-31, HCRT54-66, HCRT54-66-NH2, HCRT86-97, and HCRT86-97-NH2 in 35 patients and 22 controls. Percentage of CD4+ cells positive for pH273-287 and NP17-31 tetramers (A) and HCRT54-66,HCRT54-66-NH2 and HCRT86-97,HCRT86-97-NH2 tetramers (B) in controls versus patients. PBMCs of subjects were grown in Pandemrix or the cognate peptide for 10 or 14 d in two independent experiments, and as results were repeatable and did not differ statistically, the mean is presented in each dot. T, twins. Subjects in red are those with single-cell sorting and TCR-seq cant differences overall (T cell reactivity to native versions of HCRT was comparable to influenza-derived peptides (Fig. 1), the reactivity to the amidated version of HCRT was significantly lower (HCRT and RFX4, was significantly lower than that of influenza-derived peptides (Fig. 1C), the reactivity to the amidated version of HCRT was comparable to influenza-derived peptides (Fig. 1B). Because prepro-HCRT is cleaved and posttranslationally modified in the region where homologous sequences HCRT54-66 and HCRT86-97 are located (resulting in secreted hypocretin-1 and -2), most notably C-amidated, a transformation necessary abundant in HCRT cells (45). HCRT and RFX4 binders were tested in the 10 subjects as above, with results suggesting no or little reactivity, consistent with T cell tolerance toward autoantigen peptide sequences (Fig. 1 A and B). Interestingly, while the CD4+ T cell reactivity to native versions of self-peptides, such as native HCRT and RFX4, was significantly lower (P < 10−14) than that of influenza peptides (Fig. 1C), the reactivity to the amidated version of HCRT was comparable to influenza-derived peptides (Fig. 1B).

**Narcolepsy Cases Have Increased CD4+ T Cell Autoreactivity to Amidated HCRT54-66-NH2 and HCRT86-97-NH2 Epitopes.** We next tested whether TIN was associated with autoreactivity involving two proteins known to be primarily colocalized with HCRT, HCRT itself and regulatory factor X4 (RFX4), a transcription factor abundant in HCRT cells (45). HCRT and RFX4 binders were tested in the 10 subjects as above, with results suggesting no or little reactivity, consistent with T cell tolerance toward autoantigen peptide sequences (Fig. 1 A and B). Interestingly, while the CD4+ T cell reactivity to native versions of self-peptides, such as native HCRT and RFX4, was significantly lower (P < 10−14) than that of influenza peptides (Fig. 1C), the reactivity to the amidated version of HCRT was comparable to influenza-derived peptides (Fig. 1B).

Because prepro-HCRT is cleaved and posttranslationally modified in the region where homologous sequences HCRT54-66 and HCRT86-97 are located (resulting in secreted hypocretin-1 and -2), most notably C-amidated, a transformation necessary abundant in HCRT cells (45). HCRT and RFX4 binders were tested in the 10 subjects as above, with results suggesting no or little reactivity, consistent with T cell tolerance toward autoantigen peptide sequences (Fig. 1 A and B). Interestingly, while the CD4+ T cell reactivity to native versions of self-peptides, such as native HCRT and RFX4, was significantly lower (P < 10−14) than that of influenza peptides (Fig. 1C), the reactivity to the amidated version of HCRT was comparable to influenza-derived peptides (Fig. 1B).
to its biological activity, we also tested HCRT\textsubscript{54-66-NH\textsubscript{2}} and HCRT\textsubscript{86-97-NH\textsubscript{2}}, modified peptides that also bind DO0602 with higher affinity (Dataset S1) (18). To our surprise, T cell reactivity to these fragments was high in both DO0602 controls and T1N subjects (Fig. 2B and Dataset S11). Of notable interest was the fact that HCRT\textsubscript{54-66-NH\textsubscript{2}} staining was generally restricted to distinct populations (not unlike what was observed with flu antigens), while HCRT\textsubscript{86-97-NH\textsubscript{2}} staining was more heterogeneous, suggestive of large amounts of low affinity tetramer cross-reactivity (Fig. 2D and Dataset S11). These data were later expanded to 29 cases and 21 DO0602 positive controls, and a significantly higher percentage of HCRT\textsubscript{54-66-NH\textsubscript{2}} tetramer-positive cells was found in TIN (Fig. 2B), with marginally higher values for HCRT\textsubscript{86-97-NH\textsubscript{2}}. Subanalysis of 13 post-Pandemrix cases (mean age 17 ± 1 y) versus 10 post-Pandemrix controls (mean age 27 ± 6 y) confirmed significantly higher reactivity for HCRT\textsubscript{86-97-NH\textsubscript{2}} and marginally higher values for HCRT\textsubscript{54-66-NH\textsubscript{2}} (SI Appendix, Fig. S1F). Even more strikingly, in 7 recent onset cases (22 ± 6 y) versus 21 controls (23 ± 5 y), higher reactivity was found to HCRT\textsubscript{54-66-NH\textsubscript{2}}, suggesting an important role in the cause of TIN (SI Appendix, Fig. S1G).

