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Abstract

Objective We determined the possible effects of a peripherally acting alpha2-adrenoceptor antagonist, MK-467, on the absorption of intramuscularly (IM) co-administered medetomidine, butorphanol and midazolam.

Study design Randomized, experimental, blinded cross-over study.

Animals Six healthy beagle dogs.

Methods Two IM treatments were administered: 1) medetomidine hydrochloride (20 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) + butorphanol (100 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) + midazolam (200 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) (MBM), and; 2) MBM + MK-467 hydrochloride (500 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) (MBM-MK), mixed in a syringe. Heart rate was recorded at regular intervals. Sedation was assessed with visual analog scales (0 – 100 mm). Drug concentrations in plasma were analyzed with liquid chromatography - tandem mass spectrometry, with chiral separation of dex- and levomedetomidine. Maximum drug concentrations in plasma (C\(_{\text{max}}\)) and time to C\(_{\text{max}}\) (T\(_{\text{max}}\)) were determined. Paired t-tests, with Bonferroni correction when appropriate, were used for comparisons between the treatments.

Results Data from five dogs were analyzed. Heart rate was significantly higher from 20 until 90 minutes after MBM-MK. The T\(_{\text{max}}\) for midazolam and levomedetomidine (mean ± standard deviation) were approximately halved with co-administration of MK-467, from 23 ± 9 to 11 ± 6 minutes (p = 0.049) for midazolam and from 32 ± 15 to 18 ± 6 minutes for levomedetomidine (p = 0.036), respectively.

Conclusions and clinical relevance MK-467 accelerated the absorption of IM co-administered drugs. This is clinically relevant as it may hasten the onset of peak sedative effects.

Keywords alpha2-agonist, dog, medetomidine, intramuscular, MK-467, peripheral alpha2-antagonist
Introduction

Medetomidine is a selective, potent and efficacious $\alpha_2$-adrenoceptor agonist (Doze et al. 1989; Maze & Fujinaga 2000) that produces sedation, muscle relaxation and analgesia in dogs (Vainio et al. 1989; Salonen et al. 1992; Kuusela et al. 2001). Medetomidine is a racemic mixture of two enantiomers, dex- and levomedetomidine, of which dexmedetomidine is the pharmacologically active component (Kuusela et al. 2000). All $\alpha_2$-agonists have undesired effects on cardiovascular performance: peripheral vasoconstriction leads to arterial hypertension, and baroreflex-mediated bradycardia may result in a marked decrease in cardiac index (Bloor et al. 1992; Pypendop & Verstegen 1998).

MK-467 (previously also known as L-659’066) is an $\alpha_2$-adrenoceptor antagonist that acts mainly on peripheral $\alpha_2$-adrenoceptors because of its minimal ability to cross the blood-brain barrier, as directly demonstrated in rats and marmosets (Clineschmidt et al. 1988). In dogs sedated with intravenous (IV) dexmedetomidine, heart rate was higher and systemic vascular resistance lower when MK-467 was co-administered (Pagel et al. 1998, Honkavaara et al. 2011). The desired central nervous system effects of $\alpha_2$-agonists, such as sedation are not affected (Honkavaara et al. 2008; Restitutti et al. 2011) whereas negative peripheral effects, such as cardiovascular effects, have been alleviated with both IV and IM administration of MK-467 (Honkavaara et al. 2011; Rolfe et al. 2012; Salla et al. 2014a).

In a recent study in dogs (Restitutti et al. 2017), it was detected that IM co-administration of MK-467 accelerated the absorption of medetomidine, resulting in faster onset and shorter duration of medetomidine-evoked sedation. The initial hemodynamic effects of medetomidine were unaffected by MK-467, but the later phases of medetomidine-related bradycardia and vasoconstriction were significantly attenuated and shortened (Restitutti et al. 2017). Elsewhere, Honkavaara et al (2017) reported that MK-467 appeared to shorten the onset and duration of sedation when it was co-administered IM with dexmedetomidine to cats. Furthermore, the addition of MK-467 significantly
shortened the $T_{\text{max}}$ and increased $C_{\text{max}}$ of dexmedetomidine after IM co-administration (Pypendop et al. 2017).

