<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Cadmium Telluride X-ray pad detectors with different passivation dielectrics

Gädda, Akiko

2019-04-21

Gädda , A , Ott , J , Karadzhinova-Ferrer , A , Golovleva , M , Kalliokoski , M , Winkler , A , Luukka , P & Härkönen , J 2019 , ' Cadmium Telluride X-ray pad detectors with different passivation dielectrics ' , Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research. Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors, and Associated Equipment , vol. 924 , pp. 33-37 . <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2018.08.063>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/328224>

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2018.08.063>

cc_by_nc_nd

acceptedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

Cadmium Telluride X-ray pad detectors with different passivation dielectrics

A. Gädda^a, J. Ott^a, A. Karadzhinova-Ferrer^b, M. Golovleva^{a,c}, M. Kalliokoski^b,
A. Winkler^{a,d}, P. Luukka^a, J. Härkönen^b

^a*Helsinki Institute of Physics, Gustaf Hållströmin katu 2, 00014 University of Helsinki, Finland*

^b*Ruder Bošković Institute, Bijenička cesta 54, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia*

^c*Lappeenranta University of Technology, Skinnarilankatu 34, 53850 Lappeenranta, Finland*

^d*Detection Technology Plc, Ahventie 4B, FI-02170 Espoo, Finland*

Abstract

The suitability of two low-temperature dielectric passivation layer processes for the fabrication of Cadmium Telluride (CdTe) X-ray detectors has been investigated. The CdTe crystals with a size of $(10 \times 10 \times 1) \text{ mm}^3$ were coated with sputtered aluminum nitride (AlN) or with aluminum oxide (Al_2O_3) grown by the atomic layer deposition (ALD) method. The metallization contacts of the detectors were made by titanium tungsten (TiW) and gold (Au) metal sputtering depositions. The pad detector structures were patterned with proximity-contactless photolithography techniques followed by lift-off patterning of the electrodes. The detector properties were characterized at room temperature by Transient Current Technique (TCT) measurements. The obtained results were compared and verified by numerical TCAD simulations of the detector response. Our results indicate that higher signal charge was collected from samples with Al_2O_3 . Furthermore, no significant laser light induced signal decay by CdTe material polarization was observed within order of 30 minutes of continuous illumination.

Keywords: Cadmium Telluride (CdTe), Atomic Layer Deposition (ALD), X-ray detector

Email address: `akiko.gadda@helsinki.fi` (A. Gädda)

1. Introduction

Photon detectors made of high atomic number (Z) semiconductor materials are utilized for a wide variety of applications, such as for spectroscopy of nuclear isotopes, or medical imaging [1, 2]. Generally desired properties of such detector systems are good energy resolution ($\Delta E/E$) and an X-ray image quality that is as sharp as possible. One of the semiconductor materials that frequently used for room temperature spectroscopic applications is CdTe [3, 4]. Its high effective atomic number $Z_{eff} = 50$ is essential for the good attenuation of up to several hundred keV of ionizing radiation, and the band gap of 1.44 eV allows low noise operation at room temperature.

Assuming an appropriate signal generation in a CdTe detector of certain thickness, a measure of spectroscopic or imaging performance is the Charge Collection Efficiency (CCE) [5, 6]. The CCE is simply the ratio of electrical charge collected by electrodes divided by the amount of deposited charge. The charge transport in a semiconductor detector and CCE are often modelled by the well-known Hecht equation published in 1932 [7]. The Hecht equation implies that, in addition to geometrical parameters, charge transport in the electric field depends on the product of carrier mobility and trapping lifetime. Qualitatively, good CCE results in improved energy resolution and thus better image quality. The CCE is reduced by trapping/recombination of photon generated charge carriers. Trapping/recombination processes take place inside of the active volume of a detector as well as at the front and back surfaces [8]. If the ratio of detector thickness (L) and drift velocity of charge carriers (v_{drift}) is larger than the charge lifetime ($\tau_{e,h}$), then the CCE will be degraded. The $\tau_{e,h}$ is inversely proportional to the concentration of the trapping centers, i.e defects in the bulk of semiconductor. Due to the complex growth process of CdTe [9], the concentration of bulk defects (i.e. Te inclusion) is almost always very high. Typical values are around 3×10^6 inclusion/cm³ [10].

