<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Transpiration directly regulates the emissions of water-soluble short-chained OVOCs

Rissanen, Kaisa

2018-10

Rissanen , K , Hölttä , T & Bäck , J 2018 , ' Transpiration directly regulates the emissions of water-soluble short-chained OVOCs ' , Plant, Cell and Environment , vol. 41 , no. 10 , pp. 2288 - 2298 . <https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13318>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/279380>

<https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13318>

submittedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

1 Transpiration directly regulates the emissions of water-soluble short-
2 chained OVOCs

3
4 Authors: Rissanen, K., Hölttä, T & Bäck, J.

5 Institute: Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research / Forest Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture
6 and Forestry, University of Helsinki

7
8 Author for correspondence:

9 Kaisa Rissanen

10 Address: Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research / Forest Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture
11 and Forestry, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 27, FIN-00014, Finland

12 Email: kaisa.rissanen@helsinki.fi

13

14

Transpiration directly regulates the emissions of water-soluble short-chained OVOCs

Abstract

Most plant-based emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are considered to be mainly temperature dependent. However, certain oxygenated VOCs (OVOCs) have high water solubility and also regulation of their emission by stomatal conductance has been suggested. However, due to their water solubility and sources in stem and roots, transport in xylem sap has been suggested to play a role in their shoot emissions. Yet, further understanding on this role has been lacking until present.

We used shoot-scale long-term dynamic flux data from Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) trees to analyse the effects of transpiration and transport in xylem sap flow on emissions of three water soluble OVOC: methanol, acetone and acetaldehyde. We found a direct effect of transpiration on the shoot emissions of the three OVOCs. The emissions were best explained by a regression model that combined linear transpiration and exponential temperature effects. In addition, a structural equation model indicated that stomatal conductance affects emissions mainly by regulating transpiration, and that a part of temperature's effect is also indirect.

The tight coupling of shoot emissions to transpiration clearly evidences that these OVOCs are transported in xylem sap from their sources in roots and stem to leaves and to ambient air.

Keyword index

Acetone, acetaldehyde, long-distance transport, methanol, OVOC emissions, temperature, transpiration, xylem sap

37

38

39

40 Introduction

41 Plant produced volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are an important factor in the troposphere. They
42 contribute to ozone formation and destruction, as well as to the formation and growth of new
43 atmospheric particles. The production and emissions of plant emitted VOCs have been extensively
44 studied and modelled to explain and predict these atmospheric processes better. The emission models,
45 for example for terpenoids, are mainly based on temperature and/or light (Guenther et al., 1993;
46 Guenther 1995; Guenther 1995, Simpson et al., 1995). Other physiological controlling factors have been
47 rarely used in the models. However, the emission dynamics of water-soluble compounds (Henry's law
48 coefficient (H) under 100 Pa m³ mol⁻¹ at 25 °C), such as short-chained oxygenated VOCs (OVOCs), depend
49 also on the dynamics of water phase inside the plant. This dependence could play a central role in
50 regulating emissions and should not be ignored.

51 In contrast to the emissions of non-water-soluble compounds, the emissions of water-soluble OVOCs, such
52 as, methanol ($H= 0.461$ Pa m³ mol⁻¹ at 25°C), acetone ($H= 3.88$ Pa m³ mol⁻¹ at 25°C) and acetaldehyde ($H=$
53 7.0 Pa m³ mol⁻¹ at 25°C) may be regulated by stomatal conductance (Niinemets et al., 2003, 2004; Harley
54 et al., 2007). When stomatal conductance decreases, increase in the partial pressure in sub-stomatal cavity
55 enhances the partitioning of the water-soluble compounds into water films. Thus, the partial pressure in
56 the sub-stomatal cavity increases less than for non-water soluble compounds, and the partial pressure
57 difference between sub-stomatal air and ambient air cannot necessarily overcome the stomatal limitation
58 of flux (Niinemets et al., 2003). This regulation is apparent, for example, when the stomata open in the
59 mornings. Low stomatal conductance in the nights enables the accumulation of water soluble compounds

that are then released as the stomata open, creating the sudden morning bursts that can be detected in several plant species (Mac Donald et al., 1993; Nemeck-Marshall et al., 1995; Harley et al., 2007; Folkers et al., 2008; Saunier et al., 2017).

In addition to stomatal conductance, transpiration has been detected to correlate with emissions of water soluble compounds. Kreuzwieser et al., (2001), Cojocariu et al., (2004) and Filella et al., (2007) have reported a correlation between transpiration and acetaldehyde emissions. Acetaldehyde is produced from ethanol ($H = 0.507 \text{ Pa m}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ at 25°C) that can be transported in the xylem (Kreuzwieser et al., 2000; Fall et al., 2003). Grabmer et al., (2008), Harley et al., (2007) and Folkers et al., (2008) have also reported links between methanol emissions and transpiration. This link has been explained by the fact that transpiration combines the impacts of temperature and stomatal conductance (Harley et al., 2007), or by possible methanol transport in xylem (Grabmer et al., 2006; Folkers et al., 2008). Cojocariu et al., (2004) observed a correlation between acetone emissions and transpiration, but had no further hypothesis on its origin. These findings suggest that also transpiration could play a role in regulating emissions of water-soluble compounds, for example, though the transport of the compound, or its precursor in case of acetaldehyde, in xylem sap. In addition, although less water soluble than, for example, methanol, acetone and acetaldehyde, also CO_2 ($H = 2937 \text{ Pa m}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ at 25°C) is known to travel long distances in the xylem sap (McGuire & Teskey, 2004; Bowman et al., 2005; Bloemen et al., 2013).