TCR Sequencing of Flu Tetramer-Positive Cells Indicate Bias in TCR-α/β Usage Consistent with In Vitro Clonal Expansion. Tetramer-reactive CD4\textsuperscript{+} T cells to pHA\textsubscript{273-287}, NP\textsubscript{173-31}, HCRT\textsubscript{86-97-NH\textsubscript{2}}, and HCRT\textsubscript{54-66-NH\textsubscript{2}} epitopes from 14 to 20 subjects were next sequenced for all tetramers (see Dataset S4 and S9 for details). For comparison and reference frequencies, tabulations of shared sequences across epitopes. While only one clone (TRBV30-CAWSPLAGTGAPQPQHF) met the epitope was enriched in TRBV19 (OR 54) without differences in control versus T1N. As expected, we found strong significant bias in TCR-α/β usage (TRAV, TRAJ, and TRBV families) specific of each epitope, except for HCRT\textsubscript{54-66-NH\textsubscript{2}} and HCRT\textsubscript{86-97-NH\textsubscript{2}}, where a large amount of sequence sharing was found across the two highly homologous HCRT epitopes (also called HCRT\textsubscript{54-66-NH\textsubscript{2}} as a group for future reference) and sequence usage diversity was higher in comparison with flu epitopes (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 and Dataset S3). For example, TRAV usage of peptide contact CDR3 was highly enriched in TRAV23 (Mantel Haenszel odds ratio (OR) = 16.1), TRAV13-1 (OR = 17.0), and TRAV35 (OR = 23.2), while TCR-β usage for the epitope was enriched in TRBV19 (OR = 25.6) and TRBV4-3 (OR = 21.0) in comparison with the same subject’s CDR4 CD45RA\textsuperscript{+} cell peripheral usage, without differences in control versus T1N. Similarly, NP\textsubscript{173-31} T cells were enriched in TRAV12-2 (OR = 18.6), TRAV8-6 (OR = 11.6), TRBV4-2 (OR = 42.8), TRBV7-9 (OR = 445.1), and TRBV20-1 (OR = 4.0) (Dataset S3) without differences in control versus T1N.

TCR Sequencing of C-Amidated HCRT Tetramer-Positive Cells Indicate Broad TCR Reactivity and Has Consequently More Limited Bias in TCR-α/β Usage. Sequencing of amidated HCRT\textsuperscript{54-66-NH\textsubscript{2}} tetramers gave a different picture (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 and Dataset S3) in comparison with the flu-positive tetramer. First, although increased usage of specific TCR segments was found, usage diversity was much higher (SI Appendix, Fig. S2), notably when HCRT\textsubscript{86-97-NH\textsubscript{2}} tetramers were used, giving a typically less distinct population with likely lower TCR affinity (Fig. 2D and Dataset S11). Second, in cases where HCRT\textsubscript{54-66-NH\textsubscript{2}} and more rarely HCRT\textsubscript{86-97-NH\textsubscript{2}} tetramers gave a clearly separated population on FACS plots (Dataset S11), sequencing resulted in almost uniform TCR sequences (even less diverse than with flu antigens), with no usage sequence sharing (patients 022, 948, 051, and 685 for HCRT\textsubscript{54-66-NH\textsubscript{2}} and controls 567 and 391 for HCRT\textsubscript{86-97-NH\textsubscript{2}} in SI Appendix, Fig. S2, with corresponding FACS plots in Dataset S11).
narcolepsy case and one control (Dataset S4). This clone was retained for analysis because of its extensive sharing (eight individuals) in both control and narcolepsy HCRT\textsubscript{N12} tetramers, as detailed below.

