<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Endovascular Treatment of Degenerative Aneurysms Involving Only the Descending Thoracic Aorta : Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Biancari, Fausto

2016-04

Biancari , F , Mariscalco , G , Mariani , S , Saari , P , Satta , J & Juvonen , T 2016 , ' Endovascular Treatment of Degenerative Aneurysms Involving Only the Descending Thoracic Aorta : Systematic Review and Meta-analysis ' , Journal of Endovascular Therapy , vol. 23 , no. 2 , pp. 387-392 . <https://doi.org/10.1177/1526602815626560>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/161342>

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1526602815626560>

publishedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

Endovascular Treatment of Degenerative Aneurysms Involving Only the Descending Thoracic Aorta: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Journal of Endovascular Therapy
2016, Vol. 23(2) 387–392
© The Author(s) 2016
Reprints and permissions:
sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav
DOI: 10.1177/1526602815626560
www.jevt.org

Fausto Biancari, MD, PhD¹, Giovanni Mariscalco, MD, PhD², Silvia Mariani, MD², Petri Saari, MD, PhD³, Jari Satta, MD, PhD¹, and Tatu Juvonen, MD, PhD^{4,5}

Abstract

Purpose: To determine the efficacy of thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR) for degenerative aneurysm involving only the descending thoracic aorta (DTAA). **Methods:** An English-language literature review was performed through PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar to identify any study evaluating the outcomes of TEVAR for DTAA. The main endpoints of this analysis were all-cause 30-day and late postoperative mortality. Secondary outcome measures were procedure success, vascular access complications, paraplegia, stroke, early endoleaks during the index hospitalization, aneurysm-related death, reinterventions, and conversion to open repair. To control for the anticipated heterogeneity among small observational studies, absolute values and means were pooled using random effects models; the results are expressed as pooled proportions, means, or risk ratio (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). **Results:** Eleven studies reporting on 673 patients (mean age 72.6 years, mean aneurysm diameter 62.9 cm) with DTAA were selected for the analysis. Technical success was reported in 91.0% of patients, and vascular access complications requiring repair were encountered in 9.7% of cases. Pooled overall 30-day, 1-year, 2-year, and 3-year survival rates were 96.0%, 80.3%, 77.3%, and 74.0%, respectively. Five studies compared the results of TEVAR after elective (n=151) and urgent/emergent procedure (n=77); the latter was a predictor of 30-day mortality (17.1% vs 1.8%, RR 3.83, 95% CI 1.18 to 12.40, p=0.025). Paraplegia occurred in 3.2% of patients and was permanent in 1.4% of patients. The stroke rate was 2.7%. Early type I endoleak was observed in 7.3%, type II endoleak in 2.0%, and type III in 1.2% of patients. The mean follow-up of 9 studies was 22.3 months. At 3 years, freedom from reintervention was 90.3%. Death secondary to aneurysm rupture and/or fistula was reported in 3.2% of patients. **Conclusion:** Current results indicate that TEVAR for DTAA can be performed with rather high technical success, low postoperative morbidity, and good 3-year survival.

Keywords

aneurysm, descending aorta, mortality, paraplegia, reintervention, stent-graft, stroke, thoracic aortic aneurysm, thoracic endovascular aortic repair

Introduction

Because the rupture risk of untreated aneurysms of the descending thoracic aorta is so pronounced,¹ a policy of repairing descending thoracic aortic aneurysms (DTAA) is advocated even in the very elderly. The introduction of endovascular technology allowed the treatment of aortic diseases with lower risk of early mortality and morbidity compared with open surgical repair.² However, comparative analyses of these 2 treatment strategies were based on small series and were not adjusted for differences between the surgical and endovascular populations.^{3–9} Still, the results suggest that endovascular repair may provide better immediate outcome with midterm results that are not inferior to open surgery.^{3–9}

A major limitation of current studies evaluating the safety and efficacy of thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR) is that only infrequently were they focused solely

¹Department of Surgery, Oulu University Hospital, Oulu, Finland

²Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, University of Leicester, Glenfield Hospital, Leicester, UK

³Department of Clinical Radiology, Kuopio University Hospital, Kuopio, Finland

⁴Department of Surgery, University of Oulu, Finland

⁵Department of Cardiac Surgery, HUCH Heart and Lung Center, Helsinki University Central Hospital, Helsinki, Finland