The carrier drift velocity is directly proportional to the product of the carrier mobility ($\mu_{e,h}$) and the local electric field ($E(x)$); however, saturation effects occur in CdTe at the field strength of > 100 V/cm for electrons ($\tau_e \approx 5 - 10 \mu s$) [11, 9] and several kV/cm for holes, due to the short $\tau_h \leq 1 \mu s$ of holes. Thus, high voltage operation of a CdTe detector is beneficial in order to reach saturation drift velocity of electrons. The electron mobility (μ_e) in CdTe is in the order of $1100 \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}$, which is comparable with electron mobility e.g. in silicon [12]. The hole mobility (μ_h) in CdTe is in turn about an order of magnitude less than ($88 \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}$) [12]. This suggests that it is more favorable to collect a signal which is dominantly formed by electrons, since most of the holes are lost due to trapping in CdTe bulk [5, 13]. Moreover, poor transport properties of holes in CdTe are known to cause a “hole tailing” effect,

39 which results in an asymmetric broadening of peaks in measured spectra [6, 14].

40 In order to minimize signal losses due to surface recombination processes, a proper
41 field insulation layer on the CdTe detector surfaces is needed for the formation of
42 the CdTe Schottky diode. This can be achieved by implementing a dielectric thin
43 film on the surface. Dielectric thin films often have certain electrical charge, which is
44 a complex combination of e.g. interface charge, mobile ionic charge and fixed oxide
45 charge [8]. If the oxide charge is positive, then the Coulomb force is repulsing holes
46 from the damaged surface, thus providing electrical passivation of hole current. In
47 case of negative oxide charge, a similar field effect passivation is established for elec-
48 trons. The electrical passivation is also needed in order to provide resistive insulation
49 between the electrodes of a segmented detector, and furthermore, provide protection
50 against environmental effects such as moisture, corrosion, mechanical damages, or
51 ambient light that would induce additional noise.

52 The electrical passivation of CdTe by deposition of dielectric thin films is challeng-
53 ing due to thermal expansion properties of CdTe crystals, which limits the maximum
54 processing temperature to about 150°C [15, 16]. It is also well-known that the elec-
55 trical and mechanical quality of dielectric CVD films typically improve with respect
56 to the increasing deposition temperature [17]. In this report two thin film passiva-
57 tion materials, aluminum nitride (AlN) and aluminum oxide (Al_2O_3), were studied.
58 They were both deposited at a low temperature by using magnetron sputtering and
59 Atomic Layer Deposition (ALD), respectively. The applied ALD method is based
60 on the successive, separated, and self-terminating gas-solid reactions of typically two
61 gaseous precursors and the deposition may take place at low temperature, compatible
62 with CdTe detector processing [18, 19]. Moreover, studies performed on silicon solar
63 cells [20, 21] and particle detectors [22, 23] indicate that Al_2O_3 has a negative oxide
64 charge, thus providing field effect passivation for electrons and allowing preferred
65 signal formation mode for CdTe photon detectors. In this report, the passivation ef-
66 fects have been studied by Transient Current Technique (TCT) by recording current
67 transients from laser illuminated CdTe pad detectors.

68 2. Design and Processing

69 The starting material is detector grade ($> 10^9 \Omega \cdot \text{cm}$ bulk resistivity) and (111)
70 oriented crystal dies that were obtained from Acrorad Ltd. [24]. Crystals sizes are
71 $(10 \times 10) \text{ mm}^2$ and 1 mm in thickness. As shown in Figure 1a, the chip layout contains
72 a $(5.5 \times 5.5) \text{ mm}^2$ pad detector at the middle of the 1 cm^2 crystal front plane. It is
73 surrounded by a single 200 μm wide guard ring. The gap between the pad and guard
74 ring is 50 μm . At the center of the detector pad, there is a 2 mm diameter round

metal opening area allowing for optical excitations. At the periphery of the detector pad (East and West direction) eight round 1 mm detector pads are located, which are intended for other studies.

The AlN passivation was deposited on both front and back surfaces of the CdTe crystals, hence the Al₂O₃ ALD process is by nature a conformal coating. Al₂O₃ was deposited at 120°C in a Beneq TFS-500 batch-type ALD reactor, using trimethylaluminum (TMA) as the metal precursor and water as the oxidant. AlN was deposited in a MRC-903 sputtering tool using the mixture of Ar (200 sccm) and N₂ (505 sccm) gases under the deposition current condition of 10 A. Following dielectric deposition, the contact openings were created by wet etching for both passivation types. The fabrication process sequence is described in reference [25].

Figure 1: a) Layout of the CdTe pad detector. b) Photograph of a processed detector chip.