Furthermore, Bennett et al. (2016) reported that after IV administration of medetomidine in dogs, the levomedetomidine concentration in plasma was significantly lower than that of dexmedetomidine. Therefore, analyzing plasma medetomidine concentrations in dogs may not reflect the actual concentrations of the stereoisomers. We hypothesized that the maximum concentrations of plasma dex- and levomedetomidine, butorphanol and midazolam ($C_{\text{max}}$) would occur earlier (shorter $T_{\text{max}}$) when these drugs were co-administered IM with MK-467. Our primary objective was to evaluate whether MK-467 would enhance the IM absorption of medetomidine, butorphanol and midazolam when all four drugs were administered simultaneously from the same syringe. Co-administration of MK-467 was expected to result in an earlier $C_{\text{max}}$ of the sedative agents which might hasten the onset of sedation. Our secondary objective was to verify the alleviation of medetomidine-induced bradycardia by MK-467, an observation reported earlier in dogs treated with IM medetomidine and butorphanol but without measurement of drug concentrations in that study (Salla et al. 2014a).

**Materials and methods**

Six purpose-bred, three year old beagles (four castrated males and two spayed females, mean weight 14.3 ± 1.5 kg) were used for this study. The dogs were considered healthy on the basis of clinical examination, complete blood counts and routine serum chemistry results. The National Animal Experimental board (ESAVI/7187/04.10.03/2012) provided ethical approval. Dogs were fed with commercial food and housed in groups. All experiments were performed between 8:00-12:00 AM. Food was withheld for 12 hours before the experiments, but water was freely available.

**Study design**
In this prospective, randomized cross-over study two IM treatments were administered to each dog, with a 14-day wash-out period. The investigated treatments were: 1) medetomidine hydrochloride (20 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) + butorphanol (100 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) + midazolam (200 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) (MBM); and 2) MBM + MK-467 hydrochloride (500 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) (MBM-MK). The dosage of MK-467 was based on previous results of our group (Restitutti et al. 2017). Randomization was obtained by drawing lots.

For the MBM-MK treatment, 1 mL of medetomidine hydrochloride (Dorbene 1 mg mL\(^{-1}\); Vetcare Oy, Finland) and 1 mL of physiological saline solution (Natriumklorid 0.9%, B. Braun) were injected into an ampoule containing 25 mg of MK-467 hydrochloride powder. For the MBM treatment, 1 mL of the medetomidine hydrochloride solution was mixed with 1 mL of saline solution.

The medetomidine solutions with (MBM-MK) or without MK-467 (MBM), were drawn up into syringes and mixed with commercial formulations of butorphanol (Torpudor 10 mg mL\(^{-1}\); Richter Pharma AG, Austria) and midazolam (Midazolam Hameln 5 mg mL\(^{-1}\); Hameln Pharmaceuticals GmbH, Germany). The final injection volume of both treatment mixtures was 0.09 mL kg\(^{-1}\), resulting in an injection volume of 1.3 mL for an animal weighing 14.3 kg, which was the mean body weight of the dogs in our study. For injection, each dog was restrained in lateral recumbency and the drug mixture was injected into the lumbar epaxial muscles. Opposite sides were used for the two treatments. Aspiration was performed prior to drug injection to confirm extravascular administration.

**Instrumentation and measurements**

Prior to treatment administration and following aseptic preparation of the skin, 5 mg of lidocaine was administered subcutaneously over the jugular vein (Lidocain 20 mg mL\(^{-1}\); Orion Pharma, Finland). A 13 cm long, 16 gauge single-lumen venous catheter (MILA International Inc., KY, USA) was inserted into a jugular vein for blood collection and fixed to the adjacent skin with
topical tissue adhesive. A 3-way stopcock was attached to the catheter for blood collection. The dogs used in this study were trained to allow restraint and placement of a jugular catheter.

