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Abstract. New particle formation (NPF) studies in China were summarized comprehensively in this paper. NPF frequency, formation rate, and particle growth rate were closely compared among the observations carried out at different types of sites in different regions of China in different seasons, with the aim of exploring the nucleation and particle growth mechanisms. The interactions between air pollution and NPF are discussed, emphasizing the properties of NPF under heavy pollution conditions. The current understanding of NPF cannot fully explain the frequent occurrence of NPF at high aerosol loadings in China, and possible reasons for this phenomenon are proposed. The effects of NPF and some aspects of NPF research requiring further investigation are also summarized in this paper.

1 Introduction

Atmospheric aerosols have adverse effects on human health and visibility, and cause severe air pollution in many countries (Kaiser, 2005; Cheng et al., 2011; Hand and Malm, 2007; Lelieveld et al., 2015). In addition, aerosol particles influence the Earth’s radiation balance due to their direct extinction of light and their capability to serve as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) or ice nuclei (IN). These influences result in very high uncertainties in predicting ongoing climate change (IPCC, 2013). In order to understand these effects better, and especially to reduce the uncertainty of evaluating their role in climate change, comprehensive knowledge about the formation and growth of aerosol particles in the atmosphere is required. Atmospheric new particle formation (NPF) is the dominant source of atmospheric aerosol particles on a global scale in terms of number concentrations and has attracted a wide range of attention for couple of decades (Kulmala et al., 2004, 2013; Merikanto et al., 2009; Dunne et al., 2016).

Generally, NPF includes the following separate steps: (1) chemical reactions in the gas phase to produce low-volatility vapour(s), (2) cluster formation from gaseous vapours, (3) nucleation or barrierless nucleation, (4) activation of clusters with a second group of vapours to form a critical nucleated particle, and (5) subsequent condensational growth of nucleated particles to detectable sizes or even larger (Kulmala et al., 2014). NPF starts from atmospheric clustering. The key precursors of clusters have extremely low-volatility, including sulfuric acid (Sipilä et al., 2010; Kirkby et al., 2011) and highly oxygenated molecules (HOMs) (Bianchi et al., 2016; Tröstl et al., 2016; Kirkby et al., 2016; Ehn et al., 2014). Molecular clusters seem to be continuously generated almost everywhere and all the time (Kulmala et al., 2017). These clusters can be further stabilized by reacting with other gaseous compounds like amines, ammonia, and HOMs, or through electrostatic interactions in the presence of ions (Kirkby et al., 2016), after which they will grow to larger nanoparticles or will be scavenged by existing surfaces. Therefore, there are two main factors controlling whether NPF will be detected in the atmosphere. One is how fast the clusters grow, while the other is how fast the clusters are scavenged (McMurry and Friedlander, 1979; Kerminen et al., 2001; McMurry et al., 2005; Kuang et al., 2010). Sulfuric acid and organics are the main contributors to aerosol growth. Generally, condensation of...
sulfuric acid gives an important, sometimes dominant, contribution to the initial growth, while organics became more and more important as the particle size is increased (Xiao et al., 2015; Kulmala et al., 2016b). High concentrations of these growth contributors will help the nanoclusters grow to sizes large enough be detected. Meanwhile, pre-existing aerosol particles act as a sink for these precursors, small clusters, and newly formed particles and thereby suppress the occurrence of an NPF event.

Several gas compounds and precursors have been shown to influence NPF under conditions relevant to the atmosphere, such as SO$_2$/H$_2$SO$_4$ (Sipilä et al., 2010), NH$_3$ (Kirkby et al., 2011; Kürten et al., 2016), amines (Almeida et al., 2013), volatile organic compounds (VOCs)/HOMs (Riccobono et al., 2014; Ehn et al., 2014; Bianchi et al., 2016), NO$_3$ (Wildt et al., 2014) and iodine species (Sipilä et al., 2016). Meanwhile, many of these compounds are responsible for secondary aerosol formation, which is very pronounced during pollution episodes (R. Zhang et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2014). The concentrations of these precursors and pre-existing aerosol particles can both be high in polluted cities, especially in the developing countries like China and India, and cause some special features in NPF events compared with cleaner environments, which we cannot explain yet (Kulmala et al., 2017). In China, rapid economic development and urbanization have led to high emissions of various pollutants from coal combustion, motor vehicle exhausts and various industrial emissions, and resulted in highly complex air pollution. Besides high concentrations of fine particles (PM$_{2.5}$, particulate matter with diameters less than 2.5 µm), high concentrations of SO$_2$, NO$_x$, NH$_3$, and VOCs were observed in frequent haze pollution episodes (Liu et al., 2013; Ye et al., 2011; Z. Meng et al., 2015; L. Wang et al., 2015). Due to a large proportion of energy supply from coal combustion, the concentration of SO$_2$ was thought to be the highest in the world (Bauduin et al., 2016), with surface concentrations in the range of a few ppb to over 100 ppb in northern China (Sun et al., 2009; Li et al., 2007). The emissions and concentrations of SO$_2$ decreased in most regions of China in recent years (Lu et al., 2010; S. W. Wang et al., 2015), but high concentrations (dozens of or over 100 ppb) of SO$_2$ are still being frequently observed during the heating period in winter (L. Wang et al., 2015; Q. Zhang et al., 2015). Unlike SO$_2$, emissions of NO$_x$ are also closely related to traffic. NO$_x$ emissions in China showed a decreasing trend from 2012 onwards, which appeared later than SO$_2$ (Ronald et al., 2017). Several studies have found that high PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations are strongly associated with the increasing concentrations of NO$_x$ (Y. Wang et al., 2013; He et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2016). NO$_x$ concentrations usually range from a few ppb to dozens of ppb in Chinese cities, while during severe haze pollution episodes NO$_x$ concentration in the city centre can be even higher than 300 ppb (He et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2016). For the most important alkaline gas, i.e. NH$_3$, there has been no national-scale measurement in China despite its extensive emissions and increasing emission trend (Fu et al., 2015). High concentrations of NH$_3$ (maximum concentration higher than 100 ppb) (Z. Meng et al., 2015; Wen et al., 2015; Meng et al., 2011, 2018; Pan et al., 2012, 2018) and strong correlations between the peak levels of fine particles and large increases in NH$_3$ concentrations (Liu et al., 2015; Ye et al., 2011) were observed in the North China Plain. Unlike SO$_2$, emissions of NH$_3$ are mainly from non-point sources difficult to control. Emission of VOCs have a similar situation to NH$_3$. The total emissions of VOCs in China were estimated to be still increasing in recent years (Wei et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2018). Observation data showed that the annual average mass concentration of total non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHCs) was about 10$^2$ µg m$^{-3}$, or dozens of ppb at urban and suburban sites in Chinese cities, which is much higher than that in North America (H. Zhang et al., 2017; von Schneidemesser et al., 2010; Parrish et al., 2009; Zou et al., 2015). HOMs can be formed from anthropogenic VOCs (Molteni et al., 2018), although their role in new particle formation is still not clear, yet they might play an important role in NPF measured in Chinese megacities. High concentrations of these gas precursors have resulted in high concentrations of secondary inorganic and organic species in PM$_{2.5}$ during haze formation (Yang et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2013; Dan et al., 2004; Duan et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2012), but how this cocktail of high concentrations of SO$_2$, NO$_x$, NH$_3$, VOCs and particulate matter (or highly complex air pollution) influence NPF remains highly uncertain.

