<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Twenty-first century changes in snowfall climate in Northern Europe in ENSEMBLES regional climate models

Räisänen, Jouni

2016-01

Räisänen , J 2016 , ' Twenty-first century changes in snowfall climate in Northern Europe in ENSEMBLES regional climate models ' , Climate dynamics : observational, theoretical and computational research on the climate system , vol. 46 , no. 1-2 , pp. 339-353 . <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-015-2587-0>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/173355>

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-015-2587-0>

acceptedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

21st century changes in snowfall climate in Northern Europe in ENSEMBLES regional climate models

Jouni Räisänen

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Finland

Submitted to Climate Dynamics, 8 December 2014

Revised, 23 March 2015

Corresponding author

Jouni Räisänen

Department of Physics, P.O. Box 48 (Erik Palménin aukio 1),

FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

Phone +358-2941 50872; Fax + 358-2941 48802

Email: jouni.raisanen@helsinki.fi

1 **Abstract**

2 Changes in snowfall in northern Europe (55-71°N, 5-35°E) are analysed from 12
3 regional model simulations of 21st century climate under the Special Report on
4 Emissions Scenarios A1B scenario. As an ensemble mean, the models suggest a
5 decrease in the winter total snowfall in nearly all of northern Europe. In the
6 middle of the winter, however, snowfall generally increases in the coldest areas.
7 The borderline between increasing and decreasing snowfall broadly coincides
8 with the -11°C isotherm in baseline (1980-2010) monthly mean temperature,
9 although with variation between models and grid boxes. High extremes of daily
10 snowfall remain nearly unchanged, except for decreases in the mildest areas,
11 where snowfall as a whole becomes much less common. A smaller fraction of the
12 snow in the simulated late 21st century climate falls on severely cold days and a
13 larger fraction on days with near-zero temperatures. Not only do days with low
14 temperatures become less common, but they also typically have more positive
15 anomalies of sea level pressure and less snowfall for the same temperature than in
16 the present-day climate.

17

18 **KEYWORDS:** climate change, climate projection, snowfall, extreme snowfall,
19 regional climate model, ENSEMBLES, northern Europe

20

21

22 **1. Introduction**

23 Snowfall and snow affect human activities in several ways. The winter snowpack
24 acts as a reservoir of water, thus delaying the release of precipitation to the soil
25 and alleviating drought in areas with modest summer precipitation. Snow also
26 provides opportunities for winter sports, and the light reflected by snow enhances
27 illumination in high-latitude areas where sunlight is scarce in midwinter. On the
28 other hand, snow frequently becomes a complication or even a hazard for many
29 forms of traffic, particularly when a lot of it falls in a short time. The slippery
30 conditions created by snow and ice increase both car accidents (Eisenberg and
31 Warner 2005) and falling injuries of pedestrians (Rális 1981, Karlsson 2014).
32 Snow also tends to be a major culprit of delays in railway traffic, as discussed for
33 Finnish conditions by Lehtonen (2015). These problems can be reduced by
34 ploughing snow off from roads, sidewalks and trails soon after it falls. However, a
35 trade-off exists between the cost of the ploughing capacity maintained and its
36 ability to eliminate disruption after major snowfall events. When planning
37 adaptation to a changing climate, information is thus required on changes in the
38 amount and characteristics of snowfall.

39
40 Projections of 21st century greenhouse gas induced climate change in high
41 northern latitudes in winter indicate a substantial warming combined with an
42 increase in precipitation (e.g. Collins et al. 2013). These changes have opposing
43 effects on snow conditions, but their relative importance depends on the baseline
44 climate. In areas currently characterized by slightly sub-zero winter mean
45 temperatures, the frequency of positive temperatures increases steeply with
46 warming, strongly promoting decreases in snowfall and snow amount. In regions
47 with a much colder present-day climate, however, temperatures in winter will
48 largely remain below zero even after a moderate warming. There, changes in
49 snow conditions are more likely to be dominated by the increase in precipitation.

50
51 The relative importance of temperature and precipitation changes also depends on
52 the aspect of snow climate considered (Räisänen 2008, Krasting et al. 2013,
53 Kapnick and Delworth 2013). The amount of snow on ground in late winter is
54 strongly sensitive to warming, because the increased occurrence of above-zero

55 temperatures both reduces the fraction of precipitation that falls as snow and
56 enhances mid-winter melting of snow. In the Coupled Model Intercomparison
57 Project third phase (CMIP3) simulations of 21st century climate under the Special
58 Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES) A1B scenario (Nakićenović and Swart
59 2000), Räisänen (2008) found the March mean snow water equivalent (SWE) to
60 increase only in the coldest areas. The borderline between increasing and
61 decreasing SWE broadly coincided with the -20°C isotherm in the present-day
62 November-to-March (NDJFM) mean temperature. However, increases in snowfall
63 are likely to cover a much wider area. In the CMIP5 simulations for the
64 Representative Concentration Pathways RCP4.5 scenario (van Vuuren et al.
65 2011), increases in seasonal mean snowfall tend to dominate when and where
66 present-day mean temperatures are below -10°C (Krasting et al. 2013).

67

68 Changes in extreme daily snowfall might differ from those in the mean snowfall.
69 Reflecting the higher moisture holding capacity of warmer air, climate models
70 project a widespread increase in precipitation extremes on daily time scales, even
71 in some areas where the mean precipitation decreases (Seneviratne et al. 2012).
72 On the other hand, precipitation only falls as snow when it is cold enough. In
73 climate models as well as in reality (O' Gorman 2014), the heaviest snowfall
74 events occur when the surface air temperature is close to or slightly below zero.
75 This intuitively suggests that, in areas where such temperatures are common both
76 at present and in the future, the statistics of extreme snowfall should not change
77 very much. Yet, in sufficiently cold (mild) areas, the warming of climate should
78 increase (decrease) the frequency of marginally negative temperatures, thus
79 leading to an increase (decrease) in extreme snowfall. O' Gorman (2014) put
80 these expectations in a more quantitative form, and showed that they largely hold
81 when statistics of extreme snowfall in the CMIP5 simulations are aggregated over
82 the Northern Hemisphere land areas. A key result of his analysis is that increases
83 in snowfall extremes will extend to milder areas than increases in mean snowfall.