Venous blood (6 mL into EDTA tubes, equaling a total of 66 mL) was sampled at 3, 6, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 40, 50, 60 and 90 minutes after drug administration. Blood samples were kept in iced water for a maximum of 30 minutes until the plasma was separated by refrigerated centrifugation. The plasma samples were stored at -20 °C until they were analyzed for drug concentrations.

For the assessment of sedation, a visual analogue scale (VAS; analog scale of 0-100 mm) was used where (0) represented no sedation and (100) represented an animal in lateral recumbency, unresponsive to a loud hand clap. The level of sedation was assessed by a single investigator (JH) who was unaware of assigned treatment and unaware of the dogs’ heart rates. Assessments were made before drug administration and 3, 6, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 40, 50, 60 and 90 minutes thereafter. The area under the sedation score-time curve (AUC_{sed0-15}) was calculated using the trapezoidal method for the first 15 minutes after injection. The first 15 minutes were chosen for comparison because in our study the T_{max} for butorphanol, midazolam, and dex- and levomedetomidine were detected with MBM-MK at approximately this time point and it was therefore expected that the greatest differences in sedation between the treatments would be detected within this time period.

Maximum drug concentrations in plasma (C_{max}) and times to C_{max} (T_{max}) were determined from the concentration-time data. Areas under the concentration-time curve until 90 minutes (AUC_{0-90}) were calculated with the trapezoidal method.

Heart rates were recorded by auscultation prior to, at five minutes after treatment administration and at 10 minute intervals thereafter until 90 minutes. This was performed by another investigator (HT) who was also unaware of assigned treatment. Appropriate observer-blinding was achieved by not having either masked investigators (JH and HT) present during treatment preparation. Rectal temperature was measured with a thermometer before and 30, 60 and 90 minutes after drug injection. The animals were placed on an insulating mattress and covered with blankets while
sedated, and if the body temperature decreased below 36 °C, they were actively warmed with a Bair-Hugger device (3M, MN, USA).

**Analytical Methods**

The concentrations of dex- and levomedetomidine (reference standard: racemic medetomidine, TRC, ON, Canada) in dog plasma were determined with HPLC-MS/MS after solid phase extraction with Sep-Pak tC18 96 well extraction plates (Waters Co., MA, USA) with 4,5-diphenylimidazole (Sigma-Aldrich) as an internal standard. After chiral separation with a Chiralpak AGP column (4 x 150 mm, 5 µm, Chiral Technologies Europe, France), and 10 mM ammonium acetate (pH 4.5) and acetonitrile containing 0.1% formic acid as solvents, quantitative detection was performed in multi-reaction monitoring mode (MRM) with a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (4000QTrap; MDS Sciex, ON, Canada). For dex- and levomedetomidine and for the internal standard, the respective precursor ions (m/z) were 201.2 and 221.1. The fragment ions (m/z) monitored and used for quantitation were 95.1 for dex- and levomedetomidine and 194.0 for the internal standard. The chromatograms were processed using Applied Biosystems / MDS Sciex software (Analyst version 1.6.1). The linear concentration range was from 0.10 ng mL\(^{-1}\) to 10.0 ng mL\(^{-1}\). The inter-assay accuracy of the quality control samples (at three different concentration levels, 0.225, 1.0 and 8.0 ng mL\(^{-1}\)) ranged from 91.4% to 96.9% for dexmedetomidine and from 95.2% to 96.4% for levomedetomidine.