Atmospheric NPF has been observed globally in almost all kinds of environments (Kulmala et al., 2004, 2016b; Wang et al., 2017; Manninen et al., 2010; Nieminen et al., 2018; Kerminen et al., 2018). However, no uniform theory has been found that would explain the occurrence and characteristics of NPF in different atmospheric environments. Generally, NPF was observed less frequently than expected in pristine environments, while more often than theoretically predicted in polluted cities (Kulmala et al., 2017). In this study, we will summarize the NPF studies conducted in China, focusing on the properties of the NPF events in polluted regions and trying to figure out the possible reasons for the frequent occurrence of NPF at high aerosol loadings. Recently, Wang et al. (2017) summarized the techniques, recent advances, current bottlenecks and future directions in studying NPF in China, while this study will provide a more comprehensive summary of the characteristics of NPF and will emphasize the interactions between air quality and NPF.

2 Overview of NPF research in China

Field observation related to atmospheric NPF started around 2004 in China (Wu et al., 2007). After that, observations concerning NPF were carried out in the North China Plain (NCP), Yangtze River delta (YRD), Pearl River...
western Chinese cities like Lanzhou, Xi’an, and Urumqi, and coastal cities as well as adjacent seas. NCP, YRD, and PRD are the most developed regions in China and they all have a high population density. The air pollution level decreases from NCP to PRD, or from north to south, among these three regions (Zhang and Cao, 2015). The western Chinese cities like Xi’an and Urumqi suffered from heavy air pollution. Xi’an was reported to have a much higher concentration of fine particles than Beijing in the NCP (Huang et al., 2014). In 2017, according to the reports of the Xi’an Environmental Protection Bureau (http://xaepb.xa.gov.cn/ptl/def/def/index_982_4434_ci_trid_2861812.html, last access: 12 October 2018), Xinjiang Department of Environmental Protection (http://www.xjepb.gov.cn/xjepb/resource/cms/article/2012/268650/2017.pdf, last access: 12 October 2018) and Beijing Municipal Environmental Protection Bureau (http://www.bjepb.gov.cn/bjherb/resource/cmsarticle/2018/05/201805161452475279.pdf, last access: 12 October 2018), the annual average PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were 73 and 70 µg m$^{-3}$ in Xi’an and Urumqi, which were higher than that of Beijing (58 µg m$^{-3}$).

A map of observation stations involving NPF studies in China is shown in Fig. 1. These observation sites include urban and suburban sites like Beijing, Shanghai, Nanjing, and Guangzhou; regional and rural sites like Shangdianzi, Yufa, SORPES (Nanjing University), Backgarden, and Kaiping; and mountain sites like Waliguan, Tai, Heng, and Huang, providing information on aerosol size distribution in different environments. Besides routine observations, comprehensive campaigns like PRIDE-PRD2004, CAREBeijing-2006, and CAREBeijing-2008 were also carried out for a better understanding of NPF and aerosol pollution in representative regions and periods in China. Long-term observations of NPF are relatively rare in China, and only a few studies reported NPF observations covering more than a 1-year period (Wu et al., 2007, 2011; Kivekäs et al., 2009; Yao et al., 2010; Shen et al., 2011; Qi et al., 2015; Peng et al., 2017). The relative short-period observations may not represent varying atmospheric conditions, and therefore, the applicability of these observation results may be limited to specific conditions.

Up to now, about 100 papers from about 20 groups have been published related to NPF in China. Most of these studies focused on the characterization of NPF events, such as the properties and time evolution of the particle size distribution, particle formation and growth rate, and condensation sink. Some of them also studied favourable conditions for NPF, including the influences of relative humidity (RH), temperature, wind speed and direction, and air mass origin. Few of these studies investigated NPF mechanisms involving the nucleation participants, the growth contributors and the scavenging process by preexisting aerosols, while some others investigated various effects of NPF, especially the contribution of NPF to atmospheric CCN.
3 Characterization of NPF events in China

The aerosol size distribution and its time evolution provide the basic information for studying NPF. Many studies about NPF in China measured only particles larger than 10 nm, while a few studies also measured particles with diameters in the range of 3–10 nm. In recent years, an increasing number of studies were carried out with measurements of sub-3 nanoparticles (Xiao et al., 2015; Cai et al., 2017; Cai and Jiang, 2017; Jayaratne et al., 2017; Dai et al., 2017; Lv et al., 2018; Yao et al., 2018), using a particle size magnifier (PSM), a neutral cluster and air ion spectrometer (NAIS) or a diethylene glycol scanning mobility particle spectrometer (DEG-SMPS). As for gas-phase precursors, direct measurements of H$_2$SO$_4$ were carried out with atmospheric pressure-ion drift-chemical ionization mass spectrometer (AP-ID-CIMS) in a few studies (Yue et al., 2010; Zheng et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011), while other studies usually estimated H$_2$SO$_4$ concentrations using different proxies related to SO$_2$, radiation, O$_3$, and relative humidity (RH). Amines and ammonia are crucial in NPF since they are able to stabilize sulfuric acid clusters by forming acid-base complexes, yet there are very few NPF measurement results related to these compounds in China (J. Zheng et al., 2015; Yao et al., 2016, 2018). Measurement results on natural ions and neutral compounds and clusters were recently reported by Yao et al. (2018), including both H$_2$SO$_4$ and HOMs, obtained using an atmospheric pressure interface time-of-flight mass spectrometer (API-TOF-MS) and a nitrate-based chemical ionization-API-TOF-MS (CI-API-TOF-MS). Research on these relevant gaseous compounds, like HOMs, or on air ions, is still very limited in China. Comprehensive, long-term and high-quality relevant measurements are required for a better understanding of the nucleation and growth mechanisms of nanoparticles in China.

### 3.1 NPF frequency

The primary question in studying atmospheric NPF is whether it is taking place or not, i.e. to identify NPF events. Unfortunately, there is no unique mathematical criterion or definition for an NPF event. Dal Maso et al. (2005) suggested criteria for justifying an NPF event: a distinctly new mode of particles start in the nucleation-mode size range, prevail over a time span of hours, and show signs of growth. The particle growth is important for separating an NPF event from particles associated with local emission sources like traffic, especially when the particle size detection limit of the instruments is not low. In addition to NPF event days, the days with an absence of particles in the nucleation-mode size range are called non-event days. However, some days are not easily classified as either events or non-events, so they are usually classified as undefined days. Most NPF studies in China used similar methods, but certainly subjective biases existed. A challenge that exists to identify NPF is the interference of primarily emitted particles from local combustion sources near the observation site. For example, the formation and rapid growth of vehicular particles during the initial 1–2 s of exhaust cooling and dilution processes frequently lead to a nucleation mode at 10–20 nm (Vu et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2015). Spikes of particle number concentration associated with combustion emissions were observed in many NPF studies (Liu et al., 2014; D. W. Wang et al., 2014; Peng et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2017), but these spikes usually had some different characteristics from those of the NPF events (D. W. Wang et al., 2014). The particle size (Hofman et al., 2016), the ratio of number concentrations of in the nucleation-mode particles to those of fine particles (Peng et al., 2017; Jung et al., 2013), the time of duration of NPF events (Zhu et al., 2017), and the correlation of the particle number concentration with other gaseous pollutant concentrations and meteorology conditions (D. W. Wang et al., 2014) were used to identify the contribution of primary emissions in the burst in growth of particle number.

