<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Emulsification properties of polysaccharides from *Dioscorea opposita* Thunb.

Ma, Fanyi

2017-01-10

Ma , F , Zhang , Y , Wen , Y , Yao , Y , Zhu , J , Liu , X , Bell , A & Tikkanen-Kaukanen , C
2017 , ' Emulsification properties of polysaccharides from *Dioscorea opposita* Thunb. ' ,
Food Chemistry , vol. 221 , pp. 919-925 . <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.11.073>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/308383>

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.11.073>

cc_by_nc_nd

acceptedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

Emulsification properties of polysaccharides from *Dioscorea opposita* Thunb.

Fanyi MA^a, Yun ZHANG^a, Yurong WEN^a, Yanna YAO^a, Jinhua ZHU^a, Xiuhua Liu^{a*},Alan Bell^b, Carina Tikkanen-Kaukanen^c

a. Key Laboratory of Natural Medicine and Immune-Engineering of Henan Province,
Key Laboratory for Special Functional Materials of Ministry of Education,
Pharmaceutical College, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Henan
University, Kaifeng, 475004, China.

b. Department of Food and Nutritional Science, University of Reading, Whitenights,
Reading, RG6 6AP, UK

c. Ruralia Institute, University of Helsinki, Lönnrotinkatu 7, 50100 Mikkeli, Finland

***Corresponding Author**

Prof. Xiuhua LIU,
Key Lab. of Natural Medicine and Immune-Engineering of Henan Province,
College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering,
Henan University, Kaifeng, 475004, China.

Email: liuxiuhua@henu.edu.cn

Telephone: +86-371-22199505.

Abstract

This study investigated the emulsification properties of polysaccharides from *Dioscorea opposita* Thunb.. Graded alcohol precipitation was used to extract *Dioscorea opposita* polysaccharides fractions (4 samples) in different ranges of molecular weight. Sample 3 contained more glucose and protein (80.13% and 0.34%, respectively), and molecular weight was approximately 34,790 Da, distributing narrowly. The droplet sizes and stabilities of emulsions made of gum arabic (GA) and polysaccharide samples at different concentrations and ratios were measured, specifically the emulsions of GA and medium-chain-triglycerides (MCT); polysaccharides and MCT; and polysaccharides, GA and MCT (1 : 1: 1). The results indicated that sample 2 and 3 had emulsifying properties, and the emulsions made with sample 2, GA and MCT (1 : 1 : 1) presented the best emulsification properties. Therefore, polysaccharides of *Dioscorea opposita* could be utilised as a natural emulsifier that can be improved synergistically with other emulsifiers, such as gum arabic.

Key Words: Chinese yam, *Dioscorea opposita* Thunb., polysaccharides, emulsification properties

Abbreviations:

CY	Chinese yam;	CYP	Chinese yam polysaccharides;
DOP	<i>Dioscorea opposita</i> Polysaccharides;		
GA	Gum arabic;	MCT	Medium-chain-triglycerides;
MW	Molecular weight;	Mw	Weight-average molecular weight;
PDI	Polydispersity index;	Mn	Number-average molecular weight;
S1, S2, S3 and S4	Sample 1, Sample 2, Sample 3 and Sample 4 of DOP;		

1. Introduction

Currently, there is considerable interest in using the food grade polysaccharides from natural plants in functional foods, dietary supplements, and health products (Harding et al., 2011). Various yam species of the genus *Dioscorea* have been widely used for health benefits in Asia for more than 2000 years. *Dioscorea opposita* Thunb., one type of Chinese yam (CY), is listed as both an edible plant and a traditional herbal medicine in China (Chang et al., 2004). *Dioscorea opposita* has been traditionally used to treat anorexia, chronic diarrhea, diabetes, seminal emission and excessive leucorrhea, as recorded in *SHEN NONG BEN CAO JING*, the earliest Chinese medicinal documents (Gao et al., 2007; Zheng et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2011; Shi & Pan, 2010; Ye et al., 2010). The antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, neuro-protective and anti-cancer properties of Chinese yam polysaccharides (CYP) have been investigated to understand the scientific basis of their use as a functional food (Liu et al., 2008; Chan & Ng, 2013; Chiu et al., 2013; Son et al., 2014).

According to Zhang et al. (2016), the high molecular weight (MW) of CYP could seriously affect its food applications and functions. Thus, this study was performed to extract polysaccharides from *Dioscorea opposita* (DOP) by the graded alcohol precipitation. Zhao et al. (2005) analysed the structures of Chinese yam polysaccharides (CYP) and determined the water-soluble polysaccharide was a heteropolysaccharide containing (1→3)- α -glucopyranose as a main chain and - β -galactopyranose-[(1→2)- α -Mannopyranose]₃-(1→2)- α -Mannopyranose-(1-6)- as a side chain. The MW was

63 42,000 Da. Yang et al. (2015) characterised structures of CYP and measured the MW
64 as 16,619 Da. The differences in the MW of CYP reported in literature may be caused
65 by species diversity from different locations and origins.