After precipitation of 100 µL plasma samples on a 96-well Waters Oasis (Waters Co.) precipitation plate with 200 µL of acetonitrile containing propranolol as an internal standard, concentrations of butorphanol, midazolam and MK-467 in plasma were measured with HPLC coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (Waters Acquity UPLC + Waters TQ-S triple quadrupole MS). The plasma supernatants were transferred to 96-well plates pending analysis. Reference standards were prepared in blank dog plasma by spiking the analytes at final concentrations of 0.02 – 20 000 ng mL\(^{-1}\). Quality control (QC) samples were prepared at concentrations of 0.2, 2, 20, 200 and 2000 ng mL\(^{-1}\).
The temperature of the column oven was 40 °C, and the injection volume was 4 µL. The aqueous eluent (A) was 0.5% formic acid in water, and the organic eluent (B) was acetonitrile. Gradient elution with 2-2-90-90% (B) in 0-1-2.5-3 min was applied, followed by 1-minute equilibration. The eluent flow rate was 0.5 mL⁻¹. Positive ionization mode was used with a capillary voltage of 1000V. Argon was used as the collision gas, with a flow rate of 0.18 mL minute⁻¹. The desolvation temperature was 650 °C, and the source temperature was 150 °C. Nitrogen was used as drying gas at a flow rate of 900 L hour⁻¹ and as nebulizer gas at full flow rate. The monitored SRM transition reactions were m/z 328 > 124 for butorphanol, m/z 236 > 223 for midazolam, m/z 419 > 200 for MK-467 and m/z 260 > 116 for the internal standard, propranolol. The linear calibration ranges (ng mL⁻¹) were fitted as follows: butorphanol 0.5-500, midazolam 0.5-1000, and MK-467 0.5-2000. The QC samples in range were within 85-115% of the nominal concentrations.

**Statistical methods**

The sample size was based on a power calculation derived from earlier results for T\textsubscript{max} of dexmedetomidine (Restitutti et al. 2017), butorphanol (Pfeffer et al. 1980) and midazolam (Schwartz et al. 2013) after IM administration in dogs. With a power of 80% and an alpha-level of 0.05, to detect a 50% decrease in T\textsubscript{max} with pairwise one-tailed one way analysis of variance (ANOVA), altogether 3 dogs would be needed for midazolam, 5 for dexmedetomidine and 6 for butorphanol.

Shapiro-Wilk testing for normality was performed for all parametric data. The results are shown as mean ± standard deviation (SD) for normally distributed data. The time of peak sedation and sedation scores are expressed as median (range). Heart rate was analyzed by repeated-measures ANOVA for both time and treatment effects, followed by paired samples 2-tailed t-test with Bonferroni-correction. Paired samples 1-tailed t-tests were performed on C\textsubscript{max} and T\textsubscript{max}, and 2-tailed t-testing was performed on AUC\textsubscript{0-90} and AUC\textsubscript{sed0-15}. Sedation scores were compared between treatments and against baseline using Mann-Whitney U-test with Bonferroni-correction.
Results

Six dogs were enrolled in the study but one was subsequently excluded, because low plasma concentrations of MK-467 (9.59 - 36.5 ng mL$^{-1}$) were found in this dog’s samples also after MBM treatment. The source of the MK-467 contamination could not be traced. Therefore, results from only five animals are presented and were used in the analysis.

The observed concentrations of dexmedetomidine, levomedetomidine, butorphanol, midazolam and MK-467 in plasma are shown in Figures 1-2. The pharmacokinetic results, $C_{\text{max}}$, $T_{\text{max}}$ and $\text{AUC}_{0-90}$ for dexmedetomidine, levomedetomidine, butorphanol, midazolam and MK-467, are summarized in Table 1.

Heart rate was significantly higher after MBM-MK than MBM between 20 and 90 minutes (Figure 3). The results for sedation scores are presented as median (range) and $\text{AUC}_{\text{sed0-15}}$ in Table 2. Peak sedation (median) was reached at 15 minutes for MBM-MK and at 20 minutes for MBM ($p = 0.109$). No differences were detected between treatments, but overall depth of sedation for the first 15 minutes ($\text{AUC}_{\text{sed0-15}}$) was significantly higher with MBM-MK than MBM. Rectal temperatures remained above 36 °C after both treatments. No clinically observed adverse effects were detected.

Discussion

This study demonstrated that MK-467 accelerated the absorption of co-administered midazolam and levomedetomidine when administered IM in the same syringe. As expected, it also alleviated the bradycardia attributed to dexmedetomidine.