### Table 1. Parameters to characterize NPF events.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Calculated from</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>Formation rate of particles</td>
<td>Temporal variation of particle size distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR</td>
<td>Growth rate</td>
<td>Temporal variation of particle size distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>Condensation sink</td>
<td>Particle size distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoagS</td>
<td>Coagulation sink</td>
<td>Particle size distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{cv}$</td>
<td>Condensation vapour concentration</td>
<td>GR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q$</td>
<td>Source rate of condensation vapours</td>
<td>CS and $C_{cv}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The aerosol size distribution and its time evolution provide the basic information for studying NPF. Many studies about NPF in China measured only particles larger than 10 nm, while a few studies also measured particles with diameters in the range of 3–10 nm. In recent years, an increasing number of studies were carried out with measurements of sub-3 nanoparticles (Xiao et al., 2015; Cai et al., 2017; Cai and Jiang, 2017; Jayaratne et al., 2017; Dai et al., 2017; Lv et al., 2018; Yao et al., 2018), using a particle size magnifier (PSM), a neutral cluster and air ion spectrometer (NAIS) or a diethylene glycol scanning mobility particle spectrometer (DEG-SMPS). As for gas-phase precursors, direct measurements of H$_2$SO$_4$ were carried out with atmospheric pressure-ion drift-chemical ionization mass spectrometer (AP-ID-CIMS) in a few studies (Yue et al., 2010; Zheng et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011), while other studies usually estimated H$_2$SO$_4$ concentrations using different proxies related to SO$_2$, radiation, O$_3$, and relative humidity (RH). Amines and ammonia are crucial in NPF since they are able to stabilize sulfuric acid clusters by forming acid-base complexes, yet there are very few NPF measurement results related to these compounds in China (J. Zheng et al., 2015; Yao et al., 2016, 2018). Measurement results on natural ions and neutral compounds and clusters were recently reported by Yao et al. (2018), including both H$_2$SO$_4$ and HOMs, obtained using an atmospheric pressure interface time-of-flight mass spectrometer (API-TOF-MS) and a nitrate-based chemical ionization–API-TOF-MS (CI-API-TOF-MS). Research on these relevant gaseous compounds, like HOMs, or on air ions, is still very limited in China. Comprehensive, long-term and high-quality relevant measurements are required for a better understanding of the nucleation and growth mechanisms of nanoparticles in China.
Table 2. Characterization of NPF events in China.

| Observation | Observation Region | Site type | Season | EP | cs | Q | CV | cs | Q | EP | cs | Q | CS | Measured | Reference | Comments |
|-------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|----|----|---|----|----|---|----|----|---|---|-----|--------|-----------|----------|
| Jul–Dec 2014 | Taishan NCP Mountain | Summer | 40 % | 7.10 (0.82–25.04) | 3 | 1.98 (0.58–7.76) | 3–20 | 0.014 (0.001–0.284) | Lv et al. (2018) |
| Sep 2015 | Beijing NCP Urban | Annual | 3.2 (1.5–6.1) | 2–3 | 3.5 (0.5–9) | 2–10 | 0.0042 | Du et al. (2017) |
| Oct 2015 | Xi'an Western Suburban | Annual | 19 % | 5 ± 1.9 | 2.8–10.7 | 10–487 | Peng et al. (2017) |
| Apr–Jul 2018 | Lanzhou Western Suburban | Summer | 7 % | 0.09–0.3 | 10 | 2.90 (1.42–4.53) | 10–10000 | X. R. Zhang et al. (2017) |
| Mar–Apr 2016 | Beijing NCP Urban | Spring | 42 % | 22–156 1.5 | 1.2–3.3 | ∼ 1– Cai et al. (2017) |
| Sep–Nov 2015 | Nanjing YRD Urban | Autumn | 22 % | > 1000 1.3 | > 20 < 3 | 0.04 2.5–27.2 | 5 7.8 | 10 0.04 | Dai et al. (2017) |
| Dec 2013 | Taishan NCP Mountain | Annual | 32 % | 3.7 (1–9.6) | 6 (1.1–15.4) | 0.02 | Shen et al. (2016a) |
| Dec 2013 | Lin an YRD Rural | Annual | 28 % | 5.8 (0.8–26.5) | 6.2 (1.8–21.3) | 0.032 | Shen et al. (2016a) |
| Jul–Sep 2008 | Beijing NCP Urban | Summer | 3–900 | H2SO4, VOCs measured | 51% 0.97 | 8.5 | 9.7 | 0.082 | X. J. Shen et al. (2016) |
| Dec 2013 | Panyu, PRD Urban | Winter | 21 % | 1.55 5–20 | 3.7 1.9 | 0.038 | Tan et al. (2016) |
| Aug–Sep 2008 | Beijing NCP Urban | Autumn | 40 % | 0.9 3–10 | 3.7 3–10 | 0.018 | X. J. Shen et al. (2016) |
| Jan 2013– | Lin an YRD Rural | Annual | 21 % | 5.8 (0.8–26.5) | 6.2 (1.8–21.3) | 0.032 | Shen et al. (2016a) |
| Jul–Sep 2008 | Beijing NCP Urban | Summer | 3–900 | H2SO4, VOCs measured | 51% 0.97 | 8.5 | 9.7 | 0.082 | X. J. Shen et al. (2016) |

