Missing Teeth Predict Incident Cardiovascular Events, Diabetes, and Death
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Periodontitis is a chronic inflammatory destructive disease in the tooth-supportive tissues—that is, the periodontium. It is a bacterial disease, but the eventual tissue destruction results from an interplay between pathogen activity and a subsequent host response. The association between periodontitis and cardiovascular disease (CVD) has been established, and periodontitis is generally accepted as a CVD risk factor, even though the causality still remains debatable (Desvarieux et al. 2005; Lockhart et al. 2012; Tonetti and Van Dyke 2013). Periodontitis and subsequent systemic inflammation have an additive effect on the development of diabetic complications, probably via exacerbation of insulin resistance (Lalla and Papapanou 2011).

In few prospective studies, tooth loss is independently associated with the risk for incident fatal or nonfatal coronary heart disease (CHD) events (Hung et al. 2004) and diabetes mellitus (DM; Demmer et al. 2008) as well as CVD-related and all-cause mortality (Watt et al. 2012). Thus, we hypothesized that missing teeth reflect an accumulation of oral inflammation to which an individual has experienced throughout his life.

The aim of this study was to investigate the capability of the number of missing teeth in predicting incident cardiovascular diseases (CVDs), diabetes, and all-cause death. The National FINRISK 1997 Study is a Finnish population–based survey of 8,446 subjects with 13 y of follow-up. Dental status was recorded at baseline in a clinical examination by a trained nurse, and information on incident CVD events, diabetes, and death was obtained via national registers. The registered CVD events included coronary heart disease events, acute myocardial infarction, and stroke. In Cox regression analyses, having ≥5 teeth missing was associated with 60% to 140% increased hazard for incident coronary heart disease events (P < 0.020) and acute myocardial infarction (P < 0.010). Incident CVD (P < 0.043), diabetes (P < 0.040), and death of any cause (P < 0.019) were associated with ≥9 missing teeth. No association with stroke was observed. Adding information on missing teeth to established risk factors improved risk discrimination of death (P = 0.0128) and provided a statistically significant net reclassification improvement for all studied end points. Even a few missing teeth may indicate an increased risk of CVD, diabetes, or all-cause mortality. When individual risk factors for chronic diseases are assessed, the number of missing teeth could be a useful additional indicator for general medical practitioners.
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Introduction
Periodontitis is a chronic inflammatory destructive disease in the tooth-supportive tissues—that is, the periodontium. It is a bacterial disease, but the eventual tissue destruction results from an interplay between pathogen activity and a subsequent host response. The association between periodontitis and cardiovascular disease (CVD) has been established, and periodontitis is generally accepted as a CVD risk factor, even though the causality still remains debatable (Desvarieux et al. 2005; Lockhart et al. 2012; Tonetti and Van Dyke 2013). Periodontitis and subsequent systemic inflammation have an additive effect on the development of diabetic complications, probably via exacerbation of insulin resistance (Lalla and Papapanou 2011).

Possible mechanisms explaining the link between periodontitis and systemic chronic diseases includes ongoing low-level inflammation and periodontium-originated transient but repeated bacteremia. Inflammation mediators, such as lipopolysaccharide and cytokines, may spread systemically promoting cardiometabolic disorders (Pussinen et al. 2007; Pussinen et al. 2011; Lockhart et al. 2012; Schenkein and Loos 2013; Kallio et al. 2014).

In few prospective studies, tooth loss is independently associated with the risk for incident fatal or nonfatal coronary heart disease (CHD) events (Hung et al. 2004) and diabetes mellitus (DM; Demmer et al. 2008) as well as CVD-related and all-cause mortality (Watt et al. 2012). Thus, we hypothesized that missing teeth reflect an accumulation of oral inflammation to which an individual has experienced throughout his life.

The aim of this study was to investigate the power of missing teeth in predicting incident CVD—namely, CHD events, acute myocardial infarction (AMI), and stroke, as well as incident DM and all-cause death. Our prospective population-based cohort study utilized 13 y of follow-up data. To our knowledge, this study is the first to assess the association between missing teeth and various incident cardiovascular events with a large population based on a prospective study...
design. Many existing studies assessing this issue are cross sectional, use dichotomized groupings, and utilize disease-related mortality rates as the outcome (Ragnarsson et al. 2004; Cabrera et al. 2005; Polzer et al. 2012; Watt et al. 2012).