The transport of CO_2 in the xylem sap had been suggested as early as 1933 by Boysen-Jensen (Boysen-Jensen, 1933). Later in 2009, Hölttä and Kolari presented a detailed theoretical framework for CO_2 transport in the xylem sap (Hölttä & Kolari, 2009). Those authors found that a proportion of the CO_2 produced by stem respiration dissolves in the xylem sap and is transported upwards. The remainder of the CO_2 diffuses through the bark into the atmosphere, and it is measured as stem respiration. Xylem sap velocity should affect the stem CO_2 emissions negatively in the lower parts of stems, where a large proportion of the CO_2 is captured by dissolution in the xylem sap due to a combination of low CO_2

concentrations in the sap and the large diffusion resistances created by thick bark. Transported CO₂ affects the emissions positively in the top parts of stems, where bark is thinner and the water has become saturated with CO₂ due to stem tapering, and thus more CO₂ diffuses into the ambient air (Hölttä & Kolari 2009). The association between xylem sap velocity and CO₂ emissions in shoots is theoretically positive, but it cannot be directly measured, because photosynthesis consumes the CO₂ (Bloemen et al., 2013). A negative correlation between CO₂ emissions from tree stem and xylem sap flux velocity has also been reported by McGuire and Teskey (2004), Bowman et al., (2005), Gansert and Burgdorf (2005), McGuire et al., (2007), among others. The difference between the dynamics in different stem parts is indicative of stem CO₂ fluxes that are higher in the upper compared to the lower stem (Hölttä & Kolari, 2009). A similar pattern could also be expected for OVOC emissions from stem (Fig. 1).

When analysing the role of transport in the emissions of water soluble compounds, it is important also to consider their sources in the plants. The site of production determines the proportion of a compound that can dissolve into the xylem sap and be transported to leaves in relation to the proportion that directly diffuses into the ambient air. Subsequently, it affects the role of transported compounds in total leaf emissions in relation to the compounds that are produced in leaves. Sources of methanol, acetone and acetaldehyde vary considerably in plants, but importantly, they are not confined to any single plant tissue (Seco et al., 2007). The largest methanol source is the demethylation of pectin during cell wall formation (Galbally & Kristine, 2002; Hüve et al., 2007). It is thus produced in all growing tissues from the leaves to the root tips. Smaller methanol sources in plants originate from processes that are related to protein repair (Fall & Benson, 1996; Seco et al., 2007) and to plant stresses, such as, herbivory or mechanical wounding (Fall, 2003; Peñuelas et al., 2005; Loreto et al., 2006). As mentioned earlier, one source of acetaldehyde is ethanol that is produced in roots especially under anaerobic conditions (Kreuzwieser et al., 1999, 2000; Fall et al., 2003), or in vascular cambium (MacDonald and Kimmerer, 1991). Another acetaldehyde source is the pyruvate overflow mechanism in leaves (pyruvic acid decarboxylation) during light-dark transitions

(Karl et al., 2002; Fall, 2003; Hayward et al., 2004; Seco et al., 2007; Jardine et al., 2008). Acetone has many different and separate sources in plants, but these are currently not well known or quantified. One production pathway is possibly connected to the decarboxylation of the acetoacetates such as those that occur in micro-organisms and in animals (Fall, 2003).

Although the transport hypothesis has been suggested earlier and it is somewhat established for acetaldehyde, to the best of our knowledge this is the first attempt to address the roles of transpiration and long-distance xylem transport in the emissions of especially methanol and acetone. We studied this transport by using long-term field measurements that covered five annual growing seasons. Our approach was to analyse and separate the effects of temperature, transpiration and stomatal conductance on methanol, acetone and acetaldehyde emissions of Scots pine in uncontrolled field conditions. We hypothesised that 1) methanol, acetone and acetaldehyde can be transported to the shoots in xylem sap and 2) and that subsequently, the transpiration positively affects the emissions of methanol, acetone and acetaldehyde from the shoots.

Materials and methods

The data were collected in southern Finland, at the SMEAR II (station for measuring ecosystem-atmosphere interactions) site in Hyytiälä Forestry Field station. The site is an approximately 50-year-old Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) dominated forest, with smaller numbers of silver birch (*Betula pendula* [Roth]), downy birch (*Betula pubescens* [Ehrh.]), Norway spruce (*Picea abies* [L.] karst.) and European aspen (*Populus tremula*). The soil is mainly podzolic with a shallow humus layer. More details on the stand are found in the publications by Ilvesniemi et al., (2010) and Hari et al., (2013).

Exchange (fluxes) of OVOCs between the Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) shoots and stems and atmosphere were measured continuously using a dynamic enclosure system that is described in detail by Kolari et al., (2012) and by Vanhatalo et al., (2015). The shoot scale emissions have been measured in a total of five

131 pines and 21 shoots of different age classes since 2009. The data used in this study were obtained from 3
132 different Scots pines on the site, and 5 different shoots measured from May to August 2010, 2011, 2013,
133 2014 and 2015. The 2012 data contained too many gaps due to instrument malfunctions, for example, to
134 be comparable to the other years studied. All the shoots contained only 1-year-old needles, as the new
135 buds had been removed before chamber installation. These buds were removed for two reasons: the first
136 reason was because the growing shoot would have become too big to fit in the chamber in late summer
137 and, the second reason was because our aim was to measure the emissions without the confounding large
138 effect that shoot and needle growth in spring and early summer would have on emissions (Aalto et al.,
139 2014). In addition, we used data from pine stem chambers that were attached to three heights above
140 ground on one pine stem (Vanhatalo et al., 2015). The lowest chamber was positioned at 7 metres, well
141 below the living canopy, where the stem diameter was 11.6 cm. The middle chamber was installed at 12
142 metres, in the lower part of the living canopy, where the stem diameter was 8.4 cm. The top chamber was
143 placed at 16.5 metres, near the tree top, where the stem diameter was 3.5 cm. The three chambers were
144 measured simultaneously throughout April 2013 and the middle chamber was measured through the
145 entire 2013 growing season.