66 Nowadays, healthy and natural food products attracted concerns from consumers,
67 who require food with better texture, taste, and other organoleptic properties (Li & Nie,
68 2016). Functional food products require scientific studies of dispersions, gels, and
69 emulsions that can be organised and arranged in complex internal microstructures
70 (Garti, 1999). Dickinson (2003) stated that one type of widely used hydrocolloid
71 emulsifier in food applications is galactomannans. Protein emulsifiers are also
72 traditionally excellent emulsifiers because they rapidly adsorb and rearrange molecular
73 structures at the interface to provide a coherent macromolecular protective layer
74 (Chanamai & McClements, 2002).

75 McClements (2005) illustrates that the droplet sizes and zeta-potential play an
76 important role in determining the stability, appearance, texture and taste of the
77 emulsions in the final product. Therefore, in order to control the properties of emulsions,
78 it is required to obtain detailed quantitative information on the droplet size distribution
79 on the changes occurring (Horne, 1995). Medium-chain-triglycerides (MCT) are used
80 as a fat/lipid carrier to food flavours, essences, and pigments, which are widely used in
81 food industry (Télessy et al., 2009). Hence, the droplet diameters and zeta-potential
82 values of the oil/water (O/W) emulsions made by emulsifier with MCT were measured
83 and analysed in this study.

Therefore, DOP could be recognised as an emulsifier in food due to its compositions of glucose, galactose and mannose as main monosaccharides and protein fractions. This study investigated the emulsification properties of DOP, with gum arabic (GA) as the control emulsifier. Gum arabic is one of the most extensively used exudate gums and a food hydrocolloid that displays both emulsifying and emulsion stabilising properties(Nakauma et al., 2008; Yadav et al., 2007; Ma et al., 2015).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Dried slices of *Dioscorea opposita* Thunb. were purchased from Bao He Tang (Jiaozuo) Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. in Jiaozuo city, Henan provincewhereis located in the central part of China and is famous for growing*Dioscorea opposita* for nearly 2000 years. All the chemicals and standard samples were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. Ltd, USA and Tianjin Kemiou Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd, China. Analytical grade chemicals were used.

2.2. Extraction of *Dioscorea opposita* polysaccharides (DOP)

Four DOP samples (S1, S2, S3 and S4) were extracted and the flowchart is shown in Fig. 1. According to the extraction method of Zhang et al. (2011) with modification, the dried slices of *Dioscorea opposita* were grounded in a high speed disintegrator and sifted through a 40-mesh sieve. 1.0 kg of the dried powder was extracted twice for 3

hrs at 80 °C water bath with 8.0 L of ethanol (EtOH/H₂O, 95% v/v) and then filtrated.
The precipitation was extracted twice for 3 hrs at 80 °C water bath with 8.0 L of
deionised water. The extracted solution was centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 min to
remove precipitation. 1/4 volume of ethanol was added and precipitated the residue III
(discarded) for 24 hrs, which was approximately equal to 20% v/v ethanol
(concentration of ethanol, C_e). The supernatant I was concentrated to a 1/3 volume of
primary extracted solution, and ethanol (in amount equal to four times the volume of
the concentrated solution) was added and crude polysaccharide (S1) was centrifuged to
obtain after 24 hrs.

The same process was operated until supernatant I, and subsequently, the crude
polysaccharides were collected by grading alcohol precipitation. Firstly, the supernatant
I was also concentrated to a 1/3 volume of primary extracted solution, and ethanol was
added for precipitating the polysaccharides II (S2) until C_e was about to 40% v/v for 24
hrs. The polysaccharides III (S3, C_e = 60% v/v) and IV (S4, C_e = 80% v/v) were
obtained by the same manner. The four samples were freeze dried for 3 days to the
constant weight to determine the DOP yield and stored in vacuum desiccators over P₂O₅
for further study.

2.3. Analyses

2.3.1. Determination of glucose and protein content

Protein content was detected using Coomassie brilliant blue (Bradford, 1976) and

the glucose content was determined using a phenol-sulphuric acid method (Dubois et al., 1956).

2.3.2. Determination of molecular weight

The weight-average molecular weight (M_w) and MW distributions (polydispersity $= M_w/M_n$) of the DOP samples were measured using high performance size exclusion chromatography attached to multiangle laser light scattering and refractive index detector (HPSEC-MALLS-RID, Wyatt Technology Co., USA) with an OHpak SB-802.5 HQ column (8.0 mm $\times$ 300 mm, Shodex Co., Japan). The mobile phase (0.1 M NaNO_3), was pumped (Waters, 515 HPLC Pump, USA) at the flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. 50.0 μL of sample solution (1.8 mg/mL) was injected and the chromatogram was analysed using ARTRAV software (Wyatt Technology Co., USA).

2.3.3. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

TEM (JEM-2100, JEOL Ltd., Japan) was used to inspect the size and shape of the particles in the DOP sample solutions.

2.4. Emulsification properties of DOP

2.4.1. Sample preparation

(a) DOP samples were dissolved in deionised water (pH 7.0, conductance: 18 $\text{m}\Omega$) at different concentrations with gentle stirring at room temperature (20 $^{\circ}\text{C}$) until

dispersed. The droplet distribution and zeta-potential were subsequently measured and compared to find the appropriate concentration (x% w/v).

(b) The dispersions of DOP (x% w/v) and GA (x% w/v) were prepared at the ratios of 1 : 1, 1 : 2, 1 : 3, 1 : 4, 2 : 3, 2 : 5 and 2 : 7.