Materials and Methods

Baseline

This study is based on the National FINRISK 1997 Study, a national population-based cohort (*N* = 8,446) with subjects 25 to 74 y of age (Vartiainen et al. 2010). The survey methods follow the World Health Organization’s (1988) MONICA protocol. The subjects filled in a comprehensive questionnaire and participated in a clinical examination, which included weight, height, and blood pressure measurements. The cases with existing CVDs or DM at baseline were identified via 1) the questionnaire as a doctor-diagnosed disease (“Has a doctor ever told you that you have diabetes: yes / no”); 2) record linkage with the disease-associated drug reimbursement records from the Social Insurance Institution of Finland, including purchased medications and entitled reimbursements; and 3) record linkage with the National Hospital Discharge register for hospitalizations. In our study, fulfilling any of these identified the subject as having existing CVD or DM. A history of myocardial infarction, revascularizations, or percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty was included in CHD events. Previous stroke, excluding subarachnoid hemorrhage, was included in the existing CVD (Kallio et al. 2014).

The number of missing teeth was registered in a clinical examination by a trained nurse. The nurses counted all remaining teeth using a flashlight and a spatula, and they were not especially trained to distinguish natural teeth from, for example, bridge pontics or dental implants. Information on missing teeth was assessed only at baseline, and no follow-up data on tooth loss were available. Presuming that a fully dentate subject has 32 teeth, the population was divided into 5 groups based on the number of missing teeth as follows: 0 to 1 (*n* = 2,461), 2 to 4 (*n* = 1,532), 5 to 8 (*n* = 883), 9 to 31 (*n* = 1,813), and 32 (edentulous; *n* = 940). This grouping is designed due to lack of general consensus on appropriate categories of missing teeth. Blood was drawn for laboratory analyses, which were more closely reported earlier (Pussinen et al. 2011). The free-time physical inactivity variable (level 1 on a scale of 1 to 4) and parent with DM/AMI variable (if either parent had AMI/DM before the age of 60 y) were obtained from the questionnaire. In a nutrition subsample of the National FINDIET97 (*n* = 2,452), information on nutritional intake was collected from the 24-h dietary recall, and we used standard energy densities in the analysis: 37 kJ/g for fat, 17 kJ/g for protein, and 17 kJ/g for carbohydrates (Kallio et al. 2014). All baseline data were collected during 1997. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the National Public Health Institute and conducted according to the Helsinki Declaration. All subjects gave an informed consent. This article is structured according to STROBE guidelines for cohort studies.

Follow-up

The study includes >13 y of follow-up data, with a maximum of 13.9 y and a median of 13.8 y regarding all studied end points. A total of 8,446 subjects participated in the FINRISK97 study. Data on number of teeth was available for 8,142 study participants (Appendix Figure); information on all the covariates was available for 7,862 (total). The methods for diagnosing CVD incidence is thoroughly reported earlier (Pajunen et al. 2004).

The cases with incident CVDs and DM were identified via 1) the drug reimbursement records from the Social Insurance Institution of Finland, 2) the National Hospital Discharge register for hospitalizations, and 3) the National Causes-Of-Death Register as the underlying, direct, or contributing cause of death. The last register was also the source of “all-cause mortality” data.

Statistical Analyses

Statistical analysis was conducted with SPSS 21.0 and R. When the characteristics across the missing-teeth groups were explored, the adjusted *P* value was calculated with logistic regression analysis and linear trend with 1-way analysis of variance. Due to skewed distributions, triglycerides, C-reactive protein, and gamma-glutamyl transferase were log10-transformed before significance and linear trend tests were analyzed across the missing-teeth groups. To compare the subjects with and without CVD, *P* values were calculated with Mann-Whitney *U* and chi-square tests, as appropriate. Missing values regarding parent with DM (*n* = 689) and AMI (*n* = 691) were assumed 0. Subjects with a history of CVD or with DM were excluded from the Cox regression analyses for incident CVD or DM, respectively. Incidences for diseases are reported for a 13-y follow-up, and CVD analyses were adjusted for age, sex, systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol (log), high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol (log), education (3 categories), smoking (yes/no), treated systolic blood pressure (medication within 0-7 d, yes/no), existing DM, and a geographic variable (east/west). The geographic variable was included because it is an important confounder in our population-based sample (Havulinna et al. 2008). Mortality analyses were additionally adjusted for existing CVD. The adjusted covariates were selected to comply with the current general cardiovascular risk profile presented in the Framingham Heart Study (D’Agostino et al. 2008). DM analysis was adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, smoking (yes/no), physical inactivity, parent with DM, C-reactive protein (log), and a geographic variable (east/west). These covariates were selected in concordance with an established Diabetes Risk Score (Lindström and Tuomilehto 2003).