146 The dynamic enclosure system consists of shoot and stem chambers that close cyclically, for 3 minutes at
147 a time. During the closure, sample air was drawn from the chamber into gas analysers. Small holes in the
148 chamber enabled ambient air to replace sample air flow. Some of the sample air drawn from chambers
149 was directed to a PTR-MS quadrupole (Photon transfer reaction – quadrupole mass spectrometer, Ionicon
150 Analytik, Innsbruck, Austria), which was set to measure certain protonated masses, in this case, masses
151 m/z 33 (methanol), m/z 45 (acetaldehyde) and m/z 59 (acetone). The shoot emissions were calculated for
152 the OVOC concentration increase in the chamber air during enclosure time by using mass-balance
153 equations as described by Hari et al., (1999) and by Kolari et al., (2012). Because ambient air was used as

replacement air, the concentration inside the chamber at the beginning of the closure equalled the concentration in the replacement air. In this case, we used the simplified equation (Eqn 1).

$$C(t) = C_0 + \frac{E}{F} (1 - e^{-\frac{Ft}{v}}) \quad (\text{Eqn 1})$$

In Equation 2, $C(t)$ is the concentration in the chamber as a function of time, C_0 is the concentration in the chamber at the beginning of the measurement, v is the chamber volume, F is the flow rate of air through the chamber, t is the time step, and E is the emission rate, which is solved by the equation using least-square fitting to the measured data. The shoot emissions were corrected for leaf dry mass of measured shoot and stem emissions for covered bark area at the end of growing season.

Some of the sample air was also directed to the infrared light absorption analysers (URAS 4, Hartmann & Braun, Frankfurt am Main, Germany), which determined the water vapour and CO_2 concentrations in the sample air. In addition, both the ambient temperature near the tree canopies and the internal temperature of the chambers, along with the relative humidity were monitored continuously. Stomatal conductance (G) was calculated as the division between measured transpiration (ET) and vapour pressure deficit (VPD).

We omitted any data taken when the relative humidity (RH) of the chamber was over 70% prior to data analysis. High humidity in chamber causes condensation of water and its absorption on water-soluble compounds, making the flux data unreliable.

We examined the effects of chamber temperature, ambient temperature, transpiration and stomatal conductance on shoot emissions of methanol, acetone and acetaldehyde by regression analysis for both

the entire growing season and monthly periods. The effect of temperature was calculated as described by Guenther et al., (1995) (Eqn 2).

$$E_T = E_s * \exp[\beta(T-T_s)] \quad (\text{Eqn 2})$$

In Equation 2, E_T is the modelled emission rate at temperature T , E_s is the reference emission factor at 303 K, T is the temperature inside chamber (in K) and T_s is a reference temperature (303 K). β is an empirical parameter for the temperature sensitivity. We optimised β for each study period and compound for the best fit of temperature model. The effect of transpiration on emissions was best explained by a linear regression, whereas the effect of stomatal conductance was best explained by an exponential function. We first tested the goodness of each independent variable (T , ET and G) for explaining the emissions separately (Table 1, functions 1-3). Secondly, we tested the combinations of temperature and transpiration ($T+ET$), and temperature and stomatal conductance ($T+G$) (Table 1, functions 4-5). In the models (Table 1), a is an intercept and $b-d$ are coefficients that were set freely to obtain the best fit for the models. The regression models explaining the OVOC emissions were evaluated based on their coefficient of determination (R^2). We also analysed the effects of temperature and transpiration on stem emissions by testing the regressions at different time lags, and studied the similarity between the emission dynamics (shoot and stem) of the three compounds by Pearson's correlation. These analyses were made in Matlab (version R2017a, The MathWorks, Inc.).

TABLE 1

We used structural equation modelling (SEM) using the R lavaan package (R version 3.3.1, and the R Foundation for Statistical Computing) (Rosseel, 2012) to analyse further the interrelations between

temperature, transpiration and stomatal conductance in explaining the emissions of OVOCs. The SEM model is used for normal distribution and linear relations, thus we normalised the transpiration data and emission data of all compounds by using the square roots of their values. Temperature and stomatal conductance data did not need transformations as they were normally distributed. We built two models, the first one following the suggestion by Niinemets et al., (2003) whereby temperature and stomatal conductance explain the emissions of water-soluble OVOCs. In the second model we included the effect of transpiration that describes transport in xylem sap. The goodness of fit of the two SEM models were evaluated by the R² for emissions, and the comparative fit index (CFI) and the Tucker-Lewis index (TLI) needed to be close to 1. The interrelation between the variables in the SEM models and their importance in the models were evaluated by their estimated standardized parameter values in each regression and p-values attributed to the parameter values. P-values below 0.05 were regarded as statistically significant.

We picked data sets from periods that had sufficient numbers of data points to represent diurnal or seasonal dynamics, and that covered the different measurement years to illustrate the dynamics of OVOC emissions from shoots and stems (March–October 2013), emission correlations to temperature, transpiration and stomatal conductance (May–August 2010), the regression model fits (9th–12th of June and 16th–19th of August 2015.), and SEM model functioning (May–September 2014).

Results

Shoot emissions of methanol, acetone and acetaldehyde had both clear seasonal and diurnal patterns that were similar throughout all the five studied growing seasons. For example, the seasonal pattern was clearly manifested in 2013. The start of growing seasons in early May drastically increased the shoot emissions of methanol, acetaldehyde and acetone (Fig. 2, a, c, and e). The emissions further increased through June and then started to decrease in mid-July. Emissions steadily decreased starting from the later part of August, although a few peaks were still observed. The shoot emission dynamics of the three compounds

were very similar to each other throughout the five growing seasons and the acetone and acetaldehyde emissions correlated very closely, although the acetone emissions were larger (Fig. 2, a, c, and e, Table 2). The shoot emissions during the growing season were highest in the daytime, at night the emissions were low but usually still positive (Fig. 2, a, c, and e inserts). We observed shoot uptake only occasionally in early May and in late August (Fig. 2, a, c and e). We did not detect clear morning bursts of any of the three compounds.