Note: EP, cs, and Q represent the number concentration, size, and charge, respectively. The units for concentration are cm⁻³ s⁻¹, and for size and charge are nm and s⁻¹, respectively.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>Observation Region</th>
<th>Site type</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>EP</th>
<th>FR Size</th>
<th>GR Size</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011–2013</td>
<td>YRD</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>6 6–30</td>
<td>0.038–0.053</td>
<td>Qi et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>3.6 10</td>
<td>0.04–0.055</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>2.1 12.8</td>
<td>0.035–0.051</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>2.1 8.9</td>
<td>0.036–0.051</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>1.8 9.5</td>
<td>0.042–0.058</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Yellow NCP</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>6.6 5.3</td>
<td>Yuan et al. (2015)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jul–Aug</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>10 7.6</td>
<td>An et al. (2015)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>10.4 0.017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>8 0.02</td>
<td>Leng et al. (2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>10.9 0.039</td>
<td>Peng et al. (2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>13.6 0.022</td>
<td>Peng et al. (2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>7.4 0.025</td>
<td>Wang et al. (2013d)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>5.7 0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>1.1 8.5</td>
<td>Herrmann et al. (2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>0.40 4.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>2.4–4 3–25 4–22</td>
<td>Yue et al. (2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10.1–18.9 14–26 7.7–9.1 0.035–0.046</td>
<td>al. (2013)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>7.4 1.3</td>
<td>Wang et al. (2013d)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>10.8 (2.2–34.5) 5.2 9.3 2.1 0.027</td>
<td>Wang et al. (2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>0.97–10.2 5.5 1.5–8.4 0.8–1.2 0.008–0.06 5.5–350</td>
<td>Guo et al. (2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>7.4 1.3</td>
<td>Wang et al. (2013d)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>15 14.3 30–50 2.8 0.007 10–10 000</td>
<td>Nie et al. (2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>6.6 1.4 0.022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>2.4–4 3–25 4–22.7 5–31 1.3–11 0.023–0.033 15–10 000</td>
<td>Yue et al. (2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10.1–18.9 14–26 7.7–9.1 0.035–0.046 3–10 000</td>
<td>al. (2013)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>7.4 1.3</td>
<td>Wang et al. (2013d)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>15 14.3 30–50 2.8 0.007 10–10 000</td>
<td>Nie et al. (2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>6.6 1.4 0.022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>15 14.3 30–50 2.8 0.007 10–10 000</td>
<td>Nie et al. (2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>6.6 1.4 0.022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.**
Table 2. Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observation date</th>
<th>Observation site</th>
<th>Observation Region</th>
<th>Site type</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>EP</th>
<th>FR</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Q</th>
<th>CS</th>
<th>Measured</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010 summer</td>
<td>Nanjing</td>
<td>YRD</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10–16</td>
<td>3.2 (12–8)</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>10–100</td>
<td>Guo et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 autumn</td>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>NCP</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>10–20</td>
<td>4.4 (1.3–14.6)</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.009–0.022</td>
<td>Guo et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011 spring</td>
<td>Lanzhou</td>
<td>Western Suburban</td>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>6.8–8.3</td>
<td>3.2 (12–8)</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>10–1000</td>
<td>Shen et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008 spring</td>
<td>Shanghai</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0.2–2.5</td>
<td>0.2–2.5 (10–20)</td>
<td>3.3–5.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3–10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006 summer</td>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>NCP</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>1.8–3.4</td>
<td>10–20</td>
<td>10–20</td>
<td>3.3–5.5</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>3–10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004–2006</td>
<td>Shanghai</td>
<td>NCP</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1.8 (0.7–72.7)</td>
<td>4.3 (0.3–4.5)</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.006–0.045</td>
<td>Gao et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008–2009</td>
<td>Shanghai</td>
<td>PRD</td>
<td>Coastal/</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>2.43–13.9</td>
<td>2.43–13.9</td>
<td>3.3–11</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>3–10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008–2009</td>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>NCP</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>1.8–22.4</td>
<td>1.8–22.4</td>
<td>1.5–17</td>
<td>0.1–8.8</td>
<td>0.00051–0.044</td>
<td>Yue et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004–2005</td>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>NCP</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>0.5–9.2</td>
<td>0.5–9.2</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>3–10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004–2005</td>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>PRD</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>2.2–49.8</td>
<td>2.2–49.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* EP is events percentage (frequency). The average value and the range of the reported data in the parenthesis. The formation rates are the maximum FR during the NPF events.

For more details on the methodology and data collection, please refer to the corresponding references cited in the table.
concentration. However, there are still uncertainties in distinguishing the new-particle signal from the mixed signals of newly formed particles and freshly emitted particles from combustion, especially when NPF measurements were carried out with a particle size detection limit larger than 10 nm. There is a possibility that the growth of the vehicular emission of sub-10 nm particles may look like an NPF event and therefore overestimate the NPF frequency. A recent observation found a notable presence of traffic-originated nanocluster aerosol particles in the size range of 1.3–3.0 nm in urban air (Rönkkö et al., 2017), which might raise new questions about the sources of nanocluster aerosol particles in semi-urban roadside environments. In this study, as mentioned earlier, we will not pursue the details of the justifying methods, but focus on the results of the measurement statistics.

NPF events were observed with quite different frequencies ranging from less than 10 % to more than 50 % in different environments and different seasons. In Fig. 2, we summarize the reported NPF event frequencies in China according to the season, observation site type and region, but ignoring observations of too short a period like less than 1 month. Generally, low frequencies were observed in remote clean environments like above marginal seas (Liu et al., 2014), while there were no significant differences among urban, suburban and rural or regional sites. Although higher NPF event frequencies were sometimes observed in an urban site compared with a rural site in the same region (Yue et al., 2013), NPF was usually found to be a regional phenomenon in China. For example, NPF in the Beijing urban area always coincided with NPF at a regional site 120 km away (Wang et al., 2013b). Shen et al. (2018) observed regional NPF in the NCP with a horizontal extent larger than 500 km and found that large-scale regional NPF was favoured by a fast transport of northwesterly air masses. Despite the similar frequencies, much higher FR (by 220 %) and GR (by 50 %) were observed at the Beijing urban site than at the corresponding regional background site (Yue et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2013b). The corresponding values of a source rate of condensation vapours ($Q$), condensation vapour concentration ($C_{cv}$), and condensation sink (CS) were also larger at Beijing than those at the regional site Yufa by 40 %, 40 %, and 60 %, respectively (Yue et al., 2009). These results indicated that the higher pollution level in Chinese cities usually resulted in stronger NPF events compared to rural areas. As for different regions, there seemed to be no significant differences in the NPF event frequency between NCP, YRD and western Chinese cities. PRD had a relatively lower NPF frequency compared with these three regions, but the difference was not statistically significant. Despite different pollution conditions in different regions of China, there is a
lack of long-term NPF observations, which limits our knowledge about the relationship between the level of air pollution and the occurrence of NPF. Air pollutants and meteorological features are usually studied together with nanoparticles and their precursors. By comparing the pollution character between NPF events and other days, the primary factors affecting NPF events might be identified. Cai et al. (2017) found that the Fuchs surface area (which is a representative parameter of coagulation scavenging based on kinetic theory and is proportional to CS) fundamentally determined the occurrence of NPF events in Beijing. The Fuchs surface area had a good correlation with the PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentration, and no NPF event was observed when the daily mean PM$_{2.5}$ concentration was higher than 43 µg m$^{-3}$ in the winter of 2015 in Beijing (Jayaratne et al., 2017). However, in some cases, the CS or the average coagulation sinks during NPF events were not significantly lower compared to other times when new particles were not formed, indicating that other factors, such as the precursor vapours and photochemical activity, might also play an important role in driving NPF (Gong et al., 2010). Besides the condensation sink, NPF events seemed not to be very sensitive to the concentration levels of common gas pollutants in China, such as O$_3$, SO$_2$, and NO$_2$ (Zhu et al., 2013; An et al., 2015). It was observed that SO$_2$ concentrations were lower during the NPF event days than during non-event days in the NCP (Herrmann et al., 2014) and Taiwan (Young et al., 2013a), as well as during autumn and winter in the YRD (Qi et al., 2015), whereas higher SO$_2$ concentrations on NPF days were only observed during spring and summer in the YRD (Qi et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2016), during autumn in the PRD (Gong et al., 2010), and at mountain sites (X. R. Zhang et al., 2017). Meanwhile, based on the empirical parameter developed to judge whether NPF will occur or not, the exponent of SO$_2$ in this empirical parameter was quite small, indicating that there is usually enough SO$_2$ for NPF to occur under heavily polluted conditions (Herrmann et al., 2014). Similar results for sulfuric acid were reported and it was found that sulfuric acid concentrations were not significantly higher (even lower, sometimes) on NPF days compared with non-event days (Qi et al., 2015; Xiao et al., 2015; Cai et al., 2017). Overall, the previous results seem to suggest that SO$_2$ was not a limiting factor for NPF in China, and a similar conclusion might also be made for sulfuric acid. However, higher SO$_2$ concentrations could increase the probability of occurrence of NPF events at a mountaintop site (Lv et al., 2018). Besides, NPF might have different patterns in an environment with abundant SO$_2$ or not. Stronger nucleation but weak growth of particles was observed with high concentrations of SO$_2$ in polluted air masses characteristic of urban (heavy traffic emission) or power-plant plumes, in spite of similar CS with lower concentrations of SO$_2$ (Gao et al., 2009; Yue et al., 2010).