84

85 Räisänen and Eklund (2012, hereafter RE) studied projected 21st century changes
86 in snow climate in northern Europe (55-71°N, 5-35°E), using 11 regional climate
87 model (RCM) simulations from the ENSEMBLES (Ensembles-Based Predictions
88 of Climate Changes and Their Impacts; van der Linden and Mitchell 2009)

89 project. They found the ensemble-averaged March mean SWE to decrease nearly
90 everywhere in the area, as expected for its relatively mild temperature regime,
91 although increases were simulated in the coldest parts in some of the individual
92 models. They also reported an increase in ensemble mean snowfall over the
93 Scandinavian mountains and much of Lapland in the NDJFM season, but a
94 decrease in milder areas and in the autumn and spring months. The ENSEMBLES
95 data set has two advantages compared with global climate models: the relatively
96 high (25 km) resolution which allows the regional topography and land-sea
97 distribution to be better described, and a more realistic present-day temperature
98 climate. Most global climate models, particularly those in CMIP3, simulate too
99 cold winter temperatures in northern Europe (Räisänen and Ylhäisi 2014) and
100 therefore probably underestimate the vulnerability of snow to warming of climate.

101

102 Here, we extend the analysis of RE by providing a more detailed assessment of
103 the snowfall projections. In particular, we investigate statistics of daily snowfall in
104 the ENSEMBLES simulations, focusing on heavy-to-extreme events that have the
105 largest impact on society. Will there be more or less heavy snowfall in northern
106 Europe in the future? How will the seasonality of heavy snowfall events change?
107 We also explore the relationship between temperature and snowfall in some detail.
108 How do the projected changes in mean and extreme snowfall in northern Europe
109 depend on the baseline temperatures? Can the changes in snowfall climate be
110 explained directly by the changing distribution of daily temperatures, or are there
111 other factors in play?

112

113 We first introduce the data sets used in Section 2. In Section 3, we start with an
114 overview of the ensemble mean changes in winter climate and particularly
115 snowfall statistics in the ENSEMBLES simulations, and then proceed to discuss
116 the differences between the individual models and the seasonality of the simulated
117 changes. In the end of Section 3, the relationships between temperature and
118 snowfall are studied from several angles. The conclusions are given in Section 4.

119 **2. Data sets**

120 We use 12 ENSEMBLES RCM simulations, including the 11 used in RE and one
121 (CNRM-A in the list given in Table 1) that has become available later. All these

122 simulations were run at 25 km horizontal resolution using the SRES A1B scenario
123 and cover at least the years 1961-2099. In terms of the CO₂ emissions and
124 projected global warming, SRES A1B is a medium-to-high scenario, slightly
125 exceeding the second highest of the recently adopted RCP scenarios, RCP 6.0
126 (Rogelj et al. 2012). The ensemble holds data from nine RCMs driven by
127 boundary data from six GCMs, counting the three global and regional climate
128 model versions from the HadCM3/HadRM3 perturbed-parameter ensemble
129 (Collins et al. 2010) separately (Table 1). For the common analysis, all the RCM
130 simulations were regridded to a regular $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$ latitude-longitude grid.
131 When analyzing the climate changes simulated by the models, we mostly focus on
132 the differences between a recent baseline period including 30 full winters (August
133 1980 – July 2010) and a 30-year period in the end of this century (August 2069 –
134 July 2099), but also show some results for an intermediate future period (August
135 2025 – July 2055).

136

137 Unweighted 12-simulation means (referred to as ensemble means) are used to
138 characterize the typical behaviour of the models. Due to the strong dependence of
139 RCM-simulated climates on the driving GCM (Räisänen et al. 2004; Déqué et al.
140 2007), an equally justified alternative would be to give the same total weight for
141 each group of RCM simulations driven by the same GCM. The difference
142 between these options was found to be unimportant for our general conclusions.

143

144 To evaluate how well the model results for the baseline period agree with the
145 observed climate, we use station-based gridded (0.25° latitude $\times$ 0.25° longitude)
146 analyses of daily temperature, precipitation and sea level pressure from the E-
147 OBS v10.0 data set (Haylock et al. 2008, van den Besselaar et al. 2011). Daily
148 snowfall is not directly verifiable against observations, but for Fig. 7 in Section 3.
149 6 we derive a rough estimate by assuming that the fraction of solid precipitation in
150 the real world has the same temperature dependence as on the average simulated
151 by the models, which include both snowfall and total precipitation in their output.

152

153 Some parts of our analysis focus on two specific regions, denoted as Lapland and
154 southern Finland (see top-left panel in Fig. 1). Lapland is the coldest part of
155 Fennoscandia, and is defined here as the area where the ensemble-averaged

NDJFM mean temperature is below -10°C . Southern Finland, encompassing a belt between the south coast of Finland and latitude 61°N , exemplifies an area with a milder climate. This region encompasses nearly half of the Finnish population (Tilastokeskus 2014), and it has recently experienced several snowy winters that caused disruption in road and train traffic particularly in the greater Helsinki area (Lehtonen 2015). Although much smaller in area than Lapland (957 grid boxes, $281\,000\text{ km}^2$), Southern Finland (77 grid boxes, $29\,000\text{ km}^2$) is still reasonably well resolved in the ENSEMBLES RCMs.

3. Results

3.1 Ensemble mean changes in winter climate

An overview of the simulated changes in winter climate is given in Fig. 1, using the 12-model means to characterize typical model behavior. For reference, the ensemble mean baseline values are given in the first column. For temperature and precipitation, we select NDJFM to characterize the main snowfall season, although some snow also falls before and after this season, particularly in the north. In Southern Finland (Lapland), on the average 89% (67%) of the simulated annual snowfall in 1980-2010 occurs in NDJFM.

The average model-simulated temperature replicates the observed NDJFM mean temperature relatively well, although with a slight cold bias in most areas (not shown). In Southern Finland (Lapland), the average bias relative to E-OBS is -0.8°C (-1.4°C). For NDFJM precipitation, the agreement with the observations is worse, with the simulated values exceeding the E-OBS estimate in most areas except for western Norway. In Southern Finland (Lapland), the average bias is 26% (52%). However, as discussed by RE, a large part of this discrepancy may result from undercatch of (particularly solid) precipitation by rain gauges together with their uneven spatial distribution. Despite the apparent positive bias in precipitation, RE found a good agreement between ensemble mean simulated and observation-based estimates of SWE in Finland, although with large variation between the individual models (their Figs. 2 and 3e). Also note that these mean values include one model (DMI-E5) which simulates substantially more

188 precipitation than the others. Without DMI-E5, the ensemble mean precipitation
189 bias is reduced to 19% (46%) in Southern Finland (Lapland).

190

191 By the years 2069-2099, the ensemble-averaged NDJFM mean temperature
192 increases by 3-6°C, with the smallest warming in southwestern Scandinavia and
193 the largest warming in northeastern Lapland. The NDJFM precipitation increases
194 by 20-30% in much of Sweden and Finland, but less in most of Norway and near
195 the Baltic Sea coastlines. The changes from 1980-2010 to 2025-2055 share largely
196 the same geographical patterns but are typically at most a half of the changes
197 simulated by 2069-2099.