Corresponding Author:

Fausto Biancari, Department of Surgery, Oulu University Hospital, P.O. Box 21, 90029 Oulu, Finland.
Email: faustobiancari@yahoo.it

on atherosclerotic degenerative aneurysms involving the descending thoracic aorta. Furthermore, most of the studies also included patients with aneurysms involving the aortic arch and/or the abdominal aorta, whose treatment is expected to be more complex and risky. This void in the literature has clinical implications because DTAA most often affects the very elderly, whereas aortic dissection and blunt aortic trauma are more often observed in younger patients.¹⁰ The present study was planned to pool the immediate and late outcome data after TEVAR for DTAA alone in order to evaluate the efficacy and durability of endovascular treatment for degenerative aneurysms in this thoracic aortic segment.

Methods

Search Strategy

An English-language literature review was performed through PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar on July 15, 2015, to identify any study evaluating the outcome of TEVAR for degenerative aneurysms involving the descending thoracic aorta. The search terms were “endovascular,” “descending,” “thoracic,” “stent-graft,” and “aneurysm.” The guidelines for Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) were applied.¹¹ Three authors (F.B., S.M., G.M.) independently reviewed the abstracts and articles. Reference lists in the retrieved articles were searched.

Treatment Definition and Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria

For the purpose of this study, TEVAR was considered any stent-graft procedure for treatment of degenerative aneurysm involving only the DTA where the stent-graft was deployed in Ishimaru landing zones 2 to 4¹² without covering the celiac axis. Patients who underwent carotid-subclavian bypass were eligible, but patients who underwent any other proximal debranching procedure were not. Similarly, patients with thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm, acute or chronic aortic dissection, penetrating atherosclerotic ulcer, blunt trauma, or any distal debranching procedure were ineligible.

Each study was independently evaluated by all coauthors for inclusion or exclusion from this analysis. To enter this analysis, studies had to (1) provide detailed baseline, operative, and outcome data of patients who underwent TEVAR for DTAA, (2) be a prospective or retrospective observational investigation of ≥ 20 adult patients, (3) be published in the English language as a full article after 1999, and (4) report on at least immediate postoperative mortality. The language of the articles was defined as reported in PubMed. Data from abstracts or unpublished material were not included.

Articles were ineligible for analysis if they (1) reported ambiguous or inaccurate data (discrepancies between the text and tables); (2) had no information on baseline characteristics, treatment modality, need and extent of debranching procedure, or data on the primary endpoint; (3) derived data from administrative databases; or (4) reported mixed data on TEVAR for aneurysms other than those solely in the DTA.

Data Extraction

Data were retrieved only from the articles, and no attempt was made to obtain specific or missing data from the authors. The following data were extracted: first author, year of publication, study period, study design, number of patients, gender, aneurysm size, major comorbidities, proximal and distal landing zones, debranching procedures, use of prophylactic cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) drainage, procedure success, number of stent-graft devices implanted, 30-day mortality, paraplegia, stroke, types of early endoleaks, length of follow-up, overall survival, aneurysm-related deaths, reinterventions, and conversions to open repair.

Outcome Measures

The main endpoints of this analysis were all-cause 30-day and late postoperative mortality. Secondary outcome measures were procedure success, vascular access complications, paraplegia, stroke, endoleak during the index hospitalization, aneurysm-related death, reinterventions, and conversion to open repair. Definitions for these endpoints were those adopted by the investigators of the included studies. Aneurysm-related death included any mortality related directly to the aneurysm, such as rupture or fistula, ascertained at the time of treatment or at autopsy.

Statistical Analysis

To control for the anticipated heterogeneity among small observational studies, absolute values and means were pooled using random effects models; the results are expressed as pooled proportions or means with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Analysis of time-to-event outcome endpoints was performed by calculating the number of patients at risk from available survival curves and tables using a spreadsheet developed by Tierney and collaborators.¹³ Heterogeneity across studies was evaluated using the I^2 test. The quality of the included studies was assessed using the National Heart, Blood, and Lung Institute (NHLBI) criteria for study quality assessment of cases series (<http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-pro/guidelines/in-develop/cardiovascular-risk-reduction/tools/>). Sensitivity analysis and meta-regression were performed to evaluate the impact of

Figure 1. Flowchart of the literature search.

covariates on the development of postoperative stroke. $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using the open access software Open Meta-Analyst (Brown University, Providence, RI, USA; <http://www.cebm.brown.edu/openmeta/>)

Results

Figure 1 summarizes the results of the literature search. Eleven studies reporting on 673 patients with DTAA were included in this meta-analysis.^{7,9,14–22} Characteristics of these studies are summarized in Table 1. Patients undergoing TEVAR had a mean age of 72.6 years, and their mean maximum aneurysm diameter was 62.9 mm (Table 2).