NPF event frequencies were different between the different seasons. In northern China, spring is usually the season with the highest frequency of NPF events, which is probably due to the typically low CS, relatively high solar radiation intensity, and low temperature and RH (Shen et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2007). In the NCP of China, many studies observed that summer had the lowest NPF event frequency, although the condensable vapour concentration was the highest during summer months due to the enhanced photochemical process (Shen et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2007; Yue et al., 2009). The lowest frequency of NPF events during summertime in the NCP might be related to the high temperatures and RH, together with the stagnant and polluted air masses, which could cause a high CS (Wu et al., 2007). In the YRD region, high NPF event frequencies were observed in spring and summer, although the temperature and RH were high in summer (Zhu et al., 2013; Qi et al., 2015). A low temperature favours NPF (Zhu et al., 2013), but according to our summary, as shown in Fig. 2, low frequencies of the NPF event were usually observed in winter, which might be due to the weak solar radiation as well as typically high pollution levels at that time of the year. In spite of an increasing number of aerosol size distribution measurements in China, atmospheric NPF observations that cover the full annual cycle are still quite limited. Meanwhile, the main reason for the different NPF event frequencies in different seasons is still uncertain because many factors influencing NPF, such as the radiation intensity, temperature, relative humidity, wind properties, biogenic activity and anthropogenic emissions, tend to be changed simultaneously.

The NPF event frequency can also be quite different in air masses from different directions (Wu et al., 2007). Higher NPF event frequencies were usually observed within relatively clean air masses having a low CS (Zhu et al., 2013; An et al., 2015; Jayaratne et al., 2017; Peng et al., 2017). However, in some cases, NPF events also occurred in polluted air masses. For example, during the summer in Beijing, NPF was observed under low-wind-speed conditions and this phenomenon usually coincided with a wind direction change from north to south, where the air is more polluted (Zhang et al., 2011). Similarly, in Hong Kong, NPF was usually observed when air masses originated from the north-west to northeast directions (Guo et al., 2012). At the summit of Mt Tai, a continental air mass passing through more polluted areas also favoured NPF (Lv et al., 2018). Consecutive NPF events were observed in the presence of strong biomass-burning plume at a downwind rural site in the PRD (Wang et al., 2013d). Meanwhile, compared to the NPF events taking place in clean air masses, the FR seemed to be lower and the GR seemed to be higher in the NPF events taking place in a polluted air mass plume (Qi et al., 2015). An observation in the North China Plain reported that, when the air mass was transported from the polluted south area, the average PM$_{10}$ (PM with diameter less than 10 µm) concentrations on NPF event days were higher than during the non-event days (Shen et al., 2011). In addition, air masses from polluted northern China favoured the occurrence of regional NPF, while clean air masses from the east usually caused local NPF in
Nanjing in the YRD region (Dai et al., 2017). These results highlighted the complex relationship between air pollution and NPF. Many factors, including pre-existing aerosols, organic pollutants and SO\textsubscript{2}, are connected each other due to their similar emission sources, so it is not easy to extract the influence of one factor on NPF. Furthermore, since environments are complex and diverse, some other factors, such as the concentration of OH radicals and topography, can also be important to NPF and therefore deserve further investigation in both field observations and controlled experiments.

3.2 Formation rate

Due to the lack of measurements down to particle diameters of about 1.5 nm, most atmospheric nucleation rates were inferred indirectly only by measuring the particle formation rate at some larger size in most of the NPF studies in China. The FR at larger sizes (the “apparent” particle formation rate) can be related to the FR of critical clusters (the “real” nucleation rate) by the Kerminen–Kulmala equation and its revised version (Lehtinen et al., 2007; Kerminen and Kulmala, 2002), but the nuclei GR and coagulational scavenging rate (CoagS or CS) are needed. Besides, the assumed coagulation sticking probability of 1 for molecular clusters with pre-existing particles in their collision and the uncertain GR of sub-3 nm particles might result in errors in the derivation of FR (Kulmala et al., 2017). We did not convert the “apparent” particle formation rate into “real” nucleation rate, but summarized FR calculated at different particle sizes in this study (Fig. 3). The observed FR ranged from less than 0.1 cm\textsuperscript{-3}s\textsuperscript{-1} at particle sizes larger than 10 nm to about 10\textsuperscript{3} cm\textsuperscript{-3}s\textsuperscript{-1} at particle sizes below 2 nm. At a certain particle size, the FR could still differ by 2 orders of magnitude due to the different environmental conditions. For example, many studies reported the FR of 3 nm particles ranging from less than 1 to several tens of cm\textsuperscript{-3}s\textsuperscript{-1}.

Due to the wide range of FR under different environmental conditions, it is not easy to determine differences in FR between different site types, regions or seasons. In principle, a higher CS causes a more rapid scavenging of clusters and small particles, resulting in lower FR (Zhu et al., 2014; Man et al., 2015). According to the equation developed by Herrmann et al. (2014) based on the observation date in the YRD region, FR is also inversely proportional to the CS. However, when NPF was studied at an urban site and a nearby regional site at the same time, FR was usually higher at the urban site in spite of the higher CS, indicating much more abundant precursors for NPF in the polluted urban environment (Wang et al., 2013b). As for NPF at a same observation site but in different seasons, the highest FR was observed in summer in the NCP (Shen et al., 2011) and in spring in the YRD (Qi et al., 2015).

