Draft Manuscript For Review. Reviewers should submit their review at
<http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/humrep>

Imprinting disorders in children born after assisted reproductive technology (ART): a Nordic study from the CoNARTaS group

Journal:	<i>Human Reproduction</i>
Manuscript ID	HUMREP-19-1287.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	<p>Henningsen, Anna-Karina; Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University Hospital, Fertility Clinic Gissler, Mika; THL Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Information Services Department; Karolinska Institute, Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Division of Family Medicine Rasmussen, Steen; Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University Hospital, Fertility Clinic Opdahl, Signe; Central Norway Regional Health Authority; Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Department of Public Health and General Practice Wennerholm, U B; Institute of Clinical Sciences, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Spangsmose, Anne Lærke; Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University Hospital, Fertility Clinic Tiitinen, Aila; University of Helsinki, Helsinki University Hospital, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Bergh, Christina; Institute of Clinical Sciences, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Romundstad, Liv Bente; Central Norway Regional Health Authority; Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Department of Public Health and General Practice; Spiren Fertility Clinic Laivuori, Hannele; University of Helsinki, Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland, Helsinki Institute of Life Science; University of Helsinki, Helsinki University Hospital, Medical and Clinical Genetics; Faculty of Medicine and Health Technology, University of Tampere, Tampere University Hospital, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Forman, Julie; University of Copenhagen, Department of Biostatistics Pinborg, Anja; Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University Hospital, Fertility Clinic</p>

	Lidegaard, Øjvind; Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University Hospital, Gynecological Clinic
Keywords:	ASSISTED REPRODUCTION, CHILD FOLLOW-UP, IMPRINTING, IVF/ICSI OUTCOME, EPIDEMIOLOGY
Subject Section:	Reproductive Epidemiology

1 Abstract

2 **Study question:** Is the risk of imprinting disorders increased in children conceived after assisted
3 reproductive technology (ART)?

4 **Summary answer:** We found an adjusted odds ratio of 2.84 [95%CI 1.34-6.01] for Beckwith-
5 Wiedemann syndrome in ART children, while the risk of Prader-Willi syndrome, Silver-Russell
6 syndrome or Angelman syndrome was not increased in children conceived after ART.

7 **What is known already:** Earlier studies, most of them small, have suggested an association
8 between ART and imprinting disorders.

9 **Study design, size, duration:** Binational register-based cohort study. All children conceived by
10 ART in Denmark (n=45 393) born between 1994 and 2014 and in Finland (n=29 244) born between
11 1990 and 2014 were identified. The full background populations born during the same time periods
12 in the two countries were included as controls. Odds ratios of imprinting disorders in ART children
13 compared with naturally conceived (NC) children were calculated. The median follow-up time was
14 8 years and 9 months for ART children and 11 years and 9 months for NC children.

15 **Participants/materials, setting, methods:** From the national health registries in Denmark and
16 Finland, we identified all children diagnosed with Prader-Willi syndrome (n=143); Silver-Russell
17 syndrome (n=69); Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome (n=105) and Angelman syndrome (n=72) born
18 between 1990/1994 and 2014, respectively.

19 **Main results and the role of chance:** We identified a total of 388 children diagnosed with
20 imprinting disorders, sixteen of these were conceived after ART. The overall adjusted odds ratio for
21 the four imprinting disorders in ART children compared with NC children was 1.35 [95%CI 0.80-
22 2.29], but since eight ART children were diagnosed with Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome, the
23 adjusted odds ratio for this specific imprinting disorder was 2.84 [95%CI 1.34-6.01]. The absolute
24 risk of Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome was still low: 10.7 out of 100 000 newborns. The risk of

25 Prader-Willi syndrome, Silver-Russell syndrome and Angelman syndrome was not increased in
26 children conceived after ART.

27 **Limitations, reasons for caution:** Imprinting disorders are rare events and our results are based
28 on few ART children with imprinting disorders. The etiology is complex and only partly clarified, and
29 the clinical diagnoses challenged by a broad phenotypic spectrum.