There was a high prevalence of coronary artery disease (46.8%) and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (38.4%). The stent-graft was deployed into Ishimaru zone 2 in 14.1% of cases, and debranching procedures for revascularization of the left subclavian artery (LSA) were performed in 14.1% of patients. A mean 1.8 stent-grafts was deployed in procedures with a mean duration of 146 minutes. Eight of 11 studies were of good quality as assessed by the NHBLI criteria.

Table 3 summarizes the pooled immediate and late outcome after TEVAR. Technical success was reported in 91.0% of patients, and vascular access complications requiring repair were encountered in 9.7% of cases. Type I endoleak was observed early after TEVAR in 7.3%, type II endoleak in 2.0%, and type III in 1.2% of patients.

Pooled overall 30-day mortality was 4.0% (95% CI 2.0 to 6.0, $I^2=42\%$). Five studies compared the results of TEVAR after elective ($n=151$) and urgent/emergent procedures ($n=77$); the latter was a predictive factor for 30-day mortality [17.1% vs 1.8%, risk ratio (RR) 3.83, 95% CI 1.18 to 12.40, $p=0.025$]. Nine studies provided data on at least 1-year survival based on a mean follow-up of 22.3 months. Pooled overall 1-, 2-, and 3-year survival rates were 80.3%, 77.3%, and 74.0%, respectively (Table 3, Figure 2). Death secondary to aneurysm rupture and/or fistula was reported in 3.2% of patients.

Paraplegia occurred in 3.2% of patients and was permanent in 1.4%. In 2 (8.7%) of 23 cases, postoperative paraplegia was reversed by blood pressure management and CSF drainage.^{14,18} Data on CSF drainage were reported in only 5 studies; this neuroprotective method was employed in 30.6% of 305 patients.

Five studies evaluated the risk of stroke with and without coverage of the LSA. The stroke rate was 2.7%. LSA

Table 1. Characteristics of Studies Evaluating Outcomes After Endovascular Treatment for Degenerative Aneurysm of the Descending Thoracic Aorta.

Author, Year, Country	Study Period	Study Design	Study Quality ^a	N	Elective Cases ^b	Stent-Grafts	Ishimaru Landing Zone, 2/3-4 ^b	Debranching Procedures ^b
Neuhauser, 2004, Austria	1997–2003	R	Fair	31	58	Mixed	26/74	3
Makaroun, 2005, USA	1999–2001	P	Good	139	100	Gore TAG	20/80	20
Glade, 2005, Netherlands	1999–2003	R	Good	42	83	Mixed	0/100	0
Marcheix, 2006, France	1996–2005	P	Good	45	82	Mixed	13/87	0
Appoo, 2006, USA	1999–2005	P	Good	99	—	Mixed	20/80	20
Cambria, 2009, USA	2005–2007	P	Good	20	0	Gore TAG	0/100	0
Hughes, 2010, USA	2005–2009	R	Fair	79	30	Mixed	42/58	5
Desai, 2012, USA	1995–2007	P	Good	106	92	Mixed	20/81	17
Yunoki, 2014, Japan	2008–2011	R	Good	36	100	Gore TAG	0/100	0
Saratzis, 2007, Greece	2003–2005	R	Fair	23	100	EndoFit	0/23	0
Saari, 2013, Finland	1998–2010	R	Good	53	77	Mixed	9/44	8

Abbreviations: P, prospective; R, retrospective.

^aQuality of these studies was assessed by the National Heart, Blood, and Lung Institute criteria for quality assessment of case series.

^bData are given as the percentages.

Table 2. Pooled Baseline and Operative Characteristics of 673 Patients Who Underwent Endovascular Treatment for Degenerative Aneurysm of the Descending Thoracic Aorta.