198

199 The total annual snowfall is largest over the Scandinavia mountains, where low
200 temperatures are combined with abundant precipitation (3rd row of Fig. 1). In
201 Finland and Sweden, in particular, the northward increase in winter length and
202 severity dominates over the northward decrease in total precipitation, resulting in
203 more snowfall in the north than in the south. During the 21st century, the
204 ensemble-averaged mean annual snowfall decreases nearly everywhere in
205 northern Europe, excluding a few grid boxes over the northern Scandinavian
206 mountains. This differs from Fig. 4 of RE, which showed a much wider area of
207 increasing NDJFM snowfall in northern Fennoscandia. The decrease in annual
208 snowfall where the NDJFM snowfall increases results from decreases before
209 November in the autumn and after March in the spring.

210

211 As an indicator of snowfall extremes, the average annual (August to July)
212 maximum one-day snowfall is shown in the bottom row of Fig. 1. The highest
213 values, up to 50 mm water equivalent (WE), again occur over the Scandinavian
214 mountains, but the general northward increase is smaller than for the total annual
215 snowfall. A local maximum stands out at the east coast of Sweden. This is an area
216 known for its occasionally extreme snowstorms, which are caused by mesoscale
217 snow bands that form in a cold northeasterly air flow over an ice-free Gulf of
218 Bothnia in early winter (Andræ 2002, Savijärvi 2012). During the 21st century, the
219 one-day snowfall maxima are clearly reduced in the mildest areas, such as the
220 west coast of Norway and southern Sweden, but they still decrease less than the
221 total annual snowfall. Elsewhere, the changes in maximum snowfall remain

222 mostly within ± 10 % even in the end of the century, with slight increases in much
223 of the inland.

224

225 The decrease in annual total snowfall is due to a reduced number of snowfall
226 days, rather than smaller snowfall amounts in these days. While the frequency of
227 days with proper snowfall (at least 1 mmWE) decreases everywhere in northern
228 Europe, the average snowfall intensity for this group of days actually increases
229 slightly in most of the area (Fig. 2). In western Norway, snowfall intensity
230 decreases, but much less than snowfall frequency.

231

232 A closer view of the upper end of the daily snowfall distribution in Southern
233 Finland and Lapland is given in Fig. 3. The left panels represent the intensities of
234 the strongest 500 one-day snowfall events in the years 1980-2010, as averaged
235 over all grid boxes in the two areas. In terms of the ensemble mean snowfall
236 intensity in 1980-2010, this covers in southern Finland a range from 4 to 25
237 mmWE (the 500th strongest and the strongest event, respectively) and in Lapland
238 a range from 6 to 34 mmWE, although with large variation between the individual
239 simulations. The average annual maxima, as used in Fig. 1, approximately
240 correspond to the 18th event in both areas.

241

242 Reflecting the overall decrease in the number of snowfall days from 1980-2010 to
243 2069-2099, an ensemble mean decrease of 20-45% occurs in the intensity of the
244 100th to 500th ranked (i.e. 3 to 17 cases per year) snowfall events in Southern
245 Finland. However, the change becomes less negative towards the extreme upper
246 end, with virtually no difference in the intensity of the strongest 5 events between
247 1980-2010 and 2069-2099. In Lapland, the ensemble-averaged intensities in the
248 two periods are nearly identical (within $\pm 2\%$) for the strongest 150 events, but a
249 slight decrease is found for weaker events. The near lack of change in the
250 strongest extremes, as found in both areas, concurs well with the average behavior
251 of the CMIP5 models over the Northern Hemisphere continents (O’Gorman
252 2014).

253 ***3.2 Variation between individual models***

254 Table 2 shows the changes in time and area mean annual snowfall and annual
255 maximum one-day snowfall from 1980-2010 to 2069-2099 separately for the

individual models. Although a sample of 12 simulations is insufficient for a full uncertainty analysis (particularly as many of them were made using the same RCM or the same driving GCM), the variation of these projections gives some information on their reliability. On the other hand, the apparent sensitivity of the snow and snowfall projections on the baseline temperature climate (Fig. 1 and the studies cited in the introduction) raises the question whether the range of the simulated changes might have been amplified by baseline temperature biases in some individual models. Therefore, Table 2 also includes the 1980-2010 mean NDJFM temperatures, as well as the projected temperature and precipitation changes.

The mean annual snowfall decreases from 1980-2010 to 2069-2099 in all 12 models, by 27-59% in Southern Finland and 7-29% in Lapland (Table 2). The average annual maximum one-day snowfall decreases in Southern Finland by 5-20%, excluding a 12% increase in SMHI-H3. In Lapland, the changes are small in all models, with a range of -10% to 9%. Towards the extreme end of the distribution, the variation between the models increases, particularly in Southern Finland (Fig. 3). The smaller intermodel variation in changes of extreme snowfall in Lapland reflects the larger averaging domain, which makes the area mean statistics less sensitive to individual snowstorms.

The changes in both the mean and maximum snowfall tend to grow more negative with increasing baseline NDJFM mean temperature. This intermodel correlation follows physical expectations, but is only statistically significant for the mean snowfall in Lapland and maximum snowfall in southern Finland (bottom of Table 2). In any case, the SMHI-BCM simulation with the coldest 1980-2010 NDJFM mean temperature in Lapland also shows the smallest decrease in mean snowfall in this area. Similarly, in Southern Finland SMHI-H3 stands out as the model with the coldest baseline climate, the smallest decrease in mean snowfall, and an increase in maximum snowfall that contradicts the other 11 projections. Yet, METO-H3, ETCHZ-H0 and METO-H0 have nearly as low baseline temperatures in Southern Finland as SMHI-H3, but simulate snowfall changes much closer to the ensemble mean. Another potential contributor to the atypical snowfall changes in SMHI-H3 in southern Finland is atmospheric circulation. The change in mean

290 sea level pressure in SMHI-H3 suggests a slight increase in southerly flow that is
291 more favorable for snowfall in southern Finland than a slight increase in westerly
292 flow that is present in most of the other simulations (not shown). The tendency of
293 SMHI-H3 to simulate atypically small decreases or large increases in both mean
294 and maximum snowfall extends to a wide area in the Baltic States, eastern
295 Sweden and south-central Finland. This speaks against local factors such as biases
296 in the Gulf of Finland ice cover as its main explanation.

297

298 Disregarding other factors, one would expect a negative correlation between the
299 changes in winter temperature and mean snowfall (i.e., larger decrease in snowfall
300 for larger warming). Table 2 confirms this expectation for Southern Finland, but
301 the correlation in Lapland is positive. This is apparently because the simulated
302 warming in Lapland increases with decreasing baseline mean temperature within
303 the ENSEMBLES data set ($r = -0.81$); thus models with larger warming are less
304 prone to decreases in snowfall. Similarly, against naïve physical reasoning,
305 precipitation and snowfall changes are negatively correlated in Southern Finland.
306 In this case, the contradiction seems to be explained by a positive correlation
307 between the temperature and precipitation changes ($r = 0.55$). In both two regions,
308 however, models with less negative changes in mean annual snowfall also tend to
309 simulate less negative (or more positive) changes in annual maximum one-day
310 snowfall, although this correlation is only significant in Southern Finland.