30 **Wider implications of the findings:** In the existing studies results on the risk of imprinting
31 disorders in children conceived after ART are ambiguous. This study adds that the risk of
32 imprinting disorders in ART children is very small and perhaps restricted to Beckwith-Wiedemann
33 syndrome

34 **Study funding/competing interest(s):** This work was supported by the Nordic Trial Alliance: a
35 pilot project jointly funded by the Nordic Council of Ministers and NordForsk [grant number 71450],
36 the Nordic Federation of Obstetrics and Gynecology [grant numbers NF13041, NF15058, NF16026
37 and NF17043], and the Interreg Öresund-Kattegat-Skagerak European Regional Development
38 Fund (ReproUnion project).

39

40

41 **Introduction**

42 Since the early days of assisted reproductive technology (ART), concern has prevailed, whether
43 these techniques may influence the health of the offspring. Most published studies on child
44 outcomes have been reassuring, although the risks of preterm birth and congenital malformations
45 are moderately increased after ART (Pandey et al. 2012; Hansen et al. 2013). Conflicting results
46 have emerged on the risk of imprinting disorders, which are characterized by molecular changes
47 affecting imprinted chromosomal regions or genes that are expressed in a parent-of-origin specific
48 manner (Doornbos et al. 2007; Gold et al. 2014; Lazaraviciute et al. 2014; Eggermann et al. 2015).
49 Since methylation takes place during the preimplantation stages of embryonic development, where
50 the embryo is handled in-vitro and cultured for up to six days in culture medias, ART may disturb
51 the DNA methylation, resulting in imprinting errors. Furthermore, inherited epigenetic defects in the
52 gametes may be more frequent in infertile men and women, causing an underlying increased risk
53 of imprinting disorders, with or without ART (Niemitz et Feinberg 2004). Ludwig et al. (2005)
54 showed that untreated couples with a time-to-pregnancy of more than two years had an increased
55 risk of imprinting disorders, although they were not able to determine if the gametes, the embryo
56 culture or the embryo manipulation were associated with the increased epigenetic instability.

57 The mechanisms behind imprinting disorders are difficult to assess, firstly due to the rarity of these
58 disorders, as each disorder affects only 1 to 10 per 100 000 newborns. Secondly, because these
59 disorders may be the result of not only imprinting mechanisms, but also more classical genetic
60 point mutations or micro deletions, conditions not expected to be influenced by in vitro techniques.
61 Thirdly because the phenotype for each of these conditions has a relatively wide clinical spectrum,
62 and therefore the specific diagnosis is often not made until several years after birth. Fourth, the
63 cytogenetic techniques necessary to establish the exact mechanism behind each case have
64 developed rapidly over the latest two decades, why an increasingly higher percentage of children
65 with these disorders now get diagnosed. And finally, there is far from consensus on which
66 disorders should be classified as imprinting disorders. The aim of this study was to assess the

67 prevalence of Prader-Willi syndrome, Silver-Russell syndrome, Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome
68 and Angelman syndrome in children born after ART compared with children born after natural
69 conception (NC).

70 **Materials and Methods**

71 This cohort comprised all live-born children born in Denmark during the period 1994 to 2014 and in
72 Finland between 1990 and 2014. In total, 74 637 ART children (singletons, n =53 045; twins, n=21
73 592) and 2 775 542 NC children (singletons, n=2 701 302; twins, n=74 240) were included in the
74 study. All triplets and quadruplets were excluded, but among them no children were diagnosed with
75 imprinting disorders. Children conceived after medically assisted reproduction without in-vitro
76 techniques herein ovarian stimulation or ovulation induction and inseminations were included in the
77 control group of NC children. All data were collected from the relevant national health registries in
78 Denmark and Finland. In Denmark, the 10th version of International Statistical Classification of
79 Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10) was used throughout the study period. In Finland
80 the ICD-9 version was used until 1995 and the ICD-10 version since 1996. The Finnish Register on
81 Congenital Malformations have an additional text following the diagnosis code specifying the
82 syndrome. In Denmark detailed information on the ART procedures was available from the national
83 ART registry, whereas in Finland, information on use of ART or not, was the only available in the
84 Finnish Medical Birth Registry and differentiation between the different ART methods not possible.