Variables	Pooled Proportion, % ^a	Mean ^a	Studies, n	Patients, n	I ² , %
Age, y		72.6 (71.3 to 73.9)	9	608	59
Women	29.9 (15.8 to 43.9)		8	566	94
CAD	46.8 (34.4 to 59.1)		10	631	91
CVD	10.7 (7.8 to 13.6)		7	441	0
COPD	38.4 (27.9 to 48.9)		11	673	89
Aneurysm diameter, mm		62.9 (60.4 to 65.3)	9	547	69
Prior aortic procedure	22.2 (1.0 to 34.7)		6	321	90
Elective procedure	72.4 (55.1 to 89.6)		10	574	99
Ishimaru landing zones			11	673	
2	14.1 (7.6 to 20.7)		—	—	91
3/4	86.0 (79.5 to 92.6)		—	—	91
LSA coverage	14.1 (7.6 to 20.7)		11	673	91
Debranching procedure	7.3 (3.4 to 11.3)		11	673	85
CSF drainage	30.6 (8.1 to 53.0)		5	305	97
Procedure duration, min		146 (111 to 181)	5	290	95
No. of devices implanted		1.8 (1.6 to 2.1)	11	673	97

Abbreviations: CAD, coronary artery disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; CVD, cerebrovascular disease; LSA, left subclavian artery.

^aData are given with the 95% confidence interval in parentheses.

Table 3. Outcomes After Endovascular Treatment for Degenerative Aneurysm of the Descending Thoracic Aorta.

Outcome Measure	Pooled Proportion, % ^a	Mean ^a	Studies, n	Patients, n ^b	I ² , %
Mortality at 30 days	4.0 (2.0 to 6.0)		11	673	42
Technical success	91.0 (86.1 to 95.9)		10	426	91
Access complications requiring repair	9.7 (4.1 to 15.2)		8	435	80
Paraplegia	3.2 (1.9 to 4.5)		11	673	0
Permanent paresis	1.4 (0.6 to 2.3)		11	673	0
Stroke	2.7 (1.5 to 3.9)		11	673	0
Early endoleaks					
Type I	7.3 (3.5 to 11.1)		10	567	78
Type II	2.0 (0.8 to 3.2)		10	567	5
Type III	1.2 (0.2 to 2.3)		10	567	23
Early or late reintervention	9.6 (6.5 to 12.7)		11	673	45
Early or late surgical reoperation	1.4 (0.5 to 2.2)		10	653	0
Aneurysm rupture/fistula-related death	3.2 (1.2 to 5.2)		9	525	42
Length of follow-up, mo		22.3 (17.7 to 27.0)	9	468	89
Survival					
1-year	80.3 (72.2 to 88.4)		9	545	88
2-year	77.3 (69.4 to 85.4)		8	457	76
3-year	74.0 (65.3 to 82.7)		6	277	62
Freedom from reintervention					
1-year	93.4 (89.3 to 97.5)		5	279	50
2-year	91.8 (87.6 to 96.1)		5	224	28
3-year	90.3 (85.3 to 95.4)		5	153	15

^aData are given with the 95% confidence interval in parentheses.

^bThe number of patients at risk at each follow-up interval was estimated using the method of Tierney et al.¹³

coverage was associated with a trend toward increased risk of stroke (pooled rates: 4.8% vs 3.1%, RR 2.26, 95% CI 0.40 to 12.46, $p=0.350$). The risk of stroke was not

increased either by the proportion of coverage of the LSA ($p=0.517$) or the proportion of debranching procedures ($p=0.317$).

Figure 2. Pooled survival and freedom from aortic reintervention after endovascular treatment for degenerative aneurysm involving only the descending aorta.

Reintervention for complications after TEVAR was required in 9.6% of patients, with a freedom from reoperation of 90.3% at 3 years (Table 3, Figure 2). Open surgical reoperation was needed in 1.4% of patients.

