<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Interpretation of the Phase Functions Measured by the OSIRIS Instrument for Comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko

Markkanen, Johannes

2018-11-20

Markkanen , J , Agarwal , J , Väisänen , T , Penttilä , A & Muinonen , K 2018 , ' Interpretation of the Phase Functions Measured by the OSIRIS Instrument for Comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko ' , Astrophysical Journal Letters , vol. 868 , no. 1 , 16 . <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aaee10>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/268060>

<https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aaee10>

unspecified

publishedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

Interpretation of the Phase Functions Measured by the OSIRIS Instrument for Comet 67P/Churyumov–Gerasimenko

Johannes Markkanen¹ , Jessica Agarwal¹ , Timo Väisänen² , Antti Penttilä² , and Karri Muinonen^{2,3}

¹Max Planck Institute for Solar System Research, Justus-von-Liebig-Weg 3, D-37077 Göttingen, Germany; markkanen@mps.mpg.de

²Department of Physics, P.O. Box 64, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

³Finnish Geospatial Research Institute FGI, Geodeetinrinne 2, FI-02430 Masala, Finland

Received 2018 October 23; revised 2018 November 2; accepted 2018 November 2; published 2018 November 19

Abstract

We show that the scattering phase functions of the coma and the nucleus of the comet 67P/Churyumov–Gerasimenko measured by the Rosetta/Optical, Spectroscopic, and Infrared Remote Imaging System (OSIRIS) instrument can be reproduced by a particle model involving clustered, densely packed submicrometer-sized grains composed of organic material and larger micrometer-sized silicate grains. The simulated and measured coma phase functions suggest that near the nucleus scattering is dominated by large particles, and the size distribution of dust particles varies with time and/or local coma environment. Further, we show that the measured nucleus phase function is consistent with the coma phase function by modeling a nucleus-sized object consisting of the same particles that explain the coma phase functions.

Key words: comets: individual (67P) – methods: numerical – scattering

1. Introduction

The European Space Agency’s (ESA) Rosetta mission to the comet 67P/Churyumov–Gerasimenko has provided a unique opportunity to study a cometary dust environment by multiple instruments. Understanding the dust environment is crucial for the interpretation of the remote light-scattering observations of comets in general. The light-scattering features, such as the intensity phase function and the degree of linear/circular polarization of the coma and the nucleus, depend on the physical properties of dust, i.e., the shape and size of particles, porosity, and chemical composition of cometary material. In the following, we refer to the constituting monomers as *grains*, and to aggregates of these grains as *particles*.

The Optical, Spectroscopic, and Infrared Remote Imaging System (OSIRIS) camera on board the Rosetta spacecraft allowed for absolute magnitude imaging, and the images have been used to construct the intensity phase functions of the coma (Bertini et al. 2017) and the nucleus (Fornasier et al. 2015; Feller et al. 2016; Hasselmann et al. 2017; Masoumzadeh et al. 2017). The Micro-Imaging Dust Analysis System (MIDAS) and COmetary Secondary Ion Mass Analyser (COSIMA) instruments have provided clues for morphology of dust. The atomic force microscope of MIDAS shows evidence that the dust particles are agglomerated submicrometer-sized irregular grains with the equivalent radius $r \sim 100\text{--}1000\text{ nm}$ (Bentley et al. 2016; Mannel et al. 2016). However, the resolution limits the detection of even smaller subunits; hence, their existence cannot be excluded. COSIMA has captured and imaged a huge number of large particles ranging from $10\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ up to 1 mm , suggesting that large particles dominate the scattering features of the coma near the nucleus as MIDAS has only detected a few particles smaller than $10\text{ }\mu\text{m}$. The COSIMA particles may be agglomerates of smaller units, possibly composed of similar particles detected by MIDAS (Hilchenbach et al. 2016; Langevin et al. 2016). Furthermore, COSIMA has analyzed the elemental and isotopic composition of the surface of the dust particles by the secondary ion mass spectrometer. The analysis indicates that organic material and

silicate minerals are the major components of dust mixed together on a scale that is smaller than the resolution of the instrument ($35 \times 50\text{ }\mu\text{m}^2$; Bardyn et al. 2017).

Regardless of the knowledge on the morphology and composition of the dust particles obtained by the various in situ measurements, the light-scattering characteristics of the coma and the nucleus remain unexplained. In particular, the deep minimum in the intensity phase function around 100° (Bertini et al. 2017) cannot be reproduced with the commonly used cometary dust particle models such as the fractal aggregates of spherical particles (Kimura et al. 2006) or agglomerated debris particles (Zubko et al. 2009). Moreno et al. (2018) showed that aligned spheroidal particles much larger than the wavelength reproduce the coma phase function. However, the model fails to explain the ground-based polarimetric observations, and the mechanism yielding such alignment has not been demonstrated in practice.