3. Measurements

3.1. Transient Current Technique Measurement

The Transient Current Technique (TCT) is a commonly adopted method to characterize semiconductor detectors. The TCT setup used in this study was constructed by Particulars d.o.o (Ljubljana, Slovenia) [26]. Optical excitation was performed with a red laser ($\lambda = 660$ nm) directed on the sensor front plane. The illumination generates a cloud of charge carriers within less than 1 μ m depth from the detector surface. One type of charge carriers, either electrons or holes depending on the device structure, drifts only a few micrometers and is gathered to the electrode so quickly that the resulting signal is damped by the rise-time of the data acquisition electronics. Carriers of the other type drift through the entire thickness of the device resulting in transient current signal, which is detected by an oscilloscope.

98 In this case, the CdTe detectors were biased with positive high voltage from
 99 the back plane, so the TCT signal displays electrons drifting through the device,
 100 while the holes are immediately collected away at the front contact. This allowed
 101 us to study the electron dominated signal formation, which would be the preferred
 102 operation mode for segmented CdTe detectors as described above.

103 In addition to the red laser, the other components in the measurement setup were
 104 focusing optics, a sample holder mounted on a XYZ stage for scanning the entire
 105 surface of the detector, a 2 kV Bias-T (model BT-01), a wide band current amplifier
 106 (model AM-02) all by Particulars d.o.o., a Keithley 2410 1100 V Source Meter unit,
 107 a Tenma power supply, a LeCroy WaveRunner 8404M-MS 4 GHz oscilloscope and a
 108 PC and DAQ with MATLAB [27] based software. The laser pulse was transmitted
 109 to the detector by an optical fiber. A probehead needle was placed on the active area
 110 and a Cu plate connected the bias circuit to the front and back surfaces, respectively.
 111 The laser illumination was directed to the 50 μm gap between the pad and guard ring.

Figure 2: Schematic cross section view of the detector package.

112 The repetition rate of the laser is adjustable from 5 kHz to 500 kHz. During the
 113 measurements, more than one hundred waveforms were recorded both for the Al₂O₃
 114 and the AlN passivated detectors. An illustrative presentation of the results is shown
 115 in Figures 3a and 3b.

Figure 3: Current transients of a) AlN and b) Al_2O_3 passivated CdTe detectors at different bias voltages. (note: vertical scales are arbitrary units.)

116 The current reading of the sourcing power supply was recorded during the mea-
 117 surements. As it can be seen in Figures 3a and 3b, the AlN-passivated detector could
 118 be biased only up to 160 V. The Al_2O_3 passivated detector, on the other hand, could
 119 be biased up to 500 V, while the current remained about three orders of magnitude
 120 smaller than for the AlN sample. Another notable feature when comparing these
 121 two detectors is the different pulse shapes. The AlN sample shows rather quick drop
 122 of the pulse amplitude, while the signals recorded from Al_2O_3 sample remain more
 123 flat over longer period of time indicating potentially longer carrier lifetimes. In both
 124 cases, the pulse duration is in the order of 80 ns as expected to be seen from 1 mm
 125 thick detectors.

126 Long signal decay times are known to be a problem in detectors made of highly
 127 defected materials such as CdTe [28, 29]. The polarization in CdTe was studied by
 128 continuously illuminating the samples with different red laser pulse repetition rates
 129 for up to half an hour. An example of results is shown in Figures 4a and 4b.

Figure 4: Time evolution of current transients recorded at 5 kHz and 500 kHz laser repetition rates from a) AlN and b) Al_2O_3 passivated detector. (note: vertical scales are arbitrary units.)

130 It was observed that the signal (integral of current over the time) did not es-
 131 sentially change in the AlN-passivated sample (Figures 4a). In the Al₂O₃ sample
 132 (Figures 4b) providing generally stronger TCT signals, a decay in the order of about
 133 20 % could be observed. The signal decay was notably independent of optical exci-
 134 tation level from 5 to 500 kHz in range.