Discussion

DTAA is a life-threatening condition in which the yearly rupture risk of aneurysms >6 cm can exceed 10% in the elderly, particularly in the presence of pulmonary disease and chest pain.¹ Elefteriades²³ estimated that the yearly risk of rupture, dissection, or death of patients with any thoracic aneurysm >6 cm is about 14%. Although open surgery can be considered the standard procedure with a low risk of late complications, it is a major procedure associated with significant risk of mortality and morbidity.² TEVAR seems to be associated with excellent early results compared with open surgery despite a somewhat higher risk profile of patients undergoing endovascular procedures. These results, as well as its less invasive nature, are reasonable arguments in favor of TEVAR, particularly in the treatment of DTAA in the elderly. Any less invasive procedure carrying a lower risk of morbidity should be preferred in fragile, elderly patients. Indeed, an interventional policy that does not consider the high risk of postoperative morbidity associated with major cardiovascular surgery in the elderly can still achieve satisfactory postoperative survival, but with high social costs and poor quality of life in a number of survivors.²⁴

The present pooled analysis indicates that TEVAR is a valid treatment in elderly patients with degenerative atherosclerotic aneurysm involving only the descending thoracic aorta. The study population was rather old and with high prevalence of coronary artery and pulmonary diseases. Importantly, one third of these patients underwent urgent/emergency procedures, which was associated with a significantly increased risk of

mortality. However, elective TEVAR was associated with a $<2\%$ 30-day mortality, which can be considered excellent. Although 3-year pooled survival was 74%, it is worth noting that about 20% of patients had died by the 1-year follow-up. This figure can be partly explained by the high mortality in patients undergoing urgent/emergency operation. Furthermore, suboptimal intermediate survival was due to the poor health status suggested by the high prevalence of comorbidities.

The incidence of paraplegia was low, particularly when one considers that CSF drainage was used in a limited number of patients. It is likely that prophylactic neuroprotective strategies should be considered more frequently, particularly in patients undergoing coverage of the LSA and/or of the lowest third of the descending thoracic aorta, as well as in the setting of previous abdominal aortic aneurysm repair.^{16–18}

TEVAR was performed in this series with a pooled technical success of 91% and a 7% incidence of early type I endoleak, which likely may be even lower with the use of newer devices.¹⁸ This translated into a 90% freedom from aortic reintervention at 3 years. The low proportion of patients with early and late aneurysm rupture/fistula-related mortality could be underestimated owing to the lack of autopsy data in all deceased patients.

About 10% of the population had vascular access complications requiring surgical or endovascular repair. Such complications are likely to decrease in the future with the increasing experience and technological development of TEVAR devices. However, all efforts should be made to avoid major vascular complications as the experience with transcatheter aortic valve replacement has shown that these sequelae can be associated with a significantly increased risk of mortality and morbidity, as well as incremental costs.^{25,26}

Limitations

The major pitfall of the included studies was the rather short follow-up. In a few studies, the potential follow-up could have been almost 10 years,⁹ but still the authors did not provide data with enough long-term follow-up. This is a critical issue as these elderly patients, despite their comorbidities, may still have a rather long life expectancy as suggested by the 3-year pooled survival in this analysis. Longevity may favor the evolution of aneurysm disease as well as stent-graft fatigue. Six studies were retrospective and most of the studies failed to provide details on their methods of follow-up. The latter is a major shortcoming in the assessment of these high-risk patients as it may easily lead to overestimation of the survival outcome.

Autopsy was not performed in all patients who died suddenly, which may result in an underestimation of aneurysm-related events. In fact, a recent multicenter study performed in Finland,²² where the autopsy rate is rather high and follow-up data are easy to collect, showed poorer 3-year survival (57%) and freedom from aneurysm-related death (89%).

Seven of 11 series included patients treated during the 1990s, which means that current results could be even

better than represented in these pooled data as a result of significant improvements in stent-graft technology along with accumulated experience in major endovascular procedures.¹⁸

Conclusion

There are limited data on the long-term outcome after TEVAR, which prevents conclusive opinions on the durability of this treatment. However, the available data showed that TEVAR for DTAA is safe and associated with rather low early mortality and morbidity risk. Pooled 3-year survival of these patients appeared to be satisfactory.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

