<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Incidence and Remission of Nocturia: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Pesonen, Jori S.

2016

Pesonen , J S , Cartwright , R , Mangera , A , Santti , H , Griebeling , T L , Pryalukhin , A E ,
Riikonen , J , Tahtinen , R M , Agarwal , A , Tsui , J F , Vaughan , C P , Markland , A D ,
Johnson , T M , Fonsell-Annala , R , Khoo , C , Tammela , T L J , Aoki , Y , Auvinen , A ,
Heels-Ansdell , D , Guyatt , G H & Tikkinen , K A O 2016 , ' Incidence and Remission of
Nocturia: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis ' , European Urology , vol. 70 , no. 2 , pp.
372-381 . <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2016.02.014>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/224061>

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2016.02.014>

publishedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

Voiding Dysfunction

Incidence and Remission of Nocturia: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Jori S. Pesonen^{a,b}, Rufus Cartwright^{c,d}, Altaf Mangera^e, Henrikki Santti^f, Tomas L. Griebling^g, Alexey E. Pryalukhin^{h,i}, Jarno Riikonen^b, Riikka M. Tähtinen^j, Arnav Agarwal^{k,l}, Johnson F. Tsui^m, Camille P. Vaughanⁿ, Alayne D. Marklandⁿ, Theodore M. Johnson 2ndⁿ, Riikka Fonsell-Annala^o, Charlie Khoo^p, Teuvo L.J. Tammela^b, Yoshitaka Aoki^q, Anssi Auvinen^r, Diane Heels-Ansdell^l, Gordon H. Guyatt^{l,s}, Kari A.O. Tikkinen^{f,t,*}

^a Department of Urology, Pääjät-Häme Central Hospital, Lahti, Finland; ^b Department of Urology, Tampere University Hospital and Medical School, University of Tampere, Tampere, Finland; ^c Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Imperial College London, London, UK; ^d Department of Urogynaecology, Imperial College London, London, UK; ^e Department of Urology, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals, Sheffield, UK; ^f Department of Urology, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland; ^g Department of Urology, University of Kansas and The Landon Center On Aging, Kansas City, KS, USA; ^h North-Western State Medical University named after I.I. Mechnikov, Department of Urology, Saint Petersburg, Russia; ⁱ Department of Pathology, Saarland University Medical Center, Homburg, Germany; ^j Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Kuopio University Hospital, Kuopio, Finland; ^k Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada; ^l Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada; ^m Department of Urology, Lenox Hill Hospital, New York, NY, USA; ⁿ Department of Veterans Affairs, Birmingham/Atlanta Geriatric Research Education and Clinical Center, Atlanta, GA, USA; ^o Department of Urology, Porvoo Hospital, Porvoo, Finland; ^p Department of Urology, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK; ^q Department of Urology, University of Fukui, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Fukui, Japan; ^r School of Health Sciences, University of Tampere, Tampere, Finland; ^s Department of Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada; ^t Department of Public Health, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

Article info

Article history:

Accepted February 2, 2016

Associate Editor:

Giacomo Novara

Keywords:

Epidemiology
Incidence
Lower urinary tract symptoms
Meta-analysis
Meta-regression
Nocturia
Remission
Systematic review

Abstract

Context: Although vital for decision-making about management, the natural history of nocturia remains uncertain. A systematic review would clarify the issue, but because natural history reviews are uncommon it would require methodological innovations.

Objective: To estimate the incidence and remission of nocturia, and refine methods for meta-analyses assessing natural history.

Evidence acquisition: We conducted a comprehensive search of PubMed, Scopus, and Cumulative Index of Nursing and Allied Health Literature databases and abstracts of major urologic meetings as far as August 31, 2015. Random effects meta-analyses addressed incidence/remission rates of nocturia; meta-regression explored potential determinants of heterogeneity. Studies were categorized as either low or high risk of bias using a novel instrument specifically designed for longitudinal symptom studies aimed at the general population.

Evidence synthesis: Of 4165 potentially relevant reports, 16 proved eligible. Pooled estimates from 13 studies (114 964 person-years of follow-up) demonstrated that annual incidence was strongly associated with age: 0.4% (0–0.8%) for adults aged < 40 yr; 2.8% (1.9–3.7%) for adults aged 40–59 yr; and 11.5% (9.1–14.0%) for adults aged ≥ 60 yr. Of those with nocturia, each year 12.1% (9.5–14.7%) experienced remission.

Conclusions: The available evidence suggests that nocturia onset is strongly associated with age, with much higher rates in those over 60 yr; remission occurs in approximately 12% each year. These estimates can aid with management decisions and counseling related to nocturia.