(c) The medium-chain-triglyceride (MCT) was used as oil sample, and the ratio of GA : MCT = 1 : 1 was used according to a source which defined a “high gum-to-oil weight ratio of approximately 1:1” (Dickinson, 2003). Therefore, the ratio of DOP, GA and MCT were confirmed.

2.4.2. Emulsification measurements

The droplet diameters (z-average/polydispersity index (PDI)) and stabilities (zeta-potential) of the emulsions were investigated using Malvern zeta-potential (Malvern-NanoZS90, Malvern Ltd., UK). In order to obtain comparable and representative data, the results were recorded as the averages plus or minus the standard deviation ($n = 6, \pm SD$).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Yield, glucose contents, protein contents, and MW of DOP

The yields (Y_s) of the samples, the glucose and protein content in DOP samples (%) are shown in Table 1(a). The extraction of crude polysaccharides (S1) was 4.66%, consisting of 63.25% glucose and 0.21% protein. S2, S3, and S4 were extracted by the

graded alcohol precipitation, and the Y_s were 2.14%, 0.48% and 1.70%, respectively. Although Y_{S3} was collected the lowest, S3 obtained the highest content of glucose and protein (80.13% and 0.34% respectively). In S4, there was only approximately 56.45% glucose and protein. The colour of S4 was pale in ethanol, and quickly changed to brown as soon as exposing to air (it was the darkest in DOP samples, shown in Fig. 1), which was considered to be catecholamine and leucoanthocyanidins (Martin & Ruberté, 1976).

Table 1(a) also shows the Mw and polydispersity of DOP samples. Since the DOP samples are mixed macromolecular compounds, the values of weight-average molecular weight (Mw) were considered to be more reliable compared to number-average molecular weight (Mn) (Rochas & Lahaye, 1989). The Mw of each DOP sample was listed in descending order S1, S2, S3, and S4: 51,250 Da, 35,230 Da, 34,790 Da and 3,631 Da respectively. This suggested that the graded alcohol precipitation separated the MW into small ranges. Mw was only one criterion. The polydispersity (Mw/Mn) was another value to consider. Mw/Mn values close to 1 (1.5-2) mean the distribution is narrow and the molecular weight is in a relatively small range (Xu et al., 2016).

The detailed molecular weight distributions were shown in Table 1(b). The ranges of DOP samples were 5-500 kDa, 5-200 kDa, 10-200 kDa, and 0.5-20 kDa for S1, S2, S3 and S4, respectively. Each sample was distributed differently, summarised into five ranges as follow:

- (i) 0-10 kDa, 37.89% (S1), 46.82% (S2), 0% (S3) and 99.61% (S4);

(ii) 10-20 kDa, 17.62% (S1), 12.32% (S2), 48.06% (S3) and 0.39% (S4);

(iii) 20-100 kDa, 33.35% (S1), 33.32% (S2), and 46.95% (S3);

(iv) 100-200 kDa, 5.84% (S1), 5.20% (S2), 4.60% (S3).

(v) 200-500 kDa, 3.88% (S1).

As the results shown in Table 1(b), the crude polysaccharides (S1) had the widest MW range, as expected. The MW distribution of S3 was a relatively narrow range because the Mw/Mn was 1.671 (Table 1(a)) and in the range of 10-100 kDa (Table 1(b)). The distribution of S4 was 2.881 (Table 1(a)) and in the range of 0.5-20 kDa (Table 1(b)). The difference in Mw/Mn between S3 and S4 may be the results of the impurities of S4 substances containing catecholamine and leucoanthocyanidins.

3.2. Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM)

Fig. 2 shows the morphology of DOP solutions by TEM. The crude polysaccharides (S1) showed two different structures. S1-1 showed spherical particles surrounded by feather-like structures, and S1-2 showed carbohydrate branches, which proves the various structures in crude polysaccharides and explains the wide range of molecular weight. Both S2 and S3 showed globular particles, but the particles in S2 were coagulated and flocculated together while the granules in S3 were scattering and distributed. There were two different structures in Fig. 2-S4: (a) the branches of carbohydrate consisting of many small granules and (b) straight stick-like structures linked by the small granules. The micrograph of S4 showed two obvious distinctive structures and explained why the molecular weight distributions were wider than S3.

The MW of S4 was smaller than S3, which indicates the substances in S4, such as catecholamine and leucoanthocyanidins had smaller molecular weight than polysaccharides. Results demonstrated that the extraction, purification and preparation may affect the surface topography and structure of a polysaccharide (Nep & Conway, 2010).

3.3. Emulsification properties of DOP

3.3.1. Particle sizes and zeta-potential of DOP

Table 2(a) shows the droplet diameters, PDI and zeta-potential of GA and DOP solutions in different concentrations of 0.2%, 0.4%, 0.6%, 0.8% and 1.0% w/v. Although the droplet diameters of GA were approximately from 0.16 μm to 0.28 μm , there was significant difference between 0.2%, 0.4% and 0.6%. The droplet sizes of S1 and S2 generally increased with the concentration, but the diameters of S1 droplets were decreased from 2.21 μm to 1.84 μm (0.2% to 0.4% w/v, respectively). The droplet diameters of S3 from 1.57 μm to 1.63 μm to 1.70 μm (0.2% to 0.4% to 0.6% w/v, respectively) dropped slightly to 1.40 μm (0.8% w/v) and went up again until 1.43 μm at concentration of 1.0% w/v. The droplet diameters of S4 with high PDI were variable, and zeta-potential ranged from -16.40 mV to -20.50 mV which was also variable. The results tended to show slightly higher mean values for S1 due to the impurity. The appropriate concentration for the following study was determined to be 0.8% w/v. Overall, the droplet diameters of DOP samples showed significant differences with GA.