311

312 It is important to recall that our sample only includes 12 model simulations. If a
313 larger ensemble of simulations were available, some of the correlations might be
314 substantially modified in magnitude, and even their sign might change,
315 particularly in those cases where the correlations are not significant at the 5% risk
316 level (i.e., not marked in bold in Table 2).

317 **3.3 Seasonality of changes**

318 Seasonal cycles of the ensemble mean climate in Southern Finland are shown in
319 Fig. 4a. As compared with the years 1980-2010, the periods 2025-2055 and 2069-
320 2099 feature both higher temperature and (excluding March in 2025-2055) larger
321 precipitation throughout the year. However, the fraction of precipitation that falls
322 as snow is reduced substantially even in the middle of the winter, from a January-
323 February mean of 73% in 1981-2010 to only 43% in 2070-2099. Therefore, the

mean snowfall decreases. The average monthly one-day snowfall maxima, which are used in Fig. 4 to indicate the seasonality of heavy snowfall, are also reduced, but less than the mean snowfall. By the years 2070-2099, the average January maxima only decrease by 10%, whereas the mean snowfall in the same month decreases by nearly 30%. Both the mean and the extremes decrease more in earlier and later months. This is also reflected in the timing of the whole-winter one-day snowfall maxima, which shows a stronger January peak in 2069-2099 (29% of cases) than in 1980-2010 (23%).

332

In Lapland (Fig. 4b), both temperature and precipitation increase slightly more than in southern Finland. Because of the colder baseline climate, however, the fraction of solid precipitation in the middle of the winter remains high, with a January-February mean of 87% still in 2070-2099. The decrease from the value for 1981-2010 (96%) only partly compensates the increase in total precipitation. The mean snowfall therefore increases in these months, although it decreases until November in autumn and again beginning from April in spring. Monthly maximum one-day snowfall in Lapland in 1980-2010 shows a double peak, with the main maximum in October-November and a secondary one in March-April. As the simulated winters get milder during the 21st century, the trough between these nearly disappears, as snowfall maxima between December and March grow larger but less heavy snowfall occurs in the autumn and late spring. Thus, just as in Southern Finland, the annual one-day snowfall maxima occur increasingly often in the coldest winter months.

347

Also indicated in Fig. 4 is the agreement between the individual model simulations on the sign of the simulated changes. The agreement is strong for temperature, with all 12 models simulating a warming in all months of the year in both two areas, at least in 2069-2099 (closed circles). The same largely applies to the decrease in the fraction of solid precipitation, in southern Finland to the decrease in mean snowfall, and in Lapland to the increase in total precipitation. The agreement on the changes in the other variables is less complete, but still commonly statistically significant: when at least 10 out of the 12 models share the same sign of change (as indicated by the open circles), the probability of reaching this agreement by chance is at most 4%. The least robust aspect of the model

projections is the change in the timing of the annual snowfall maxima, particularly in southern Finland.

3.4 Dependence of snowfall changes on baseline mean temperature

The geographical (Fig. 1) and seasonal variation (Fig. 4) of the simulated snowfall changes together with their negative intermodel correlation with the baseline winter temperatures (Table 2) all suggest a strongly temperature-dependent response of snowfall to warming. A summary of this dependence is provided in Figure 5. For each 1°C bin in the 1980-2010 monthly mean temperature, the figure shows the 10th, 50th and 90th percentiles of the changes in monthly mean snowfall and average monthly maximum one-day snowfall from this period to 2069-2099, using data for all land grid boxes in the map domain of Fig. 1, all models and all calendar months separately. The changes vary substantially even for the same baseline temperature, partly because the focus on individual months and grid boxes accentuates the effects of internal variability. Nevertheless, there is a general shift from slight increases in mean and maximum snowfall for the lowest temperatures to substantial decreases for milder baseline conditions. In agreement with O’Gorman (2014), this shift is steeper for the mean snowfall than for the monthly maximum snowfall, and the transition from a positive to a negative median value occurs at a lower temperature for the former (-11°C) than for the latter (-8°C). Figure 5b also concurs with the results of de Vries et al. (2014), who used an ensemble of KNMI RACMO2 RCM simulations to study changes in snowfall under the RCP8.5 scenario in western Europe. Their Figure 3, showing the change in DJF seasonal maximum snowfall against the DJF mean baseline temperature, likewise indicates a shift from increases to decreases near a baseline mean temperature of -8°C.

Despite a 10-15% median increase in the monthly maximum one-day snowfall for baseline temperatures below -10°C (Fig. 5b), the annual maximum snowfall in Lapland remains nearly unchanged (Fig. 1 and Table 2). Although heavier snowfall events occur in the middle of the winter, this is compensated by decreases in heavy snowfall in autumn and spring (Fig. 4b).

390 **3.5 How well can changes in snowfall be explained by**
391 **temperature change alone?**

392 The relationship between the baseline winter temperatures and the snowfall
393 changes makes it tempting to cast a follow-up hypothesis: that the snowfall
394 changes can be understood solely as a result of the projected warming. Under this
395 hypothesis, the simulated future and baseline climates would share the same
396 quantitative relationship between the daily mean temperature and daily snowfall.
397 As more precipitation typically falls on mild than cold winter days, the increased
398 frequency of mild days should increase the total winter precipitation. On the other
399 hand, the more frequent occurrence of above-zero temperatures should increase
400 rainfall at the expense of snowfall.

401
402 To test the hypothesis, we calculated (for each model, month and grid box
403 separately) the frequency distribution of daily snowfall amounts for each 1°C bin
404 in daily mean temperature, pooling together the data for 1980-2010 and 2069-
405 2099. Then, the expected change in annual mean snowfall was derived from the
406 daily mean temperatures in the two periods, replacing the simulated snowfall in
407 each day with the mean of the corresponding temperature bin. To estimate the
408 resulting change in snowfall extremes, surrogate time series of daily snowfall in
409 1980-2010 and 2069-2099 were created by randomly selecting, for each day, one
410 of the daily snowfalls in the actual temperature bin. This was repeated for 100
411 times and the resulting statistics of daily snowfall extremes were averaged.

412
413 The hypothesis is only partly successful. The resulting ensemble mean change in
414 annual mean snowfall from 1980-2010 to 2069-2099 (Fig. 6a) shows a pattern
415 similarity with the actual change (Figure 1, last panel in the third row), but with
416 systematically more positive values. If the relationship between temperature and
417 snowfall had remained constant, the total annual snowfall would have increased in
418 wide areas of northern Fennoscandia and the Scandinavian mountains. Further
419 south, the actual decrease in snowfall is larger than that predicted by the
420 hypothesis (Figs. 1 and 6b).