* Corresponding author. Departments of Urology and Public Health, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, Haartmaninkatu 4, Helsinki 00029, Finland. Tel. +358-50-5393222. E-mail address: kari.tikkinen@gmail.com (Kari A.O. Tikkinen).

Patient summary: We reviewed all previous studies of progression of night-time urination (nocturia). We found that in any given year 0.4% of adults aged < 40 yr, 3% of adults aged 40–59 yr, and 12% of adults aged ≥ 60 yr will develop nocturia, while overall 12% of those with nocturia will improve. These findings may be helpful in making decisions about coping with or treating nocturia.

© 2016 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of European Association of Urology.

1. Introduction

Nocturia (waking from sleep at night to void) [1] is one of the most common and bothersome urinary symptoms [2]. Nocturia is associated with impaired quality of life, and is a significant cause of sleep disruption. Nocturia may increase fracture and mortality risk [3,4]. Cross-sectional studies suggest that older age increases the risk of nocturia [5], and studies have identified additional risk factors, suggesting a multifactorial etiology [6]. Little is known, however, about patterns of progression and remission of nocturia over time, knowledge of which would facilitate shared decision-making about the initiation and continuation of therapeutic options between patients and healthcare providers [7].

Conventional systematic reviews that compare one treatment against another or against a nontreatment control are common and the methods are well established [8]. However, systematic reviews and meta-analyses addressing natural history or prognosis of symptoms are rare, and require methodological innovation. Although investigators have conducted longitudinal studies addressing nocturia, summarizing the data is challenging, with variation between assessment tools, case definitions, and analytic strategies [6]. The primary aim of this systematic review was to explore and compare, using different analytical methods and definitions, the average annual cumulative incidence and remission of nocturia. We also aimed to examine progression of nocturia, and further develop methods for systematic reviews and meta-analyses assessing natural history and prognosis of symptoms.

2. Evidence acquisition

We registered the review protocol (PROSPERO: CRD42012001985), and followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses guidance [9]. No ethical approval was required.

2.1. Data sources and searches

An experienced research librarian (M.A.) collaborated in planning the search strategy, performed up to 31 August, 2015, in PubMed (from 1946 to present), Scopus (1995 to present), and Cumulative Index of Nursing and Allied Health Literature (1960 to present) without search limits or language restrictions. As increasing evidence suggests the benefits of inclusion of grey literature to the systematic reviews [10], we also searched abstracts published in the annual meetings of the American Urological Association,

European Association of Urology, International Continence Society, and International Urogynecological Association from the past 10 yr (2005–2015) for ongoing and unpublished studies. Supplementary Appendix 1 provides the search strategy. We also hand searched reference lists of all included articles.

2.2. Eligibility criteria

We included longitudinal studies with a follow-up of at least 3 mo reporting the incidence, progression, remission, or change in prevalence in a primarily non-care seeking adult population. We excluded studies in which the aim was to assess the effect of any intervention, including those with untreated control arms. We also excluded studies assessing lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) in patients with any specific health disorder. Finally, we excluded studies assessing the impact of pregnancy or delivery on LUTS if the baseline LUTS assessment was carried out either during pregnancy or in the 1st postpartum year.

2.3. Study selection and data extraction

We developed standardized, pilot-tested forms together with detailed instructions for screening of abstracts and full texts, risk of bias assessments, and data extraction. The reviewers conducted pilot screening and data extraction exercises to achieve a high level of agreement. Pairs of reviewers, independently and in duplicate, screened study reports for eligibility, assessed risk of bias, and collected data from each eligible study. Reviewers resolved disagreements through discussions; one of two adjudicators resolved remaining disagreements.

When more than one report provided data from the same study, we used the most complete report, and additionally combined data from less complete reports where possible. We recorded the country/source of study sample, age and sex distribution, exclusion criteria used in individual studies, assessment tools used for nocturia, follow-up time, sample size including response rate, as well as incidence and remission rates of nocturia.

2.4. Assessment of risk of bias

One challenge for a systematic review of symptom prognosis is that risk of bias criteria, as well as criteria for overall certainty in estimates, although well established for reviews of therapeutic trials, are controversial in observational studies [11]. Through iterative discussion and consensus building, and informed by prior literature

[12,13], we developed a novel instrument to categorize studies as either low or high risk of bias, evaluating the representativeness of the source populations, accuracy of the outcome assessment, and the proportion of missing data (Supplementary Appendix 2) [14].

2.5. Data analysis, including statistical analysis

We used three different analytic definitions to assess the incidence of nocturia: (1) any new nocturia case (≥ 1 voids/night) at follow-up for individuals without nocturia at baseline, (2) any new case of ≥ 2 voids/night for individuals with no or one void per night at baseline, and (3) any new case of ≥ 3 voids/night for individuals with two or less voids per night at baseline. Similarly, we used three analytic definitions for nocturia remission: (1) one or more voids per night resolving to no nocturia, (2) two or more nocturia episodes resolving to no or one void per night, and (3) three or more nocturia episodes resolving to two or less voids per night. Epidemiological studies have suggested that difference of at least one void per night is patient-important [15,16].