85 *Statistical analyses*

86 We described the characteristics of the mothers of children with and without imprinting disorders,
87 born after ART and NC, respectively. Normally distributed quantitative data was summarized by
88 means and standard deviations (SD) and compared using the two-sample t-test. Non-normally
89 distributed quantitative data was summarized in median and quantiles and compared using the
90 Mann-Whitney U-test. Categorical data was summarized in numbers and percentages and
91 compared using Fishers exact test. The odds ratios (OR) of having one of the four imprinting
92 disorders were calculated with 95% confidence intervals. Multiple logistic regression analyses were

used to adjust for maternal age, parity (nulliparous vs. multiparous), year of birth, child's sex, plurality, body mass index (BMI), smoking, and country.

Ethical approval

In Denmark and Finland, ethical approval is not required for scientific projects solely based on registry data.

Results

Demographics

The mothers of children diagnosed with an imprinting disorder were slightly older than mothers of children without imprinting disorders, both in the ART and NC group, but only statistically significant in the NC group (Table I). The prevalence of imprinting disorders did not differ significantly between boys and girls, although the figures were higher for boys among both ART and NC children (Table I). The median follow-up time was 8 years and 9 months for ART children and 11 years and 9 months for NC children. The median age at time of diagnosis was 11 months (interquartile range 2-23 months) for ART children and 30 months (interquartile range 6-69 months) for NC children, $p=0.20$.

Imprinting disorders

We identified 388 children diagnosed with the four imprinting disorders: Prader-Willi syndrome; Silver-Russell syndrome; Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome and Angelman syndrome in Denmark and Finland during the study period. Sixteen of these children were conceived after ART (Table II). The prevalence of imprinting disorders was 21.4 per 100 000 born in the ART group and 13.4 per 100 000 in the NC group. The overall odds ratio (OR) of imprinting disorders after ART was 1.60 [95%confidence interval (CI) 0.97-2.65] (Table II). After adjusting for maternal age, parity (nulliparous vs. multiparous), year of birth, child's sex, smoking, BMI, and country, the adjusted odds ratio (AOR) for imprinting disorders among ART children was 1.35 [95%CI 0.80-2.29]. When investigating the four imprinting disorders separately, we found an increased odds ratio in children

conceived after ART only for Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome; OR 3.07 [95%CI 1.49-6.31]. This increased risk persisted after adjustment for potential confounders, AOR 2.84 [95%CI 1.34-6.01]. We found no significant differences between children conceived after ART and NC for Prader-Willi syndrome, Silver-Russell syndrome and Angelman syndrome. Among the nine Danish ART children diagnosed with an imprinting disorder, three children were conceived after IVF (n=24 760) and six children after ICSI (n=15 517). None of the children were conceived after transfer of a frozen-thawed embryo.

Discussion

In this cohort study of all children born in Denmark and Finland over 25 years, the overall risk of the four imprinting disorders together was not increased. However, we found a significantly higher risk of Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome, also in the adjusted analysis, AOR 2.84 [95%CI 1.34-6.01]. The increased risk of Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome after ART is in line with several other studies demonstrating a potential association between ART and Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome (Halliday et al. 2004; Lim et al. 2009; Mussa et al. 2017; Johnson et al. 2018). However, in our cohort, we were not able to demonstrate a potential association between ART and Prader-Willi syndrome, Silver-Russell syndrome and Angelman syndrome, as suggested by others (Cortessi et al. 2018; Hattori et al. 2019).

Imprinting is an epigenetic phenomenon restricting gene expression to one parental allele, while the other allele is inactivated (White et al. 2015). Epigenetic modifications are an important way of controlling gene activity, without altering the DNA sequence, and it is recognized that epigenetic alterations may increase the risk of various diseases later in life, such as diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular diseases, as well as cancer (Bateson et al. 2004; Niemitz & Feinberg 2004). The genome undergoes several phases of epigenetic programming during gametogenesis and early embryo development, coinciding with ART (Butler et al. 2009). A common process for controlling gene activity is methylation, often causing inactivation of the gene, and a high frequency of imprinted methylation errors in human preimplantation embryos has been demonstrated (White et