Although the nucleation mechanism in different environmental conditions remains unknown according to current knowledge, neutral clusters of sulfuric acid, stabilized with additional vapours such as ammonia, amines, and HOMs should play a key role in NPF (Kulmala et al., 2013, 2014). A positive relationship between nucleation rate and the sulfuric acid concentration (or H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} proxy) was observed in many NPF studies in China, although nucleation rates were rarely calculated using measurements of particles in the size range of 1–3 nm. The fitted exponent between FR and sulfuric acid concentration ranged from 0.65 to 2.4 (Cai et al., 2017; Xiao et al., 2015; Dai et al., 2017), while sometimes even higher values between 2.5 and 7 were found (Wang et al., 2011). These exponents were observed to increase with an increasing CS in Beijing (Wang et al., 2011). Besides sulfuric acid, organics, NH\textsubscript{3} and amines were also found to be important in atmospheric particle nucleation (Z. B. Wang et al., 2015). As we mentioned earlier, although CS was much higher at urban sites, the FR was usually higher at corresponding regional sites (Wang et al., 2013b). Meanwhile, SO\textsubscript{2} is a regional pollutant and its concentrations were similar between regional sites and city areas (He et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2018). These features indicate important roles of other gas precursors in NPF in the air pollution complex of China. In fact, some observations showed that the correlation between FR and NH\textsubscript{3} was better than that between FR and H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} (Xiao et al., 2015). According to the national ammonia observation network, the overall average concentration of ammonia in China is much higher than the values observed in the US. The seasonal maximum NH\textsubscript{3} concentrations were observed in the summer and the most abundant concentrations of NH\textsubscript{3} were observed in the NCP region in China (Pan et al., 2018). Compared to NH\textsubscript{3}, the amine measurements are more sparse (J. Zheng et al., 2015; Yao et al., 2016), and direct information on amine emissions is currently not available but these emissions have to be estimated by assum-
ing a fixed ratio or source-dependent ratios of amines to total ammonia emissions in China (Mao et al., 2018). Dai et al. (2017) proposed that plumes containing high concentrations of ammonia, amines or HOMs produced from their observed VOCs led to strong local NPF events. The observations made at the SORPES station in the YRD indicated that HOMs played an essential role in the initial condensational growth of newly formed clusters (Huang et al., 2016; Ding et al., 2016; Qi et al., 2018). Recently, Yao et al. (2018) reported a long-term continuous observation for NPF in urban Shanghai and observed 1 to 2 orders of magnitude higher FR than typical values in the clean atmosphere. These observed FR were far higher than those derived from $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$–$\text{H}_2\text{O}$ or $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$–$\text{NH}_3$–$\text{H}_2\text{O}$ mechanisms but close to those observed in the $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$–DMA–$\text{H}_2\text{O}$ laboratory experiments, and coincided with sulfuric acid clusters and sulfuric acid–dimethylamine (DMA) clusters. These results suggested that $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$–DMA–$\text{H}_2\text{O}$ nucleation played important roles in the NPF in Chinese megacities. Up to now, there are still quite limited investigations into the relation between FR and organics, NH$_3$ and amines in China and it is certainly crucial for a better understanding of NPF in polluted areas. Ion-induced pure organic nucleation was proposed to be important according to chamber experiments (Kirkby et al., 2016), but seems to have a minor role in the polluted environment in China (Herrmann et al., 2014; Xiao et al., 2015; Yao et al., 2018). This is understandable because the ion production rate is usually much lower than FR in China.

3.3 Growth rate

Growth of nanoparticles is crucial for NPF. The GR determines the size that new particles can grow to before being scavenged; i.e. a higher GR results in a larger particle diameter (Zhu et al., 2014; Man et al., 2015). There are several methods that calculate GRs from the time variations of particle size distributions, such as the appearance time method (Kulmala et al., 2013) and mode-fitting method (Kulmala et al., 2012), or solving the general aerosol dynamics equation (Pichelstorfer et al., 2018).

Regardless of the possible difference caused by using different calculation methods, GRs reported in China varied a lot from an urban area to a rural region and from spring to winter, ranging from a few nm h$^{-1}$ to more than 20 nm h$^{-1}$ (Table 2). Generally, GRs at urban sites were found to be higher than at their regional sites, as shown in Fig. 4a (Wang et al., 2013b). This is probably caused by the more abundant condensing vapours in polluted cities, although there are limited data on sulfuric acid and low-volatile organic vapour concentrations in China. No significant differences were found among the observations carried out in different regions in China in spite of the different pollution levels. Lower GR was observed at a mountain site compared to that in an urban area (H. L. Wang et al., 2014), but the GR of large particles at mountain sites could be as high as about 10 nm h$^{-1}$ (Nie et al., 2014; H. L. Wang et al., 2014). For GRs in different seasons, higher GRs were observed in summer than other seasons, indicating higher concentrations of condensable vapours, which may be related to strong photochemical
Sulfuric acid and organic vapours with low volatility were thought to be the main contributors to the growth of particles formed by NPF. Generally, sulfuric acid was thought to be the dominant contributor for the growth of newly formed particles, but became less and less prominent for the growth of larger particles (Xiao et al., 2015). For example, Xiao et al. (2015) and Yao et al. (2018) calculated and estimated that sulfuric acid was enough to explain the observed growth for particles smaller than 3 nm but was insufficient to explain the observed growth rates of large particles. They further calculated the relative contribution of sulfuric acid to the particle growth in different particle size ranges. As shown in Fig. 4c, these calculated contributions were 39% and 29% for the size ranges of 2.39–7 and 7–20 nm, respectively, in urban Shanghai (Xiao et al., 2015), 3% to 14% for the size range of 7–30 nm in urban Beijing (Z. B. Wang et al., 2015), about 26% for the size range of 5.5–25 nm in suburban Hong Kong (Guo et al., 2012), and about 29% during the Beijing Summer Olympic period (Gao et al., 2012). Some studies reported that H$_2$SO$_4$ had a negligible contribution to the growth of particles larger than 10 nm (H. Meng et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2014). The particle shrinkage (reversal in growth of particles size) was reported in a few studies in China. The particle shrinkage could be due to measuring particles present in different air masses during different times of the day, or the evaporation of water and/or semi-volatile species in the particles. If the air masses did not vary significantly, a similar shrinkage rate to the growth rate in the NPF events might indicate a notable fraction of semi-volatile species contributed to the growth (Young et al., 2013b; Yao et al., 2010), which is consistent with organics being the main contributor to the large particle growth. Yu et al. (2016) estimated that a high concentration of extremely low-volatility organic compounds was the key factor leading to a maximum in GR for very small particles (1.4–3 nm) in urban Nanjing. Although the existence of local maxima in GR in the sub-3 nm size range is highly sensitive to uncertainties in particle size distributions, the results highlighted that detailed investigations for the mechanisms of the initial growth steps of atmospheric NPF are needed (Yu et al., 2016). On the other hand, Yue et al. (2010) proposed a dominant role of sulfuric acid in the growth of new particles in sulfur-rich NPF events. A model simulation study about NPF in Beijing also supported that only small fraction of organics contributed to the growth of new particles, and these organics were mainly O$_3$ initiated (Wang et al., 2013a). Besides sulfuric acid and organics, some studies reported a two-stage growth of new particles in China, in which sulfuric acid and organics contributed to the first-stage growth in the daytime, while NH$_4$NO$_3$ and organics possibly contributed to the second-stage growth at night-time (Zhu et al., 2014; Man et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2014). Tao et al. (2016) observed higher levels of aminium in particles with relative smaller sizes, and suggested that the heterogeneous uptake of amines by acid-base reactions could effectively contribute to the particle growth during NPF events. However, they only measured the particle chemical composition with a lowest cut-off size of 56 nm, which may not be directly related to NPF. In fact, measuring the chemical composition of nucleation-mode particles is still quite challenging all over the world. To summarize, most studies observed a slow GR for newly formed particles, with H$_2$SO$_4$ as the dominate contributor, while other species, such as organics, would contribute more to the particle growth as the particles grow to bigger sizes and also result in higher GR.