TABLE 2

Stem emissions of methanol, acetone and acetaldehyde at 12 metres also had a clear seasonal and some diurnal variation during the growing season 2013 (Fig. 2, b, d, f). Emissions started to increase in mid-May. Acetaldehyde emissions peaked at the end of June and methanol emissions peaked in early July. The emissions of all the compounds increased slightly again at the end of July before decreasing towards the autumn. The stem emissions of the three compounds were not as similar as was the case for the shoot emissions (Table 2). From mid-May to August, emissions were usually highest in day-time and lowest at night, depending on the compound (Fig. 2, b, d, f and inserts). In April 2013, we found that stem emissions of all three compounds increased with increasing stem height, the biggest difference being between 12 and 16.5 metres (Fig. 3). The baseline stem emissions of acetone and acetaldehyde were nevertheless quite small at that time, and we observed clear diurnal patterns only at 16.5 metres. The methanol emissions were larger and had clear diurnal pattern at all heights.

Temperature and transpiration rate best explained the shoot emissions of methanol, acetone and acetaldehyde during all the studied periods (Tables 3-5). The effect of temperature was exponential, and on average, explained 70% of methanol, 51% of acetaldehyde and 62% of the acetone emission variation (Fig. 4, Tables 3-5, model T). Transpiration had a linear effect on the emissions, and on average, explained 59% of methanol, 63% of acetaldehyde and 67% of acetone emission variation (Fig. 4, Tables 3-5, model

ET). The effect of stomatal conductance on the mean emissions of the OVOCs was also exponential but smaller: stomatal conductance, on average, explained only 10% of methanol and 16% of acetaldehyde and acetone emission variation (Fig. 4, Tables 3-5, model G). These effects were well presented, for example, in 2010 (Fig. 4). In addition, the emissions seemed to be regulated by stomatal conductance only when stomatal conductance decreased to $0.25 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2}$ or below, at night time (Fig. 4, grey line). At higher conductance, the emissions were determined either by temperature or transpiration rate. During the exemplar growing season of 2010, we observed slight shifts in the temperature, transpiration and stomatal relations of methanol, acetone and acetaldehyde emissions (Fig. 4, Tables 3-5). In May and June, the temperature sensitivities of especially acetaldehyde and acetone emissions were higher than later in the summer. The sensitivity of methanol emissions to transpiration rate also increased in May and June. In addition, stomatal conductance seemed to affect all the compounds more in July and August than in early summer.

Of the all regression models (Table 1), the model that combined temperature and transpiration (model T+ET) best explained the emissions of all three compounds (Tables 3-5) and produced smallest root mean square error (Supporting information Tables S1-S3). For acetone and acetaldehyde emissions, model T+ET was usually considerably better than model T+G, but close to model ET (Tables 4-5, Supporting information Fig. S1). In contrast, for methanol, the differences between model T, model T+ET and model T+G were small in most periods (Table 3, Supporting information Fig. S1). The error degrees of freedom of all the models ranged from 278 to 2310 depending on the period analysed (Supporting information Table S4).

TABLES 3-5

Temperature and transpiration affected the stem emission less than they affected shoot emissions, and with certain time lags. The temperature explained 33% of variation in methanol emissions without a time lag and 32% of variation in acetaldehyde emissions at a time lag of approximately 3 hours (data not shown).

Acetone emissions did not correlate with temperature. Transpiration explained only 16% of variation in methanol emissions at a time lag of approximately 5 hours and 11% of variation in acetone emissions at a time lag of approximately 8 hours (data not shown). Acetaldehyde emissions did not correlate with transpiration. The correlation of methanol emissions with temperature was slightly stronger in the lower stem (0.70 at 7 metres) than in the upper stem (0.59 at 12 metres and 0.62 at 16.5 metres) in April 2013.

In addition to the regression models, we used structural equation modelling (SEM) to examine the effects and interrelations of transpiration, temperature and stomatal conductance in explaining OVOC emissions. The temperature and stomatal conductance were used in the first SEM to explain emissions (Fig. 5, a-c). These models show a major impact of temperature, and a minor impact of stomatal conductance on the emissions of methanol, acetaldehyde and acetone. Transpiration, affected by temperature and stomatal conductance, was added to the second SEM models (Fig. 5, d-f). Adding transpiration revealed that a proportion of temperature's effect on emissions was mediated through transpiration, especially for acetaldehyde and acetone emissions. Moreover, transpiration almost completely covered the effect of stomatal conductance so that the direct effect of stomatal conductance even became negative (Fig. 5, d, e and f).

Discussion

We found that during the growing seasons, the diurnal patterns of methanol, acetone and acetaldehyde emissions from shoots closely followed the dynamics of transpiration and temperature. Similar shoot emission patterns in field conditions have been reported for methanol by Folkers et al., (2008) (*Quercus robur*), and for acetone and acetaldehyde by Cojocariu et al., (2004) and Grabmer et al., (2006) in *Picea abies*. Stem emissions from the top part of the stem (at 12 metres) also followed a temperature related diurnal pattern, but less clearly.

We did not observe clear morning bursts of any of the compounds from Scots pine shoots, or from shoots of deciduous species (*Populus tremuloides* and *Betula pendula*) measured at the same site (data not shown). Harley et al., (2007) also reported unnoticeable or small bursts from *Pinus taeda* and *Pinus sabiniana*. The lack of morning bursts contrasts with results reported by Mac Donald et al., (1993) (*Populus tremuloides*), Harley et al., (2007), (*Populus deltoides*, *Sorghum bicolor*, *Magnifera indica*) and Folkers et al., (2008) (*Quercus robur*, *Fagus sylvatica*, *Betula pendula*) in laboratory setting and Saunier et al., (2017) (*Quercus pubescens*) in field conditions in Southern France, and questions the role of stomatal conductance in regulating emissions in boreal forest. In the moist boreal conditions, the stomata can remain partly open even at night. Thus, there are positive night-time emissions and compounds do not accumulate inside leaves, or any accumulation is released gradually together with the slow increase of irradiation in the morning.