Among the NP\textsubscript{17-31} tetramer-specific CDR3\textalpha{} clones, dominant TRAV8-6 usage was found with 5 of 11 clones that were shared in three or more individuals all bearing TRAV8-6 and TRAJ34/TRAJ6. Additionally TRAV12 was used in clones that were shared. Finally, among NP\textsubscript{17-31} tetramer-specific CDR3\textbeta{} clones, TRBV7-9-CASSHSTDTQYF public CDR3 was preferentially used across eight individuals (including five patients).

Sharing for viral epitope pHA\textsubscript{273-287}, NP\textsubscript{17-31} tetramers was as expected from usage preference reported in SI Appendix, Fig. S2. The most commonly used segments in enriched clones were TRAV8-6, TRAJ34, TRBV7-9, and TRBV4-2 for NP\textsubscript{17-31}. Usage diversity for pHA\textsubscript{273-287} was biased toward TRAV13-1, TRAV17, and TRBV4-2, with more limited sharing of TRBV for this epitope. We also noted frequent usage of TRAV26-1 CDR3\textalpha{} across all epitopes; DQ0602 is a strong trans-QTL of TRAV26-1 segment usage, probably because it interacts through its CDR1\textalpha{} with DQ0602 (48).

Fig. 3. Shared public CDR3\textalpha{}, CDR3\textbeta{}, and CDR3\textalpha{}\textbeta{} sequences for pHA\textsubscript{273-287} (A), NP\textsubscript{17-31} (B), HCRT\textsubscript{54-66,N12} (C), and HCRT\textsubscript{86-97,N12} (D) across subjects. Red, number of patients with corresponding CDR3; gray, number of controls with same CDR3 (per horizontal axis). Public clones in these cases are CDR3s found in at least three individuals. Box numbers indicate median percentage when the CDR3 occurred across patients and controls. (E) pHA\textsubscript{273-287}, NP\textsubscript{17-31}, HCRT\textsubscript{54-66,N12}, and HCRT\textsubscript{86-97,N12} sequence homology assessed using tools.iedb.org/cluster/ reference/ (48), with three EBV sequences used as anchor. Note that high similarity of HCRT\textsubscript{54-66,N12} and HCRT\textsubscript{86-97,N12} also share homology with pHA\textsubscript{273-287} (18, 41), as previously reported, but not NP\textsubscript{17-31}.
bulk sequencing data, we observed that TRAJ24 is a low-usage segment (0.87%), suggesting this is highly significant, as it is highly enriched in the HCR\textsubscript{N12} reactive public CD4\textsuperscript{+} T cell response. Datasets S6 and S7 report on all TRAJ24 and TRBV4-2 clones found in all cultures, respectively. As can be seen in the datasets, the TRAV2-CAVETDSWGKLQF-TRAJ24 CDR3\textalpha{} generally occurs in the context of diverse CDR3\beta{}s containing TRBV15, TRBV2, TRBV29-1, and TRBV9 in controls and TRBV15, TRBV3-1, TRBV7-2, and TRBV20-1 in patients. Only TRBV15-CASSGGGKAYYTF CDR3\beta{} was shared by two patients and one control following HCR\textsubscript{T12} culture and tetramer isolation. Of note, TRAV2-CAVETDSWGKQF-TRAJ24 (the equivalent CDR3\alpha{} that would carry TRAJ24F, the genetically narcolepsy-associated allele) was not observed in any subject, control, or TIN.

Single-Cell Sorting and TCR Sequencing of INF-γ\textsuperscript{+}, Pandemrix-Reactive CD4\textsuperscript{+} T Cells Confirms the Importance of pHA\textsuperscript{273-287} and NP\textsubscript{T12-31} as Immunodominant Peptides of the HLA Class II Response to Pandemrix, and Suggest Mimicry with HCR TCD4\textsuperscript{+} Responses. INF-γ\textsuperscript{+} (Pandemrix-reactive) CFSE-low CD4\textsuperscript{+} cells from PBMCs in eight patients and eight controls were single-cell–sequenced after Pandemrix stimulation (overlapping with the sample above used in tetramers). This experimental design amplifies all MHC class II-mediated responses, with DQ0602-mediated responses likely in the minority, notably because DRB1 responses are typically dominant among class II responses. To limit this problem, one patient repeated twice was also cultured with Pandemrix in the presence of anti-DR and anti-DP antibodies, restricting the reactivity to a DO response (DQ0602 and the other translocated allele). Upon completion of these experiments, CDR3 TCR-α and TCR-β sequences were matched with tetramer-derived sequences to search for commonality (Dataset S8). Strikingly, we found that sequences of pHA\textsuperscript{273-287} and NP\textsubscript{T12-31} tetramer-positive cells, in many cases sharing both TCR-α and -β chains, were present in these cultures, most notably in the cultures that had been blocked by anti-DR and anti-DP antibodies, validating our tetramer based assays. Interestingly, we also found a significant number of sequences shared with those of HCRT\textsubscript{86},-HCR\textsubscript{86}–97 tetramer-positive cells, suggesting mimicry with one or several unknown peptide variants in Pandemrix (Datasets S8 and S10).

