Effect of lifestyle counselling on health-related quality of life in women at high risk for gestational diabetes
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Background: The incidence of gestational diabetes (GDM) is increasing and interventions to curb the detrimental effects of GDM are needed. We have previously reported that a combined diet and physical activity intervention has the potential to reduce GDM among high-risk women. It is also important to know whether the intervention affects health-related quality of life (HRQoL). Methods: A total of 378 women at high risk for GDM were randomized into an intervention (lifestyle counselling four times during pregnancy, n=186) before 20 gestational weeks. HRQoL was assessed with the 15D-instrument six times: once during each trimester and at six weeks, six months and 12 months postpartum. Results: In this study population, the cumulative incidence of GDM was similar in the intervention and the control group (45.7% vs. 44.5%). There was no difference between the 15D scores of the control and intervention groups at any of the time points. Conclusions: Combined diet and physical activity intervention did not provide HRQoL benefits in the study. A high prevalence of GDM in both study groups may have confounded the effect of the intervention.

Introduction

Gestational diabetes (GDM), defined as a glucose metabolism disturbance that is first detected during pregnancy, is increasing globally. As GDM is associated with short- and long-term complications for both the mother and the child, it is desirable to find strategies to prevent GDM. Studies assessing the efficacy of lifestyle interventions (mixed diet and physical activity) in preventing GDM and associated pregnancy complications have however been heterogeneous, and the results somewhat inconclusive with some positive results.1-3 WHO defines quality of life (QoL) as ‘individuals’ perceptions of their position in life in the context of the culture and value systems in which they live and in relation to their goals, expectations, standards, and concerns’.4 Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) can be defined as ‘The (mainly) subjective quality of life of the individual in relation to health or in response to the onset of disease’ including the individual’s physical and mental health perceptions together with health risks and conditions, functional status, social support, socioeconomic status and also the factors of health care.4,5 As such, compared to clinical parameters, HRQoL introduces a more holistic and subjective, yet quantifiable measure to estimate individual’s functional health-status also in therapeutic and research settings.

Measures of HRQoL can be divided into two groups according to their content, either generic or specific. Generic measures produce health profiles and utility measures, as specific measures focus on the
health domains or functional aspects of special interest in a certain disease.³

Generic utility data is a pre-requisite for cost-utility analyses, which combine the length and QoL into a single measurement of quality adjusted life years that are related to the costs of the intervention.⁶,⁷

We have previously shown that HRQoL deteriorates towards the third trimester of pregnancy and returns to baseline levels soon after delivery.⁸ Pre-pregnancy obesity and excessive gestational weight gain also have a negative impact on the peripartal HRQoL during pregnancy⁹ even in the absence of pregnancy-related complications.⁸

Most previous studies have employed various HRQoL instruments (15D, SF-36, visual analogue component of EQ-5D-3 L) to suggest that the diagnosis of GDM per se does not seem to affect women’s perception of their health-status or HRQoL during pregnancy or postpartum.⁸,¹⁰–¹² However, women with GDM have shown to value their general health-status (measured with SF-36) in the third trimester lower compared to women without GDM.¹³ A recent review suggested that decreased QoL may be possible to improve through diabetes-related self-management interventions (diet, exercise, self-monitoring, insulin control).¹⁴

The knowledge of the effects of general lifestyle interventions on HRQoL during normal pregnancy is scarce. Some studies have suggested that general physical activity of healthy pregnant women has positive effects on perinatal HRQoL,¹⁵,¹⁶ whereas others have not found an effect.¹⁷,¹⁸

There is no evidence that lifestyle interventions aiming to reduce GDM have an effect on HRQoL.¹⁹–²³ Recent systematic reviews have looked into the effects of treating GDM with exercise, diet and combined lifestyle interventions, and concluded that the short- and long-term cost-effectiveness of the interventions remain unclear.²⁵,²⁶

This study is a secondary analysis of the Finnish Gestational Diabetes Prevention Study (RADIEL) which aimed to prevent GDM and its complications in high-risk women with a combined physical activity and dietary intervention. The RADIEL-intervention initiated during early pregnancy succeeded in reducing GDM incidence by 36% among women with normal glucose tolerance at baseline.¹⁴

The aim of this study is (i) to evaluate the effect of lifestyle counselling on HRQoL during and after pregnancy within the study population recruited in early pregnancy (including also women with early GDM) and (ii) to provide HRQoL data that can be used as a basis for the cost-utility analysis of the RADIEL-study in the future.

Methods

The RADIEL trial was a multicentre lifestyle intervention trial conducted between 2008 and 2014 in Finland.²⁷ The main aim of the trial was to evaluate the efficacy of a combined diet and physical activity intervention in prevention of GDM and related adverse outcomes, in a setting applicable to primary health care. The study sites were the Helsinki University Hospital (HUH, including the Women’s Hospital and the Kätilöpistopäte Maternity Hospital in Helsinki, and the Jorvi Hospital in Espoo) and the South-Karelia Central Hospital (SKCH) in Lappeenranta.