An exponential temperature dependence is common for VOC emissions, and has been reported for methanol (Hayward et al., 2004; Filella et al., 2007; Harley et al., 2007; Folkers et al., 2008; Saunier et al., 2017), acetone (Cojocariu et al., 2004; Filella et al., 2007; Saunier et al., 2017) and acetaldehyde (Hayward et al., 2004; Filella et al., 2007; Saunier et al., 2017). OVOC emissions have also been linked to photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) (Grabmer et al., 2006; Saunier et al., 2017). However, Oikawa et al., (2011) and Folkers et al., (2008) reported that over short timescales methanol emissions are not induced by light *per se*, but the light effect on emissions is indirect. We observed a linear association between PAR and especially acetaldehyde emissions, but its effect was smaller than that of transpiration, so it was not analysed further. We found only weak connections between stomatal conductance and emissions of methanol, acetone and acetaldehyde, contrary to the results reported earlier (Kreuzwieser et al., 2000; Filella et al., 2007, 2009; Harley et al., 2007), but instead a clear linear effect of transpiration, as reported by Harley et al., (2007), Folkers et al., (2008) and Filella et al., (2007) for methanol, Cojocariu

et al., (2004) for acetone and Kreuzwieser et al., (2001), Cojocariu et al., (2004) and Filella et al., (2007) for acetaldehyde.

In effect, in combination with temperature, transpiration seemed to directly regulate the shoot emissions of methanol, and especially acetaldehyde and acetone. This was apparent in the regression models where transpiration was the best parameter to explain the acetone and acetaldehyde emissions, and enhanced the emissions model based on temperature also for methanol. The SEM model further confirmed the role of transpiration: of the three tested variables: temperature, transpiration and stomatal conductance, transpiration had the largest effect on the emissions of acetone and acetaldehyde and, slightly after temperature, the second largest effect on the emissions of methanol. However, although temperature has an important direct effect on emissions by regulating tree metabolic rates, as well as the diffusion rates and vapour pressures of the compounds, we observed that a large part of its effect was mediated through transpiration. In addition, stomatal conductance affected emissions only by regulating transpiration.

The strong effect of transpiration on the emissions of methanol, acetone and acetaldehyde clearly indicates that these compounds or their precursors can be transported from their sources in the roots and stem to the leaves in the xylem sap. We also observed a small positive effect of transpiration on the stem emissions of methanol and acetone, although temperature explained the emissions usually better. The lags in both temperature and transpiration effects were due to the diffusion resistance through the wood and bark. The transpiration effect corresponded with what has been observed for CO₂ emissions in the topmost part of the stem of the same trees (Hölttä & Kolari, 2009) and it implies that increasing transpiration increases the transport of water soluble compounds to that area and subsequently their emissions. The transport hypothesis also fits well with the observed stem emission patterns: emissions increased towards the stem top.

The different production locations of methanol, acetaldehyde and acetone define their diffusion resistances and probably create the small differences we observed in their emission dynamics from shoots and stem. Methanol that is produced close to surface in growing tissue (Galbally & Kristine, 2002; Hüve et al., 2007) has a short diffusion pathway and is thus less prone to partition to xylem water. Therefore, its shoot emissions are less affected by transpiration despite its high water-solubility. This is somewhat in accordance with Folkers et al., (2008), who suggested that transport in transpiration water is probably not the main factor in regulating methanol emissions. Acetaldehyde's precursor ethanol originates mainly from anaerobic conditions (Kreuzwieser et al., 1999, 2000); thus, its diffusion pathway is longer, and it is more likely to partition into water phase. Consequently, its shoot emissions are dependent on transpiration, which has been detected before (Kreuzwieser et al., 2000, 2001). The production of methanol near stem surface also explains its large emissions from all stem heights compared to acetone and acetaldehyde, although the shoot emissions of methanol and acetaldehyde are on the same scale, acetone emissions being largest.

The most important limitations in this study arise from using the dynamic chamber and the PTR-MS measurement modalities that contains a possible underestimation of 5-30% for the fluxes (Kolari et al., 2012). However, the effect of these uncertainties diminishes due to the quantity of data over the five growing seasons studied. Based on long-term field measurements, we conclude that along with temperature, transpiration directly regulates the shoot emissions of the water-soluble compounds methanol, acetaldehyde and acetone. Stomatal conductance under field conditions only has an indirect effect through the regulation of transpiration especially during night time. The important role of transpiration on the OVOC shoot emissions implies that a proportion of them originate from roots and stem and are transported to the leaves in the xylem sap. The effect of transport on shoot scale emissions and stem emissions depends on the production locations and water solubility of the compounds. More specialized field and laboratory experiments should be performed to understand the process of transport

359 of water soluble compounds in detail, and to quantify the proportions of the transported compounds from
360 the total shoot emissions.

361 [Acknowledgements:](#)

362 This research was funded by The Academy of Finland Center of Excellence programme (grant no.307331)
363 and the University of Helsinki Research Foundation. We wish to thank Juho Aalto for his work with the
364 long-term shoot flux measurements at the SMEAR II station, Anni Vanhatalo for her work with the stem
365 flux measurements, and the whole SMEAR II technical staff for their assistance and maintenance of all
366 the long-term measurements at the station. The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376 References

- 377 Aalto J., Kolari P., Hari P., Kerminen V.-P., Schiestl-Aalto P., Aaltonen H., ..., Bäck J. (2014) New foliage
 378 growth is a significant, unaccounted source for volatiles in boreal evergreen forests. *Biogeosciences* 11,
 379 1331-1344.
- 380 Bloemen J., McGuire M.A., Aubrey D.P., Teskey R.O. & Steppe K. (2013) Transport of root-respired CO₂
 381 via the transpiration stream affects aboveground carbon assimilation and CO₂ efflux in trees. *New*
 382 *Phytologist* 197, 555-565.
- 383 Boysen-Jensen, P. (1933) Respiration I stamme og grene af traer. *Sven Skogsvards Tidskr* 31, 239–241.
- 384 Bowman W.P., Barbour M.M., Turnbull M.H., Tissue D.T., Whitehead D. & Griffin K.L. (2005) Sap flow
 385 rates and sapwood density are critical factors in within- and between-tree variation in CO₂ efflux from
 386 stems of mature *dacrydium cupressinum* trees. *New Phytologist* 167, 815-828.
- 387 Cojocariu C., Kreuzwieser J. & Rennenberg H. (2004) Correlation of short-chained carbonyls emitted from
 388 *picea abies* with physiological and environmental parameters. *New Phytologist* 162, 717-727.
- 389 Copolovici L. & Niinemets Ü. (2010) Flooding induced emissions of volatile signalling compounds in three
 390 tree species with differing waterlogging tolerance. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 33, 1582-1594.
- 391 Fall R. (2003) Abundant oxygenates in the atmosphere: A biochemical perspective. *Chemical Reviews*
 392 103, 4941-4951.
- 393 Fall R. & Benson A.A. (1996) Leaf methanol - the simplest natural product from plants. *Trends in Plant*
 394 *Science* 1, 296-301.