Zeta-potential is an indicator to consider the stabilities of emulsions (Williams & Phillips, 2009). According to the results shown in Table 2(a), most of samples were close to ± 30 . If the absolute values of zeta-potential are over 30, hydrocolloids are considered to be stable; if the value of zeta-potential are less than ± 30 , hydrocolloids tend to coagulated or flocculate (O'Brien et al., 1990). Therefore, GA, S1, S2 and S3 were considered to be stable solution with the exception of S4. The native pH values of S1, S2, S3 and S4 were 6.88, 6.31, 6.71, and 6.86, respectively (data not shown). The zeta-potentials for all the samples were negative which may be caused by the acidic environment and by the charges of the main amino acids, aspartic acid and glutamic acid.

3.3.2. Droplet diameters of DOP and GA dispersions at different ratios

Table 2(b) shows the droplet diameters, PDI and zeta-potential values of the emulsions made of DOP and GA in different ratios (1 : 1, 2 : 3, 1 : 2, 2 : 5, 1 : 3, 2 : 7, and 1 : 4, respectively). Considering both droplet diameters and zeta potential, the results showed the best ratio was 1 : 1. Arabinogalactan protein complex (AGP) contributes to the emulsifications of GA and consists essentially of a protein fraction and about five carbohydrate “blocks” (Al-Assaf et al., 2009; Dickinson, 2003). According to Zhao et al. (2005), CYP is a heteropolysaccharide with (1→3)- α -glucopyranose as a main chain and - β -galactopyranose-[(1→2)- α -Mannopyranose]₃-(1→2)- α -Mannopyranose-(1-6)- as a side chain. According to Williams & Phillips (2009), the high-molecular-weight-polysaccharide-protein complex improves the

overall solubility with consequent benefits for emulsification properties. Thus, the combination of DOP and GA may improve the emulsification properties of both. The proper ratio was measured and proposed.

3.3.3. Emulsification properties of DOP, GA and MCT

Table 3 shows the droplet diameters (μm), PDI and zeta-potential values (mV) of freshly prepared emulsions made by DOP and GA with medium chain triglycerides (MCT). The distributions of peaks are shown in Fig. 3. The ratio of DOP : GA = 1 : 1 was chosen due to previous work (section 3.3.2), and the ratio of GA : MCT = 1 : 1 was used according to research which defined a “high gum-to-oil weight ratio of approximately 1:1” (Dickinson, 2003). The droplet diameter of emulsions made by GA : MCT = 1 : 1 was approximately 1.78 μm , smaller than the droplet sizes of MCT (0.8% w/v, 2.44 μm , data not shown). The droplet sizes of emulsions made by DOP samples (S1, S2, S3 and S4) and MCT (1 : 1) were 2.17 μm , 1.22 μm , 1.55 μm and 1.38 μm , respectively, which were also smaller than the size of MCT (0.8% w/v, 2.44 μm). Compared to the droplet diameters of GA and MCT (1.78 μm), S2, S3 and S4 had better emulsification properties with MCT. However, the zeta-potential value of S2 was -27 mV, which was relatively low compared to other DOP samples, but not significantly different. Therefore, S2, S3 and S4 could be used as emulsifiers.

The glucose contents in S2 and S3 were approximately 64.43% and 80.13% respectively, and the molecular weight of S2 and S3 were around 35 kDa and 34 kDa, respectively (Table 1(a)). According to previous study, polysaccharide of Chinese yam

contained glucose, galactose and mannose and xylose (Zhao et al., 2005; Alves et al., 2002). Results suggested that not only protein and main chains of polysaccharides (containing glucose) contributed to the emulsifying properties, side chains (containing galactose and mannose) also contributed. Therefore, emulsions of S2 with higher molecular weight, less glucose content and protein content resulted in smaller droplet sizes. S4 (precipitation at $C_e = 80\%$, $MW \approx 3.5$ kDa) contained 56.45% glucose and protein, and other chemical substance, such as catecholamine and leucoanthocyanidins, which ultimately affected negatively on the emulsification properties of S4.

In order to investigate the emulsification properties of combinations (GA and DOP samples), the emulsification properties of DOP : GA : MCT = 1 : 1 : 1 (0.8% w/v) were studied. Table 3(III) shows the droplet diameters of emulsions made of DOP, GA and MCT (1 : 1 : 1, respectively), and only S2 showed smaller droplet sizes (0.94 μm). The droplet diameters of emulsions made of S4, GA and MCT was extremely large (18.42 μm) which may be resulted by the larger amount of small molecular weight impure chemical substances. The results showed the best emulsification properties were from S2 : GA : MCT (1 : 1 : 1), which suggests that the combination and synergistic effects of S2 and GA could improve the emulsification of both components.