### 4 NPF under heavy air pollution

The heavy air pollution makes China quite a different environment for NPF compared with western countries (Wang et al., 2017; Kulmala et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2017). Generally, concentrations of particles and condensable vapours in Chinese cities and regional background area are much higher in China than that in North America or Europe (Shen et al., 2016a, b; Wang et al., 2013b; Gao et al., 2009). The CS and small molecular cluster and particle (1–3 nm) concentrations are about an order of magnitude higher in China compared with European cities (Kulmala, 2015; Kontkanen et al., 2017). The occurrence frequencies of NPF events in high aerosol-loading environments of China were higher than those in low aerosol-loading environments (Peng et al., 2014). Meanwhile, the observed FR was much higher, and the GR was also higher (but to a smaller extent relative to FR) for NPF in China than that at rural/urban sites in western countries (L. J. Shen et al., 2016). As pointed out by Cai et al. (2017), previous FR calculations may still underestimate the real nucleation rate due to underestimation or omission of coagulation among particles in the nucleation mode with strong nucleation in China.

The influence of heavy air pollution on NPF might be identified by studying NPF in periods with short-term strong air pollution control. Shen et al. (2016b) investigated NPF during the Olympics in 2008 and during the APEC meeting in 2015 in Beijing. They found that a higher NPF event frequency coincided with the improved air quality during these important events associated with temporary intense air pollution control actions compared to a similar time of the year during 2010–2013. In spite of more frequent NPF events (Yue et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2011), the strength of NPF decreased during these periods with temporary intense air pollution control actions, characterized with lower FR and GR values (Shen et al., 2016b). Due to the decreasing strength of NPF and also the reduction of the primary emission source of fine particles, the number concentration of particles decreased in spite of the increased frequency of NPF.
The mean number and volume concentrations of particles decreased by 41% and 35%, respectively, in August 2008 during the Beijing Olympic compared with 2004–2007 (Wang et al., 2013c). However, these temporary intense air pollution control actions had a much smaller influence on Aitken-mode particles than on accumulation-mode particles, according to the observations carried out during the APEC meeting in 2015 in Beijing (Du et al., 2017).

NPF was observed more often in the high aerosol-loading environment of China than we would expect based on the current understanding of nucleation and particle growth (Peng et al., 2014; Kulmala et al., 2017). The ratio of particle scavenging loss rate over condensational growth rate, which is proportional to the ratio of CS to GR, was used as a criterion to predict the occurrence of NPF events (McMurry et al., 2005; Kuang et al., 2010). With much higher CS values in China than at European and American sites, the difference in GR was not very obvious at the same site types between China and other countries (Peng et al., 2014). It turned out that NPF occurred frequently in megacities in China when the ratio of CS (10^{-4} s^{-1}) to GR (nm h^{-1}) was above 200, whereas it only occurred when this same ratio was less than 50 under clean and moderately polluted conditions (Kulmala et al., 2017). As shown in Fig. 5, most of the observation data reported ratios of CS (10^{-4} s^{-1}) to GR (nm h^{-1}) between 200 and 500, while a few were less than 200 but always higher than 50. More importantly, many studies reported that NPF took place with this ratio higher than 500 at urban and suburban sites. Such NPF events were able to take place in all regions in China (NCP, YRD, PRD and western China), and during both winter and summer seasons. There are several possible reasons for the higher threshold ratio of CS to GR in highly polluted environment, including the overestimation of particle losses due to assuming a coagulation sticking probability of 1, the underestimate of CS in the sub-3 size range, and also unidentified nucleation and growth mechanisms relevant to a polluted atmosphere (Kulmala et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2017).

NPF mainly occurred when the PM_{2.5} concentration (CS) and gas pollutant concentrations, such as NO₂, CO and SO₂, were both low (Wu et al., 2007; Dai et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2016). These gas pollutants were mainly from primary combustion emissions (De Gouw and Jimenez, 2009), whereas PM_{2.5}, the main cause of haze, originated from both primary emission and secondary formation, and the latter was thought to dominate during haze events in China (Yang et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2013; Dan et al., 2004; Duan et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2012). NPF was found to be concentrated on days with low RH in previous NPF studies in Beijing (Wu et al., 2007; Yue et al., 2009). A possible reason for this would be that photochemical reactions are faster on sunny days with strong solar radiation and low RH. On the contrary, haze usually occurs at high RH when multiphase processes contribute more to the aerosol mass (Sun et al., 2010; He et al., 2014; B. Zheng et al., 2015; Cheng et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2017) and the hygroscopic aerosols contribute more to light extinction compared with low-RH conditions (Shi et al., 2014; Shen et al., 2015). NPF and haze are either purely secondary processes or dominated by secondary pollution processes, so there might be some common properties or internal relations between them. With this in mind, besides the possible inaccurate estimation for the GR and CS, as pointed out and estimated by Kulmala et al. (2017) and further discussed in detail by Yu et al. (2017), several other possible reasons might also be related to the frequent occurrence of NPF under heavy air pollution in China.

Secondary aerosols, including sulfate and organic aerosols, are still underestimated in current air quality models (Xiao et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2016; Hodzic et al., 2010), indicating unknown chemical and physical processes that are important for secondary aerosol formation (Kulmala et al., 2014). These processes might create oxidants or change the surface properties of aerosols, and thereby limit their ability to take up condensable vapours and cause more frequent NPF (Kulmala, 2015). The effects of a high percentage of inorganic aerosol particles on the effectiveness of CS are unknown and may need to be investigated in laboratory experiments. NPF was observed during dust episodes in China, and both FR and GR were enhanced under dust conditions, indicating that photo-induced, dust surface-mediated reactions might be important for producing condensable vapours for NPF (Nie et al., 2014; Xie et al., 2015; Kulmala et al., 2017). Heterogeneous photochemical processes inducing new particle formation and growth might happen in the real atmosphere and need to be further investigated. In addition to these, high concentrations...
of sulfuric acid ($10^6$ molecules cm$^{-3}$) were observed at night-time, indicative of non-photochemical OH sources (Zheng et al., 2011). The contribution of oxidation of SO$_2$ by Criegee radicals (Welz et al., 2012; Mauldin et al., 2012) and other possible surface-mediated reactions to the formation of night-time sulfuric acid under complex air pollution conditions in China need to be figured out as well.