- 395 Filella I., Peñuelas J. & Seco R. (2009) Short-chained oxygenated VOC emissions in *Pinus halepensis* in
396 response to changes in water availability. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum* 31, 311-318.
- 397 Filella I., Wilkinson M.J., Llusà J., Hewitt C.N. & Peñuelas J. (2007) Volatile organic compounds emissions
398 in Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) in response to temperature changes. *Physiologia Plantarum* 130, 58-66.
- 399 Folkers A., Hüve K., Ammann C., Dindorf T., Kesselmeier J., Kleist E., ..., Wildt J. (2008) Methanol
400 emissions from deciduous tree species: Dependence on temperature and light intensity. *Plant Biology*
401 10, 65-75.
- 402 Galbally I.E. & Kirstine W. (2002) The production of methanol by flowering plants and the global cycle of
403 methanol. *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry* 43, 195-229.
- 404 Gansert D. & Burgdorf M. (2005) Effects of xylem sap flow on carbon dioxide efflux from stems of birch
405 (*Betula pendula* Roth). *Flora: Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants* 200, 444-455.
- 406 Grabmer W., Kreuzwieser J., Wisthaler A., Cojocariu C., Graus M., Rennenberg H., ..., Hansel A. (2006)
407 VOC emissions from Norway spruce (*Picea abies* L. [Karst]) twigs in the field—results of a dynamic
408 enclosure study. *Atmospheric Environment* 40, 128-137.
- 409 Guenther A. (1995) A global model of natural volatile organic compound emissions. *Journal of*
410 *Geophysical Research* 100, 8873-8892.
- 411 Guenther A.B., Zimmerman P.R., Harley P.C., Monson R.K. & Fall R. (1993) Isoprene and monoterpene
412 emission rate variability: Model evaluations and sensitivity analyses. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 98,
413 12,609-12,617.

- 414 Hari P., Keronen P., Bäck J., Altimir N., Linkosalo T., Pohja T., Kulmala M. & Vesala T. (1999) An
415 improvement of the method for calibrating measurements of photosynthetic CO₂ flux. *Plant, Cell &*
416 *Environment* 22, 1297-1301.
- 417 Hari P., Nikinmaa E., Pohja T., Siivola E., Bäck J., Vesala T. & Kulmala M. (2013) Station for measuring
418 ecosystem-atmosphere relations: SMEAR. In Station for measuring ecosystem-atmosphere relations:
419 SMEAR. pp. 471-487.
- 420 Harley P., Greenberg J., Niinemets Ü & Guenther A. (2007) Environmental controls over methanol
421 emission from leaves. *Biogeosciences* 4, 1083-1099.
- 422 Hayward S., Tani A., Owen S.M. & Hewitt C.N. (2004) Online analysis of volatile organic compound
423 emissions from sitka spruce (*picea sitchensis*). *Tree Physiology* 24, 721-728.
- 424 Hölttä T. & Kolari P. (2009) Interpretation of stem CO₂ efflux measurements. *Tree Physiology* 29, 1447-
425 1456.
- 426 Hüve K., Christ M.M., Kleist E., Uerlings R., Niinemets Ü, Walter A. & Wildt J. (2007) Simultaneous growth
427 and emission measurements demonstrate an interactive control of methanol release by leaf expansion
428 and stomata. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 58, 1783-1793.
- 429 Ilvesniemi H., Pumpanen J., Duursma R., Hari P., Keronen P., Kolari P. ,..., Vesala T. (2010) Water balance
430 of a boreal scots pine forest. *Boreal Environment Research* 15, 375-396.
- 431 Jardine K., Harley P., Karl T., Guenther A., Lerdau M. & Mak J.E. (2008) Plant physiological and
432 environmental controls over the exchange of acetaldehyde between forest canopies and the
433 atmosphere. *Biogeosciences* 5, 1559-1572.

- 434 Karl T., Curtis A.J., Rosenstiel T.N., Monson R.K. & Fall R. (2002) Transient releases of acetaldehyde from
435 tree leaves-products of a pyruvate overflow mechanism? *Plant, Cell and Environment* 25, 1121-1131.
- 436 Kolari P., Bäck J., Taipale R., Ruuskanen T.M., Kajos M.K., Rinne J., Kulmala M. & Hari P. (2012) Evaluation
437 of accuracy in measurements of VOC emissions with dynamic chamber system. *Atmospheric Environment*
438 62, 344-351.
- 439 Kreuzwieser J., Harren F.J.M., Laarhoven L.J.J., Boamfa I., Lintel-Hekkert S.T., Scheerer U., Hüglin C. &
440 Rennenberg H. (2001) Acetaldehyde emission by the leaves of trees - correlation with physiological and
441 environmental parameters. *Physiologia Plantarum* 113, 41-49.
- 442 Kreuzwieser J., Kühnemann F., Martis A., Rennenberg H. & Urban W. (2000) Diurnal pattern of
443 acetaldehyde emission by flooded poplar trees. *Physiologia Plantarum* 108, 79-86.
- 444 Kreuzwieser J., Scheerer U. & Rennenberg H. (1999) Metabolic origin of acetaldehyde emitted by poplar
445 (*Populus tremuls* x *P. alba*) trees. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 335, 757-765.
- 446 Loreto F., Barta C., Brilli F. & Nogues I. (2006) On the induction of volatile organic compound emissions
447 by plants as consequence of wounding or fluctuations of light and temperature. *Plant, Cell and*
448 *Environment* 29, 1820-1828.
- 449 MacDonald R.C. & Fall R. (1993) Detection of substantial emissions of methanol from plants to the
450 atmosphere. *Atmospheric Environment Part A, General Topics* 27, 1709-1713.
- 451 MacDonald R.C. & Kimmerer T.W. (1991) Ethanol in the stems of trees. *Physiologia plantarum* 82, 582-
452 588.