4. Conclusion

Considering the tremendous focus on healthy and natural food products and the sensory evaluations required of consumers, the emulsification properties of polysaccharides from *Dioscorea opposita* Thunb. were studied to identify a potential

emulsifier. In addition to glucose content, protein content and MW distributions were also studied. The droplet diameters and zeta-potential of solutions made by GA and DOP in different concentrations and ratios were studied, especially the emulsions of GA and MCT (1 : 1); DOP and MCT (1 : 1); and DOP, GA and MCT (1 : 1: 1). The S2 and S3 had emulsifying properties and the emulsions made by S2 : GA : MCT (1 : 1 : 1) showed the best emulsification properties. While the beverage industry has keen interest in high quality and natural emulsifiers, DOP could be utilised as a natural emulsifier that can be improved synergistically with other emulsifiers, such as GA.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful for financial support from College Science and Technology Innovation Team Program of Henan Province (No. 14IRTSTHN030), and the Joint Fund for Fostering Talents of National Natural Science Foundation of China and Henan province (No. U1204304).

References

- Al-Assaf, S., Sakata, M., McKenna, C., Aoki, H. & Phillips, G.O. (2009). Molecular associations in acacia gums. *Structural Chemistry*, **20**(2), 325-336.
- Alves, R.M., Grossmann, M.V., Ferrero, C., Zaritzky, N.E., Martino, M.N. & Sierakoski, M.R. (2002). Chemical and functional characterization of products obtained from yam tubers. *Starch - Stärke*, **54**(10), 476-481.

306 Bradford, M.M. (1976). A refined and sensitive method for the quantification of
 307 microgram quantities of protein **utilizing** the principle of protein-dye binding.
 308 *Analytical Biochemistry*, 72, **248-254**.

309 Chan, Y.S. & Ng, T.B.(2013). A lectin with highly potent inhibitory activity toward
 310 breast cancer cells from edible tubers of *Dioscorea opposita* cv. Nagaimo. *PLOS*,
 311 **8(1)**, 523-532.

312 Chang, S., Lee, Y., Liu, S. & Chang, T. (2004). Chinese yam (*Dioscorea alata* cv.
 313 Tainung No. 2) feeding exhibited antioxidative effects in hyperhomocysteinemia
 314 rats. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, **52(6)**, 1720-1725.

315 **Chanamai, R. & McClements, D.J. (2002). Comparison of gum arabic, modified starch,**
 316 **and whey protein isolate as emulsifiers: influence of pH, CaCl₂ and temperature.**
 317 ***Journal of Food Science*, 67(1), 120-125.**

318 Chiu, C., Deng, J., Chang, H., Chen, Y., Lee, M., Hou, W., Lee, C., Huang, S. & Huang,
 319 G.(2013). Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties of Taiwanese yam
 320 (*Dioscorea japonica* Thunb. var. *pseudojaponica* (Hayata) Yamam.) and its
 321 reference compounds. *Food Chemistry*, **141(2)**, 1087-1096.

322 Dickinson, E. (2003). Hydrocolloids at interfaces and the influence on the properties of
 323 dispersed systems. *Food Hydrocolloids*, **17(1)**, 25-39.

324 Dubois, M., Gilles, K.A., Hamilton, J.K., Rebers, P.A. & Smith, F. (1956). Colorimetric
 325 method for determination of sugars and related substances. *Analytical Chemistry*,
 326 **28(3)**, 350-356.

327 Gao, X.P., Li, B.G., Jiang, H.W., Liu, F.L., Xu, D.Y. & Liu, Z.R. (2007). *Dioscorea*
 328 *opposita* reverses dexamethasone induced insulin resistance. *Fitoterapia*, 78(1),
 329 12-15.

330 Garti, N. (1999). What can nature offer from an emulsifier point of view: trends and
 331 progress? *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*,
 332 152(1), 125-146.

333 O'Brien, R.W., Midmore, B.R., Lamb, A., & Hunter, R.J. (1990). Electroacoustic
 334 studies of moderately concentrated colloidal suspensions. *Faraday Discussions of*
 335 *the Chemical Society*, 90(6), 301-312.

336 Harding, S.E., Smith, I.H., Lawson, C.J., Gahler, R.J. & Wood, S. (2011). Studies on
 337 macromolecular interactions in ternary mixtures of konjac glucomannan, xanthan
 338 gum and sodium alginate. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 83, 329-338.

339 Li, J. & Nie, S. (2016). The functional and nutritional aspects of hydrocolloids in foods.
 340 *Food Hydrocolloids*, 53, 46-61.

341 Liu, J., Yang, F., Lu, C., Yang, Y., Wen, C., Hua, K. & Wu, S. (2008). Polysaccharides
 342 from *Dioscorea batatas* induce tumor necrosis factor- α secretion via toll-like
 343 receptor 4-mediated protein kinase signaling pathways. *Journal of Agricultural*
 344 *and Food Chemistry*, 56(21), 9892-9898.

345 Ma, F., Bell, A.E. & Davis, F.J. (2015). Effects of high-hydrostatic pressure and pH
 346 treatments on the emulsification properties of gum arabic. *Food Chemistry*, 184,
 347 114-121.