In this Letter, we show that the model based on randomly oriented large dust particles consisting of submicrometer-sized organic grains and micrometer-sized silicate grains explains the observed coma phase functions. The model also suggests that the variations in the phase functions with time are due to the different particle size distribution. In addition, the model predictions are consistent with the ground-based polarimetric observations. Finally, we show that the nucleus phase function can be reproduced by a surface consisting of densely packed particles with the same scattering properties as the coma particles.

2. Numerical Method

To model light scattering by large particles consisting of wavelength-sized grains is impossible with the standard numerical light-scattering methods such as the integral-equation, finite-element, or finite-difference methods. We have recently introduced the radiative transfer with reciprocal transactions method (R^2T^2 ; Markkanen et al. 2018; Muinonen et al. 2018), which extends the applicability of the radiative transfer to the dense discrete random medium of low-absorbing

Figure 1. Illustration of the computational chain. First, the FaSTMM solver computes the scattering properties of the volume elements containing a large number of small grains. These are inserted into the SIRIS4 solver that outputs scattering properties of dust particles. The scattering properties of the dust particles are used as input to the SIRIS4 that gives scattering properties of the nucleus as output.

particles. Here, we include the coherent component to the method using the mean field correction, which allows us to treat highly absorbing particles.

The mean field correction takes the refractions and reflections of the mean field (coherent field) into account using Snel’s law. The correction is crucial for a medium consisting of highly absorbing grains such as cometary dust as the mean free path is short, and consequently, the surface of the particle has a significant effect on scattering. The drawback of the mean field correction is that taking the coherent backscattering (CB) effect arising from the volume element interactions into account is not trivial and it is excluded in this paper. We note, however, that the CB contributes only at the small phase angles and is typically assumed to be rather weak for dark material.

Computations proceed as follows. First, we compute and store a large number of scattering properties of volume elements. A volume element contains a large number of randomly oriented and positioned grains characterized by the position $\mathbf{r}$ and wavelength λ dependent refractive index function $m(\mathbf{r}, \lambda)$. Thus, they capture the statistics of the random medium and treat the near-zone interactions rigorously. In the computations, we apply a numerically exact fast superposition T -matrix method (FaSTMM; Markkanen & Yuffa 2017). Second, we calculate the incoherent and coherent scattering characteristics of the volume elements. From the coherent scattering properties we extract the real part of the effective refractive index for the coherent field by matching the coherent scattering cross section to that of a homogeneous sphere with the effective refractive index. The ensemble averaged mean free path, phase function, and albedo are calculated from the incoherent scattering properties of the volume elements. The imaginary part of the effective refractive index for the coherent field is linked to the extinction coefficient of the incoherent field as it describes the rate of energy transferred from the coherent to incoherent component (Zurk et al. 1996). Finally, we apply the combined geometric optics and radiative solver (SIRIS4; Lindqvist et al. 2018) to calculate scattering by large dust particles using the effective refractive index and the incoherent scattering properties of the volume elements as input (Martikainen et al. 2018). This procedure allows us to analyze scattering by large particles consisting of wavelength-sized grains. Further, using SIRIS4 in a hierarchical manner, i.e., using output as input in the second round, we can compute scattering by the nucleus consisting of large dust particles. The entire computational chain is illustrated in Figure 1.

3. Coma Phase Function

To model the coma phase function, we assume that the coma is optically thin and the dust particles are in each other’s far zone. Consequently, multiple scattering effects between the particles can be neglected and the scattering properties of the coma can be computed by averaging over an ensemble of particles. It is important to note that since we do not know the optical thickness of the coma, we cannot compare the absolute amplitude of the modeled and measured phase functions. We normalize the modeled phase functions to the geometric albedo at zero phase angle in order to preserve the absolute scale. The measured phase functions, in turn, are normalized to the averaged modeled values at $\alpha = 20^\circ$, which is the lowest phase angle available for all measurements.