- 453 McGuire M.A., Cerasoli S. & Teskey R.O. (2007) CO₂ fluxes and respiration of branch segments of
 454 sycamore (*platanus occidentalis* L.) examined at different sap velocities, branch diameters, and
 455 temperatures. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 58, 2159-2168.
- 456 McGuire M.A. & Teskey R.O. (2004) Estimating stem respiration in trees by a mass balance approach that
 457 accounts for internal and external fluxes of CO₂. *Tree Physiology* 24, 571-578.
- 458 Nemecek-Marshall M., MacDonald R.C., Franzen J.J., Wojciechowski C.L. & Fall R. (1995) Methanol
 459 emission from leaves. enzymatic detection of gas-phase methanol and relation of methanol fluxes to
 460 stomatal conductance and leaf development. *Plant Physiology* 108, 1359-1368.
- 461 Niinemets Ü, Loreto F. & Reichstein M. (2004) Physiological and physicochemical controls on foliar
 462 volatile organic compound emissions. *Trends in Plant Science* 9, 180-186.
- 463 Niinemets Ü & Reichstein M. (2003) Controls on the emission of plant volatiles through stomata:
 464 Differential sensitivity of emission rates to stomatal closure explained. *Journal of Geophysical Research*
 465 108, ACH 2-1 ACH 2-17.
- 466 Oikawa P.Y., Li L., Timko M.P., Mak J.E. & Lerdau M.T. (2011) Short term changes in methanol emission
 467 and pectin methylesterase activity are not directly affected by light in *lycopersicon esculentum*.
 468 *Biogeosciences* 8, 1023-1030.
- 469 Peñuelas J., Filella I., Stefanescu C. & Llusià J. (2005) Caterpillars of *euphydryas aurinia* (lepidoptera:
 470 Nymphalidae) feeding on *succisa pratensis* leaves induce large foliar emissions of methanol. *New*
 471 *Phytologist* 167, 851-857.
- 472 Rosseel, Y. (2012). lavaan: An R Package for Structural Equation Modeling. *Journal of Statistical Software*
 473 48, 1-36.

- 474 Saunier A., Ormenõ E., Boissard C., Wortham H., Temime-Roussel B., Lecareux C., Armengaud A. &
475 Fernandez C. (2017) Effect of mid-term drought on quercus pubescens BVOCs' emission seasonality and
476 their dependency on light and/or temperature. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 17, 7555-7566.
- 477 Seco R., Peñuelas J. & Filella I. (2007) Short-chain oxygenated VOCs: Emission and uptake by plants and
478 atmospheric sources, sinks, and concentrations. *Atmospheric Environment* 41, 2477-2499.
- 479 Simpson D., Guenther A., Hewitt C.N. & Steinbrecher R. (1995) Biogenic emissions in Europe: estimates
480 and uncertainties. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 100, 22,875-22,890.
- 481 Vanhatalo A., Chan T., Aalto J., Korhonen J.F., Kolari P., Hölttä T., Nikinmaa E. & Bäck J. (2015) Tree water
482 relations can trigger monoterpene emissions from scots pine stems during spring recovery.
483 *Biogeosciences* 12, 5353-5363.
- 484 Warneke C., Karl T., Judmaier H., Hansel A., Jordan A., Lindinger W. & Crutzen P.J. (1999) Acetone,
485 methanol, and other partially oxidized volatile organic emissions from dead plant matter by abiological
486 processes: Significance for atmospheric HO(X) chemistry. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 13, 9-17.
- 487
- 488
- 489
- 490
- 491
- 492
- 493
- 494
- 495

Tables

Table 1: Functions used in regression models to explain emissions (E) of methanol, acetone and acetaldehyde from Scots pine shoots by temperature, transpiration and stomatal conductance at the SMEAR II station in Hyytiälä, Southern Finland. E_{model} =estimated emissions, T =temperature, ET =evapotranspiration, G =stomatal conductance, a =changing empirical intercept, optimized for the best fit in each model, b - d =changing empirical coefficients, optimized for the best fit in each model, β =empirical coefficient for temperature sensitivity, optimized for best fit in model T (1))

- 1 $E_{model\ T} = a + b * \exp[\beta(T-303)]$
- 2 $E_{model\ ET} = a + b * ET$
- 3 $E_{model\ G} = a + b * \exp[c * G]$
- 4 $E_{model\ T+ET} = a + b * \exp[\beta(T-303)] + c * E$
- 5 $E_{model\ T+G} = a + b * \exp[\beta(T-303)] + c * \exp[d * G]$

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527 Table 2. Pearson's correlation coefficients (r) between Scots pine shoot emissions of acetaldehyde,
528 methanol and acetone during the years 2010–2011 and 2013–2015, and stem emissions in 2013, at the
529 SMEAR II station, in Hyytiälä, Southern Finland. All correlations in the table are significant ($p < 0.05$).

Year	Acetaldehyde- Methanol	Acetaldehyde- Acetone	Acetone- Methanol
2010	0.89	0.95	0.94
2011	0.88	0.94	0.82
2013	0.94	0.97	0.95
2014	0.62	0.62	0.86
2015	0.87	0.93	0.9
2013 (stem)	0.50	0.53	0.53

530

531

532

533

Table 3. The coefficients of determination (R²) of regression models that explain methanol shoot emissions from Scots pine with temperature (T), transpiration (ET) and stomatal conductance (G) and combinations (T+ET and T+G) over five growing seasons at the SMEAR II station in Hyytiälä, Southern Finland. The beta value for the temperature functions is shown in parenthesis after the temperature model's coefficient. The R² of model with the best fit is indicated in bold. The model functions are presented in Table 1.