In the present study, the first signs of sedation were observed within a few minutes after IM injection of the sedative agents, as reported earlier (Vainio et al. 1989). The times to peak sedation were in line with the plasma drug concentrations: for MBM, the median time to peak sedation was 20 minutes and the $T_{\text{max}}$ for dexmedetomidine was 27 minutes. For MBM-MK, $T_{\text{max}}$ of
dexmedetomidine was 17 minutes and the median time to peak sedation was 15 minutes. Also, the

$T_{\text{max}}$ of butorphanol, midazolam and levomedetomidine after MBM-MK were detected on average
in the samples obtained at 15 minutes. Therefore the slightly but significantly deeper overall
sedation with MK-467 during the first 15 minutes ($\text{AUC}_{\text{sed0-15}}$) probably reflected the higher plasma
drug concentrations at that time. Although the racemic medetomidine contains 50% of both enantiomers, in plasma the dexmedetomidine concentration was substantially higher than that of levomedetomidine, as also earlier reported in dogs (Bennett et al 2016). As Kuusela et al. (2000) confirmed that levomedetomidine is relatively inactive in producing effects typical to alpha$_2$-adrenoceptor agonists the ratio of the enantiomers in plasma favoring dexmedetomidine is likely to attribute to the level of sedation. In addition, butorphanol and midazolam used in this study most probably added to the observed central effects.

MK-467 seemed to enhance the absorption of the other drugs: this is indicated by the statistically significantly shorter $T_{\text{max}}$ of midazolam and levomedetomidine in the presence of MK-467 and the $C_{\text{max}}$ and shapes of the concentration-time curves of all four analytes. A significant decrease in the $T_{\text{max}}$ of dexmedetomidine and increase in $C_{\text{max}}$ resulting from MK-467 co-administration was shown in a previous study from our group (Restitutti et al. 2017), in which the impact of MK-467 on plasma dexmedetomidine concentration seemed to be of similar magnitude to the present study.

Medetomidine is expected to cause local vasoconstriction at the site of injection, and MK-467 is capable of enhancing drug absorption from the injection site because of its capacity to block medetomidine’s local actions on the circulation (Restitutti et al. 2017). A similar, albeit statistically indifferent, trend was detected between the $T_{\text{max}}$ of dexmedetomidine ($p = 0.10$) and butorphanol ($p = 0.07$). For example, six minutes after administration of MBM-MK, the concentration of dexmedetomidine in plasma seemed to be at similar levels to those achieved at approximately 20 minutes after MBM. The lack of significance between treatments in dexmedetomidine and butorphanol concentrations in plasma, and the derived pharmacokinetic variables, was probably due
to the low number of dogs. In addition, as one of the datasets had to be excluded due to evident
administration of MK-467 in the MBM-treatment, the amount of available data was further reduced
While the lack of adequate statistical power carries the risk of inappropriately failing to reject the
null hypothesis of any given investigation, as was probably the case with the apparent statistical
indifference in parameters describing the disposition of dexmedetomidine and butorphanol, the
authors remain of the opinion that the impact of MK-467 could still be appreciated. Unfortunately,
we were unable to increase the number of animals, as the dogs had already been adopted out prior
to the drug concentration analyses. In addition, the lack of additional cardiovascular data is a
limitation: we only reported heart rate. Therefore, we were unable to show improvement of any
global cardiovascular function by MK-467, although the alleviation of $\alpha_2$-agonist-induced
bradycardia by MK-467 has been associated with increased cardiac output in many previous studies
(Enouri et al. 2008; Honkavaara et al. 2011; Rolfe et al. 2012; Salla et al. 2014; Restitutti et al.
2017).

There was wide variation in plasma drug concentrations between individual animals after both
treatments. One of the dogs had very low plasma concentrations of all the drugs after MBM-
treatment compared to the other dogs. In clinical veterinary practice, both the rate and consistency
of drug absorption after extravascular administration are of practical importance. As stated before,
the bioavailability of drugs is affected by the activity and blood flow of the muscle (Benet et al.
2011). The postural muscles usually have more abundant blood flow that hastens the drug
absorption compared to non-postural muscles (Baxter & Evans 1973; Benet et al. 2011). In
addition, the amount of perimuscular fat or intermuscular fascial planes can reduce the rate of drug
absorption (Sund & Schou 1964). The epaxial muscle group contains numerous fascial planes and
this may have been one of the factors causing the wide variability in our results (Dyce et al. 2002).
In one study comparing the onset and quality of sedation after IM dexmedetomidine and
hydromorphone in dogs, higher sedation scores were observed and faster onset of sedation was
recorded after injection in the semimembranosus and cervical sites compared to lumbar and gluteal sites (Carter et al. 2013). However, the inter-subject variability was lower after lumbar epaxial administration (Carter et al. 2013). The Longissimus dorsi was chosen as the injection site in our study as it does not have extensive fascial planes or surrounding adipose tissue. In addition, it was a safe place to inject because our laboratory beagles were accustomed to lie in lateral position.