We have computed scattering by particles consisting of organic, silicate, and ice grains with varying grain sizes ($r = 50\text{--}1000\text{ nm}$) and packing densities ($v = 0.1\text{--}0.4$). Our simulations show that a single grain population cannot reproduce all the measured phase functions, typical polarization curves of comets, and the estimated geometric albedo of dust particles. Specifically, obtaining the deep minimum in the intensity phase function around $\alpha = 100^\circ$ and the strong opposition effect is challenging with a one-component particle model. Thus, we introduce two different grain populations occupying dust particles. The first population contains submicrometer-sized ($r = 65\text{--}125\text{ nm}$) highly absorbing spherical grains with the refractive index $m_1 = 2.0 + i0.2$ at $\lambda = 649\text{ nm}$. The second population includes larger ($r = 0.6\text{--}1.3\text{ }\mu\text{m}$) weakly absorbing spherical grains with $m_2 = 1.6 + i0.0001$ at $\lambda = 649\text{ nm}$. We assume the differential power-law index of -3 for both populations.

The highly absorbing grains can be associated with organic material, and the weakly absorbing grains correspond to typical silicate mineral (Li & Greenberg 1997). The volumetric filling factor for the organic grains is $v_{\text{org}} = 0.3$ and $v_{\text{sil}} = 0.0375$ for the silicate grains. The volumetric ratio of the organic and mineral phases cannot be directly determined by COSIMA as the distribution of oxygen, and the relative densities of the two phases are not known. Nonetheless, our value for the volumetric organic/silicate ratio is a reasonable estimation of the estimated organic/mineral mass fraction reported by COSIMA (Bardyn et al. 2017).

The shape of the particles is described by the Gaussian random sphere (GRS) shape (Muinonen et al. 1996). The GRS shapes are defined by the spherical harmonics representation for the logarithmic radial distance. The statistical shape parameters are the standard deviation of the mean radius σ and the power-law index for the covariance function ν . A schematic of the particle model is presented in Figure 2.

Figure 3 plots the modeled intensity phase functions for different particle sizes. It is clear that, as the size of the particles increase, the minimum deepens and shifts toward higher phase angles. Thus, the variations of the measurements taken at different times can be explained by varying size distribution of dust particles in the local coma. This is consistent with Bertini et al. (2018), which shows that after perihelion the size of the dust particles in the coma decreases with increasing distance from the nucleus. We also see from the computations that the phase function in the backscattering direction is almost independent on the particle size. This is not surprising since the scattering properties near the backscattering direction are

Figure 2. Schematic of the particle model is shown. The blue spheres correspond to submicrometer-sized organic material, and the larger red spheres represent micrometer-sized silicate grains. The shape of the entire particle is described as a Gaussian random sphere.

Figure 3. Modeled intensity phase functions for different particle sizes are shown (solid lines). The markers show three coma phase curves for the orange filter (649.2 nm) measured at different times (Bertini et al. 2017).

mostly dominated by the interactions of the wavelength-scale irregularities.

Polarimetric data are not available from the Rosetta mission, but we compare our model predictions to the ground-based observations (Rosenbush et al. 2017) in Figure 4. As is evident from the polarization maps (Rosenbush et al. 2017), the coma is inhomogeneous and polarization varies with the distance from the nucleus. Thus, we compare our model to the polarimetric data integrated over a disk of radius 1250 km centered at the photocenter. The predicted polarization is consistent with the measurement; however, it is important to note that the measured polarization also contains the nucleus contribution.

4. Nucleus Phase Function

Next, we model the scattering properties of the nucleus. As a nucleus model, we use an ensemble of 1 km sized GRS shapes filled with smaller particles. Thus, we assume that the surface roughness follows the Gaussian statistics resulting in the corresponding geometric shadowing effect. A sample GRS

Figure 4. Modeled polarization phase functions for different particle sizes, and the ground-based observations integrated over the photocenter with a radius of 1250 km are plotted.

Figure 5. Sample GRS shape with the standard deviation for the mean radius $\sigma = 0.2$ and the power-law index $\nu = 2.5$ for the correlation length.

particle is shown in Figure 5. The small particles are the same particles as we used to model the coma phase function. We apply the differential power-law size distribution of index -3 with the minimum and maximum cutoff being $5 \mu\text{m}$ and $100 \mu\text{m}$, respectively.

Figure 6 presents the modeled intensity phase function of the nucleus, and the corresponding polarization is plotted in Figure 7. The measured data are from Masoumzadeh et al. (2017). To fit the data we have assumed a packing density of 0.33, and the GRS shape parameters are $\sigma = 0.2$ and $\nu = 2.5$. The packing density has a negligible effect on the overall shape of the intensity phase function as the radiative transfer equation is independent of the packing density for extremely large objects. It mainly affects the backscattering direction due to the CB effect. Increasing the surface roughness, i.e., decreasing σ and increasing ν , results in the steeper phase function.