For cumulative incidence and remission rates, person-years were calculated by multiplying the number of individuals without/with nocturia (for incidence and remission, respectively) at the follow-up by follow-up time (simple cumulative incidence methodology). Standard errors and 95% confidence intervals were calculated for natural logarithms of incidence/remission rates per 1000 person-years of follow-up. In the case of zero events, a correction of 0.5 was added to observed events and person-years to enable calculation of confidence intervals. Finally, we also used actuarial cumulative incidence methodology for sensitivity analyses (Supplementary Appendix 3).

We calculated pooled rates of incidence and remission of nocturia using the DerSimonian–Laird random effects inverse variance method. Rates were expressed as observed events per 1000 person-years of follow-up. If a study provided more than one definition for incidence/remission of nocturia, when pooling data, we preferred nocturia estimates using a definition of two or more voids/night. Analyses were also carried out for three age groups (18–39 yr, 40–59 yr, and 60 yr and over) as earlier research suggest substantial differences between individuals in young adulthood, middle age, and in older age [5]. Finally, we measured estimates stratified by sex and across the three nocturia case definitions (defined as ≥ 1 , ≥ 2 , or ≥ 3 voids/night).

We employed prespecified hypotheses to examine heterogeneity using meta-regression analysis weighted by the inverse of the variance in a random effects model. Separately for each nocturia case definition (≥ 1 , ≥ 2 , or ≥ 3 voids/night), we examined the following variables as potential sources of heterogeneity: (1) mean age, (2) sex distribution, (3) length of follow-up, and (4) risk of bias. For incidence, we had prespecified hypotheses that effect estimates would be higher for: (1) older age, (2) higher proportion of male population, (3) shorter follow-up time, and (4) lower risk of bias. For remission, we had prespecified hypotheses that effect estimates would be

higher for: (1) younger age, (2) higher proportion of female population, (3) shorter follow-up time, and (4) lower risk of bias.

To illustrate the relation of nocturia incidence and remission with nocturia prevalence, we estimated the (baseline) prevalence of nocturia ≥ 1 , ≥ 2 and ≥ 3 episodes/night using a previous comprehensive systematic review addressing the prevalence of nocturia [5].

We narratively summarized the studies on progression of nocturia but did not pool estimates because too few studies on progression were included in our meta-analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using metan and metareg in Stata 12.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) [17].

3. Evidence synthesis

3.1. Literature search and study characteristics

We screened 4165 abstracts and retrieved 74 full texts and two eligible conference abstracts (Fig. 1). Sixteen studies provided usable data from 15 142 men and 18 726 women (Table 1). From these 16 studies, two provided proportional measures of progression and remission of nocturia among all persons in follow-up but did not report actual number of incident or remitting cases [18,19]. Similarly, one study provided only periodic prevalences of nocturia but not data of incident or remitting cases [20]. We were therefore able to include 13 studies (114 964 person-years) in meta-analyses of incidence and remission rates of nocturia [21–33].

Table 1 provides a description of the 16 studies. Ten (62%) were conducted in Europe, three (19%) in North America, and three (19%) in Asia. The studies varied widely, including sex and age distributions, as well as in follow-up times (median 4.5 yr; range, 6 mo to 16 yr). Fifteen studies (94%) used symptom questionnaires and one (6%) used frequency-volume charts.

3.2. Risk of bias

Of the 16 included studies, 10 (62%) were at high risk and six (38%) at low risk of bias (Fig. 2). Of these 16 studies, 14 (88%) accurately assessed nocturia both at baseline and at follow-up, nine (56%) had little missing data in the follow-up, and eight (50%) used representative source populations.

3.3. Incidence

In meta-analyses of the incidence rates of nocturia (12 studies, five low and seven high risk of bias), the pooled average annual cumulative incidence was 4.9% (95% confidence interval 4.1–5.8, $I^2 = 98.6\%$; no difference between simple and actuarial cumulative incidence methodology; Fig. 3; Supplementary Fig. 1). With age stratification, annual incidence increased with increasing age: 0.4% (0–0.8%, $I^2 = 65.1\%$) for adults aged < 40 yr, 2.8% (1.9–3.7%, $I^2 = 98.1\%$) for adults aged 40–59 yr, and 11.5% (9.1–14.0%, $I^2 = 98.8\%$) for adults aged ≥ 60 yr (Fig. 3). Pooled incidence

Fig. 1 – Study flow chart.