Network Analysis of CDR3\textalpha{}/\textbeta{} Pairs Reveals That HCR\textsubscript{T12} Response Is Dominated by a Central Node of TRAJ24 CDR3\textalpha{} TRAV2-CAVETDSWGKLQF-TRAJ24 that Is Closely Intertwined with a Major Node Using TRBV4-2 CDR3\textbeta{} TRBV4-2-CASSQETQGRNYGYTF Across HCR\textsubscript{N12} and pHA\textsuperscript{273-287} Tetramers. In Fig. 4, paired CDR3\textalpha{} and CDR3\textbeta{} were found in various tetramers across antigens but present at least in three or more individuals (from 3 public CDR3\textalpha{}s) were used as inputs to build a recursive tree traversal algorithm and the resulting CDR3 pairs used to construct a network plot. The recursive tree traversal algorithm was implemented to find all CDR3 that pair with each of the public CDR3\textalpha{}s.

These shared connections were plotted as a network plot, with the thickness of connecting lines reflecting number of clones found with each denoted heterodimer. Tables in Fig. 4 indicate key segments used in this connectome. As can be seen in the figure, the nodes in this connectome resolve into respective antigen specificities. For example, two clearly separated clusters (with central nodes labeled as 38 and 117) are NP\textsubscript{T12-31} reactive clones; these clones predominantly use TRAV2, TRBV4-2, TRBV7-9, TRAV8-6, TRAJ6, TRAJ34, and TRBV20. Note in the figure the near absence of connections to any of the HCR\textsubscript{N12} reactive CDR3\textalpha{}s. In contrast, clusters of HCR\textsubscript{N12} and pHA\textsuperscript{273-287} were tightly connected and intertwined (with central node labeled 61 in Fig. 4), reflecting sequence homology between these epitopes, increased sharing of specific CDR3\textalpha{} and CDR3\textbeta{} (Dataset S4), and two groups of antigen-specific heterodimers (HCR\textsubscript{T12} and pHA\textsuperscript{273-287}–specific) connected through a few discrete cross-reactive nodes.

The HCR\textsubscript{T12} reactive node is centered around the identified CDR3\textalpha{} TRAV2-CAVETDSWGKLQF-TRAJ24 (Fig. 4, node labeled as 61). As mentioned above, this TCR-α chain connects with a large variety of diverse TCR-β sequences, suggesting that HCR\textsubscript{T12} may interact more primarily with TCR-α. The CDR3\textalpha{}s that are paired with TRAV2-CAVETDSWGKLQF-TRAJ24 (#61 in Fig. 4) are dominated exclusively by HCR\textsubscript{T12} reactive nodes such as TRBV15-CASSGGGKAYYTF (#85 in Fig. 4), TRBV7-3-CASLTVLTNQGGKLF (#101 in Fig. 4), TRBV19-CASSIEGREPKLFF (#103 in Fig. 4), and TRBV20-1-CSASPGGGTEAFF (#117 in Fig. 4).
In addition, two tightly interwove CDR3β (TRBV15-CATSRDTMRSITGDTQYF #76 and TRBV4-2-CASSQETQG-RNYGYTF #107 in Fig. 4) and two CDR3α (TRAV13-1-CAASDNDRF-TRAJ43 #8 and TRAV26-1-CIVRSMQGSGY-IPTF-TRAJ6 #53 in Fig. 4) sequences are bireactive: that is, they are found in some instances in the context of HCRT₁₅₂ tetramers, and in other in the context of pHA₂₇₃-₂₈₇ tetramers (Fig. 4 and Dataset S4). The finding is most striking for one TRBV4-2 (found in one patient and one control with pHA₂₇₃-₂₈₇ and in three patients and five controls for HCRT₁₅₂) and several other TRAV26-1 sequences (Fig. 4 and Dataset S4). These cross-reactive sequences are also connected with the TRAV2-CAVETDSWKGLOF-TRAJ24 (Fig. 4, node labeled as 61) HCRT₁₅₂ tetramer dominated network both directly and indirectly. Presumably TCR-αβ containing these chains have high affinity for pHA₂₇₃-₂₈₇ and HCRT₁₅₂ in various combinations. Importantly, however, although we found heterodimers containing two presumably pHA₂₇₃-₂₈₇ and HCRT₁₅₂ cross-reactive CDR3α and -β sequences (Fig. 4), these combinations were never retrieved in single cells by either pHA₂₇₃-₂₈₇ or HCRT₁₅₂ tetramers.