135 3.2. Simulations

136 In order to understand the experimental results, numerical simulations were per-
 137 formed using Synopsys Sentaurus Technology Computer-Aided Design (TCAD) [30]
 138 software. The simulated diode structure had dimensions $(100 \times 1000 \times 1) \mu\text{m}^3$ with
 139 a 50 nm thick AlN, or Al₂O₃ passivation layer. The material for the contacts on
 140 the front and backplanes was Ti. In the simulation, an n-type doped CdTe bulk
 141 with a uniform constant doping concentration of $1 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ was considered. The
 142 diode was biased from the backplane contact. To reproduce the highly defected
 143 bulk of the diode, two mid-gap levels (a deep acceptor and a donor level) were
 144 implemented with energies 0.58 eV and 0.48 eV and concentration $1 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and
 145 $1 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, respectively, and electron and hole capture cross sections $1 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^2$
 146 and $1 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^2$. Furthermore, an additional interface trap at the CdTe/Al₂O₃ in-
 147 terface was added as an acceptor level with the density of $1 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$.

148 AlN deposition at low temperatures, such as 150 °C without a post heat treat-
 149 ment results in a formation of positive fixed oxide charge, Q_f , at the interface [31].
 150 Whereas, Al₂O₃ has a negative fixed oxide charge. Therefore, a positive and nega-
 151 tive fixed oxide charges were used for AlN and Al₂O₃, respectively, with the absolute
 152 value of Q_f equal to $1 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ each.

153 The TCT simulations were carried out using optical excitation induced by a laser
 154 with 660 nm wavelength and a Gaussian-shaped 1 ns pulse with sigma of 50 ps. The
 155 illumination was applied next to the front collecting contact. For the generation-
 156 recombination mechanism in the CdTe, the doping-dependent Shockley-Reed-Hall
 157 model (Scharfetter relation [30]) and impact ionization (van Overstraeten–de Man
 158 model [32]) were used. Figure 5 shows a simulated current transients of AlN a) and
 159 Al₂O₃ b) passivated CdTe detectors. In simulations, 150 V bias voltage is assumed
 160 in both cases.

Figure 5: Simulated current transients of a) AlN and b) Al_2O_3 passivated CdTe detectors.

One can observe a sharply rising signal in the first part of transients. Unlike in simulations, which are based on ideal models, this part of transient is filtered out from experimental data since signal rise time is limited by time constants of electrical measurement circuit. Similar pulse shapes as shown Figures 3a and 3b are, however, reproduced by numerical calculations, with the Al_2O_3 sample exhibiting a longer pulse duration and a larger signal than the AlN-passivated detector. The electron current transient results in a negative polarity signal, while hole current transient has a positive polarity. In the case of Fig. 5b, it can be seen that for the first few nanoseconds the signal consists of the hole carriers and as time progress it diminishes and electron current prevails. On the material level, transient pulse duration depends on various parameters, e.g. charge carrier lifetime, mobility, and inhomogeneities in bulk and interfaces. Therefore, we account the difference in transient time between simulation and experimental data towards the crystallographic imperfections that were not modeled, as well as the limited time constants of the read out circuit. On the other hand, the fast decreasing signal in case of AlN-passivated sensor is due to changes in electric field induced by positive fixed oxide charge.

4. Conclusions and summary

We produced CdTe detectors with different passivation layers: aluminum oxide (Al_2O_3) grown by Atomic Layer Deposition (ALD) method and sputtered aluminum nitride (AlN). The CCE of the detectors was studied by recording 660 nm wavelength laser induced current transients with a TCT measurement setup. During the

182 measurement campaign more than one hundred waveforms were recorded in different
183 areas of Al_2O_3 and AlN samples.

184 Our results systematically indicate longer pulse duration, i.e. higher CCE and
185 longer charge carrier lifetime in Al_2O_3 -passivated detectors than in ones passivated
186 by the AlN. This is likely due to the negative oxide charge in ALD grown Al_2O_3 thin
187 films. The negative charge repulses drifting electrons to be trapped/ recombined at
188 the heavily defected surfaces and thus resulting in higher CCE. This experimental
189 observation is supported by numerical TCAD calculations, which reveal longer pulse
190 duration if the oxide charge polarity of a field insulator is swapped from positive to
191 negative and no change in polarization effect of CdTe was observed. These results
192 coincide with our earlier studies made on CdTe pixel detectors fabricated by the
193 same TiW/CdTe/TiW and Al_2O_3 passivation methodology. As reported in [25],
194 we detected at room temperature a photopeak at 662 keV with about 2 % energy
195 resolution. Additionally, we observed about 20 % relative signal decay when CdTe
196 detectors were illuminated at different laser repetition rates, i.e. different charge
197 injection levels, for up to half an hour. While the laser repetition rate has influence
198 onto the TCT signal amplitude, hence the amount of induced and trapped charges,
199 no significant differences were observed for the polarization effect of the CdTe for
200 either of the passivation methods. An operation of 30 minutes is a substantially
201 longer time than what for instance patients would be exposed to by typical medical
202 X-ray imaging devices. Thus, we are confident that Al_2O_3 grown at 120° C by ALD
203 is a suitable method to provide electrical passivation for CdTe X-ray detectors.