421
422 In the extreme upper end of the daily snowfall distribution, the temperature-based
423 prediction and the actually simulated changes are in better agreement (cyan vs. red
424 lines in Figs. 3b,d). For the ensemble mean change in the intensity of the 45

strongest events, their difference is within 5% in Southern Finland, and even less in Lapland. For weaker snowfall events, however, the temperature-based prediction indicates a too small decrease in snowfall intensity in Southern Finland and an increase rather than a decrease in Lapland.

3.6 How much and why does the relationship between temperature and snowfall change?

The temperature-snowfall relationship is analysed in more detail in Figure 7. We focus here on the ensemble means but note that the intermodel agreement on the sign of the changes is included in Fig. 7 in the same manner as in Fig. 4.

The first row in Fig. 7 shows, both for Southern Finland and Lapland, a decrease in the annual number of cold days from 1980-2010 to 2069-2099. In Lapland, however, daily mean temperatures close to or slightly below zero become more common. As the average snowfall intensity is the largest for such days (second row), this alone would act to increase snowfall. This explains the predicted increase in total snowfall in northern areas in Fig. 6, although the snowfall gained due to the larger frequency of slightly sub-zero temperatures is partly compensated by the snowfall lost because of a greater decrease in the frequency of colder days.

Yet, for the same daily mean temperature, less snow falls in the models in 2069-2099 than in 1980-2010. In Southern Finland, this is the case regardless of temperature. In Lapland, the mean snowfall amounts for the two periods converge for temperatures higher than -3°C , but a substantial difference still occurs under colder conditions. The difference between the two periods is of the same sign but less pronounced for extreme snowfall, which is characterized in the third row of Fig. 7 by the 98th percentile of snowfall for each temperature bin. In both Southern Finland and Lapland, the peak of the 98th percentile near -1°C remains virtually unchanged between 1980-2010 and 2069-2099. This apparently explains why the change (or lack thereof) in the most extreme snowfall events can be reasonably well predicted assuming an unchanged temperature-snowfall relationship (Figs. 3b,d), although the same does not apply to total snowfall.

459 The decrease in snowfall on cold days in a milder future climate parallels the
460 findings of de Vries et al. (2012). Using simulations made with the
461 ECHAM5/MPI-OM global climate model under the SRES A1B scenario, they
462 found a 20-50% decrease in the average snowfall of days with daily-mean
463 temperature below zero in large parts of western and central Europe from 1960-
464 1990 to 2070-2100. They ascribed this decrease to the fact that, in the simulated
465 warmer future climate, sub-zero temperatures were more seldom reached under
466 circulation types that favor the occurrence of precipitation.

467

468 To study whether a similar mechanism might play a role in northern Europe, we
469 used local daily anomalies of sea level pressure (defined as deviations from local
470 30-year monthly means separately in 1980-2010 and 2069-2099) as a simple
471 indicator of circulation. On the average, although not always, higher sea level
472 pressure coincides with more anticyclonic conditions and less precipitation. On
473 the other hand, in northern Europe in winter, episodes of cyclonic flow are
474 typically milder than anticyclonic situations that often (although not always) have
475 less cloudy skies and larger radiative cooling. This suggests that, in a warmer
476 climate in which any fixed “low” value of daily mean temperature requires a
477 larger cold anomaly, these cold days should on the average have more positive
478 pressure anomalies and thus less snowfall.

479

480 For testing this scenario, daily anomalies of sea level pressure were binned with
481 temperature. Figures 7g-h confirm that days with low mean temperatures (i.e.,
482 below -2°C in Southern Finland and -10°C in Lapland) have positive mean
483 pressure anomalies already in 1980-2010. In 2069-2098, the pressure anomalies
484 grow more positive, with all 12 models agreeing on this increase for a wide range
485 of temperatures (0°C to -16°C in Southern Finland and -5°C to -26°C in Lapland).
486 Similar results were obtained when using absolute values of sea level pressure
487 instead of anomalies, although the intermodel agreement was slightly worse in
488 that case (not shown).

489

490 To study whether these temperature-dependent pressure changes are large enough
491 to explain the changes in mean snowfall, linear regression was used. For each grid

box, model, month and temperature bin separately, regression coefficients linking snowfall to pressure were calculated as

$$a = \frac{N_1 Cov_1(PRSN, SLP) + N_2 Cov_2(PRSN, SLP)}{N_1 Var_1(SLP) + N_2 Var_2(SLP)} \quad (1)$$

where N_1 and N_2 are the sample sizes for 1980-2010 and 2069-2099, $Cov_{1/2}(PRSN, SLP)$ the corresponding covariances between pressure and snowfall, and $Var_{1/2}(SLP)$ the variances of sea level pressure. Then, the regression coefficients were combined with the bin mean pressure change from 1980-2010 to 2069-2099 to estimate how this change affects the bin mean snowfall in 2069-2099. Two regression-based estimates were derived, one using the full pressure change and the other the change in pressure anomaly, thus omitting the 30-year monthly mean pressure change between the two periods. These two options give similar results (Fig. 8), because the time mean pressure changes in the ENSEMBLES data set are small compared with the redistribution of pressure anomalies between different temperature bins.

In Southern Finland, a substantial fraction of the decrease in the temperature-binned mean daily snowfall is explained by the corresponding pressure increase (Fig. 8a). This especially holds for temperatures close to and slightly below zero, which are important for the total annual snowfall because of their large frequency (Fig. 7a). In Lapland, the regression-based prediction is less accurate (Fig. 8b), as it both severely underestimates the decrease in snowfall at temperatures below -5°C and fails to reproduce the slight increase in snowfall at just below 0°C. Thus, changes in sea level pressure do contribute to the snowfall changes seen in Figs. 7c,d but are not their sole explanation. This is not highly surprising, since the local daily sea level pressure represents just one aspect of the atmospheric circulation that might affect snowfall.

For reference, Fig. 7 also gives observational estimates for the years 1980-2010. These are based on the E-OBS v10.0 data set, except for snowfall statistics that were estimated from the E-OBS precipitation assuming the same temperature dependence for the fraction of solid precipitation as on the average simulated by the models. In brief, the model results and the observations are in qualitative although not always quantitative agreement. The increase in mean and extreme

snowfall with temperature is less steep for E-OBS than for the models, but this might reflect a mismatch in timing between temperature and precipitation observations. While the reported daily mean temperatures approximately represent the 24-hour period ending at local midnight, the reporting period for precipitation begins and ends at 06 UTC in the Nordic countries (8 AM local time in Finland in winter). The irregularity in the observed increase in mean sea level pressure towards lower temperatures in southern Finland is most likely due to the small sample size near the low end of the distribution. For the model ensemble, the sample size is less of an issue because data from 12 simulations were aggregated.