al. 2015). Imprinting disorders are a group of congenital disorders with common underlying epigenetic etiologies, where alterations affecting imprinting genes or chromosomal regions result in clinical features affecting growth, development and metabolism. Imprinting disorders related to ART might take place just after fertilization at a time, where the epigenome could be most vulnerable, and a recent meta-analysis has suggested a positive association between ART and Prader-Willi syndrome, Silver-Russell syndrome, Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome and Angelman syndrome (Cortessi et al. 2018). Almost all imprinting disorders are diagnosed in early childhood, although the clinical diagnosis can be delayed due to a broad phenotypic spectrum. Studies on mouse embryos have shown combined superovulation and embryo culture resulting in increased disruption of genomic imprinting (Market-Velker et al., 2010). The four imprinting disorders investigated in this study originate from different genetic modifications. If some imprinting disorders are more associated with ART than others, this suggests that some loci may be more vulnerable to external events, and the potential effect of ART procedures than others. The heterogeneity of the four imprinting disorders might be the explanation to why, we do not necessarily find a consistent increase in risk of imprinting disorders after ART. Furthermore, not all imprinting disorders are caused by methylation errors. For Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome, uniparental disomy might be responsible for up to 20 % of cases (Henry et al. 1991). Although based on only nine cases of ART children with imprinting disorders, we found a threefold of children conceived after ICSI versus IVF. Numbers are too small to draw any conclusions, but future studies should investigate this further. New ART techniques are continuously being developed and implemented, among others extended embryo culture from cleavage to blastocyst stage thus keeping the embryos 2-4 days more in in-vitro culture. Concomitantly vitrification has quickly overtaken slow-freezing for cryopreservation of surplus embryos. Studies have shown that children born after cryopreservation of embryos have an altered birthweight profile with a higher proportion of children being born large for gestational age (LGA), which may be caused by epigenetic modifications (Henningesen et al. 2011; Nelissen et al. 2012; Wennerholm et al. 2013). However, none of the Danish ART children with imprinting disorders were conceived after replacement of a frozen-thawed embryo. Hence, if an epigenetic

171 modification causes LGA babies, it could be different from those potentially associated with the
172 four imprinting disorders investigated in this study.

173 Ever since IVF was introduced, continuous attention has been on the outcome and health of ART
174 children, but due to the rarity and heterogenicity of imprinting disorders, the field has been difficult
175 to investigate. An early national Danish register study from our group could not demonstrate an
176 increased risk of imprinting disorders (Lidegaard et al. 2005). Several studies have suggested an
177 association between ART and Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome (DeBaun et al. 2003; Gicquel et al.
178 2003; Maher et al. 2003; Halliday et al. 2004; Sutcliffe et al. 2006; Hiura et al. 2012; Mussa et al.
179 2017; Johnson et al. 2018).

180 The effects of both subfertility and ART on epigenetic gene regulation is unquestionably complex.
181 Studies examining DNA methylation in children with imprinting disorders have not been able to
182 identify specific changes in DNA methylation in selected genes, although some studies find that the
183 methylation error rates are significantly higher in children conceived after ART (Lim et al. 2009;
184 Lazaraviciute et al. 2014; Hattori et al. 2019). Advanced parental age is known to predispose to
185 genetic errors causing also imprinting disorders. When Hattori et al. (2019) stratified their analyses
186 on maternal age above or below 37 years for children with Prader-Willi syndrome, they found the
187 rate of methylation errors to be significantly increased in ART compared with NC children, also in
188 mothers less than 37 years. This indicates that not only maternal age, but also ART may affect
189 DNA methylation, and potentially the risk of imprinting disorders (Cortessi et al. 2018; Hattori et al.,
190 2019).

191 The strength in this register-based investigation of imprinting disorders is two large Nordic national
192 datasets with high coverage and validity. The main weakness is the few imprinting disorders in the
193 ART group, limiting the power of our study. A further weakness of this study is that the ICD-10
194 codes are not specific for the mechanisms behind these syndromes. In our analyses, we chose to
195 use logistic regression instead of survival analyses, as we do not consider the aspect of age at
196 diagnosis crucial, when investigating the risk of disorders that are present already at birth, and

197 diagnosed during the first years of life for both ART and NC children. The difference in length of
198 follow-up between the two groups is therefore not expected to influence our results. If anything,
199 adjustment for length of follow-up would overestimate the risk of imprinting disorders in the ART
200 group a little. Nevertheless, we found a lower age at diagnosis in ART children compared to NC
201 children. A longer follow-up is needed to determine whether this results from a shorter follow-up for
202 the ART children in this cohort or from a shift in time of diagnosis, for example due to increased
203 parental awareness. In the latter situation, survival analysis might overestimate the risk of
204 imprinting disorders in ART.

