<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Distance From Home to the Nearest Tobacco Outlet May Not Reflect the True Accessibility Reply

Pulakka, Anna

2017-02

Pulakka , A , Kawachi , I & Kivimaki , M 2017 , ' Distance From Home to the Nearest Tobacco Outlet May Not Reflect the True Accessibility Reply ' , JAMA internal medicine , vol. 177 , no. 2 , pp. 287-288 . <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2016.8596>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/178991>

<https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2016.8596>

publishedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

Corresponding Author: Fan Fan Hou, MD, PhD, Division of Nephrology, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, 1838 N Guangzhou Ave, Guangzhou 510515, China (ffhouguangzhou@163.com).

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

1. Xu X, Qin X, Li Y, et al; Investigators of the Renal Substudy of the China Stroke Primary Prevention Trial (CSPPT). Efficacy of folic acid therapy on the progression of chronic kidney disease: the renal substudy of the China Stroke Primary Prevention Trial. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2016;176(10):1443-1450.
2. Walker D. Fortification of flour with folic acid is an overdue public health measure in the UK. *Arch Dis Child.* 2016;101(7):593.
3. Hwang SY, Siow YL, Au-Yeung KK, House J, O K. Folic acid supplementation inhibits NADPH oxidase-mediated superoxide anion production in the kidney. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol.* 2011;300(1):F189-F198.
4. Shukla N, Angelini GD, Jeremy JY. The administration of folic acid reduces intravascular oxidative stress in diabetic rabbits. *Metabolism.* 2008;57(6):774-781.

Distance From Home to the Nearest Tobacco Outlet May Not Reflect the True Accessibility

To the Editor In their Original Investigation in a recent issue of *JAMA Internal Medicine*, Pulakka et al¹ examined the association between walking distance from home to the nearest tobacco outlet and smoking cessation and relapse. We noticed several limitations that should be considered.

First, Pulakka et al¹ simply used the distance but did not consider the walkability² of the neighborhoods, including the street connectivity, land use mix, and residential density. A neighborhood with higher street connectivity, for example, has higher accessibility to tobacco outlets than a neighborhood with lower street connectivity, providing that the walking distance from home to the tobacco outlet is the same between the 2 neighborhoods. Land use mix and residential density also contribute to the accessibility to the tobacco outlet. Therefore, measuring the distance from home to the tobacco outlet alone may not reflect the true accessibility. Second, assessment of smoking behavior in this study has also raised concerns that some nonregular smokers may have been misclassified as if they have quit smoking. Third, walking distance from home to the nearest tobacco outlet was the focus of this study, but the individuals' functional capacity (eg, walking ability) and transportation preference (eg, whether the individuals walk or use other means of transportation within the neighborhood) were not addressed. For example, riding a bicycle for 1 km and walking for 1 km require different energy expenditure and may affect their motivation to go to the tobacco outlet. Fourth, the perceived distance to the nearest tobacco outlet should have been addressed, as the perceived environment may have stronger impact on behaviors than the actual environment.³

Ka Yiu Lee, PhD

Michael Huen Sum Lam, PhD

Paul H. Lee, PhD

Author Affiliations: Sheffield Hallam University, Hong Kong, Hong Kong (K. Y. Lee); Academy of Sport and Physical Activity, Sheffield Hallam University, Hong Kong, Hong Kong (Lam); School of Nursing, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, Hong Kong (P. H. Lee).

Corresponding Author: Michael Huen Sum Lam, PhD, Academy of Sport and Physical Activity, Sheffield Hallam University, Vocational Training Council, Shing Tai Rd, Administration Block, Third Floor, Hong Kong 00852, Hong Kong (michaelhslam@vtc.edu.hk).

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

1. Pulakka A, Halonen JI, Kawachi I, et al. Association between distance from home to tobacco outlet and smoking cessation and relapse. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2016;176(10):1512-1519.
2. Frank LD, Sallis JF, Saelens BE, et al. The development of a walkability index: application to the Neighborhood Quality of Life Study. *Br J Sports Med.* 2010;44(13):924-933.
3. Hoehner CM, Brennan Ramirez LK, Elliott MB, Handy SL, Brownson RC. Perceived and objective environmental measures and physical activity among urban adults. *Am J Prev Med.* 2005;28(2)(suppl 2):105-116.