The participants of this trial had to be (i) at high risk for GDM (pre-pregnancy BMI 30 kg/m² or more and/or have a history of prior GDM) and (ii) in early pregnancy (<20 weeks of gestation). In addition, participants had to have sufficient Finnish language skills to answer questionnaires and to understand the given lifestyle counselling.

Exclusion criteria were age under 18, continuous medication influencing glucose metabolism (such as oral corticosteroids or metformin), multiple pregnancy, physical disability, current substance abuse and severe psychiatric disorder. All participants gave informed consent at enrolment and the Ethics Committees of HUH (14 September 2006, Dnro 300/9/06) and SKCH (11 September 2008, Dnro M60/08) approved the study.

The study visits took place three times during pregnancy (on average at 13, 23 and 35 weeks of gestation) and at 6 weeks, 6 months and 12 months after delivery.

The detailed design and methods of the intervention have been presented previously.²⁷ In summary, participants in the intervention arm received structured but individually tailored dietary and physical activity counselling from the study nurses during the study visits. In addition, the intervention included a two-hour group nutrition counselling session given by a registered dietitian in early pregnancy. Dietary counselling in the intervention group was based on Nordic Nutrition Recommendations at the time. The recommendation for physical activity was a minimum of 150 min of moderate intensity physical activity per week. Participants in the usual care arm received similar information leaflets on healthy diet and exercise as provided in public antenatal care.

GDM was defined as one or more pathological plasma glucose value in 2 h oral 75-g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) the thresholds being for PG-gluc ≥5.3, one-hour P-gluc ≥10.0 and two-hour P-gluc ≥8.6 mmol/l.

During pregnancy, all participants also attended routine communal antenatal clinic visits in primary health care centres. At the time of the study, this usual, common follow-up included 10–15 visits with a nurse and 2–3 visits with a physician. Following the national GDM guidelines, diet treatment and self-monitoring of blood glucose were routinely initiated by antenatal clinics if GDM was diagnosed. In case of repeated fasting glucose of ≥5.5 mmol/l or a one-hour postprandial value of ≥7.8 mmol/l, the antenatal clinic referred the woman to a maternity hospital for further counselling by a diabetes nurse, and to an obstetrician for an assessment regarding need of medication.

The HRQoL of the participants was assessed with the 15D. It is a validated, generic self-administered instrument and can be used both as a profile and as a single utility score measure for adults (over 16 years).²⁸ As the 15D produces a single-index score, it can potentially be used as a measure of treatment effectiveness in cost-utility analyses. The 15D has been used in several patient groups,²⁹ also among pregnant women.¹⁷,¹⁷,¹¹,²⁰,²²,²₉,₃₁

The 15D questionnaire includes 15 dimensions: moving, seeing, hearing, breathing, sleeping, eating, speech, excretion, usual activities, mental function, discomfort and symptoms, depression, distress, vitality and sexual activity.

For each dimension, the respondent chooses a value between 1 and 5 to describe her current state of health (best level =1, worst level =5). These original values are transformed into a single-index score ranging from 0 to 1, based on the multi-attribute utility theory. This total 15D score represents the subject’s HRQoL with value 1 describing the best possible situation (no problems on any dimension) and 0 the worst (equivalent to being dead).²⁸

We have tested 15D -instrument in a general pregnant population earlier and demonstrated that it is responsive to HRQoL changes women experience during pregnancy and able to differentiate important dimensions that are affected also during normal pregnancy.⁷

Statistical methods

The characteristics of the study groups are presented as means with standard deviations or as medians with interquartile range or as counts with percentages. Statistical comparison between the groups was performed by t-test, permutation test, Mann–Whitney test or Chi-square test, when appropriate. Repeated measures for 15D were analyzed using a generalized estimating equations (GEE) model with the unstructured correlation structure. GEIs were developed as an extension of the general linear model (e.g. OLS regression analysis) to analyze longitudinal and other correlated
GEE models take into account the correlation between repeated measurements in the same subject; models do not require complete data and can be fit even when individuals do not have observations at all time points. Effects in the models were group, time and the group–time interaction. All analyses were performed using STATA 15.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX).

Results

A total of 492 of women recruited in early pregnancy were eligible and randomized to the RADIEL-study, after miscarriages and lost to follow-up 470 women completed the study, 239 of them in the intervention and 231 in the control group. This analysis includes those 378 participants that returned the 15D questionnaires at baseline and at least one other time point, regardless of their OGTT results in the early pregnancy. Of the women included in this analysis, 192 received lifestyle counselling and 186 usual care.

The participants' baseline demographic and clinical characters are presented in table 1. Women of the intervention group did not differ from those of the control group regarding any of the variables assessed. In this population, the cumulative incidence of GDM was similar in the intervention and control groups (45.7 vs. 44.5%). The 15D scores of all pregnant women decreased similarly towards the third trimester with no significant difference between the intervention and the control group (adjusted for age and pre-pregnancy BMI) (figure 1). There were no differences between the 15D-scores of women who were diagnosed with GDM during the pregnancy and those who were not (figure 2). The mean 15D-scores of women in the intervention and control group were also similar despite their GDM-status (figure 3).