The overall agreement between the modeled and measured phase functions is excellent. However, the measured intensity phase function shows slightly larger value at the backscattering direction. The difference may be due to the CB effect as our numerical scheme does not include the CB effect arising from the volume element interactions. It only accounts for the CB effect between grains inside the volume elements. Hence, there

Figure 6. Measured and modeled intensity phase functions for the nucleus.

Figure 7. Modeled polarization phase function of the nucleus.

should be a small increase in the modeled intensity at the backscattering direction. Also, the measured phase function is a combined local and global function in which the measurements at small phase angles are obtained from a local surface of the Imhotep-Ash region and may thus deviate from the integrated phase function.

5. Wavelength Dependence

Finally, we study the behavior of the phase functions with respect to the wavelength in the visible domain. We define the spectral slope, i.e., the reddening as

$$S = \frac{(I/F)_2 - (I/F)_1}{[(I/F)_2 + (I/F)_1]/2} (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

where $\lambda_1 = 744$ nm and $\lambda_2 = 481$ nm, and $(I/F)_1$ and $(I/F)_2$ are the corresponding reflectance functions, respectively.

We determine the refractive indices of organics at $\lambda = 481$ nm and $\lambda = 744$ nm by fitting the modeled reddening at $\alpha = 90^\circ$ to the measured coma reddening, while assuming that the refractive index for the silicate grains is constant in this wavelength range that is also a reasonable assumption for various silicates. The resulting refractive indices for organics are $m_{481\text{nm}} = 1.9 + i0.22$ and $m_{744\text{nm}} = 2.05 + i0.15$. The

Figure 8. Modeled spectral slopes for the coma and the nucleus. Values in parentheses indicate the lower cutoff limits for the power-law size distribution of index -3 .

wavelength dependence of the refractive indices is therefore consistent with the refractive indices reported by Li & Greenberg (1997).

Figure 8 displays the reddening as a function of the phase angle for the coma particles of different size distributions and for the nucleus. We see a mild phase reddening effect for $\alpha < 100^\circ$, when small particles dominate scattering in the coma. When larger particles dominate scattering, the phase effect vanishes. Bertini et al. (2017) have found none or little phase reddening in the coma. The nucleus shows larger phase reddening than the coma. The oscillations near the backscattering direction are caused by the Mie resonances of large spherical particles. Hence, they should be absent from the real dust measurements.

6. Discussion

The coma phase functions retrieved from the images taken by the OSIRIS camera near the nucleus show large variations of the location and the deepness of the minimum of the intensity phase functions. Our simulation results suggest that a possible explanation is that the dust particle size distribution varies between different measurement series. The modeled minimum becomes deeper and shifts toward larger phase angles with increasing particle size. Also, the phase reddening becomes negligible with large particles.

In order to obtain the deep minimum, strong opposition effect, and the realistic albedo and polarization, bright micrometer-sized mineral grains need to be present in the particles consisting mostly of organic submicrometer-sized grains (volumetric organic/silicate $\approx 7/1$). Pure organic grains do not reproduce the strong enough opposition effect, while too many silicate grains result in unrealistic polarization features and too high albedo. We note, however, that if the silicate grains are covered by organic material, the contribution of the silicate grains to the scattered light is negligible and the silicate volume fraction may be much higher.

The size distribution of the grains has a major impact on the scattering features. Increasing the size of organic grains results in a flatter phase function in the mid phase angles (60° – 120°). The silicate grains should be large enough to obtain the strong

opposition effect. The power-law index and the cutoff limits affect the wavelength dependence. The differential power-law index around -3 gives rise to the observed wavelength dependence, i.e., negligible phase reddening for large particles. We note, however, that the grain sizes derived in this work should not be considered as the exact but rather directional values, as the solution for the inverse problem for the Maxwell equations is not unique, and thus the grain shape and the refractive index affect the retrieved sizes.

The porosity and fractality of particles have a minor impact on the shape of the phase function assuming that the particles are large enough, i.e., much larger than the mean free path length. They mainly affect scattering near the backscattering direction. Thus, to retrieve more detailed constraints on the porosity and morphology of the particles requires accurate measurements and modeling of the scattering features near the backscattering direction.