In Reply In large-scale epidemiological studies such as ours,¹ measurement of the exposure and outcome is seldom error-free or even with the highest possible precision. Frank and colleagues² have developed a walkability index using parcel-level information and validated this measure through travel surveys in 2 regions of the United States in 2010. Their walkability index is a composite index including residential density, retail floor area ratio, intersection density, and land use mix, and it was designed to be related to transportation preference.² Such data were not available for our study, which was conducted in Finland and covered a time period from 2003 to 2013. Similarly, although self-reported smoking is a strong predictor of a range of smoking-related health outcomes,³ some misreporting is still possible and ideally assessment of cotinine concentrations would have complemented self-reports to add precision to the assessment of smoking status. In our study,¹ only self-reported data on smoking were available. Furthermore, we could not assess walking ability of the participants or individual differences in perceiving the distance to the nearest tobacco outlet.

It is important to consider whether these limitations are a serious threat to the validity of our study. We compared smoking behaviors using a within-individual analysis of participants who lived nearer tobacco outlets at one point in time and further away at another time point. In this design, the participants were their own controls, and therefore the observed within-individual increase in quitting among smokers when the distance from home to the nearest tobacco outlet increased is unlikely to be owing to between-individual differences in reporting style, walking ability, transportation preferences, or differences in ways of perceiving distance. Thus, we would consider lack of data on these characteristics an implausible source of major bias in our study. More generally, most of the measurement issues raised by the authors are presumably nondifferential with respect to smoking status—they probably attenuated the true associations.

Lee and colleagues also argued that lack of data on subjective perception of the distance to the nearest tobacco outlet was a limitation. Such perceptions are undoubtedly an important focus in psychologically oriented research, but our study¹ sought to find more objective evidence that will facilitate design of smoking-related interventions and policy. In that respect, the geographic information system-assessed distance to the nearest tobacco outlet, which was our exposure, seems a more useful intervention target. This assessment was based on street networks.

Anna Pulakka, PhD

Ichiro Kawachi, MD, PhD

Mika Kivimäki, PhD

Author Affiliations: Department of Public Health, University of Turku, Turku, Finland (Pulakka); Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences, Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts (Kawachi); Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London Medical School, London, England (Kivimäki); Clinicum, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland (Kivimäki).

Corresponding Author: Anna Pulakka, PhD, Department of Public Health, University of Turku, Joukahaisenkatu 3-5, 20014 Turku, Finland (anna.pulakka@utu.fi).

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

1. Pulakka A, Halonen JI, Kawachi I, et al. Association between distance from home to tobacco outlet and smoking cessation and relapse. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2016;176(10):1512-1519.
2. Frank LD, Sallis JF, Saelens BE, et al. The development of a walkability index: application to the Neighborhood Quality of Life Study. *Br J Sports Med.* 2010;44(13):924-933.
3. Connor Gorber S, Schofield-Hurwitz S, Hardt J, Levasseur G, Tremblay M. The accuracy of self-reported smoking: a systematic review of the relationship between self-reported and cotinine-assessed smoking status. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2009;11(1):12-24.

Advancing the Health of Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Adults

To the Editor In an Original Investigation in a recent issue of *JAMA Internal Medicine*, Gonzalez et al,¹ using national health surveillance data, observed that lesbian, gay, and bisexual (LGB) adults experience health disparities that warrant clinician attention. These findings were described, incorrectly, as “the first to capture the disparity in a population-based sample rather than a convenience or clinic-based sample.”^{2(p1352)} In fact, these effects have been well documented, both in the United States³ and elsewhere,⁴ in population-based studies published in the scientific literature.