CINAHL = Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature.

rates did not significantly differ by nocturia case definition (4.1% (3.0–5.2%) for ≥ 1 episode per night, 4.4% (3.6–5.2%) for ≥ 2 episodes per night, and 3.7% (2.4–5.1%) for ≥ 3 episodes per night; Supplementary Table 1).

In multivariable meta-regression, (borderline) significant predictor for higher incidence was older age (4.7% increase/decade for ≥ 1 voids/night, -1.4 to 10.8 , $p = 0.12$, 2.5% increase/decade for ≥ 2 voids/night, 0.1 – 4.9 , $p = 0.04$; and 2.6% increase/decade for ≥ 3 voids/night, -0.2 to 5.4 , $p = 0.06$). Follow-up time, sex distribution, or risk of bias were not strongly suggestive of higher or lower incidence of nocturia (Supplementary Table 2).

3.4. Remission

In meta-analyses of remission rates of nocturia (12 studies, five low and seven high risk of bias), the pooled average annual cumulative remission was 12.1% (9.5–14.7%, $I^2 = 97.8\%$; no difference between simple and actuarial cumulative remission methodology; Fig. 4; Supplementary Fig. 2). With age stratification, annual remission rates did not differ by age: 11.1% (3.7–18.5%, $I^2 = 0.0\%$) for adults aged < 40 yr, 9.4% (6.2–12.6%, $I^2 = 94.1\%$) for adults aged 40–59 yr, and 13.9% (9.0–18.8%, $I^2 = 98.8\%$) for adults aged ≥ 60 yr

(Fig. 4). Pooled remission rates for nocturia increased with higher nocturia case definition: 6.7% (4.5–8.9%) for ≥ 1 voids/night, 15.5% (10.4–20.6%) for ≥ 2 voids/night, and 22.3% (13.2–31.3%) for ≥ 3 voids/night (Supplementary Table 1).

In multivariable meta-regression, age, sex distribution, follow-up time, or risk of bias were not consistently suggestive of higher or lower remission of nocturia (Supplementary Table 3).

3.5. Relation between incidence and remission rates with baseline prevalence of nocturia

Figure 5 illustrates the relation of baseline prevalence (of having or not having nocturia) with (average annual) cumulative incidence and remission. For instance, baseline prevalence is 5% for ≥ 3 nocturia episodes. Therefore, 5% of population are “at risk” of nocturia remission and 95% are “at risk” of nocturia incidence. According to our meta-analyses (Supplementary Table 1), cumulative incidence is 3.7% (2.4–5.1%) and cumulative remission is 22.3% (13.2–31.3%) for ≥ 3 nocturia episodes. However, due to the baseline prevalence, indeed more incident than remittent nocturia cases emerge annually and the prevalence therefore grows with age (Fig. 5).