348 Martin, F.W. & Ruberté, R. (1976). The polyphenol of *Dioscorea alata* (yam) tubers
 349 associated with oxidative browning. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*,
 350 **24(1)**, 67-70.

351 Nakauma, M., Funami, T., Noda, S., Ishihara, S., Al-Assaf, S., Nishinari, K., & Phillip,
 352 G.O. (2008). Comparison of sugar beet pectin, soybean soluble polysaccharide,
 353 and gum arabic as food emulsifiers. 1. Effect of concentration, pH and salts on the
 354 emulsifying properties. *Food Hydrocolloids*, **22(7)**, 1254-1267.

355 Nep, E.I. & Conway, B.R. (2010). Characterization of grewia gum, a potential
 356 pharmaceutical excipient. *Journal of Excipients and Food Chemicals*, **1(1)**, 30-40.

357 Rochas, C. & Lahaye, M. (1989). Average molecular weight and molecular weight
 358 distribution of agarose and agarose-type polysaccharides. *Carbohydrate Polymers*,
 359 **10(4)**, 289-298.

360 Shi, Y. & Pan, T. (2010). Anti-diabetic effects of monascus purpureus NTU 568
 361 fermented products on streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. *Journal of*
 362 *Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, **58(13)**, 7634-7640.

363 Son, I.S., Lee, J.S., Lee, J.Y. & Kwon, C.S.(2014). Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory
 364 effects of yam (*Dioscorea batatas* Decne.) on azoxymethane-induced colonic
 365 aberrant crypt foci in F344 rats. *Preventive Nutrition and Food Science*, **19(2)**, 82-
 366 88.

367 Télessy, I.G., Balogh, J., Csempesz, F., Szente, V., Dredán, J. & Zelkó, R. (2009).
 368 Comparison of the physicochemical properties of MCT-containing fat emulsions
 369 in total nutrient admixtures. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, **72(1)**, 75-79.

370 Williams, P.A. & Phillips, G.O. (2009). *Handbook of Hydrocolloids* (2nd Edition).
 371 Cambridge: Woodhead Publishing Limited.

372 Xu, Z., Li, X., Feng, S., Liu, J., Zhou, L., Yuan, M. & Ding, C. (2016). Characteristics
 373 and bioactivities of different molecular weight polysaccharides from camellia seed
 374 cake. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 91, 1025-1032.

375 Yadav, M.P., Igartuburu, M., Yan, Y. & Nothangel, E.A. (2007). Chemical investigation
 376 of the structural basis of the emulsifying activity of gum arabic. *Food*
 377 *Hydrocolloids*, 21(2), 297-308.

378 Yang, W., Wang, Y., Li, X. & Yu, P. (2015). Purification and structural characterization
 379 of Chinese yam polysaccharide and its activities. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 117(5),
 380 1021-1027.

381 Ye, X., Song, C., Yuan, P. & Mao, R. (2010). α -Glucosidase and α -amylase inhibitory
 382 activity of common constituents from traditional Chinese medicine used for
 383 diabetes mellitus. *Chinese Journal of Natural Medicines*, 8(5), 349-352.

384 Zhang, Z., Wang, X., Liu, C. & Li, J. (2016). The degradation, antioxidant and
 385 antimutagenic activity of the mucilage polysaccharide from *Dioscorea opposita*.
 386 *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 150, 227-231.

387 Zhang, L., Bai, B., Liu, X., Wang, Y., Li, M. & Zhao, D. (2011). α -Glucosidase
 388 inhibitors from Chinese yam (*Dioscorea opposita* Thunb.) *Food Chemistry*,
 389 126(1), 203-206.

390 Zhao, G., Kan, J., Li, Z. & Chen, Z. (2005). Structural features and immunological
391 activity of a polysaccharide from *Dioscorea opposita* Thunb roots. *Carbohydrate*
392 *Polymers*, 61(2), 125-131.

393 Zheng, K.Y., Zhang, Z., Zhou, W., Cao, H. & Xiang, F. (2014). New phenanthrene
394 glycosides from *Dioscorea opposita*. *Journal of Asian Natural Products Research*,
395 16(2), 148-152.

Table 1. The yield, glucose content, protein content, molecular weight and molecular weight distributions of *Dioscorea opposita* polysaccharides (DOP)

(a) Values for the yield, glucose content, protein content, molecular weight and molecular polydispersity of DOP

	S1	S2	S3	S4
Yield (%)	4.66 ± 0.15	2.14 ± 0.12	0.48 ± 0.01	1.70 ± 0.10
Glucose Content (%)	63.25 ± 3.01	64.43 ± 5.18	80.13 ± 3.61	56.37 ± 6.09
Protein Content (%)	0.21 ± 0.01	0.12 ± 0.04	0.34 ± 0.01	0.08 ± 0.007
Polydispersity				
Mw/Mn	4.344	3.278	1.671	2.881
Molar mass moments (g/mol)				
Mn	11,800	10,750	20,820	1,260
Mw	51,250	35,230	34,790	3,631

Note: The results were recorded as average ± SD; Mn = number-average molecular weight; Mw = weight-average molecular weight.