Discussion

In this work, we screened two potential autoantigens, HCRT and RFX4, for DQ0602 binding and T cell reactivity using tetramer staining of PBMCs of T1N cases and controls. These human proteins were selected because of their high enrichment in HCRT cells (45). We found only limited TCR reactivity directed toward any segment of the native RFX4 or HCRT peptide sequence, but strong reactivity to the N-amidated, posttranslationally modified (PTM) C-terminal end of hypocretin-1 and -2 (denoted HCRT₅₄-₆₆-N₁₁₂ and HCRT₆₆-₉₇-N₁₁₂, or, as a group, as HCRT₁₅₂) (Fig. 1). HCRT₁₅₂ are homologous peptides differing only in their C-terminal–amidated residue (L₉₄-N₁₁₂ for HCRT1 and M₁₁₂ for HCRT2) that are secreted by HCRT neurons after undergoing amidation of their C-terminal end, a PTM necessary for biological activity (49). These amidated peptides likely have very short half-lives, with degradation involving C-terminal peptidases, as the main HCRT metabolite found in CSF is a truncated hypocretin-1 fragment that lacks its C-terminal–amidated end (50). The observation of higher reactivity toward the C-terminal end of hypocretin-1 and -2, HCRT₁₅₂, extends other observations made in other autoimmune diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis, celiac disease, and type 1 diabetes (51), indicating that PTMs are frequently present in autoantigens. It is also noteworthy that HCRT₁₅₂ have higher affinities for DQ0602 in comparison with nonamidated HCRT (Dataset S1) (18), a property that could also play a role. The last amidated amino acid is projected to be positioned in P9, an important residue that contacts both DQ0602 and the TCR. The current explanation is that PTMs do not occur sufficiently in the thymus during central tolerance establishment (52) and thus increase the risk of autoimmunity.

Relevant to T1N, we also found that patients have higher numbers of CD4⁺ T cells binding HCRT₅₄-₆₆-N₁₁₂ when presented by DQ0602, the major HLA allele associated with T1N (Fig. 2). Recent onset cases also showed significantly higher numbers of T cells recognizing HCRT₆₆-₉₇-N₁₁₂, a peptide differing only in its C-terminal amino acid from HCRT₅₄-₆₆-N₁₁₂. These results indicate that autoreactivity to amidated HCRT₁₅₂ fragments is common in both patients and controls but occurs at higher levels in patients. These findings could reflect causality, cross-reactivity secondary to HCRT cell loss via another mechanism, or an epiphenomenon. Strikingly however, not only was sharing of “public” CDR3 sequences found across patients [as recently reported for gluten-reactive T cells in celiac disease (53)], but the top CDR3α TRAV2-CAVETDSWKGLOF-TRAJ24 involved in the recognition of HCRT₁₅₂ in controls and patients uses TRAJ24 (Fig. 3), a segment marked by SNPs rs1154155G and rs1483979 strongly associated with T1N risk. This genetic association is the strongest association after HLA across all ethnicity (1-6) and, as TRAJ24 is normally only used rarely (0.87% of repertoire) (28), the result is striking and suggests causality.

These results extend on recent findings by Latorre et al. (42), who found increased polyclonal CD4⁺ T cell reactivity to HCRT in 19 patients but not 13 DQ0602 controls. In this work, however, reactivity was primarily DR-restricted and was to diverse HCRT fragments, not just the C-terminal-amidated end. Cellular phenotypes were also Th1, as expected for cellular-mediated autoimmune responses. Because DR is not genetically associated with T1N, this broader reactivity is unlikely primary to narcolepsy pathophysiology, and may rather result from epitope spreading following a DQ response. Interestingly, unlike our finding with DQ0602 tetramers, extended reactivity to HCRT was found only in patients and not in controls, suggesting that perhaps the autoimmune reaction, which we hypothesize is initiated by DQ0602-flu and DQ0602-HCRT reactivity (see below), becomes broader and more intense as the disease develops.