205 Due to lack of power, we were not able to analyze the frequency of imprinting disorders in children
206 conceived after culturing of the embryo to the blastocysts stage. However, White et al. (2015), who
207 investigated the occurrence of imprinted methylation errors in human preimplantation embryos
208 found evidence that methylation errors derive as early as the 6-8 cell stage, and that extended
209 culture time to the blastocysts stage did not increase the risk of imprinting errors.

210 In conclusion, this large-scale cohort study, demonstrated no overall increased risk of imprinting
211 disorders in children conceived after ART, but only an increased risk of Beckwith-Wiedemann
212 syndrome. If only some imprinting disorders are associated with ART, this suggests that some loci
213 may be more vulnerable to external events, and the potential effect of ART procedures, than
214 others.

215 *Author's roles*

216 All authors planned the study and discussed the results. S.R., A.L.S., A.A.H., M.G. and S.O. fitted
217 and merged data. A.A.H. performed the analyses and drafted the manuscript. J.L.F. contributed to
218 the data analyses and interpretation. All authors were involved in finalising the manuscript and
219 approved the final version. The authors agreed upon the listing of authors.

220 *Funding*

221 This work was supported by the Nordic Trial Alliance: a pilot project jointly funded by the Nordic
222 Council of Ministers and NordForsk [grant number 71450], the Nordic Federation of Obstetrics and
223 Gynecology [grant numbers NF13041, NF15058, NF16026 and NF17043], and the Interreg
224 Öresund-Kattegat-Skagerrak European Regional Development Fund (ReproUnion project).

225 *Conflict of interest*

226 No conflict of interest was reported.

227

228 **References**

- 229 1. Bateson P, Barker D, Clutton-Brock T, Deb D, D'Udine B, Foley RA, Gluckman P, Godfrey
 230 K, Kirkwood T, Lahr MM et al. Developmental plasticity and human health. *Nature* 2004;
 231 430: 419-421
- 232 2. Butler MG. Genomic imprinting disorders in humans: a mini-review. *J assist Reprod Genet*
 233 2009; 26: 477-486
- 234 3. Cortessis VK, Azadian M, Buxbaum J, Sanogo F, Song AY, Sriprasert I, Wei PC, Yu J,
 235 Chung K, Siegmund KD. Comprehensive meta-analysis reveals association between
 236 multiple imprinting disorders and conception by assisted reproductive technology. *J Assist*
 237 *Reprod Genet.* 2018; 35: 943-952
- 238 4. DeBaun MR, Niemetz EL, Feinberg AP. Association of in vitro fertilization with Beckwith-
 239 Wiedemann syndrome and epigenetic alterations of LIT1 and H19. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2003;
 240 72:156-160.
- 241 5. Doornbos ME, Maas SM, McDonnell J, Vermeiden JP, Hennekam RC. Infertility, assisted
 242 reproduction technologies and imprinting disturbances: a Dutch study. *Hum Reprod.* 2007;
 243 22: 2476-2480
- 244 6. Eggermann T, Perez de Nanclares G, Maher ER, Temple IK, Tümer Z, Monk D, Mackay
 245 DJG, Grønskov K, Riccio A, Linglart A et al. Imprinting disorders: a group of congenital
 246 disorders with overlapping patterns of molecular changes affecting imprinted loci. *Clin*
 247 *Epigenetics* 2015; 7: 123
- 248 7. Gicquel C, Gaston V, Mandelbaum J, Siffroi JP, Flahault A, Le Bouc Y. In vitro fertilization
 249 may increase the risk of Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome related to the abnormal imprinting
 250 of the KCN1OT. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2003; 72: 1338-1341
- 251 8. Gold JA, Ruth C, Osann K, Flodman P, Mcmanus B, Lee HS, Donkervoort S, Khare M,
 252 Roof E, Dykens E et al. Frequency of Prader-Willi syndrome in births conceived via
 253 assisted reproductive technology. *Genet Med.* 2014; 16: 164-169.