4. Conclusions

The changes in snowfall in the ENSEMBLES RCM simulations of 21st century climate in northern Europe largely follow intuitive expectations and findings from studies focussing on other areas. As the winters become shorter, snowfall in autumn and spring months is reduced. In the middle of the winter, a more delicate balance occurs between higher temperatures and larger precipitation, with the latter (former) dominating in the coldest (milder) regions. On the average, the boundary between increasing and decreasing mean snowfall coincides with the -11°C isotherm in the baseline (1980-2010) monthly mean temperature (cf. -10°C in the global study of Krasting et al. (2013)), although with a substantial model, month and location dependency. Even in the areas where snowfall is projected to increase in the middle of the winter, the total annual snowfall is generally projected to decrease, although the change is small in the coldest regions of northern Europe.

Much less systematic change is simulated in the intensity of extreme daily snowfall than in total annual snowfall. This agrees with O’Gorman (2014), and can be qualitatively understood from the tendency of the heaviest snowfall events to occur in similar conditions (marginally sub-zero temperatures with a favorable circulation type) in both present and future climates. However, a larger fraction of the heaviest snowfall events is simulated to occur near the middle of the winter in the end of this century. A clear decrease in snowfall extremes is only simulated in the mildest areas, where snowfall as a whole is becoming much less common.

558 A smaller fraction of the snow in the simulated late 21st century climate falls on
559 severely cold days, and a larger fraction on days with near-zero temperatures. Not
560 only do days with low temperatures become less common, but they also typically
561 have less snowfall for the same temperature than in the present-day climate.
562 Snow that falls at temperatures well below zero is generally drier and therefore
563 more prone to drift with wind than snow falling when the temperature is close to
564 the freezing point (Li and Pomeroy 1997). As a result, it tends to be more difficult
565 to keep out of roads and railway tracks. On the other hand, the most slippery
566 conditions on pedestrian sidewalks are typically experienced on days when the
567 temperature is slightly below or crosses zero, particularly if precipitation falls in
568 some form (e.g. Ruotsalainen et al. 2004). In these respects, changes in the
569 combined temperature-snowfall climate are likely to have both positive and (in
570 colder areas, where temperatures close to zero are projected to increase in
571 frequency) negative consequences for traffic.

572

573 All aspects of future climate change have substantial quantitative uncertainty,
574 partly due to our limited ability to model the behavior of the climate system and
575 partly due to the unknown future anthropogenic and natural climate forcing. As is
576 most easily demonstrated by the projections of temperature change, the
577 ENSEMBLES simulations only cover a part of this uncertainty. The local NDJFM
578 warming in northern Europe only varies by about a factor of two between the 12
579 simulations (Table 2). By contrast, the latest IPCC projections for the global mean
580 temperature change during this century span a “likely” range of 0.3-1.7°C for the
581 lowest and 2.6-4.8°C for the highest RCP scenario (Collins et al. 2013), giving a
582 16-fold difference between the lowest and the highest estimate. By inference, the
583 changes in snowfall climate in the real future world might also be smaller or
584 larger than is suggested by the ENSEMBLES simulations. Nevertheless, the
585 qualitative aspects of our findings appear physically plausible and reasonably
586 robust. Only in the extreme case that changes in ocean currents lead to a cooling
587 of northern Europe (see Figure 12.9 of Collins et al. (2013) for a model simulation
588 in which this actually happens) would one anticipate snowfall changes of very
589 different character.

590

591 In brief, the ENSEMBLES models simulate a moderate decrease in total snowfall
592 amount in northern Europe during this century but little change in the daily
593 extremes. This suggests that societies in the area will need to maintain their
594 capacity to cope with heavy snowfall even in the future, despite the expected
595 warming of winter climate.

596 **Acknowledgments**

597 The RCM simulations used in this study were obtained from the EU-FP6 project
598 ENSEMBLES (Contract number 505539). We also acknowledge the E-OBS
599 dataset from the ENSEMBLES project (<http://ensembles-eu.metoffice.com>) and
600 the data providers in the ECA&D project (<http://www.ecad.eu>). This work was
601 supported by the Academy of Finland Centre of Excellence in Atmospheric
602 Science – From Molecular and Biological processes to the Global Climate
603 (project 272041). The constructive comments from two anonymous reviewers
604 helped to improve this article.

605

606

607 **References**

- 608 Andræ U (2002) The Gävle 1998 snow storm. A case study of mesoscale snow
609 bands and a low level jet. EGS XXVII General Assembly, Nice, 21-26 April
610 2002, abstract #1490
- 611 Collins M, Booth BB, Bhaskaran B, Harris GR, Murphy JM, Sexton DMH, Webb
612 MJ (2010) Climate model errors, feedbacks and forcings: A comparison of
613 perturbed physics and multi-model ensembles. *Clim Dyn* 36: 1737-1766
- 614 Collins M, Knutti R, Arblaster J, Dufresne J-L, Fichefet T, Friedlingstein P, Gao
615 X, Gutowski WJ, Johns T, Krinner G, Shongwe M, Tebaldi C, Weaver AJ,
616 Wehner M (2013) Long-term Climate Change: Projections, Commitments
617 and Irreversibility. In: Stocker TF et al (eds) *Climate Change 2013: the*
618 *Physical Science Basis*. Cambridge University Press, pp 1029-1136
- 619 Déqué M, Rowell DP, Lüthi D, Giorgi F, Christensen JH, Rockel B, Jacob D,
620 Kjellström E, de Castro M, van den Hurk B (2007) An intercomparison of
621 regional climate simulations for Europe: assessing uncertainties in model
622 projections. *Climatic Change* 81 Supplement 1: 53-70
- 623 de Vries H, Haarsma RJ, Hazeleger W (2014) On the future reduction in snowfall
624 in western and central Europe. *Clim Dyn* 41: 2319-2330
- 625 de Vries H, Lenderink G, van Meijgaard E (2014) Future snowfall in western and
626 central Europe projected with a high-resolution regional climate model
627 ensemble. *Geophys Res Lett* 41: 4294-4299
- 628 Eisenberg D, Warner KE (2005) Effects of snowfalls on motor vehicle collisions,
629 injuries, and fatalities. *Amer J Public Health* 95: 120-124
- 630 Haylock MR, Hofstra N, Klein Tank AMG, Klok EJ, Jones PD, New M (2008) A
631 European daily high-resolution gridded dataset of surface temperature and
632 precipitation. *J Geophys Res* 113: D20119
- 633 Kapnick SB, Delworth TL (2013) Controls of global snow under a changed
634 climate. *J Climate* 26: 5537-5562