204 Acknowledgements

205 This study has partially been funded by the Horizon 2020 ERA Chair project,
206 grant agreement 669014 and by Academy of Finland project number 314473, "Multi-
207 spectral photon-counting for medical imaging and beam characterization". J. Ott ac-
208 knowledges funding from the Viljo, Yrjö and Kalle Väisälä Foundation of the Finnish
209 Academy of Science and Letters. Detector fabrication was performed in the clean-
210 room facilities of Micronova Nanofabrication Centre in Espoo, Finland. The au-
211 thors are grateful to Dr. Eija Tuominen, coordinator of the Detector Laboratory at
212 Helsinki Institute of Physics at the University of Helsinki Department of Physics, for
213 providing the environment for electrical measurements.

214 References

- 215 [1] G. Knoll, Radiation detection and measurement, 4th Edition, John Wiley, Hobo-
216 ken, N.J, 2010.

- [2] W. A. Kalender, D. Kolditz, C. Steiding, V. Ruth, F. Lück, A.-C. Rößler, E. Wenkel, Technical feasibility proof for high-resolution low-dose photon-counting CT of the breast, *European Radiology* (2016) 1–6.
- [3] P. Simon R Cherry, J. A. Sorenson, M. E. Phelps, *Physics in Nuclear Medicine* - (Fourth Edition) - ScienceDirect, sciencedirect.com, 2012.
- [4] A. Winkler, H. Koivunoro, V. Reijonen, I. Auterinen, S. Savolainen, Prompt gamma and neutron detection in BNCT utilizing a CdTe detector, *Applied Radiation and Isotopes* 106 (2015) 139–144. doi:10.1016/j.apradiso.2015.07.040.
- [5] S. Del Sordo, L. Abbene, E. Caroli, A. M. Mancini, A. Zappettini, P. Ubertini, Progress in the Development of CdTe and CdZnTe Semiconductor Radiation Detectors for Astrophysical and Medical Applications, *Sensors* 9 (2009) 3491–3526.
- [6] A. G. Kozorezov, J. K. Wigmore, A. Owens, R. den Hartog, A. Peacock, H. A Al-Jawhari, Resolution degradation of semiconductor detectors due to carrier trapping, *Nuclear Inst. and Methods in Physics Research, A* 546 (1-2) (2005) 209–212. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2005.03.026.
- [7] K. Hecht, Zum Mechanismus des lichtelektrischen Primärstromes in isolierenden Kristallen, *Zeitschrift für Physik* 77 (3-4) (1932) 235–245.
- [8] S. M. Sze, K. K. Ng, *Physics of Semiconductor Devices*, Wiley, 2006.
- [9] S. Csaba, CdZnTe and CdTe materials for X-ray and gamma ray radiation detector applications, *physica status solidi (b)* 241 (3) (2004) 783–790. doi:10.1002/pssb.200304296.
- [10] A. E. Bolotnikov, N. Abdul-Jabber, S. Babalola, G. S. Camarda, Y. Cui, A. Hosain, E. Jackson, H. Jackson, J. James, K. T. Kohman, A. Luryi, R. B. James, Effects of Te inclusions on the performance of CdZnTe radiation detectors, in: 2007 IEEE Nuclear Science Symposium Conference Record, IEEE, 2009, pp. 1788–1797.
- [11] A. Cola, I. Farella, Electric field and current transport mechanisms in schottky cdte x-ray detectors under perturbing optical radiation, *Sensors* 13 (7) (2013) 9414–9434. doi:10.3390/s130709414.
URL <http://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/13/7/9414>