Table 1 – Characteristics of the studies included in qualitative analyses

Study	Country	Source of sample	Population characteristics ^a	Exclusion criteria	Assessment tool for nocturia	Follow-up time (yr)	No. of contacted at the baseline	No. of eligible respondents	
								Baseline	Follow-up
Bulpitt et al 1976 [21] [18]	England	GP registry	Both sex, 38% men, mean age 53 yr (range, 32–69 yr)	Hypertension	A symptom questionnaire for hypertensive patients (validated)	0.8	173	88 (51%)	55 (63%)
Lee et al 1998 [18] ^b	Scotland	GP registries	Men, mean age 56 yr (range, 40–79 yr)	Treatment/disease affecting lower urinary tract	AUA-SI	5	3094	1994 (64%)	1159 (58%)
Møller et al 2000 [22]	Denmark	Civil registry	Women, mean age 50 yr (range, 40–60 yr)	None	BFLUTS	1	4000	2860 (72%)	2284 (80%)
Temml et al 2003 [19] ^b	Austria	Health screening	Men, mean age 55 yr (range, 40–84 yr)	Treatment affecting lower urinary tract	IPSS	5	2096	854 (41%)	456 (53.4%)
Johnson et al 2005 [23]	USA	Marketing list vendor	Both sex, 40.7% men, mean age 71yr (range, 60+ yr)	Institutionalized	MESA questionnaire (validated)	1	1956	1632 (83%)	1105 (68%)
Häkkinen et al 2006 [24]	Finland	Civil registry	Men, mean age 62 yr (range, 50–70 yr)	None	DAN-PSS	5	3143	2198 (70%)	1683 (77%)
Chen et al 2007 [25]	Taiwan	Health screening	Women, mean age 60 yr (range, 40–79 yr)	None	Unvalidated questionnaire	2	1149	862 (75%)	314 (36%)
Viktrup and Lose 2008 [26]	Denmark	Department of obstetrics	Primiparous women, mean age 35 yr (range, 17–41 yr) ^c	None	A questionnaire in accordance with definitions by ICS (validated)	7	Unclear	305	226
Wennberg et al 2009 [27]	Sweden	Civil registry	Women, mean age 56 yr (range, 20–98 yr)	None	IPSS	16	2911	2248 (77%)	1081 (37%)
Malmsten et al 2010 [20] ^b	Sweden	Civil registry	Men, mean age 62 yr (45–99 yr)	None	IPSS	11	10458	7763 (74%)	3257 (42%)
Heidler et al 2011 [28]	Austria	Health screening	Women, mean age 57 yr (range, 21–81 yr)	Urinary tract infection, surgery for urinary incontinence	BFLUTS	6.5	1166	925 (79%)	386 (42%)
Van Doorn et al 2011 [29]	The Netherlands	Civil registry	Men, mean age 62 yr (range, 50–78 yr)	Surgery/condition affecting lower urinary tract, poor health	FVC (frequency-volume chart)	2.1	3398	1122 (33%)	698 (62%)
Aoki et al 2012 [30]	Japan	Health screening	Both sex, 30.8% men, mean age 68 yr (range, 23–95 yr)	None	Unvalidated questionnaire	4	Unclear	23 126	13 536
Hunter et al 2012 [31]	USA	Home support registries	Women receiving home support, mean age 84 yr (range, 70–103 yr)	Poor health	ICIQ-FLUTS	0.5	203	100 (49%)	75 (75%)
Hirayama et al 2013 [32]	Japan	Health screening	Both sex, 50.7% men, mean age 73 yr (range, 65–93 yr)	Poor health, institutionalized	IPSS	1	4427	3915 (88%)	3685 (94%)
Araujo et al 2014 [33]	USA	Street lists	Both sex, 38.9% men, mean age 52 yr (range, 30–79 yr)	Poor health	AUA-SI	5	9602	5502 (57%)	4144 (75%)

^a Mean age at the midpoint of the follow-up; to estimate the mean age at the moment of nocturia incidence/remission in the study population, half of the duration of the follow-up time was added to the mean age at the baseline.

^b Three studies were not included in the meta-analyses.

^c Age information at the time of delivery. We used data from nocturia observations that were collected between the 7th and 12th postpartum years.

AUA-SI = American Urological Association Symptom Index; BFLUTS = The Bristol Female Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms; DAN-PSS = The Danish Prostatic Symptom Score; ICIQ-FLUTS = International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire-Female Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms; ICS = International Continence Society; IPSS = International Prostate Symptom Score; MESA = Medical, Epidemiologic and Social Aspects of Aging questionnaire.

Reference	Risk of bias criteria			Overall risk of bias
	Representativity of the source population	Assessment of the outcome	Missing data	
Bulpitt et al 1976 [21]	–	+	–	High
Lee et al 1998 [18]	+	+	–	High
Møller et al 2000 [22]	+	+	+	Low
Temml et al 2003 [19]	–	+	–	High
Johnson et al 2005 [23]	+	+	+	Low
Häkkinen et al 2006 [24]	+	+	+	Low
Chen et al 2007 [25]	–	–	–	High
Viktrup and Lose 2008 [26]	+	+	+	Low
Wennberg et al 2009 [27]	+	+	+	Low
Malmsten et al 2010 [20]	+	+	+	Low
Heidler et al 2011 [28]	–	+	–	High
Van Doorn et al 2011 [29]	+	+	–	High
Aoki et al 2012 [30]	–	–	+	High
Hunter et al 2012 [31]	–	+	–	High
Hirayama et al 2013 [32]	–	+	+	High
Araujo 2014 et al [33]	–	+	+	High

Fig. 2 – Risk of bias of the included studies.

Fig. 3 – Forest plot of incidence rates of nocturia per 1000 person-years of follow-up. CI = confidence interval.

Fig. 4 – Forest plot of remission rates per 1000 person-years of follow-up. CI = confidence interval.

Fig. 5 – Relation of annual incidence and remission rates of nocturia to baseline prevalence of at least one void per night (30%), at least two voids per night (12%), and at least three voids per night (5%).

3.6. Progression of nocturia

Three studies provided proportional measures for progression/remission of nocturia [18,19,28]. In a Scottish study conducted among middle-aged and elderly men [18], progression of nocturia occurred in 40% and remission in 10%, whereas in 50% of men nocturia remained unchanged after 5-yr follow-up. In an Austrian study also conducted among middle-aged and elderly men [19], progression occurred in 28%, remission in 27%, while in 45% of men nocturia symptoms were unchanged. An Austrian study conducted among women of all adult ages [28], reported after 6.5-yr follow-up, progression from one void to at least two voids per night occurred in 21% of women with one void per night at the baseline, and remission to one void per night in 23% of women with at least two voids per night at the baseline.