(b) The molecular weight distributions of DOP

Molecular weight Distributions (kDa)							
S1	5—10	10—20	20—40	40—100	100—200	200—500	
%	37.89	17.62	20.46	12.89	5.84	3.88	
S2	5—7	7—10	10—20	20—40	40—60	60—100	100—200
%	32.5	14.32	12.32	18.71	8.34	6.27	5.2
S3	10—15	15—20	20—40	40—60	60—100	100—200	
%	26.24	21.82	28.54	9.47	8.67	4.6	
S4	0.5—1	1—2	2—5	5—10	10—20		
%	40.4	20.96	21.21	17.04	0.39		

Table 2. Droplet diameters (z-average, μm), polydispersity index (PDI), and zeta-potential (mV) of the solutions made of GA/MCT/DOP samples at different concentrations (a), and different ratios of DOP with GA (b)

Droplet diameters (z-average μm $\pm$ standard deviation and mean PDI in parentheses)					
	Concentrations (% w/v)				
	0.2%	0.4%	0.6%	0.8%	1.0%
GA	0.16 $\pm$ 0.02 ^a (0.43)	0.28 $\pm$ 0.04 ^{ab} (0.53)	0.20 $\pm$ 0.01 ^{bc} (0.54)	0.28 $\pm$ 0.03 ^{acd} (0.57)	0.29 $\pm$ 0.01 ^{ace} (0.38)
S1	2.21 $\pm$ 0.06 ^{af} (0.53)	1.84 $\pm$ 0.08 ^{bfg} (0.49)	1.85 $\pm$ 0.08 ^{cfh} (0.93)	1.86 $\pm$ 0.02 ^{dfi} (0.28)	1.87 $\pm$ 0.04 ^{efj} (0.21)
S2	0.61 $\pm$ 0.07 ^{afk} (0.39)	0.63 $\pm$ 0.06 ^{bgl} (0.52)	0.67 $\pm$ 0.07 ^{chklm} (0.39)	0.73 $\pm$ 0.02 ^{diklmn} (0.30)	0.74 $\pm$ 0.07 ^{ejklmo} (0.28)
S3	1.57 $\pm$ 0.06 ^{afkp} (0.41)	1.63 $\pm$ 0.03 ^{bglq} (0.18)	1.70 $\pm$ 0.10 ^{cmr} (0.28)	1.40 $\pm$ 0.06 ^{dinpqr} (0.23)	1.43 $\pm$ 0.06 ^{ejopqrt} (0.16)
S4	0.76 $\pm$ 0.10 ^{afkpu} (0.77)	0.67 $\pm$ 0.04 ^{bqgv} (0.75)	1.52 $\pm$ 0.01 ^{chmuvw} (0.51)	0.87 $\pm$ 0.06 ^{dinsvw} (0.68)	1.32 $\pm$ 0.01 ^{ejotuvw} (0.35)
Zeta-potential (mV)					
	Concentrations (% w/v)				
	0.2%	0.4%	0.6%	0.8%	1.0%
GA	-27.70 $\pm$ 3.27	-28.70 $\pm$ 0.66	-24.47 $\pm$ 0.56	-21.90 $\pm$ 0.53	-22.80 $\pm$ 0.53
S1	-27.60 $\pm$ 0.46	-24.88 $\pm$ 0.43	-23.97 $\pm$ 0.57	-22.77 $\pm$ 0.86	-21.63 $\pm$ 0.25
S2	-30.30 $\pm$ 0.95	-26.70 $\pm$ 1.25	-26.33 $\pm$ 0.57	-24.87 $\pm$ 0.83	-23.60 $\pm$ 0.54
S3	-29.95 $\pm$ 0.82	-27.83 $\pm$ 0.75	-27.28 $\pm$ 0.67	-25.40 $\pm$ 0.22	-23.92 $\pm$ 0.65
S4	-18.43 $\pm$ 1.21	-20.50 $\pm$ 0.26	-22.20 $\pm$ 1.05	-16.57 $\pm$ 0.55	-16.40 $\pm$ 1.18

(a) Droplet diameters (μm), PDI and zeta-potential (mV) of GA and DOP solutions at different concentrations

Note: Data are reported as the mean of 6 replicates, and the results are presented as the mean $\pm$ SD. Paired symbols a to x showed significant difference ($P < 0.05$)

(b) Droplet diameters (z-average, μm), PDI and zeta-potential (mV) of the emulsion made by DOP and GA at different ratios
(Concentrations of DOP = 0.80% w/v)