We also note that the Latorre et al. (42) finding will need confirmation, notably because many of the autoreactive T1N cases included were atypical. Indeed, 16 reported cases with typical cataplexy and low CSF hypocretin-1 (T1N) contained 2 HLA-DQ0602树叶 subjects. HLA negativity in HCRT deficiency is extremely rare, with less than 15 cases documented worldwide (54). Similarly, three HLA-DQ0602树叶 cases without cataplexy (so-called T2N, non-HCRT-deficient) were also autoreactive. Nonetheless, these experiments suggest that polyclonal HCRT epitopes involving HLA-DR play a role in the disease process as it progresses to full disease. Rare CD8⁺ clones reactive to HCRT were also found, suggesting a possible involvement of this antigen in CD8⁺-mediated cell killing of HCRT cells.

Besides showing that HCRT₁₅₂ is a major autoantigen in narcolepsy, our study found that T1N is associated with differential reactivity to at least two flu peptides, pHA₂₇₃-₂₈₇ and NP₁₇-₃₁, derived from X-179A, the reassortant strain used in Pandemrix (Fig. 2). Pandemrix has been linked with T1N onset in northern Europe (34); however, the latter correlation varies across countries, suggesting interactions with the other factors (33). Of particular interest was the fact that one peptide, pHA₂₇₃-₂₈₇, contains the seasonal H1N1 strain originally derived from 1918H1N1. The NP₁₇-₃₁ (or, as a group, as NP₁₇-₃₁, a segment derived from the vaccine backbone sequence strain PR8) is an old seasonal H1N1 strain originally derived from 1918H1N1. The NP₁₇-₃₁ sequence is representative of seasonal H1N1 before the 2009 pandemic flu (descendant of 1918H1N1, which disappeared around 1957 and reappeared in 1976) and seasonal H2N2 “Asian flu” strains that circulated from 1956 to 1958. Whether or not involved in mimicry, our data also suggest that flu epitopes derived from multiple flu strains (pH1N1, PR8-like, H3N2) may be needed to favor immune response toward T1N autoimmunity (10, 32, 33, 35, 55). In this context, Pandemrix vaccination coincidental with pH1N1 pandemic or other strains may also have been a perfect storm in some countries. The confluence of exposure to multiple epitopes could also explain why the administration of Arepanrix, an adjuvanted vaccine similar to Pandemrix that was used in Canada, has not exhibited a strong link to T1N (33, 56).

As noted in Fig. 3E, pHA₂₇₃-₂₈₇ has significant homology with HCRT₅₄-₆₆ and HCRT₆₆-₉₇ sequences and their binding repertoire (18), making it the most suitable mimicry candidate with HCRT₁₅₂ (Fig. 3). This homology is reflected by increased sharing of CDR3α and CDR3β sequences in the corresponding tetramers, which was very high within HCRT₁₅₂ peptides (only different in one amino acid, projected to be in P9), substantial between HCRT₁₅₂ and pHA₂₇₃-₂₈₇ but almost absent between HCRT₁₅₂ and NP₁₇-₃₁ or between pHA₂₇₃-₂₈₇ and NP₁₇-₃₁. This is particularly visible in sequences of tetramer-positive cells that are found in at least three independent individuals (Fig. 3)
because almost identical sequences are retrieved for HCRT54-66-NH2 and HCRT86-97-NH2 (HCRTαβ) (Fig. 3), validating the use of this stringent filter to reduce noise and eliminate any risk of contamination. This almost linear relationship suggests that the more homology the mimic has with the autoantigen sequence, the most likely similar TCR sequences are recruited by cross-reactivity. Surprisingly, however, the number of epitope-specific k-mer paratopes shared between sequences was similar (Dataset S5). We also tried to examine whether TCR paratope motifs common to HCRT<sub>αβ</sub> and pHA<sub>273-287</sub> recognition were more abundant in HCRT<sub>αβ</sub> tetramers from patients versus controls; however, no compelling observations were made.