635 Karlsson C (2014) Statistisk av halkolyckor jämförd med Meteorologiska
 636 institutets vädermeddelanden för fotgängare (Statistics of falling accidents
 637 compared with the weather reports for pedestrians issued by the Finnish
 638 Meteorological Institute, in Swedish). MSc thesis, Department of Physics,
 639 University of Helsinki, 55 pp. Available at
 640 <https://helda.helsinki.fi/handle/10138/42542>

641 Krasting JP, Broccoli AJ, Dixon KW, Lanzante JR (2013) Future changes in
 642 Northern Hemisphere snowfall. *J Climate* 26: 7813-7828

643 Lehtonen I (2015) Four consecutive snow-rich winters in Southern Finland:
 644 2009/10-2012-2013. *Weather* 70: 3-8

645 Li L, Pomeroy JW (1997) Estimates of threshold wind speeds for snow transport
 646 using meteorological data. *J Appl Meteor* 36: 205–213

647 Nakićenović N, Swart R, Eds. (2000) Emission Scenarios. A Special Report of
 648 Working Group III of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change,
 649 Cambridge University Press, 599 pp

650 O' Gorman P (2014) Contrasting responses of mean and extreme snowfall to
 651 climate change. *Nature* 512: 416-418

652 Räisänen J (2008) Warmer climate: less or more snow? *Clim Dyn* 30: 307-319

653 Räisänen J, Eklund J (2012) 21st Century changes in snow climate in Northern
 654 Europe: a high-resolution view from ENSEMBLES regional climate
 655 models. *Clim Dyn* 38: 2575-2591

656 Räisänen J, Ylhäisi JS (2014) CO₂-induced climate change in northern Europe:
 657 CMIP2 vs. CMIP3 vs. CMIP5. *Clim Dyn*, doi: 10.1007/s00382-014-2440-x

658 Räisänen J, Hansson U, Ullerstig A, Döscher R, Graham LP, Jones C, Meier
 659 HEM, Samuelsson P, Willén U (2004) European climate in the late 21st
 660 century: regional simulations with two driving global models and two
 661 forcing scenarios. *Clim Dyn* 22: 13-31

662 Rális, ZA (1981) Epidemic of fractures during period of snow and ice. *British*
 663 *Medical Journal* 282: 603-605

664 Rogelj J, Meinshausen M, Knutti R (2012) Global warming under old and new
665 scenarios using IPCC climate sensitivity range estimates. *Nature Climate*
666 *Change* 2: 248-253

667 Ruotsalainen J, Ruuhela R, Kangas M (2004) Preventing pedestrian slipping
668 accidents with help of a weather and pavement condition model. *Walk21-V*
669 *Cities for People*, Copenhagen, 9-11 June 2004. Available at
670 [http://www.walk21.com/papers/Copenhagen%2004%20Ruotsalainen%20Pr](http://www.walk21.com/papers/Copenhagen%2004%20Ruotsalainen%20Preventing%20pedestrian%20slipping%20ac.pdf)
671 [eventing%20pedestrian%20slipping%20ac.pdf](http://www.walk21.com/papers/Copenhagen%2004%20Ruotsalainen%20Preventing%20pedestrian%20slipping%20ac.pdf)

672 Savijärvi HI (2012) Cold air outbreaks over high-latitude sea gulfs. *Tellus* 64A:
673 12244

674 Seneviratne SI, Nicholls N, Easterling D, Goodess CM, Kanae S, Kossin J, Luo
675 Y, Marengo J, McInnes K, Rahimi M, Reichstein M, Sorteberg A, Vera C,
676 Zhang X (2012) Changes in climate extremes and their impacts on the
677 natural physical environment. *Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and*
678 *Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation*, CB Field et al., Eds.,
679 Cambridge University Press, pp 109-230

680 Tilastokeskus (2014) Suomen tilastollinen vuosikirja 2014 (Statistical Yearbook
681 of Finland 2014; in Finnish). Edita Prima Oy, Helsinki, 692 pp. Available at
682 [http://www.stat.fi/tup/julkaisut/tiedostot/julkaisuluettelo/yyti_stv_201400_2](http://www.stat.fi/tup/julkaisut/tiedostot/julkaisuluettelo/yyti_stv_201400_2014_10374_net.pdf)
683 [014_10374_net.pdf](http://www.stat.fi/tup/julkaisut/tiedostot/julkaisuluettelo/yyti_stv_201400_2014_10374_net.pdf)

684 van den Besselaar EJM, Haylock MR, van der Schrier G, Klein Tank AMG
685 (2011) A European daily high-resolution observational gridded data set of
686 sea level pressure. *J Geophys Res* 116: D11110

687 van der Linden P, Mitchell JFB, Eds. (2009) *ENSEMBLES: Climate Change and*
688 *its Impacts: Summary of research and results from the ENSEMBLES*
689 *project*. Met Office Hadley Centre, FitzRoy Road, Exeter EX1 3PB, UK,
690 160 pp

691 van Vuuren DP, Edmons J, Kainuma M, Riahi K, Thomson A, Hibbard K, Hurtt
692 GC, Kram T, Krey V, Lamarque J-F, Masui T, Meinshausen M,

693 Nakićenović N, Smith SJ, Rose SK (2011) The representative concentration
694 pathways: an overview. *Clim Change* 109: 5-31
695

696 **Tables**

697 **Table 1.** The model simulations used in this study

698

Driving GCM	RCM	Institution	Shorthand
ARPEGE_RM5.1	Aladin	CNRM	CNRM-A
ECHAM5-r3	HIRHAM5	DMI	DMI-E5
	RACMO2	KNMI	KNMI-E5
	REMO	MPI	MPI-E5
	RCA3	SMHI	SMHI-E5
HadCM3Q3	RCA3	SMHI	SMHI-H3
	HadRM3Q3	Met Office	METO-H3
HadCM3Q0	CLM	ETHZ	ETHZ-H0
	HadRM3Q0	Met Office	METO-H0
HadCM3Q16	RCA3	C4I	C4I-H16
	HadRM3Q16	Met Office	METO-H16
BCM	RCA3	SMHI	SMHI-BCM

699

700 The first column indicates the driving global climate model, the second the
701 regional climate model and the third the institution that conducted the simulations,
702 using model and institution acronyms that follow the ENSEMBLES Research
703 Theme 3 web page (<http://ensemblesrt3.dmi.dk/>). HadCM3Q3, HadCM3Q0 and
704 HadCM3Q16 are three members of the HadCM3 perturbed-parameter ensemble
705 (Collins et al. 2010) with low, intermediate and high sensitivity to increasing
706 greenhouse gas concentrations, respectively. HadRM3Q3, HadRM3Q0 and
707 HadRM3Q16 are the corresponding versions of the HadRM3 RCM. The last
708 column gives the shorthand notations used in this article