The cross-validation subgroup assignment was stratified by sex, 10-y age group, category of missing teeth, and the disease end-point status in question to ensure proper distribution of these important variables in each cross-validation subgroup. We tested the discriminative ability of the models without and with the information on the number of missing teeth using the
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C-index, the net reclassification improvement (NRI), and integrated discrimination improvement statistics for CVD, DM, and death. In analyses for categorical and clinical NRI, the subjects were assigned to 4 groups (0% to 5%, 5% to 10%, 10% to 20%, >20%) and 3 groups (0% to 5%, 5% to 20%, >20%), respectively (Tikkanen et al. 2013). For the 13-y absolute risk predictions, we used 10× cross validation to reduce overoptimism. The cross validation was stratified by sex, 10-y age group, disease end-point status, and the category of missing teeth. Cross-validated (10×) model calibration was tested using the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test.

The socioeconomic status was monitored by years of education, which is considered an optimal parameter of the status in Finnish studies (Laatikainen 2000). The education variable with 3 categories is a modification of the standard 3-leveled education variable, which takes into account the subjects’ age and sex, thus eliminating the bias caused by changes in the education system. This variable is used in the multiadjusted follow-up analyses. We used the function “cox.zph” in R to assess the validity of Cox proportional hazards assumptions, all of which were met. The assumptions were also assessed graphically with log-minus-log plots and Schoenfeld residuals.

Results

Number of missing teeth at baseline was associated directly with higher levels of several established CVD risk factors, as shown in Table 1. A significant and linear relationship between a risk factor and missing-teeth group was found for age, education years (inverse), body mass index, HDL cholesterol (inverse), triglycerides, C-reactive protein, male sex, existing DM, and either parent having AMI or DM. Systolic blood pressure, gamma-glutamyl transferase, and cholesterol showed no significant trends due to high standard deviation values. Smokers were equally distributed between among teeth groups, with a group-specific prevalence of 40% to 48%. Total intake of energy, carbohydrates, proteins, and fat did not differ significantly across missing-teeth categories when adjusted for age, sex, and education years.

We investigated the characteristics of subjects with and without incident CVD and further explored subjects with incident CHD events, AMI, or stroke (Table 2). In sum, 9.9% of the studied population developed incident CVD in the 13-y follow-up. All CVD risk factors were significantly different between subjects with and without incident CVD. Presence of DM was 3.5 times more common in subjects having CVD, of which 70% were men. The risk factors showed similar patterns in the subgroups of CVD (CHD events, AMI, and stroke; data not shown).

Table 3 shows the Cox regression hazard ratios for incident CVD events, DM, and death in the 13-y follow-up for each missing-teeth group, adjusting for covariates. Having 5 to 8 missing teeth increased the hazard for incident CHD events and AMI, which peaked in this category (hazard ratio = 2.39, \( P = 0.004 \)). The group with 9 to 31 missing teeth provided the largest hazard ratios regarding CHD events and CVDs in general. None of the results for incident stroke were significant.

Figure. Cumulative survival plot for incident cardiovascular disease (A), diabetes (B), and all-cause death (C) across the missing-teeth groups in a 13-y follow-up. Cox regression analyses were adjusted for multiple risk factors and the number of missing teeth.
Values are presented in mean ± standard deviation or n (%). Subjects with existing cardiovascular disease at baseline or missing data on number of teeth (n = 817) were excluded from the analysis. Linear trend P value for each factor, P < 0.001; linear trend was calculated with 1-way analysis of variance.

AMI, acute myocardial infarction; BMI, body mass index; CRP, C-reactive protein; DM, diabetes mellitus; E%, percentage of energy; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

aAdjusted P value was calculated with linear logistic regression model (adjusted for age, sex, and education years); all significant results are displayed in bold.

bP values were calculated for the log_{10}-transformed equivalent.

cData on nutrition was available for a subpopulation (n = 2,452).

Table 2. Baseline Characteristics of Subjects without CVD at Baseline by Groups of Incident CVD during 13 y of Follow-up (N = 7,629).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CVD Experience during Study: Risk Factors</th>
<th>No Incident CVD</th>
<th>Type of Incident CVD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total (n = 6,871)</td>
<td>Total (n = 758)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>47.2 ± 12.79</td>
<td>61.1 ± 9.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, y</td>
<td>11.6 ± 3.91</td>
<td>8.9 ± 3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>26.4 ± 5.42</td>
<td>28.5 ± 4.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP, mmHg</td>
<td>134.5 ± 19.3</td>
<td>149.2 ± 21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol, mmol/L</td>
<td>5.52 ± 1.05</td>
<td>5.91 ± 1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol, mmol/L</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.36</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides, mmol/L</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.02</td>
<td>1.9 ± 1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP, mg/L</td>
<td>2.4 ± 5.04</td>
<td>3.8 ± 7.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGT, U/L</td>
<td>34.4 ± 58.4</td>
<td>47.6 ± 66.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>3,222 (46.9)</td>
<td>532 (70.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever smoking</td>
<td>3,069 (44.7)</td>
<td>378 (49.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing DM</td>
<td>300 (4.4)</td>
<td>115 (15.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent with AMI</td>
<td>1,833 (26.7)</td>
<td>257 (33.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent with DM</td>
<td>1,838 (26.8)</td>
<td>268 (35.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented in mean ± standard deviation or n (%). Subjects with existing CVD at baseline or missing data on number of teeth (n = 817) were excluded from the analysis. AMI, acute myocardial infarction; BMI, body mass index; CHD, coronary heart disease; CRP, C-reactive protein; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DM, diabetes mellitus; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