- Juvonen T, Ergin MA, Galla JD, et al. Prospective study of the natural history of thoracic aortic aneurysms. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1997;63:1533–1545.
- Walsh SR, Tang TY, Sadat U, et al. Endovascular stenting versus open surgery for thoracic aortic disease: systematic review and meta-analysis of perioperative results. *J Vasc Surg.* 2008;47:1094–1098.
- Andrassy J, Weidenhagen R, Meimarakis G, et al. Endovascular versus open treatment of degenerative aneurysms of the descending thoracic aorta: a single center experience. *Vascular.* 2011;19:8–14.
- Patel HJ, Williams DM, Upchurch GR Jr, et al. A comparison of open and endovascular descending thoracic aortic repair in patients older than 75 years of age. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2008;85:1597–1603.
- Narayan P, Wong A, Davies I, et al. Thoracic endovascular repair versus open surgical repair - which is the more cost-effective intervention for descending thoracic aortic pathologies? *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2011;40:869–874.
- Dick F, Hinder D, Immer FF, et al. Outcome and quality of life after surgical and endovascular treatment of descending aortic lesions. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2008;85:1605–1613.
- Glade GJ, Vahl AC, Wisselink W, et al. Mid-term survival and costs of treatment of patients with descending thoracic aortic aneurysms. Endovascular vs. open repair: a case-control study. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2005;29:28–34.
- Makaroun MS, Dillavou ED, Wheatley GH, et al. Five-year results of endovascular treatment with the Gore TAG device compared with open repair of thoracic aortic aneurysms. *J Vasc Surg.* 2008;47:912–918.
- Desai ND, Burtch K, Moser W, et al. Long-term comparison of thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR) to open surgery for the treatment of thoracic aortic aneurysms. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2012;144:604–609.
- Leurs LJ, Bell R, Degrieck Y, et al. Endovascular treatment of thoracic aortic diseases: combined experience from the EUROSTAR and United Kingdom Thoracic Endograft registries. *J Vasc Surg.* 2004;40:670–679.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *BMJ.* 2009;339:b2535.
- Ishimaru S. Endografting of the aortic arch. *J Endovasc Ther.* 2004;11(Suppl 2):II62–71.
- Tierney JF, Stewart LA, Ghersi D, et al. Practical methods for incorporating summary time-to-event data into meta-analysis. *Trials.* 2007;8:16.
- Yunoki J, Kuratani T, Shirakawa Y, et al. Mid-term results of endovascular treatment with the Gore TAG device for degenerative descending thoracic aortic aneurysms. *Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2015;63:38–42.
- Marcheix B, Dambrin C, Bolduc JP, et al. Midterm results of endovascular treatment of atherosclerotic aneurysms of the descending thoracic aorta. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2006;132:1030–1036.
- Makaroun MS, Dillavou ED, Kee ST, et al. Endovascular treatment of thoracic aortic aneurysms: results of the phase II multicenter trial of the GORE TAG thoracic endoprosthesis. *J Vasc Surg.* 2005;41:1–9.
- Neuhauser B, Perkmann R, Greiner A, et al. Mid-term results after endovascular repair of the atherosclerotic descending thoracic aortic aneurysm. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2004;28:146–153.
- Appoo JJ, Moser WG, Fairman RM, et al. Thoracic aortic stent grafting: improving results with newer generation investigational devices. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2006;131:1087–1094.
- Cambria RP, Crawford RS, Cho JS, et al. A multicenter clinical trial of endovascular stent graft repair of acute catastrophes of the descending thoracic aorta. *J Vasc Surg.* 2009;50:1255–1264.
- Hughes GC, Lee SM, Daneshmand MA, et al. Endovascular repair of descending thoracic aneurysms: results with “on-label” application in the post Food and Drug Administration approval era. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2010;90:83–89.
- Saratzis N, Saratzis A, Melas N, et al. Endovascular treatment of descending thoracic aortic aneurysms with the EndoFit stent-graft. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* 2007;30:177–181.
- Saari P, Biancari F, Ihlberg L, et al. Early and midterm outcomes after endovascular treatment of degenerative aneurysms of the descending thoracic aorta: a Finnish multicenter study. *J Endovasc Ther.* 2013;20:257–264.
- Elefteriades JA. Natural history of thoracic aortic aneurysms: indications for surgery, and surgical versus nonsurgical risks. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2002;74:S1877–1880.
- McKneally MF. “We didn’t expect dementia and diapers”: reflections on the Nihon experience with type A aortic dissection in octogenarians. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2008;135:984–985.
- Généreux P, Webb JG, Svensson LG, et al. Vascular complications after transcatheter aortic valve replacement: insights from the PARTNER (Placement of AoRTic TraNscatheter Valve) trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2012;60:1043–1052.
- Mwipatayi BP, Picardo A, Masilonyane-Jones TV, et al. Incidence and prognosis of vascular complications after transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *J Vasc Surg.* 2013;58:1028–1036.