Droplet diameters (z-average $\mu\text{m} \pm$ standard deviation and mean PDI in parentheses)							
	Ratios of DOP : GA						
	1 : 1	2 : 3	1 : 2	2 : 5	1 : 3	2 : 7	1 : 4
S1	1.65 $\pm$ 0.04 (0.30)	2.12 $\pm$ 0.07 (0.59)	2.06 $\pm$ 0.07 (0.35)	3.20 $\pm$ 0.03 (0.58)	4.33 $\pm$ 0.07 (0.54)	5.30 $\pm$ 0.07 (0.37)	4.34 $\pm$ 0.07 (0.45)
S2	1.52 $\pm$ 0.04 (0.47)	2.30 $\pm$ 0.12 (0.57)	3.92 $\pm$ 0.09 (0.54)	1.71 $\pm$ 0.06 (0.50)	2.32 $\pm$ 0.06 (0.57)	1.22 $\pm$ 0.09 (0.40)	1.85 $\pm$ 0.03 (0.44)
S3	1.87 $\pm$ 0.07 (0.44)	2.15 $\pm$ 0.05 (0.17)	1.89 $\pm$ 0.06 (0.30)	5.08 $\pm$ 0.09 (0.38)	6.12 $\pm$ 0.03 (0.46)	4.51 $\pm$ 0.10 (0.73)	4.46 $\pm$ 0.05 (0.19)
S4	0.29 $\pm$ 0.10 (0.73)	0.33 $\pm$ 0.09 (0.67)	0.56 $\pm$ 0.03 (0.94)	0.29 $\pm$ 0.00 (0.57)	0.26 $\pm$ 0.04 (0.69)	0.26 $\pm$ 0.02 (0.66)	0.55 $\pm$ 0.02 (0.87)
Zeta-potential (mV)							
	Ratios of DOP : GA						
	1 : 1	2 : 3	1 : 2	2 : 5	1 : 3	2 : 7	1 : 4
S1	-29.33 $\pm$ 0.40	-29.01 $\pm$ 0.55	-27.30 $\pm$ 0.17	-26.67 $\pm$ 0.38	-25.07 $\pm$ 0.29	-23.8 $\pm$ 0.95	-22.70 $\pm$ 0.30
S2	-29.40 $\pm$ 0.30	-29.01 $\pm$ 0.58	-28.30 $\pm$ 0.20	-28.13 $\pm$ 0.55	-28.13 $\pm$ 0.31	-29.83 $\pm$ 0.95	-28.30 $\pm$ 0.26
S3	-24.23 $\pm$ 0.42	-28.47 $\pm$ 0.42	-23.73 $\pm$ 0.45	-27.60 $\pm$ 0.66	-27.60 $\pm$ 0.66	-29.67 $\pm$ 0.70	-22.50 $\pm$ 0.72
S4	-23.63 $\pm$ 0.50	-21.17 $\pm$ 0.55	-21.30 $\pm$ 0.17	-21.00 $\pm$ 0.85	-20.37 $\pm$ 0.85	-20.17 $\pm$ 0.35	-19.90 $\pm$ 0.52

Note: Data are reported as the mean of 6 replications, and the results are presented as the mean $\pm$ SD

Table 3. Droplet diameters (z-average, μm), polydispersity index (PDI), and zeta-potential (mV) of emulsions made of GA and MCT, DOP and MCT, and DOP, GA and MCT

(I) GA			(II) MCT		(III) GA + MCT	
	z-average (d. μm) (mean PDI)	zeta-potential (mV)	z-average (d. μm) (mean PDI)	zeta-potential (mV)	z-average (d. μm) (mean PDI)	zeta-potential (mV)
MCT	1.78 ± 0.09^a (0.16)	-29.08 ± 0.97				
S1	1.65 ± 0.04^b (0.30)	-29.33 ± 0.40	2.17 ± 0.08^{abf} (0.56)	-27.00 ± 0.40	2.33 ± 0.06^{abj} (0.40)	-31.47 ± 0.81
S2	1.52 ± 0.04^{abc} (0.47)	-29.40 ± 0.30	1.22 ± 0.06^{acfg} (0.57)	-29.90 ± 0.75	0.94 ± 0.05^{acgjk} (0.49)	-29.47 ± 1.27
S3	1.87 ± 0.07^{bcd} (0.44)	-24.23 ± 0.42	1.55 ± 0.06^{adefgh} (0.28)	-29.20 ± 0.36	2.48 ± 0.05^{adhkl} (0.53)	-29.30 ± 0.30
S4	0.29 ± 0.10^{abcde} (0.73)	-23.63 ± 0.50	1.38 ± 0.02^{aefghi} (0.84)	-29.00 ± 0.96	18.42 ± 0.44^{aeijkl} (0.34)	-27.53 ± 0.12

Note: The concentration of each sample was 0.8% w/v. The samples consisted of : (i) GA and MCT; (ii) GA : MCT = 1 : 1; (iii) DOP : GA = 1 : 1; (iv) DOP : MCT = 1 : 1; and (v) DOP : GA: MCT = 1 : 1 : 1. Data are reported as the mean of 6 replicates, and the results are presented as the mean $\pm$ standard deviation. Paired symbols a to l showed significant difference ($P < 0.05$)

Fig. 1. Flowchart describing the extraction of *Dioscorea opposita* polysaccharides

Fig. 2. Micrographs of *Dioscorea opposita* polysaccharide solutions by TEM. S1, S3 and S4 are shown at a magnification of $\times 5000$ and S2 is shown at a magnification of $\times 20,000$

Fig. 3. Droplet sizes and distributions of the freshly prepared emulsions. The concentration of each sample was 0.8% w/v, including MCT, GA, GA : MCT = 1 : 1; DOP : MCT = 1 : 1, and DOP : GA : MCT = 1 : 1 : 1.

The droplet diameter of the emulsion made by S4 : GA : MCT = 1 : 1 : 1 was too large (not shown).

N/A = not available; Data was used as mean from 6 replications