It is time to use this knowledge to implement changes in clinical services and research. Although many US health surveillance systems measure sexual orientation, this is not yet integrated in our electronic health records or public health reporting systems. Furthermore, these data systems are not designed to explain why these differences exist nor how systems should be redesigned to respond to the patient-centered needs of LGB individuals. To advance the health of the LGB population, science must build on what is known and move with the proper protections to collect sexual orientation data as a necessary aspect of health care services.

Susan D. Cochran, PhD, MS

Ilan H. Meyer, PhD

Vickie M. Mays, PhD, MSPH

Author Affiliations: Departments of Epidemiology and Statistics, University of California, Los Angeles Fielding School of Public Health, Los Angeles (Cochran); Williams Institute, University of California School of Law, Los Angeles (Meyer); Departments of Psychology and Health Policy and Management, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles (Mays).

Corresponding Author: Susan D. Cochran, PhD, MS, Department of Epidemiology, University of California, Los Angeles Fielding School of Public Health, PO Box 951772, Los Angeles, CA 90095 (cochran@ucla.edu).

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

Funding/Support: Dr Mays is supported by a grant from the National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities (grant MD006923).

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The funder/sponsor had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

1. Gonzales G, Przedworski J, Henning-Smith C. Comparison of health and health risk factors between lesbian, gay, and bisexual adults and heterosexual adults in the United States: results from the National Health Interview Survey. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2016;176(9):1344-1351.

2. Katz MH. Health care for lesbian, gay, and bisexual people comes out of the closet. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2016;176(9):1352.

3. Stall R, Matthews DD, Friedman MR, et al. The continuing development of health disparities research on lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender individuals. *Am J Public Health.* 2016;106(5):787-789.

4. Frisch M, Simonsen J. Marriage, cohabitation and mortality in Denmark: national cohort study of 6.5 million persons followed for up to three decades (1982-2011). *Int J Epidemiol.* 2013;42(2):559-578.

In Reply We thank Cochran et al for their insightful comments, and we agree that our study¹ on health disparities in the lesbian, gay, and bisexual (LGB) population adds to the mounting body of evidence that people who identify as LGB experience worse health outcomes compared with their heterosexual peers, potentially due to the stressors that LGB people face as a result of interpersonal and structural discrimination. As Cochran and Mays have previously noted, “research on LGB populations is still in its infancy,”² compared with health disparities research on racial and ethnic minority populations. Other researchers have also noted that the work to resolve health disparities in the LGB population has barely begun.³ Our study helps broaden the knowledge base on LGB health disparities to the readers of *JAMA Internal Medicine*, as it is imperative for clinicians to understand, accept, and address the health needs of LGB and transgender (LGBT) patients. We encourage *JAMA Internal Medicine* to continue publishing important findings documenting LGBT health disparities and best practices for eliminating those disparities. Doing so will help health care providers implement positive changes in their practice. Meanwhile, recent developments at the National Institutes of Health will help build the research capacity for LGBT health. Specifically, the National Institute of Minority Health recently designated sexual and gender minorities as a health disparity population for research purposes.⁴ This designation will broaden funding opportunities and research on LGBT populations, among other sexual and gender minorities. We commend these efforts and encourage high-impact journals, like *JAMA Internal Medicine*, to continue reporting new discoveries and advancements in LGBT health.

Gilbert Gonzales, PhD, MHA

Carrie Henning-Smith, PhD, MPH, MSW

Julia Przedworski, BS

Author Affiliations: Department of Health and Policy, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, Tennessee (Gonzales); Department of Health Policy and Management, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis (Henning-Smith); University of Minnesota School of Public Health, Minneapolis (Przedworski).

Corresponding Author: Gilbert Gonzales, PhD, MHA, Department of Health Policy, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, 2525 W End Ave, Ste 1200, Nashville, TN 37203 (gilbert.gonzales@vanderbilt.edu).

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

1. Gonzales G, Przedworski J, Henning-Smith C. Comparison of health and health risk factors between lesbian, gay, and bisexual adults and heterosexual adults in the United States: results from the National Health Interview Survey. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2016;176(9):1344-1351.