Year	Model T	Model ET	Model G	Model T+ET	Model T+G
2010	0.82 (0.06)	0.63	0.00	0.87	0.85
May	0.90 (0.08)	0.68	0.05	0.93	0.91
June	0.76 (0.07)	0.51	0.00	0.82	0.80
July	0.88 (0.05)	0.66	0.05	0.91	0.90
August	0.64 (0.02)	0.73	0.10	0.83	0.72
2011	0.39 (0.00)	0.55	0.26	0.56	0.54
2013	0.68 (0.09)	0.59	0.16	0.76	0.72
2014	0.84 (0.12)	0.60	0.02	0.88	0.86
2015	0.78 (0.12)	0.56	0.05	0.78	0.76

Table 4. The coefficients of determination (R²) of regression models that explain acetaldehyde shoot emissions from Scots pine with temperature (T), transpiration (ET) and stomatal conductance (G) and combinations (T+ET and T+G) over five growing seasons at the SMEAR II station in Hyytiälä, Southern Finland. The beta value for the temperature functions is shown in parenthesis after the temperature model's coefficient. The R² of model with the best fit is indicated in bold. The model functions are presented in Table 1.

Year	Model T	Model ET	Model G	Model T+ET	Model T+G
2010	0.52 (0.04)	0.72	0.05	0.75	0.61
May	0.74 (0.10)	0.68	0.02	0.82	0.77
June	0.49 (0.02)	0.58	0.03	0.68	0.58
July	0.56 (0.05)	0.82	0.19	0.83	0.71
August	0.50 (0.03)	0.78	0.17	0.81	0.66
2011	0.45 (0.00)	0.79	0.35	0.79	0.65
2013	0.58 (0.12)	0.63	0.21	0.73	0.68
2014	0.31 (0.12)	0.31	0.03	0.37	0.33
2015	0.68 (0.12)	0.71	0.15	0.76	0.68

566

567

568

569 Table 5. The coefficients of determination (R²) of regression models that explain acetone shoot
 570 emissions from Scots pine with temperature (T), transpiration (ET) and stomatal conductance (G) and
 571 combinations (T+ET and T+G) over five growing seasons at the SMEAR II station in Hyytiälä, Southern
 572 Finland. The beta value for the temperature functions is shown in parenthesis after the temperature
 573 model's coefficient. The R² of model with the best fit is indicated in bold. The model functions are
 574 presented in Table 1.

Year	Model T	Model ET	Model G	Model T+ET	Model T+G
2010	0.57 (0.05)	0.75	0.07	0.79	0.70
May	0.78 (0.08)	0.77	0.00	0.89	0.86
June	0.49 (0.04)	0.64	0.11	0.72	0.69
July	0.69 (0.04)	0.8	0.16	0.86	0.80
August	0.54 (0.02)	0.85	0.24	0.88	0.77
2011	0.67 (0.07)	0.76	0.22	0.82	0.76
2013	0.57 (0.11)	0.62	0.24	0.72	0.69
2014	0.44 (0.09)	0.41	0.05	0.50	0.47
2015	0.83 (0.11)	0.79	0.20	0.91	0.87

575

Transpiration directly regulates the emissions of water-soluble short-chained OVOCs

Authors: Rissanen, K., Hölttä, T & Bäck, J.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Schematic figure on how water-soluble compounds: carbon dioxide, methanol, acetone or acetaldehyde can diffuse into the ambient air or be partitioned into the xylem sap after being synthesized. After its synthesis at a certain production location such as the cambium (a), heartwood (b) or roots(c), the compound can either 1) diffuse through wood and bark (B) into the ambient air or 2) dissolve into the xylem sap (X) and be transported upwards in a transpiration stream. With the accumulation of water soluble compounds in the xylem sap, the compounds can also 3) escape the aqueous phase and diffuse through wood and bark into the ambient air. This pathway is more preferred in the upper parts of stems as the concentration in xylem water is higher and the bark is thinner. As the compounds reach the leaves, they can be either metabolized or diffuse out into the ambient air through the stomata (4).

Figure 2: Shoot (left, a, c, e) and stem (right, b, d, f) emissions of methanol (top, a, b), acetaldehyde (middle, c, d) and acetone (bottom, e, f) from Scots pine at the SMEAR II station, in Hyytiälä, Southern Finland, in 2013. The smaller inset figures are examples of diurnal variations of emissions from 15th -17th July, 2013. DW = leaf dry weight, BA = bark area.

Figure 3. Stem emissions of methanol (a), acetaldehyde (b) and acetone (c) at 7 and 12 metres (left axis) and at 16.5 metres above the ground (right axis) of Scots pine and temperature (d, left axis) measured in three stem chambers, evapotranspiration (d, right axes) measured from the shoot of the same tree. BA = bark area, LA = leaf area. At SMEAR II station in Hyytiälä, Southern Finland, April 2nd-4th, 2013

Figure 4: Temperature (a, d, g), transpiration (b, e, h) and stomatal conductance (c, f, i) effects on Scots pine shoot emissions of methanol (a-c), acetaldehyde (d-f) and acetone (g-i) at SMEAR II station in Hyytiälä, Southern Finland, during May, June, July and August 2010. The vertical grey line in the right panel figures indicate the point, below which stomatal conductance regulates emissions. DW = leaf dry weight, LA = leaf area. R² for these relations are presented in Tables 3-5.

Figure 5. Structural equation models (SEM) on the effects of temperature, stomatal conductance and transpiration on methanol (a, d), on acetaldehyde (b, e) and on acetone (c, f) shoot emissions from Scots pine, at SMEAR II station in Hyytiälä, Southern Finland during the 2014 growing season. Upper parts (a-c): Only temperature and stomatal conductance affected emissions. Lower parts (d-f): Transpiration was added to the path model. The arrow weights and parameters indicate the estimated standardized parameter values that are significant ($p < 0.05$) unless in brackets. Standard error of the parameter value in parentheses. (sqrt) under a variable name indicates that square root transformation was made to obtain normal distribution. R² in the left bottom corner is the whole model coefficient for the OVOC emissions' determination, df for the degrees of freedom.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6