- 254 9. Hansen M, Kurinczuk JJ, Milne E, Klerk N, Bower C. Assisted reproductive technology and
255 birth defects: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod Update* 2013; 19: 330-
256 353
- 257 10. Halliday J, Oke K, Breheny S, Algar E, Amor D. Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome and IVF: a
258 case-control study. *Am J Hum Genet* 2004; 75: 526-528
- 259 11. Hattori H, Hiura H, Kitamura A, Miyauchi N, Kobayashi N, Takahashi S, Okae H, Kyono K,
260 Kagami M, Ogata T et al. Association of four imprinting disorders and ART. *Clinical*
261 *Epigenetics* 2019; 11: 21
- 262 12. Henningen AA, Pinborg A, Lidegaard O, Vestergaard C, Forman JL, Nyboe Andersen A.
263 Perinatal outcome of singleton siblings born after assisted reproductive technology and
264 spontaneous conception: Danish national sibling-cohort study. *Fertil Steril*; 95: 959-963
- 265 13. Henry I, Bonaiti-Pellié C, Chehensse V, Beljord C, Schwartz C, Utermann G, Junien C.
266 Uniparental paternal disomy in a genetic cancer-predisposing syndrome. *Nature* 1991; 351:
267 665-667
- 268 14. Hiura H, Okae H, Miyauchi N, Sato F, Sato A, Van De Pette M, John RM, Kagami M, Nakai
269 K, Soejima H et al. Characterization of DNA methylation errors in patients with imprinting
270 disorders conceived by assisted reproduction technologies. *Hum Reprod.* 2012; 27: 2541-
271 2548
- 272 15. Johnson JP, Beischel L, Schwanke C, Styren K, Crunk K, Schoof J, Elias AF.
273 Overrepresentation of pregnancies conceived by artificial reproductive technology in
274 prenatally identified fetuses with Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome. *J Assist Reprod Genet*
275 2018; 35: 985-992
- 276 16. Lazaraviciute G, Kauser M, Bhattacharya S, Haggarty P, Bhattacharya S. A systematic
277 review and meta-analysis of DNA methylation levels and imprinting disorders in children
278 conceived by IVF/ICSI compared with children conceived spontaneously. *Hum Reprod*
279 *Update* 2014; 20: 840-852

17. Lidegaard O, Pinborg A, Andersen AN. Imprinting diseases and IVF: Danish National IVF cohort study. *Hum Reprod* 2005; 20: 950-954
18. Lim D, Bowdin SC, Tee L, Kirby GA, Blair E, Fryer A, Lam W, Oley C, Cole T, Brueton LA et al. Clinical and molecular genetic features of Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome associated with assisted reproductive technologies. *Hum Reprod* 2009; 24: 741-747
19. Ludwig M, Katalinic A, GroB S, Sutcliffe A, Varon R, Horsthemke B. Increased prevalence of imprinting defects in patients with Angelman syndrome born to subfertile couples. *J Med Genet* 2005; 42: 289-291
20. Maher ER, Brueton LA, Bowdin SC, Luharia, Cooper W, Cole TR, Macdonald F, Sampson JR, Barratt CL, Reik W et al. Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome and assisted reproductive technology (ART). *J Med Genet.* 2003; 40:62-64.
21. Market-Velker BA, Zhnag L, Magri LS, Bonvissuto AC, Mann MR. Dual effects on superovulation: loss of maternal and paternal imprinted methylation in a dose-dependent manner. *Hum Mol Genet* 2010; 19: 36-51
22. Mussa A, Molinatto C, Cerrato F, Palumbo O, Carella M, Baldassare G, Carli D, Peris C, Riccio A, Ferrero GB. Assisted reproductive techniques and risk of Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome. *Pediatrics* 2017; 140: e20164311
23. Nelissen EC, Van Montfoort AP, Coonen E, Derhaag JG, Geraedts JP, Smits LJ, Land JA, Evers JL, Dumoulin JC. Further evidence that culture media affect perinatal outcome: findings after transfer of fresh and cryopreserved embryos. *Human Reprod* 2012; 27: 1966-1976
24. Niemitz EL et Feinberg AP. Epigenetics and assisted reproductive technology: a call for investigation. *Am J Hum Genet* 2004; 74: 599-609
25. Pandey S, Shetty A, Hamilton M, Bhattacharya S, Maheshwari A. Obstetric and perinatal outcomes in singleton pregnancies resulting from IVF/ICSI: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod Update* 2012; 18: 485-503