Changes in heart rate reflect both medetomidine-evoked vasoconstriction in the systemic circulation and central sympatholysis. In our study, heart rate was monitored, as it is a very sensitive indicator of the cardiovascular effects of medetomidine; even very small IV doses decrease it (Pypendop et al. 1998; Pascoe 2015). MK-467 attenuated dexmedetomidine-induced bradycardia after IM injection, as also reported earlier (Rolfe et al. 2012; Salla et al. 2014a; Restitutti et al. 2017). With MBM-MK, an initial decrease in heart rate was detected; heart rate was lowest at 3-6 minutes, although no significant difference was detected between groups, after which it started to increase. As MK-467 appeared in the systemic circulation more slowly than dexmedetomidine (T_{\text{max}} for MK-467 seemed to be later than T_{\text{max}} for dexmedetomidine with MBM-MK), MK-467 probably started to alleviate the cardiovascular effects of dexmedetomidine with a delay which could explain the initial decrease in the heart rate also seen with MBM-MK. A similar phenomenon has been reported in previous studies when MK-467 has been administered IM in the same syringe with medetomidine (Salla et al. 2014b; Restitutti et al. 2017). In contrast, when medetomidine and MK-467 were administered IM, but at different injection sites, no initial decrease in heart rate was obvious (Rolfe et al. 2012), suggesting that the absorption rates of medetomidine and MK-467 from the injection sites were more similar when MK-467 did not prevent the local vasoconstriction induced by medetomidine. In another study, administration of MK-467 alone IV resulted in increased heart rate, cardiac index and tissue oxygen delivery in adult beagle dogs, but the decrease in systemic vascular resistance did not lead to hypotension, probably because of increased heart rate (Honkavaara et al. 2010).
Our primary interest in this study was to assess whether MK-467 accelerated the absorption of medetomidine, butorphanol and midazolam when administered IM in the same syringe. As we were particularly interested in the absorption phase, the follow-up period was short and no elimination phase of these drugs was observed. Thus we do not report or comment on half-lives or clearance, although it has been demonstrated that MK-467, to some extent, increases the clearance of dexmedetomidine, probably because of preserved liver blood flow (Honkavaara 2012; Bennett et al. 2016). For the same reasons, we reported AUC$_{0-90}$ for drug concentrations in plasma which were calculated based on the observed data.

**Conclusions**

Alpha$_2$-adrenoceptor agonists and antagonists may affect their own absorption and that of other sedatives, such as midazolam and butorphanol, when co-administered IM in the same syringe. This is clinically important as it affects the onset and depth of sedation.
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Figure 1  Dexmedetomidine (a), levomedetomidine (b), butorphanol (c) and midazolam (d) concentrations in plasma after administration of 1) medetomidine hydrochloride (20 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) + butorphanol (100 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) + midazolam (200 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) intramuscular (IM) (MBM), and; 2) medetomidine (20 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) + MK-467 hydrochloride (500 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) + butorphanol (100 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) + midazolam (200 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) IM (MBM-MK). Data of five dogs are shown. Both treatments were administered at 0 minutes. Data are shown as mean ± SD.

Figure 2  MK-467 concentration in plasma after administration of medetomidine (20 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) + MK-467 hydrochloride (500 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) + butorphanol (100 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) + midazolam (200 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) intramuscular (IM) (MBM-MK). Data of five dogs are shown. Treatment was administered at 0 minutes. Data are shown as mean ± SD.

