<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

NSE concentrations and haemolysis after cardiac arrest

Jakkula, Pekka

2019-05

Jakkula , P , Skrifvars , M B , Pettilä , V , Hästbacka , J & Reinikainen , M 2019 , ' NSE concentrations and haemolysis after cardiac arrest ' , Intensive Care Medicine , vol. 45 , no. 5 , pp. 741-742 . <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-019-05547-8>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/303404>

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-019-05547-8>

---

publishedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

LETTER

NSE concentrations and haemolysis after cardiac arrest

Pekka Jakkula^{1*} , Markus B. Skrifvars², Ville Pettilä¹, Johanna Hästbacka¹ and Matti Reinikainen³

© 2019 Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature

Dear Editor,

We read with great interest the editorial by Nielsen et al. [1] regarding our recent publications in *Intensive Care Medicine* [2, 3]. We thank the authors for their opinions and agree with most of the expressed views. However, we feel that the critique against the neuron-specific enolase (NSE) analyses and our definition of haemolysis is not justified.

Briefly, we obtained 463 serum samples for the analyses of NSE concentrations at ICU admission and 24, 48 and 72 h after cardiac arrest. In seven samples (1.5%), the Roche haemolysis index was over 50 (corresponding to 500 mg of free haemoglobin per litre). We excluded these samples from the analyses. In the samples taken at the Danish centre ($n=26$), the NSE concentration was analysed immediately and haemolysis was not assessed.

Of the remaining 430 samples, there was detectable haemolysis (haemolysis index ≥ 10) in 150 samples (34.9%). The mean haemolysis index $\pm$ standard deviation in these samples was 19.0 ± 9 . The amount of these moderately haemolytic samples was comparable in all the intervention groups. Notably, our main findings remained unchanged after exclusion of all samples with detectable haemolysis: the study interventions had no impact on the NSE concentrations (Table 1). Accordingly,

we conclude that our results are not biased because of the impact of haemolysis on NSE concentrations.

Nielsen et al. claimed that our original threshold for defining significant haemolysis, 500 mg of free haemoglobin per litre, was too high. However, the very same threshold has been used in previous studies [4], including the TTM trial. Furthermore, in other studies using NSE as the primary outcome, haemolysis was not assessed at all [5].

Table 1 Median (inter-quartile range) serum neuron-specific enolase concentrations at 48 h after cardiac arrest with all samples with detectable haemolysis (Roche haemolysis index ≥ 10) excluded

Intervention group	NSE ($\mu\text{g/l}$)	<i>p</i> value
Low-normal PaCO ₂	17.3 (13.3–25.0)	0.156
High-normal PaCO ₂	21.8 (13.8–34.9)	
Normoxia	18.4 (13.4–25.2)	0.232
Moderate hyperoxia	18.8 (13.6–34.9)	
Low-normal MAP	19.1 (13.9–33.8)	0.433
High-normal MAP	17.3 (13.5–30.3)	

NSE neuron-specific enolase, PaCO₂ arterial carbon dioxide tension, MAP mean arterial pressure

*Correspondence: pekka.jakkula@hus.fi

¹ Department of Anaesthesiology, Intensive Care and Pain Medicine, Helsinki University Hospital, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
 Full author information is available at the end of the article

Author details

¹ Department of Anaesthesiology, Intensive Care and Pain Medicine, Helsinki University Hospital, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. ² Department of Anaesthesiology, Intensive Care and Pain Medicine and Department of Emergency Medicine and Services, Helsinki University Hospital, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. ³ Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care, Kuopio University Hospital, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflicts of interest

None.

Ethical approval

An approval by an ethics committee was not applicable.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Accepted: 24 January 2019

Published online: 13 February 2019

References

1. Nielsen N, Cariou A, Hassager C (2018) Physiological interventions in cardiac arrest: passing the pilot phase. *Intensive Care Med*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-018-5492-2>
2. Jakkula P, Pettilä V, Skrifvars MB et al (2018) Targeting low-normal or high-normal mean arterial pressure after cardiac arrest and resuscitation: a randomised pilot trial. *Intensive Care Med* 44:2091–2101. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-018-5446-8>
3. Jakkula P, Reinikainen M, Hästbacka J et al (2018) Targeting two different levels of both arterial carbon dioxide and arterial oxygen after cardiac arrest and resuscitation: a randomised pilot trial. *Intensive Care Med* 44:2112–2121. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-018-5453-9>
4. Ståmmet P, Collignon O, Hassager C et al (2015) Neuron-specific enolase as a predictor of death or poor neurological outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and targeted temperature management at 33 °C and 36 °C. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 65:2104–2114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2015.03.538>
5. Eastwood GM, Schneider AG, Suzuki S et al (2016) Targeted therapeutic mild hypercapnia after cardiac arrest: a phase II multi-centre randomised controlled trial (the CCC trial). *Resuscitation* 104:83–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2016.03.023>