- 306 26. Sutcliffe AG, Peters CJ, Bowdin S, Temple K, Reardon W, Wilson L, Clayton-Smith J,
307 Brueton LA, Bannister W, Maher ER. Assisted reproductive therapies and imprinting
308 disorders, - a preliminary British survey. *Hum Reprod.* 2006; 21: 1009-1011
- 309 27. Wennerholm UB, Henningsen AA, Romundstad LB, Bergh C, Pinborg A, Skjaerven R,
310 Forman J, Gissler M, Nygren KG, Tiitinen A. Perinatal outcomes of children born after
311 frozen-thawed embryo transfer: a Nordic cohort study from the CoNARTaS group. *Hum*
312 *Reprod* 2013; 28: 2545-2553
- 313 28. White CR, Denomme MM, Tekpetey FR, Feyles V, Power SGA, Mann MRW. High
314 frequency of imprinted methylation errors in human preimplantation embryos. *Sci Rep.* 5,
315 17311; doi: 10.1038/srep17311 (2015)
- 316

Table I *Descriptive data of children with and without imprinting disorders, and their mothers.*

	Assisted reproductive technology (ART)			Natural conception (NC)			ART vs NC
	Imprinting disorder	No imprinting disorder		Imprinting disorder	No imprinting disorder		
	n=16	n=74 621	P	n=372	n=2 775 239	p	p
Maternal age (mean±SD)	35.8±4.2	33.7±4.3	0.06	31.1±5.4	30.0±5.1	<0.001	
Smokers (%)	0.0	8.0	0.25	17.7	16.1	0.43	
BMI>30 (%)	0.0	5.7	0.32	6.7	5.3	0.23	
Nulliparous (%)	62.5	67.5	0.67	41.2	41.6	0.87	
Boys (%)	68.8	50.9	0.15	53.9	51.2	0.30	
Age at diagnosis (median, interquartile range, months)	11 [2-23]			30 [6-69]			0.20
Follow-up (median, interquartile range, years)	7.0 [3.2-10.9] ¹	8.9 [4.1-14.0] ²		10.7 [6.0-16.9] ¹	11.8 [5.9-17.6] ²		0.02 ¹ ; <0.001 ²
Follow-up (median, interquartile range, years)	8.8 [4.1-14.0]			11.8 [5.9-17.7]			<0.001

Table II.

Risk of Prader-Willi syndrome, Silver-Russell syndrome, Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome and Angelman syndrome in Finnish and Danish children born from 1990/1994 to 2014

	Prader-Willi syndrome	Silver-Russell syndrome	Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome	Angelman syndrome	All four imprinting disorders
Assisted reproductive technology (ART)					
Children born	74 621	74 621	74 621	74 621	74 621
Imprinting	5	<3 [#]	8	<3 [#]	16
Rate/10 000	0.67	0.27	1.07	0.27	2.14
Natural conception (NC)					
Children born	2 775 239	2 775 239	2 775 239	2 775 239	2 775 239
Imprinting	138	67	97	70	372
Rate/10 000	0.50	0.24	0.35	0.25	1.34
ART versus NC					
Crude odds ratio	1.35	1.11	3.07	0.53	1.60
[95%CI]	[0.55-3.29]	[0.27-4.53]	[1.49-6.31]	[0.07-3.82]	[0.97-2.65]
Adj.* odds ratio	1.03	0.82	2.84	0.51	1.35
[95%CI]	[0.37-2.84]	[0.20-3.43]	[1.34-6.01]	[0.07-3.74]	[0.80-2.29]

[#]due to Danish law on health data, we are not allowed to show data on groups of less than three individuals

*adjustments were made for maternal age, parity (nulliparous vs. multiparous), year of birth, child's sex, body mass index (BMI), smoking and country

Table III.