Figure 3  Heart rate after administration of 1) medetomidine hydrochloride (20 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) + butorphanol (100 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) + midazolam (200 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) intramuscular IM (MBM), and; 2) medetomidine (20 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) + MK-467 hydrochloride (500 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) + butorphanol (100 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) + midazolam (200 µg kg\(^{-1}\)) IM (MBM-MK). Data of five dogs are shown. Both treatments were administered at 0 minutes. * Significant difference between treatments. Data shown as mean ± SD.
Table 1. Observed peak drug concentrations in plasma (Cmax), the time of maximum drug concentration in plasma (Tmax) and area under the concentration-time curve (AUC). Shown as mean ± SD and minimum and maximum in brackets * Significant difference between treatments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Cmax (ng mL⁻¹)</th>
<th>Tmax (minutes)</th>
<th>AUC₀-₉₀ (min * ng mL⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dexmedetomidine</strong></td>
<td>MED</td>
<td>4.3 ± 2.0</td>
<td>27 ± 15</td>
<td>216 ± 92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.9 – 6.0)</td>
<td>(10 – 50)</td>
<td>(54 – 279)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MED-MK</td>
<td>6.6 ± 2.6</td>
<td>17 ± 4.5</td>
<td>247 ± 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3.7 – 10.8)</td>
<td>(10 – 20)</td>
<td>(144 – 307)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Levomedetomidine</strong></td>
<td>MED</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td>32 ± 15</td>
<td>140 ± 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.5 – 3.7)</td>
<td>(10 – 50)</td>
<td>(30 – 181)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MED-MK</td>
<td>4.6 ± 1.6</td>
<td>18 ± 6</td>
<td>178 ± 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2.6 – 6.7)</td>
<td>(10 – 25)</td>
<td>(107 – 227)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.036 *</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Butorphanol</strong></td>
<td>MED</td>
<td>10.7 ± 6.1</td>
<td>27 ± 4.5</td>
<td>589 ± 305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.7 – 16.9)</td>
<td>(10 – 30)</td>
<td>(116 – 886)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MED-MK</td>
<td>19.9 ± 9.6</td>
<td>15 ± 5</td>
<td>818 ± 246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(10.5 – 34.0)</td>
<td>(10 – 20)</td>
<td>(535 – 1143)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Midazolam</strong></td>
<td>MED</td>
<td>82.2 ± 43.9</td>
<td>23 ± 9</td>
<td>3743 ± 1886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(12.9 – 134.0)</td>
<td>(10 – 40)</td>
<td>(749 – 5837)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MED-MK</td>
<td>157.8 ± 95.8</td>
<td>11 ± 6</td>
<td>5644 ± 2213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(82.9 – 300.2)</td>
<td>(6 – 20)</td>
<td>(3920 – 8900)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.049 *</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MK-467</strong></td>
<td>MED-MK</td>
<td>907 ± 173</td>
<td>23 ± 6</td>
<td>62755 ± 11268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(672 – 1051)</td>
<td>(15 – 30)</td>
<td>(49563 – 77548)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Visual analogue sedation score (0-100) for treatments MBM and MBM-MK. Data of VAS scores are reported as median (range). $\text{AUC}_{\text{sed0-15}}$ (reported as mean ± SD) were calculated for the first 15 minutes.

* Significant difference between treatments. † Significant difference compared to baseline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time point (minutes)</th>
<th>MBM</th>
<th>MBM-MK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18 (0-20)</td>
<td>23 (3-85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>14 (0-51)</td>
<td>84 (6-100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>69 (22-97)</td>
<td>96 (72-100) †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>95 (17-100)</td>
<td>100 (96-100) †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>100 (58-100) †</td>
<td>100 (100-100) †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>100 (76-100) †</td>
<td>100 (100-100) †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>100 (79-100) †</td>
<td>100 (83-100) †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>96 (78-100) †</td>
<td>94 (87-100) †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>86 (77-100) †</td>
<td>78 (66-100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>85 (70-100)</td>
<td>70 (50-78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>68 (62-81)</td>
<td>26 (15-74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{AUC}_{\text{sed0-15}}$</td>
<td>598 ± 256 *</td>
<td>996 ± 261 *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>