The NPF leads directly to a burst of small nanoparticles and increases the particle number concentration prominently. While NPF usually tends to occur on clean days with low CS, particle number concentrations are usually much higher on NPF event days than on non-event days (L. J. Shen et al., 2016; An et al., 2015). Kulmala et al. (2016a) studied nucleation- and Aitken- and accumulation-mode particle number concentrations separately in Nanjing in the YRD regions of China, and estimated that the majority of the particles were of secondary origin in all modes. NPF was found to be an important influential factor on atmospheric aerosol number size distribution from remote mountains to polluted cities (Du et al., 2012; L. J. Shen et al., 2016, X. R. Zhang et al., 2017). NPF also changes the surface and volume size distribution. An et al. (2015) observed that NPF events had a large effect on Aitken- and nuclei-mode particle surface and volume concentrations, while having limited contributions to accumulation- and coarse-mode particles. NPF was observed to increase the proportions of NH$_4^+$, SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$, K$^+$ and Mg$^{2+}$ in nucleation- and Aitken-mode particles compared with those in the total aerosol. Zheng et al. (2011) found that the calculated condensation rate of H$_2$SO$_4$ correlated with the Aitken-mode sulfate mass concentration but not with the accumulation-mode sulfate mass concentration.

With high concentrations of condensable vapours, newly formed particles have the potential to grow quickly, which results in an increase in PM volume or mass concentrations. In an episode with consecutive NPF events in the presence of strong biomass burning in the PRD, the aerosol volume concentration increased by 6.1 mm$^3$ cm$^{-3}$ in volume mass concentration per day or about 10 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ per day in mass concentration, with organics and sulfate accounting for 42 % and 35 %, respectively, of the particle mass concentration (Wang et al., 2013d). Furthermore, it was estimated that primary emissions and secondary formation provided 28 % and 72 % of particle number concentration and 21 % and 79 % of mass concentration, respectively. Similarly, Shen et al. (2011) observed that about 20 % of the NPF events led to a measurable increase in the particle mass concentration, with an average growth rate of about 4.9 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ for PM$_1$ (PM with diameter less than 1 $\mu$m) during the period of the mass concentration increase. Guo et al. (2014) reported a case with NPF followed by the continuous growth and appearance of haze pollution in Beijing and proposed that the efficient aerosol nucleation and growth led to severe PM$_{2.5}$ development.

In summary, NPF was found to be the main source of the particle number concentration in the atmosphere, being able to dramatically increase particle number concentrations in a relatively short time. NPF and subsequent particle growth seem to also have a noticeable contribution to the volume and mass concentration of nucleation- and Aitken-mode particles. Although secondary formation of PM$_{2.5}$ mass is the main cause of haze compared with primary particle emissions, the accumulation of this secondary aerosol mass usually occurs over several days following NPF. The contribution of NPF to haze formation is still an open question.

5 Significance and future research directions for NPF study

The effects of NPF on air pollution and human health are crucial but highly uncertain. As we mentioned above, the effect or contribution of NPF to haze formation is still an open question. Answering this question might be difficult using only field observations, so new laboratory experiments and model simulations may need to be designed. In addition, interactions between NPF, pollution and meteorological conditions should be studied further. Heavy pollution could have significant feedbacks to meteorological conditions in China. For a case study in the YRD, it was calculated that air pollution resulted in a decrease in the solar radiation intensity by more than 70 %, in the sensible heat by more than 85 % and drop in temperature by almost 10 K (Ding et al., 2013). These effects resulted in a decrease of the boundary layer height, which further increased PM concentrations, forming a feedback loop (Petaja et al., 2016). On the other hand, NPF occurring in a free troposphere may have a major impact on the marine boundary layer particle concentrations due to the subsidence (Clarke et al., 1998; Lin et al., 2007). When the aerosol loading was high, the distance between the NPF peak and the planetary boundary layer became larger (Quan et al., 2017). These interactions would be also crucial for predicting NPF and air quality and for identifying the contribution of NPF to air pollution. Rather than ground observations, multidimensional measurements may need to be carried out in order to understand the atmospheric process up to the free troposphere. Compared with the effect of NPF to haze formation, the health effect of high number concentrations of particles with diameters of several or tens of nanometres would be more essential. NPF usually occurs around the same time period as people commute to work. The effects of exposure to a high particle number concentration environment should be investigated.

Atmospheric nucleation and subsequent growth of newly formed particles could have significant effects on air quality and climate by contributing to CCN (IPCC, 2013). NPF was calculated to enhance the CCN number significantly with ratios ranging from 1.2 to 1.8 in Shanghai in the YRD region of China (Leng et al., 2014). Considering both NPF and non-event days, the average contributions of NPF events to potential CCN in the afternoon were calculated to be 11 % and 6 % at urban sites and regional sites, respectively (Peng et al.,
2014). It seems that the enhancement of CCN due to NPF in China on a regional scale was larger than that in Europe (Shen et al., 2016a), which might be due to the combination of a higher nucleation rate and quicker subsequent condensable growth associated with higher pollution levels in China. NPF events were also found to have a greater impact on CCN at polluted urban sites than at regional or rural sites in China.

For example, CCN number concentrations were observed to be enhanced by a factor of 2–6 in background regions and by a factor of 5.6–8.7 in polluted regions during the NPF event days (Wang et al., 2013b; Shen et al., 2016a). Nevertheless, the impact of NPF on the CCN number concentration was found to depend on the location and individual character of each NPF event, including different hygroscopic properties of particles and thus different CCN activities during different NPF events, so Ma et al. (2016) suggested not using a fixed parameter to predict the contribution of NPF to CCN and Tao et al. (2018) emphasized the importance of real-time measurements of hygroscopicity of particles.

During the past 15 years, a lot of NPF observations and related studies were carried out in China but, as summarized by Wang et al. (2017), the application of state-of-the-art instruments are still quite limited in China. In recent years, an increasing number of studies utilized more advanced instruments, such as PSM (Xiao et al., 2015; Dai et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2016; Yao et al., 2018), NAIS (Jayaratne et al., 2017; Lv et al., 2018), DEG-SMPS (Cai and Jiang, 2017; Cai et al., 2017) and API-ToF-MS/CI-API-ToF-MS (Yao et al., 2018), greatly improving our understanding about the nucleation and particle growth mechanisms in China, especially in highly polluted environments. However, the lack of continuous and comprehensive long-term observations, which should include measurements of particle number size distribution preferably down to 1–2 nm and vapours that potentially participate in NPF and subsequent particle growth (H₂SO₄, ELVOCs, LVOC, ammonia and amines), still limits our understanding of the mechanism of NPF in different environments in China. Key participants and processes of NPF under complex air pollution conditions in China still wait to be answered, and the unexpected NPF at high aerosol loadings need to be explained. Contributions of different mechanisms to NPF should be evaluated with the consideration of spatio-temporal difference, and possibly also with the consideration of interannual variability in the process of air pollution control in China. Long periods and comprehensive observations would be the most important factor when investigating NPF mechanisms in China, while laboratory experiments and model simulations would also be very helpful and necessary. As suggested by Kulmala (2018), grand environmental challenges, such as climate change, water and food security as well as urban air pollution, are all linked and need to be studied together. The effects of NPF in China on climate change and human health are still poorly understood and should be evaluated quantitatively. Although a global view is needed for these common challenges of mankind, densely populated China will undoubtedly be a very important area in this respect. Studying these effects will be essential for future studies of NPF in China and will be important for a global effort for a better atmosphere on Earth.
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