709

710

711 **Table 2.** Area means for the individual model simulations in Southern Finland
712 (SF) and Lapland (L)

713

Simulation	T_{CTRL} SF / L	ΔT SF / L	ΔPR SF / L	$\Delta PRSN$ SF / L	$\Delta MaxPRSN$ SF / L
CNRM-A	-3.7/-14.4	<u>2.7</u> /5.9	<u>8</u> /14	-38/-11	-5/-5
DMI-E5	-2.8/-8.9	4.1/4.4	22/33	-44/-14	<u>-20</u> /1
KNMI-E5	-2.8/-7.6	3.7/4.1	21/ <u>9</u>	-37/-25	-13/ <u>-10</u>
MPI-E5	<u>-2.2</u> / <u>-6.9</u>	3.6/ <u>4.0</u>	15/9	-35/-25	-9/-4
SMHI-E5	-2.9/-8.7	4.0/4.7	23/19	-53/-28	-19/-6
SMHI-H3	<u>-6.9</u> /-14.6	4.1/5.6	18/22	<u>-27</u> /-10	<u>12</u> /2
METO-H3	-5.9/-12.8	3.9/4.7	17/18	-36/-10	-6/3
ETHZ-H0	-6.1/-12.2	5.0/5.3	19/27	-43/-11	-6/5
METO-H0	-6.5/-13.5	5.0/5.5	10/27	-48/-10	-5/ <u>9</u>
C4I-H16	-2.7/-8.6	4.7/5.4	<u>30</u> / <u>36</u>	-58/ <u>-29</u>	-14/4
METO-H16	-4.2/-10.3	<u>5.5</u> /5.9	27/28	<u>-59</u> /-23	-16/3
SMHI-BCM	-4.2/ <u>-17.1</u>	3.4/ <u>7.1</u>	11/22	-45/ <u>-7</u>	-11/0
Mean	-4.2/-11.3	4.1/5.2	18/22	-44/-17	-9/0
SD	1.7/3.3	0.8/0.9	7/9	10/8	9/5
$r(\Delta PRSN)$	-0.31/ -0.86	-0.59 /0.48	-0.56/0.06	1.00 / 1.00	0.71 /0.42
$r(\Delta MaxPRSN)$	-0.72 /-0.37	-0.16/0.36	-0.16/ 0.75	0.71 /0.42	1.00 / 1.00

714

715 T_{CTRL} = NDJFM mean temperature in 1980-2010 (°C), ΔT = NDJFM temperature
716 change to 2069-2099 (°C), ΔPR = NDJFM precipitation change (%), $\Delta PRSN$ =
717 change in mean annual snowfall (%), $\Delta MaxPRSN$ = change in mean annual one-
718 day maximum snowfall (%). The highest and lowest values in the ensemble are
719 underlined. SD = standard deviation. $r(\Delta PRSN)$ and $r(\Delta MaxPRSN)$ = Pearson
720 product-moment correlation with $\Delta PRSN$ and $\Delta MaxPRSN$. Statistically significant
721 correlation coefficients (5% level, two-sided test, $|r| > 0.576$, treating the 12
722 simulations as independent samples of a normal distribution although this is not
723 strictly true) are given in bold

724

725 **Figures with captions**

726
727 **Fig. 1** 30-year ensemble mean climate in northern Europe in the years 1980-2010
728 (left) and its change to 2025-2055 (middle) and 2069-2099 (right). Rows 1-2:
729 November-March mean temperature and precipitation. Rows 3-4: Water
730 equivalents of mean annual snowfall and average annual maximum one-day
731 snowfall. The areas denoted as Southern Finland (SF) and Lapland (L) are
732 outlined in the top-left panel

Fig. 2 Ensemble mean changes (a) in the frequency of days with at least 1 mm WE of snowfall and (b) in the average snowfall of these days from 1980-2010 to 2069-2099

738

739 **Fig. 3** (a) The intensity of the strongest 500 daily snowfall events in the years
 740 1980-2010, as averaged over Southern Finland. The thick red line represents the
 741 ensemble mean and the thin grey lines the 12 individual simulations. (b) As (a),
 742 but for changes in snowfall intensity from 1980-2010 to 2069-2099. The dotted
 743 cyan line shows a temperature-based prediction for the ensemble mean change
 744 (Section 3.5). (c,d) As (a,b) but for Lapland

a) Southern Finland

b) Lapland

Fig. 4 30-year mean seasonal cycles (August to June) of ensemble mean climate in (a) Southern Finland and (b) Lapland in the years 1980-2010 (black), 2025-2055 (blue) and 2069-2099 (red). T = mean temperature, PR = mean total precipitation, $PRSN$ = mean snowfall, $MaxPRSN$ = mean monthly one-day maximum snowfall, $FreqMaxPRSN$ = frequency distribution for the month in which the winter maximum daily snowfall occurs. Closed (open) circles indicate months in which all 12 (10 or 11) models agree on the sign of the change relative to 1980-2010

754

755 **Fig. 5** Relationship of the baseline monthly mean temperature with changes in (a)
 756 mean snowfall and (b) average monthly maximum one-day snowfall from 1980-
 757 2010 to 2069-2099. In both cases, the 10%, 50% and 90% quantiles are given,
 758 using data for all 12 models, all calendar months and all land grid boxes in the
 759 map area of Fig. 1

760

761

762

763

764

Fig. 6 (a) Change in annual and ensemble mean snowfall from 1980-2010 to 2069-2099, predicted by assuming the same relationship between daily mean temperature and snowfall in the two periods. (b) The difference between the actual change and the temperature-based prediction

765

766 **Fig. 7** Ensemble mean climate statistics as a function of daily mean temperature,
 767 using data from all 12 models, the whole year, and all grid boxes either in
 768 Southern Finland (left) or Lapland (right). Row 1: average annual frequency
 769 distribution of temperature (days per year for each 1°C bin). Row 2: mean daily
 770 snowfall. Row 3: 98th percentile of daily snowfall. Row 4: anomaly in mean sea
 771 level pressure. Results are shown for the model simulations in 1980-2010 (blue)
 772 and 2069-2099 (red) and for the E-OBS analysis in 1980-2010 (dashed purple;
 773 snowfall statistics for E-OBS were estimated from total precipitation). Closed
 774 (open) circles indicate temperature bins in which all 12 (10 or 11) models agree
 775 on the sign of the change from 1980-2010 to 2069-2099

776

777 **Fig. 8** Black: changes in mean daily snowfall as a function of temperature from
 778 1980-2010 to 2069-2099 in (a) Southern Finland and (b) Lapland, averaged in the
 779 same way as in Fig. 7. Red and blue: regression-based estimates for the snowfall
 780 change explained by changes in sea level pressure, either including (red) or
 781 excluding (blue) the time mean pressure change between the two periods