- [12] K. Suzuki, S. Seto, T. Sawada, K. Imai, Carrier transport properties of HPB CdZnTe and THM CdTe:Cl, IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science 49 (2002) 1287–1291. doi:10.1109/TNS.2002.1039653.
- [13] T. Takahashi, S. Watanabe, Recent progress in CdTe and CdZnTe detectors, IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science 48 (4) (2001) 950–959.
- [14] R. H. Redus, J. A. Pantazis, T. J. Pantazis, A. C. Huber, B. J. Cross, Characterization of CdTe Detectors for Quantitative X-ray Spectroscopy, IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science.
- [15] H. Heikkinen, A. Gädda, S. Vähänen, J. Salonen, P. Monnoyer, G. Blaj, L. Tlustos, M. Campbell, Low-temperature bump bonding of timepix readout chips and cdte sensors at different sensor pitches, in: 2011 IEEE Nuclear Science Symposium Conference Record, 2011, pp. 4770–4775. doi:10.1109/NSSMIC.2011.6154712.
- [16] R. N. Jacobs, J. Markunas, J. Pellegrino, L. A. Almeida, M. Groenert, M. Jaime-Vasquez, N. Mahadik, C. Andrews, S. B. Qadri, Role of thermal expansion matching in CdTe heteroepitaxy on highly lattice-mismatched substrates, Journal of Crystal Growth 310 (12) (2008) 2960–2965. doi:10.1016/j.jcrysgro.2008.02.029.
- [17] S. Sze, Semiconductor Devices: Physics and Technology, John Wiley & Sons Singapore Pte. Limited, 2012.
- [18] T. Suntola, Atomic layer epitaxy, Materials Science Reports 4 (5) (1989) 261–312.
- [19] M. Leskelä, M. Ritala, Atomic layer deposition (ALD): from precursors to thin film structures, Thin Solid Films 409 (1) (2002) 138–146. doi:10.1016/S0040-6090(02)00117-7.
- [20] J. M. Sturm, A. I. Zinine, H. Wormeester, B. Poelsema, R. G. Bankras, J. Holleman, J. Schmitz, Imaging of oxide charges and contact potential difference fluctuations in atomic layer deposited Al₂O₃ on Si, Journal of Applied Physics 97 (6) (2005) 063709. doi:10.1063/1.1870113.
- [21] P. Repo, H. Talvitie, S. Li, J. Skarp, H. Savin, Silicon Surface Passivation by Al₂O₃: Effect of ALD Reactants, Energy Procedia 8 (2011) 681–687. doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2011.06.201.

- [22] J. Härkönen, E. Tuovinen, P. Luukka, A. Gädda, T. Maenpaa, E. Tuominen, T. Arsenovich, A. Junkes, X. Wu, Z. Li, Processing of n+/p-/p+ strip detectors with atomic layer deposition (ALD) grown Al₂O₃ field insulator on magnetic Czochralski silicon (MCz-si) substrates, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 828 (2016) 46–51. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2016.04.069.
- [23] J. Härkönen, J. Ott, M. Mäkelä, T. Arsenovich, A. Gädda, T. Peltola, E. Tuovinen, P. Luukka, E. Tuominen, A. Junkes, J. Niinistö, M. Ritala, Atomic Layer Deposition (ALD) grown thin films for ultra-fine pitch pixel detectors, Nuclear Inst. and Methods in Physics Research, A 831 (2016) 2–6. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2016.03.037.
- [24] Acrorad Ltd., Acrorad webpage, <https://www.acrorad.co.jp> (accessed on January, 2018).
- [25] A. Gädda, A. Winkler, J. Ott, J. Härkönen, A. Karadzhinova-Ferrer, A. Koponen, P. Luukka, J. Tikkanen, S. Vähänen, Advanced processing of cdte pixel radiation detectors, Journal of Instrumentation 12. doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/12/C12031.
- [26] Particulars, advanced measurement systems, <http://particulars.si/index.php> (accessed on January, 2018).
- [27] Mathworks Inc., Matlab webpage, <https://www.mathworks.com> (accessed on January, 2018).
- [28] A. Cola, I. Farella, The polarization mechanism in CdTe Schottky detectors, Applied Physics Letters 94 (10) (2009) 102113. doi:10.1063/1.3099051.
- [29] B. Dezillie, V. Eremin, Z. Li, E. Verbitskaya, Polarization of silicon detectors by minimum ionizing particles, Nuclear Inst. and Methods in Physics Research, A 452 (3) (2000) 440–453. doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(00)00450-2.
- [30] Synopsys, Synopsys webpage, <https://www.synopsys.com> (accessed on January, 2018).
- [31] P. Repo, Reducing surface recombination in black silicon photovoltaic devices using atomic layer deposition, Ph.D. thesis, Aalto University, Helsinki, Finland (2016).
URL <http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-60-6919-7>

- ³¹² [32] R. Van Overstraeten, H. De Man, Measurement of the ionization rates in diffused
³¹³ silicon p-n junctions, Solid-State Electronics 13 (5) (1970) 583–608.