Most revealing was a network analysis examining clustering of public CDR3<sub>α</sub> and CDR3<sub>β</sub> pairs across pHA<sub>273-287</sub>, NP<sub>17-31</sub>, and HCRT<sub>αβ</sub> tetramers (Fig. 4). Using this analysis, clearly separate clusters of connected CDR3<sub>α</sub>–CDR3<sub>β</sub> heterodimers emerged for NP<sub>17-31</sub> tetramers and a subset of pHA<sub>273-287</sub> heterodimers. Most striking was the presence of four pHA<sub>273-287</sub> cross-reactive sequences in the midst of the main HCRT cluster, suggesting significant pHA<sub>273-287</sub>-HCRT<sub>αβ</sub> cross-reactivity. In contrast, cross-reactivity with NP<sub>17-31</sub>, although present in two instances within the HCRT cluster, was very peripheral (Fig. 4). The pHA<sub>273-287</sub>-HCRT<sub>αβ</sub> cross-reactive nodes (#53, #5, #107, and #76 in Fig. 4) include two CDR3<sub>α</sub> chains and two CDR3<sub>β</sub> chains. The TCRs are present as TCR-αβ heterodimers in pHA<sub>273-287</sub>-binding TCRs (denoted as gray connections in Fig. 4), and as single chains (with other partners) in HCRT<sub>αβ</sub> binding. We were unable to find any TCR-αβ heterodimers containing the same two of four cross-reactive TCR-α and -β segments reactive to both HCRT<sub>αβ</sub> and pHA<sub>273-287</sub> tetramers. One likely hypothesis is that these cross-reactive combinations, even if not yet retrieved in both HCRT<sub>αβ</sub> and pHA<sub>273-287</sub> tetramer-sequenced pools, are truly cross-reactive to both HCRT<sub>αβ</sub> and pHA<sub>273-287</sub> in vivo, and that this will be detected with increased numbers of single-cell tetramer sequencing. We, however, prefer the hypothesis that TCR-α with one cross-reactive TCR-α or -β chain (not both) will be sufficient for cross-reactivity to occur. This hypothesis is supported by our CDR3<sub>α</sub>/CDR3<sub>β</sub> network analysis, which reveals that many CDR3<sub>α</sub> or CDR3<sub>β</sub> can accommodate a large diversity of partnering chains in the recognition of any specific peptide (Fig. 4). This is, for example, the case for CDR3<sub>α</sub> TCRBV4-2-TTRAJ6-CASSQGGSYIPTF-TRAJ24 (#61 in Fig. 4), which recognizes HCRT<sub>αβ</sub> in association with distinct CDR3<sub>β</sub> and for CDR3<sub>β</sub> TRBV15-CATSSGGGKAY GYT, which recognizes HCRT<sub>αβ</sub> in association with a large number of CDR3<sub>α</sub>s. This is also observed in NP<sub>17-31</sub> (#38 and #117 in Fig. 4) or pHA<sub>273-287</sub> (#14 and #91 in Fig. 4) specific-clusters, where an occasional α- or β-chain is a strong node connecting with multiple partners.

Similarly, a recent analysis of ~80 available TCR-pMHC structures (26 MHC class II) has shown that, whereas there is at least one CDR3<sub>β</sub> contact with pMHC, there are cases where no CDR3<sub>α</sub> contact occurs (47, 57). It may thus be that promiscuity of CDR3<sub>β</sub> could involve a CDR3<sub>α</sub> cross-reactive with HCRT<sub>αβ</sub>. Reverse peptide docking has also been reported in some cases (57), with CDR3<sub>β</sub> but not CDR3<sub>α</sub> contacting peptide residues. Similarly, an autoreactive TCR-MHC class II complex found extreme amino-terminal positioning of the TCR over the antigen-binding platform of the MHC molecule, suggesting a link between atypical TCR docking modes and auto-reactivity (58), although this has not been found in other autoreactive structures. TCR interactions with HLA-peptide complexes are thus diverse (59) and do not always need tight contacts through both TCR-α and -β chains. In this configuration, TCR-αβ containing either a cross-reactive TCR-α, a TCR-β, or both could be the seed of pH1N1 and HCRT cross-reactivity, resulting in molecular mimicry and autoimmunity. Studies involving transfection of these heterodimers in TCR reporter-containing TCR-deficient Jurkat cell lines, with activation with cognate pHA<sub>273-287</sub> and HCRT<sub>αβ</sub> ligands displayed by DQ0602, will be needed to confirm whether a single cross-reactive TCR-αβ or both are needed for cross-reactivity.