National cohorts and case-control studies investigating the prevalence of Prader-Willi syndrome, Silver-Russell syndrome, Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome and Angelman syndrome

Prader-Willi syndrome (PWS)						
	ART		NC		Prevalence	Ratio
	PWS	total	PWS	total	/100 000	RR* [95%CI]
Källén, 2005 (S)	1	16 280	0	2 023 663	0.05	-
Lidegaard, 2005 (DK)	0	6 052	3	436 297	0.7	0
Sutcliffe, 2006 (UK)	2	68 566	161	8 327 061	1.9	1.5 [0.4-6.1]
Doornboos, 2007 (NL)	2	83 818	84	3 954 461	2.1	1.1 [0.3-4.6]
Hiura, 2012 (Japan)	4	10 524	261	1 123 610	23.4	1.6 [0.6-4.4]
Gold, 2014 (US)	20	25 015	1864	3 960 909	47.3	1.7 [1.1-2.6]
Hattori, 2019 (Japan)	24	1.3%	520	98.7%	Na**	3.4 [na**]
Henningsen, 2019 (DK)	5	74 621	138	2 775 239	5.0	1.0 [0.4-2.8]
Silver-Russell syndrome (SRS)						
	ART		NC		Prevalence	Ratio
	SRS	total	SRS	total	/100 000	RR* [95%CI]
Källén, 2005 (S)	1	16 280	0	2 023 663	0.05	-
Lidegaard, 2005 (DK)	0	6 052	2	436 297	0.5	0
Hiura, 2012 (Japan)	4	10 524	42	1 123 610	4.0	10.2 [3.6-28.4]
Hattori, 2019 (Japan)	8	1.3%	67	98.7%	Na**	8.9 [na**]
Henningsen, 2019 (DK)	2	74 621	67	2 775 239	2.4	0.8 [0.2-3.4]
Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome (BWS)						
	ART		NC		Prevalence	Ratio
	BWS	total	BWS	total	/100 000	RR* [95%CI]
DeBaun, 2003 (US)	3	30 285	62	3 920 132	1.6	6.3 [2.0-20.0]
Gicquel, 2003 (F)	6	9930	143	760 070	19.4	3.2 [1.4-7.3]
Maher, 2003 (UK)	6	43 074	143	4 277 408	3.4	4.2 [1.8-9.4]
Halliday, 2004 (Aus)	4	14 894	33	1 301 606	2.8	10.6 [3.8-29.9]
Sutcliffe, 2006 (UK)	6	68 566	73	8 327 061	0.9	10.0 [4.3-22.9]
Doornboos, 2007 (NL)	4	83 818	69	3 954 461	1.8	2.7 [1.0-7.5]
Hiura, 2012 (Japan)	6	10 524	70	1 123 610	6.7	9.2 [4.0-21.1]
Mussa 2017 (Italy)	7	7 884	31	371 988	10.0	10.7 [4.7-24.2]
Hattori, 2019 (Japan)	7	1.3%	117	98.7%	Na**	4.5 [na**]
Henningsen, 2019 (DK)	8	74 621	97	2 775 239	3.7	2.8 [1.3-6.0]
Angelman syndrome (AS)						
	ART		NC		Prevalence	Ratio
	AS	total	AS	total	/100 000	RR* [95%CI]
Sutcliffe, 2006 (UK)	1	68 566	74	8 327 061	0.89	1.6 [0.2-11.8]
Doornboos, 2007 (NL)	0	83 818	63	3 954 461	1.6	0
Hiura, 2012 (Japan)	2	10 524	123	1 123 610	11.0	1.7 [0.4-7.0]
Hattori, 2019 (Japan)	4	1.3%	227	98.7%	Na**	1.3 [na**]
Henningsen, 2019 (DK)	1	74 621	70	2 775 239	2.5	0.5 [0.1-3.7]

RR*=rate ratio; Na**=not available