3.7. Strengths

To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review assessing the natural history of nocturia. The strengths of this review include a contemporary and comprehensive search of both published and unpublished studies without language restrictions, the duplicate assessment of eligibility and data extraction, and the appraisal of risk of bias. Although randomized trials provide estimates of treatment effect with the lowest risk of bias, populations enrolled are likely to differ from general populations in a variety of ways, making their application to general populations limited [34]. Hence, we chose to provide estimates from observational studies of unselected patients; such studies are likely to be the best source of estimates of prognosis. We used appropriate statistical methods to generate pooled estimates, followed a prespecified data analysis plan, and employed a limited number of important and plausible hypotheses to explore potential determinants of heterogeneity, and applied novel approaches to risk of bias assessment [14]. Finally, sensitivity analyses did not change results appreciably.

3.8. Limitations

The limitations of our review are largely the weaknesses of the eligible studies. Firstly, included studies use several different instruments for assessment with different definitions of nocturia. Secondly, variation in follow-up times makes comparison of estimates for incidence and remission rates of nocturia challenging because of the fluctuating nature of this symptom [35]. Pooling the rates from studies with follow-up times varying from 6 mo to 16 yr (Table 1) necessarily involves some approximation when trying to estimate average annual incidence and remission. These studies have included some people with interventions and are therefore somewhat limited as not entirely representing the “natural” history. Another important limitation is the very wide differences between rates of both incidence and remission across studies, differences that could be partially explained by age. Differences in age distributions and

follow-up times between male and female studies limited the comparability of the estimates between sexes. Finally, although identified studies include both men and women of all adult ages, there is paucity of studies including younger adults.

3.9. Implications for clinical practice and future research

Besides being useful in counseling patients with nocturia, these results highlight the burden of nocturia among older men and women compared with younger adults. Those aged over 60 yr were nearly four times more likely to develop nocturia compared with adults aged 40–59 yr. Also, while one out of every eight persons with nocturia reported remission annually, for clinicians and patients, nocturia remains a challenging condition to treat [6,36]. With the aging of populations worldwide and the well-recognized negative health impact of frequent nocturia [15,37], development of novel treatment strategies that are well-tolerated should remain a research priority.

4. Conclusions

Our study summarizes the incidence and remission of nocturia in a general population using data from five low and eight high risk of bias studies. Across all available studies, the incidence of nocturia is 0.4% per year among adults aged < 40 yr, 2.8% among those aged 40–59 yr, and 11.5% among those aged ≥ 60 yr, while overall remission is 12.1% per year; estimates, however, varied considerably among studies. These estimates can aid with management decisions and counseling related to nocturia.

Author contributions: Kari A.O. Tikkinen had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Study concept and design: Pesonen, Cartwright, Tikkinen.

Acquisition of data: Pesonen, Cartwright, Mangera, Santti, Griebing, Pryalukhin, Riikonen, Tähtinen, Agarwal, Tsui, Vaughan, Markland, Johnson, Fonsell-Annala, Khoo, Aoki, Tikkinen.

Analysis and interpretation of data: Pesonen, Cartwright, Auvinen, Heels-Ansdell, Guyatt, Tikkinen.

Drafting of the manuscript: Pesonen, Cartwright, Tikkinen.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Pesonen, Cartwright, Mangera, Santti, Griebing, Pryalukhin, Riikonen, Tähtinen, Agarwal, Tsui, Vaughan, Markland, Johnson, Fonsell-Annala, Khoo, Tammela, Aoki, Auvinen, Heels-Ansdell, Guyatt, Tikkinen.

Statistical analysis: Pesonen, Cartwright, Heels-Ansdell, Guyatt, Tikkinen.

Obtaining funding: Tikkinen.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Tammela.

Supervision: Cartwright, Guyatt, Tikkinen.

Other: None.

Financial disclosures: Kari A.O. Tikkinen certifies that all conflicts of interest, including specific financial interests and relationships and affiliations relevant to the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript (eg, employment/affiliation, grants or funding, consultancies, honoraria, stock ownership or options, expert testimony, royalties, or patents filed, received, or pending), are the following: Pesonen declares research grants from Pfizer and Ferring, reimbursements for attending scientific meetings from Astellas and Novartis, and honoraria

from Astellas and Merck. Pryalukhin declares a reimbursement for attending a scientific meeting and an honorarium from Zentiva Pharma, and travel grants from Astellas Pharma Europe and Gedeon Richter. Riikonen declares reimbursements for attending scientific meetings from Astellas and Ferring, and an honorarium from Abbvie. Tähtinen declares a reimbursement for attending a scientific meeting from Johnson & Johnson. Santti declares a reimbursement for attending a scientific meeting and an honorarium from Astellas. Vaughan was a subinvestigator on an investigator-initiated trial supported by Astellas. Johnson declares consultancy, travel reimbursements, research grants, and honoraria from Vantia and Astellas. Tammela declares consultancy for GlaxoSmithKline, Astellas, and Ferring, and an honorarium for Sanofi, and has participated in trials by Medivation, Orion Pharma, Takeda, Jansen Cilag, Lidds AB, Camurus AB, and Bayer.