Of special interest within the cluster of four cross-reactive sequences is CDR3<sub>β</sub> TRBV4-2-CASSQGGSYIPTF (#107 in Fig. 4), one of the most frequently shared CDR3<sub>β</sub> segments found in HCRT<sub>αβ</sub> tetramers, a chain also used by pHA<sub>273-287</sub> (Fig. 3). This is functionally significant because TRBV4-2, unlike others, is rarely used overall (0.74% of repertoire) (28), and expression of this segment is linked with T1N-associated SNP rs1008599 (6, 28, 48). Examination of QTL effects for TRAV26-1 (#53 in Fig. 4) (6.0% of repertoire), TRAV13-1 (#8 in Fig. 4) (2.0%), and TRBV15 (#76 in Fig. 4) (3.5%) did not reveal any genomic effect (28), unlike the well-established TRBV4-2 (#107 in Fig. 4) effect (28, 48); thus, functional connection through genetic association with these other segments could not be tested. Of particular interest is the size of the TRAV26-1-CIVRSQGGSYIPTF-TRAJ6 CDR3<sub>α</sub> node, likely explained by the fact that TRAV26-1 is a trans-QTL for DQ0602, reflecting direct CDR1/2 interactions of TRAV26-1 with the DQ0602 molecule, notably DQβ, the most specific portion of the DQ molecule (48). Other TRAV26-1 CDR3<sub>α</sub> cross-reactive sequences were retrieved (Dataset S4), but as the corresponding CDR3<sub>β</sub> was not amplified, position in the network of Fig. 4 could not be established.

In addition to the above, a large HCRT<sub>αβ</sub> tetramer cluster centered around CDR3α TRAV2-CAVETDSWGKLFO-TRAJ24 (#61 in Fig. 4), the most frequently used segment by HCRT<sub>αβ</sub>. This segment carries the TRAJ L allele, known to be genetically protective for T1N. The TRAJ24 CDR3 segment (#61 in Fig. 4) connects to a diverse array of HCRT<sub>αβ</sub>-specific TCR-βs. These connections only occur as heterodimers in the context of HCRT<sub>αβ</sub> reactive heterodimers (yellow lines in Fig. 4).

Heterodimers containing CDR3α TRAV2-CAVETDSWGKLFO-TRAJ24 (#61 in Fig. 4) and cross-reactive CDR3β TRBV4-2 (#107 in Fig. 4) and TRBV15 (#76 in Fig. 4) could also be involved in molecular mimicry, but we believe this hypothesis to be unlikely as it is the narcolepsy-protective J24L allele that is associated with this cluster. This is also supported by observation that CDR3α TRAV2-CAVETDSWGKLFO-TRAJ24 is observed more frequently only in controls but not in patients (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 and Dataset S3). A number of molecular mechanisms have been suggested to explain the strong HLA-DQ0602 and the unusual TCR loci association in T1N autoimmunity (51). This work suggests that major pathophysiological steps involve tolerance escape due to PTPs of the HCRT autoantigen and cross-reactivity with flu sequences, which may be favored by differential binding affinity of specific CDR3<sub>α</sub> and CDR3<sub>β</sub> to binding flu mimics and the homologous HCRT fragment when presented by DQ0602. This mechanism would be a variation of the hotspot binding molecular mimicry hypothesis (51) that we call TCR heterodimer “hitchhiking.” Although this study links specific TCR heterodimers to an autoimmune disease through TCR tetramer sequencing and genetic effects, many questions remain unanswered, and will require more extensive TCR sequencing. As found by Latorre et al. (42), it is also likely that the disease mechanism subsequently involves antigen spreading with DR presentation of multiple HCRT epitopes, and possibly CDS<sup>+</sup> T cell cytotoxic killing of HCRT neurons. Nonetheless, this study molecularly links genetic effects to specific TCRs and suggests that analysis of public TCRs of autoimmune responses through network analysis may have value in identifying molecular mimicry.

**Methods**

This study was reviewed and approved by the Stanford University Institutional Review Board (Protocol #14325, Registration #5136). Informed consent...
was obtained from each participant. Detailed information on subjects is described in S1 Appendix. Details of vaccine, peptides, purification of DQ062, peptide binding, TCR sequencing, and network analysis are provided in S1 Appendix and Datasets S1–S11.
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