The ground-based observations of typical comets show a much shallower phase function minimum around $\alpha = 55^\circ$ compared to the OSIRIS coma phase functions. Such a phase function can be explained by smaller particles. This may indicate that large particles cannot escape from near the nucleus or they break apart, and therefore the outer coma is dominated by smaller particles that contribute primarily to the coma-integrated ground-based observations. This is in agreement with Bertini et al. (2018) as they show that the backscattering ratio, which is proportional to the size of the dust particles, decreases with the distance <400 km from the nucleus. Further, the ground-based polarimetric images of the coma (Rosenbush et al. 2017) imply that the coma is inhomogeneous, i.e., different types of particles populate different regions in the coma. Polarization drops suddenly as the distance from the nucleus increases, and then it starts to rise again reaching the near nucleus value at the outermost region of the coma and the tail. Be that as it may, inhomogeneities in the coma are not well understood and the explanation would also require sophisticated dynamical modeling of dust particles in the coma, but this is out of the scope of the present work.

Finally, the nucleus phase function is consistent with the coma phase function, i.e., particles in the near coma explain the nucleus phase function when packed densely on a rough surface. This means that multiple scattering has a significant contribution to the nucleus phase function. We have modeled the surface roughness as the statistical GRS shape, and linking this roughness to the real surface roughness of the nucleus is not straightforward. Using the real shape model of the nucleus would still require including an additional microroughness parameter to the model.

7. Conclusions

We have introduced a particle model that fits the coma and the nucleus phase functions at the visible wavelengths

measured by the OSIRIS camera. The particles are modeled as aggregates composed of submicrometer-sized organic grains and micrometer-sized silicate grains. The model suggests that the dominant particle size in the coma varies between different measurements from 5 to 100 μm . The nucleus phase function can be explained with the same particles as in the coma packed densely on a rough surface.

This work has been funded by the ERC Starting Grant No. 757390 and the ERC Advanced Grant No. 320773. Computational resources have been provided by Gesellschaft für wissenschaftliche Datenverarbeitung mbH Göttingen. The authors would like to thank N. Masoumzadeh and I. Bertini for sharing the phase function data.

Software: FaSTMM (Markkanen & Yuffa 2017), R^{2T^2} (Markkanen et al. 2018; Muinonen et al. 2018), SIRIS4 (Lindqvist et al. 2018; Martikainen et al. 2018).

ORCID iDs

Johannes Markkanen <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5989-3630>

Jessica Agarwal <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6608-1489>

Timo Väisänen <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3815-0293>

Antti Penttilä <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7403-1721>

Karri Muinonen <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8058-2642>

References

- Bardyn, A., Baklouti, D., Cottin, H., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, **469**, 712
- Bentley, M. S., Schmied, R., Mannel, T., et al. 2016, *Natur*, **537**, 73
- Bertini, I., La Forgia, F., Fulle, M., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, in press (doi:10.1093/mnras/sty2843)
- Bertini, I., La Forgia, F., Tubiana, C., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, **469**, 404
- Feller, C., Fornasier, S., Hasselmann, P. H., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, **462**, 287
- Fornasier, S., Hasselmann, P. H., Barucci, M. A., et al. 2015, *A&A*, **583**, A30
- Hasselmann, P. H., Barucci, M. A., Fornasier, S., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, **469**, S550
- Hilchenbach, M., Kissel, J., Langevin, Y., et al. 2016, *ApJL*, **816**, 32
- Kimura, H., Kolokolova, L., & Mann, I. 2006, *A&A*, **449**, 1243
- Langevin, Y., Hilchenbach, M., Ligier, N., et al. 2016, *Icar*, **271**, 76
- Li, A., & Greenberg, J. M. 1997, *A&A*, **323**, 566
- Lindqvist, H., Martikainen, J., Rabinä, J., et al. 2018, *JQSRT*, **217**, 329
- Mannel, T., Bentley, M. S., Schmied, R., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, **462**, 304
- Markkanen, J., Väisänen, T., Penttilä, A., et al. 2018, *OptL*, **43**, 2925
- Markkanen, J., & Yuffa, A. J. 2017, *JQSRT*, **189**, 181
- Martikainen, J., Penttilä, A., Gritsevich, M., et al. 2018, *JQSRT*, **204**, 144
- Masoumzadeh, N., Oklay, N., Kolokolova, L., et al. 2017, *A&A*, **599**, A11
- Moreno, F., Guirado, D., Muñoz, O., et al. 2018, *AJ*, **156**, 237
- Muinonen, K., Markkanen, J., Väisänen, T., et al. 2018, *OptL*, **43**, 683
- Muinonen, K., Nousiainen, T., Fast, T., et al. 1996, *JQSRT*, **55**, 577
- Rosenbush, V., Ivanova, O., Kiselev, N., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, **469**, 475
- Zubko, E., Kimura, H., Shukuratov, Y., et al. 2009, *JQSRT*, **110**, 1741
- Zurk, L., Tsang, L., & Winebrenner, D. P. 1996, *RaSc*, **31**, 803