Funding/Support and role of the sponsor: This study was conducted by the Clinical Urology and Epidemiology Working Group supported by the Academy of Finland (#276046), Competitive Research Funding of the Helsinki, Uusimaa Hospital District, Jane and Aatos Erkko Foundation, and Sigrid Jusélius Foundation. Pesonen was supported also by the Competitive Research Funding of the Kanta-Häme Central Hospital, and Cartwright by the UK Medical Research Council. Vaughan was supported by a US Department of Veterans Affairs Career Development Award (1 IK2 RX000747-01). The sponsors had no role in the analysis and interpretation of the data or the manuscript preparation, review, or approval.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank information specialist Mervi Ahola for advice regarding literature search strategies. We would also like to thank the following researchers for checking extracted data for accuracy and/or providing additional information regarding the original studies: Yoshitaka Aoki, Akihide Hirayama, Kathleen Hunter, and Jukka Häkkinen.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2016.02.014>.

References

- [1] Van Kerrebroeck P, Abrams P, Chaikin D, et al. The standardization of terminology in nocturia: report from the Standardization Subcommittee of the International Continence Society. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2002;21:179–83.
- [2] Agarwal A, Eryuzlu LN, Cartwright R, et al. What is the most bothersome lower urinary tract symptom? Individual- and population-level perspectives for both men and women. *Eur Urol* 2014;65:1211–7.
- [3] Temml C, Ponholzer A, Gutjahr G, Berger I, Marszałek M, Madersbacher S. Nocturia is an age-independent risk factor for hip-fractures in men. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2009;28:949–52.
- [4] Nakagawa H, Niu K, Hozawa A, et al. Impact of nocturia on bone fracture and mortality in older individuals: A Japanese longitudinal cohort study. *J Urol* 2010;184:1413–8.
- [5] Bosch JL, Weiss JP. Prevalence and causes of nocturia. *J Urol* 2010;184:440–6.
- [6] Marshall SD, Raskolnikov D, Blaker MH, et al. Nocturia: Current levels of evidence and recommendations from the international consultation on male lower urinary tract symptoms. *Urology* 2015;85:1291–9.
- [7] Blaker MH, Van Deventer KR, Bijl D. Measuring symptomatic relief in men with lower urinary tract symptoms. *BMJ* 2014;349:g6664.
- [8] Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, Version 5.1.0. <http://handbook.cochrane.org/>.
- [9] Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *BMJ* 2009;21:339.
- [10] Montori V, Ioannidis J, Guyatt G. Reporting bias. In: Guyatt G, Rennie D, Meade MO, Cook DJ, editors. *Users' Guides to the Medical Literature: A Manual for Evidence-based Clinical Practice*. ed 2. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill; 2008.
- [11] Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist G, et al. GRADE guidelines: 4. Rating the quality of evidence—study limitations (risk of bias). *J Clin Epidemiol* 2011;64:407–15.
- [12] Hayden JA, van der Windt DA, Cartwright JL, Côté P, Bombardier C. Assessing bias in studies of prognostic factors. *Ann Intern Med* 2013;158:280–6.
- [13] Kim SY, Park JE, Lee YJ, Seo H-J, Sheen SS, Hahng S, Janga BH, Son HJ. Testing a tool for assessing the risk of bias for nonrandomized studies showed moderate reliability and promising validity. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2013;66:408–14.
- [14] Tikkinen KAO, Busse JW, Guyatt GH. Tool to assess risk of bias in observational studies of natural history of medical symptoms/conditions in general populations. <https://distillercer.com/resources/methodological-resources/>.
- [15] Tikkinen KA, Johnson IInd TM, Tammela TL, et al. Nocturia frequency, bother, and quality of life: how often is too often? A population-based study in Finland. *Eur Urol* 2010;57:488–98.
- [16] Kupelian V, Wei JT, O'Leary MP, Norgaard JP, Rosen RC, McKinlay JB. Nocturia and quality of life: results from the Boston Area Community Health Survey. *Eur Urol* 2012;61:78–84.
- [17] Harris R, Bradburn M, Deeks J, Altman D, Harbord R, Sterne J. Fixed- and random-effects meta-analysis. *Stata J* 2008;8:3–28.
- [18] Lee AJ, Garraway WM, Simpson RJ, Fisher W, King D. The natural history of untreated lower urinary tract symptoms in middle-aged and elderly men over a period of five years. *Eur Urol* 1998;34:325–32.
- [19] Temml C, Brössner C, Schatzl G, Ponholzer A, Knoop E, Madersbacher S. The natural history of lower urinary tract symptoms over five years. *Eur Urol* 2003;43:374–80.
- [20] Malmsten UG, Molander U, Pecker R, Irwin DE, Milsom I. Urinary incontinence, overactive bladder, and other lower urinary tract symptoms: a longitudinal population-based survey in men aged 45–103 years. *Eur Urol* 2010;58:149–56.
- [21] Bulpitt CJ, Dollery CT, Carne S. Change in symptoms of hypertensive patients after referral to hospital clinic. *Br Heart J* 1976;38:121–8.
- [22] Møller L, Lose G, Jørgensen T. Incidence and remission rates of lower urinary tract symptoms at one year in women aged 40–60: longitudinal study. *BMJ* 2000;320:1429–32.
- [23] Johnson 2nd TM, Sattin RW, Parmelee P, Fultz NH, Ouslander JG. Evaluating potentially modifiable risk factors for prevalent and incident nocturia in older adults. *J Am Ger Soc* 2005;53:1011.
- [24] Häkkinen JT, Hakama M, Shiri R, Auvinen A, Tammela TL, Koskimäki J. Incidence of nocturia in 50- to 80-year-old Finnish men. *J Urol* 2006;176:2541.
- [25] Chen FY, Dai YT, Liu CK, Yu HJ, Liu CY, Chen TH. Perception of nocturia and medical consulting behavior among community-dwelling women. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct* 2007;18:431–6.
- [26] Viktrup L, Lose G. Incidence and remission of lower urinary tract symptoms during 12 years after the first delivery: a cohort study. *J Urol* 2008;180:992–7.
- [27] Wennberg A-L, Molander U, Fall M, Edlund C, Pecker R, Milsom I. A longitudinal population-based survey of urinary incontinence, overactive bladder, and other lower urinary tract symptoms in women. *Eur Urol* 2009;55:783–91.