Discussion

In this intervention study focussing on all the high-risk women allocated in the RADIEL-study in early pregnancy (including women with early GDM) we did not see any significant positive or negative differences in HRQoL between the intervention and the control group in this studied timeline.

In a previous Finnish lifestyle intervention study, women who had at least one risk-factor for GDM and were physically active reported higher 15D-scores at the end of pregnancy compared to inactive women but regarding the change in 15D scores, the intervention was ineffective when compared to usual care. In that cluster-randomized study, the participants were not as obese as those in our study as their mean BMI was ~26 kg/m², compared to 32 kg/m² in our study. There is evidence that obesity impairs the HRQoL of women, regardless of pregnancy and also independent of pregnancy-related complications. The participants of our study remained obese throughout their pregnancy and it can be speculated what the effect of this ongoing obesity on HRQoL was in our study group.

Our study population represents a true high-risk population for GDM as the cumulative incidence of GDM during the study was over 40% in the whole study group.

In line with most previous studies, we found no correlation between the GDM-status and HRQoL at any stage of pregnancy.

Table 1 Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants in the intervention and in the control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>32.6 (4.5)</td>
<td>32.2 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight baseline (kg), mean (SD)</td>
<td>90.1 (17.6)</td>
<td>90.5 (16.9)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (BMI) (kg/m²), mean (SD)</td>
<td>32.6 (5.8)</td>
<td>32.5 (5.6)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education, mean (SD)</td>
<td>14.5 (2.0)</td>
<td>14.4 (2.1)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous deliveries, n (%)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>75 (40)</td>
<td>75 (39)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>72 (39)</td>
<td>66 (34)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>27 (15)</td>
<td>32 (17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12 (6)</td>
<td>19 (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior GDM, n (%)</td>
<td>71 (38)</td>
<td>76 (40)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure, mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic (mmHg)</td>
<td>121 (13)</td>
<td>123 (13)</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic (mmHg)</td>
<td>77 (9)</td>
<td>78 (9)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l), mean (SD)</td>
<td>5.08 (0.39)</td>
<td>5.06 (0.43)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (5)</td>
<td>8 (4)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No alcohol use, n (%)</td>
<td>176 (95)</td>
<td>185 (96)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity at baseline (min/week), median (IQR)</td>
<td>60 (30, 140)</td>
<td>60 (30, 120)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline OGTT pathological/ performed (%)</td>
<td>64/180 (36,7)</td>
<td>69/188 (37)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Some of the participants may have had fears and misconceptions concerning, e.g. the safety of physical activity during pregnancy. Misconceptions concerning, e.g. the safety of physical activity during pregnancy can affect the HRQoL negatively. There have been studies suggesting that there is an increased risk for depressive symptoms among women that are at risk for or have been diagnosed with GDM. It is also worth noticing that depression and GDM share some common socioeconomic risk-factors. In our study population, which consisted mostly of caucasian women with a higher educational status, the incidence of depressive symptoms in early pregnancy was similar to that in the general pregnant population.

It is possible that the whole cohort was motivated to healthy lifestyle choices during their pregnancy, and the study group thus represented a more motivated and co-operative sample than the common high-risk population. Another possibility is that the women in the intervention group did not adopt the intervention into their daily practices as hoped. Also, our intervention was quite minimal (visits every 3 months) in addition to standard communal care (10–15 visits during pregnancy), and it can be speculated that our intervention was not intensive enough.

It is believed that during pregnancy women are quite receptive to healthy lifestyle incentives. Barriers for making healthy choices may be internal (physical or psychological) or external (work, family, time, environment). The advice provided should be personalized and address also these individual reasons and beliefs.

The RADIEL-study intervention protocol was designed to be feasible and easy to implement in the primary-care setting. The visits to study nurse included individually modifiable dietary and physical activity counselling from trained study nurses. It remains unclear, how deeply these individual, internal and external barriers could be addressed during these visits. Also, the level and intensity of physical activity of the participants before the intervention, level of activity remain unclear. Despite encouragement to regular physical activity, some of the participants may have had fears and

**Acknowledgements**

We would like to thank Hannu Kautiainen MSc for the statistical analyses. We would also like to warmly thank women attending the RADIEL-study and the RADIEL-study nurses.

**Disclaimer**

The funders have not had any role in designing or conducting the study; in the collection, management, analysis or interpretation of the data; in the preparation, review or approval of the manuscript and in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.
Funding
The RADIEL-study has received funds from the Ahokas Foundation, the Finnish Foundation for Cardiovascular Disease, Special State Subsidy for Health Science Research of Helsinki University Central Hospital, Samfundet Folkhälsan, The Finnish Diabetes Research Foundation, the State Provincial Office of Southern Finland and the Social Insurance Institution of Finland.

Conflicts of interest: None declared.

Key points
- A combined diet and physical activity intervention did not prove to have a positive effect on HRQoL of women at high risk for GDM when the intervention was started in early pregnancy.
- Diagnosis of GDM among high-risk women does not seem to affect the HRQoL of women during pregnancy or up to 12 months postpartum.
- Motivating women at high risk for GDM to implement healthier lifestyle choices into their daily practice may be difficult.

References