- [28] Heidler S, Mert C, Temml C, Madersbacher S. The natural history of the overactive bladder syndrome in females: a long-term analysis of a health screening project. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2011; 30:1437–41.
- [29] Van Doorn B, Blanker MH, Kok ET, Westers P, Bosch JL. Once nocturia, always nocturia? Natural history of nocturia in older men based on frequency-volume charts: the Krimpen study. *J Urol* 2011;186:1956–61.
- [30] Aoki Y, Matsuta Y, Tsuchiyama K, Matsumoto C, Kusaka Y, Yokoyama O. The association between nocturia and hypertension: a longitudinal study in Japanese men and women. *AUA Annual Meeting 2012*, abstract 290.
- [31] Hunter KF, Moore KN, Voaklander D, Hsu ZY. A prospective study of lower urinary tract symptoms and quality of life older women receiving home support. *ICS Annual Meeting 2012*, abstract 192.
- [32] Hirayama A, Torimoto K, Mastusita C, et al. Evaluation of factors influencing the natural history of nocturia in elderly subjects: results of the Fujiwara-kyo Study. *J Urol* 2013;189:980–6.
- [33] Araujo AB, Yaggi HK, Yang M, McVary KT, Fang SC, Bliwise D. Sleep related problems and urological symptoms: testing the hypothesis of bidirectionality in a longitudinal, population based study. *J Urol* 2014;191:100–6.
- [34] Van Spall HG, Toren A, Kiss A, Fowler RA. Eligibility criteria of randomized controlled trials published in high-impact general medical journals: a systematic sampling review. *JAMA* 2007;297: 1233–40.
- [35] Vaughan CP, Johnson 2nd TM, Haukka J, et al. The fluctuation of nocturia in men with lower urinary tract symptoms allocated to placebo during a 12-month randomized, controlled trial. *J Urol* 2013;191:1040–4.
- [36] Drake MJ. Should nocturia not be called a lower urinary tract symptom? *Eur Urol* 2015;67:289–90.
- [37] Zhang L, Zhu L, Xu T, et al. A population-based survey of the prevalence, potential risk factors, and symptom-specific bother of lower urinary tract symptoms in adult Chinese women. *Eur Urol* 2015;68:97–112.

UROLOGY WEEK 2016

26–30 SEPTEMBER

Step Up,
Join the Campaign!

For public awareness
of urological conditions

#urologyweek

More info → urologyweek.org

EAU European
Association
of Urology