*Comparison between subjects with and without incident CVD, as diagnosed through record linkage with national registers. CHD and stroke are subcategories for CVD, and AMI is a subcategory for CHD. P values were calculated with Mann-Whitney U tests for continuous variables and chi-square tests for categorical variables; all values were significant (P < 0.05). The numbers of participants in the 3 columns (CHD, AMI, and Stroke) do not add up to 758, because several participants experienced events in multiple incident CVD categories.
Table 3. Association of Missing Teeth with Incident CVD Events, DM, and Death in a Follow-up of 13 y.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Missing Teeth, Baseline</th>
<th>CVD (n = 692)</th>
<th>CHD (n = 482)</th>
<th>AMI (n = 253)</th>
<th>Stroke (n = 268)</th>
<th>Death (n = 891)</th>
<th>DM (n = 557)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0−1, HR</td>
<td>1.00a</td>
<td>1.00a</td>
<td>1.00a</td>
<td>1.00a</td>
<td>1.00b</td>
<td>1.00c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2−4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>0.80−1.51</td>
<td>0.81−1.85</td>
<td>0.76−2.63</td>
<td>0.59−1.54</td>
<td>0.66−1.19</td>
<td>0.88−1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.418</td>
<td>0.258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5−8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>0.85−1.63</td>
<td>1.08−2.43</td>
<td>1.32−4.31</td>
<td>0.35−1.06</td>
<td>0.66−1.24</td>
<td>0.97−1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9−31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>1.13−2.02</td>
<td>1.37−2.89</td>
<td>1.19−3.71</td>
<td>0.67−1.65</td>
<td>1.05−1.79</td>
<td>1.02−1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.819</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 (edentulous)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>1.01−1.95</td>
<td>1.09−2.50</td>
<td>0.99−3.42</td>
<td>0.71−1.92</td>
<td>1.26−2.24</td>
<td>1.10−2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bold indicates statistical significance. CHD and stroke are subcategories for CVD, and AMI is a subcategory for CHD. Cox regression analyses were adjusted for age, sex, smoking (yes/no), and a geographic variable (east/west). AMI, acute myocardial infarction; CHD, coronary heart disease; CI, confidence interval; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DM, diabetes mellitus; HR, hazard ratio.

*Total n = 7,397 free from CVD at baseline, additionally adjusted for systolic blood pressure, blood pressure treatment (medication within 0 and 7 d, yes/no), total cholesterol (log), HDL cholesterol (log), education (3 categories), and existing DM.

Total n = 7,862, additionally adjusted for existing CVD.

*Total n = 7,198 without DM at baseline, additionally adjusted for body mass index, physical inactivity, parent with DM, and C-reactive protein (log).

Discussion

In this prospective cohort study of a population-based sample of Finnish adults, we show that the number of missing teeth at baseline associates with incident CVD, CHD events, AMI, DM, and death after adjustment for covariates. The presented hazards for CVD and DM are adjusted for selected covariates, which comply with the current Framingham general cardiovascular risk profile and the Diabetes Risk Score, respectively (Lindström and Tuomilehto 2003; D’Agostino et al. 2008).

Adding information of missing teeth to the traditional risk profile moderately improved the cross-validated risk prediction regarding all-cause mortality.

Our main hypothesis is that advanced tooth loss somewhat reflects a past accumulation of oral inflammation, and it appears that missing teeth may be a contributing factor in determining cardiovascular risk. The 2 foremost reasons for loss of teeth are caries and periodontitis. Caries has been reported as the main cause for tooth extractions in the British adult population and generally as the main cause at the individual level, while periodontitis has been proposed to be the leading cause for tooth extractions at the tooth level (Phipps and Stevens 1995; Richards et al. 2005). Periodontitis has been claimed to be the world’s most common cause for extracting teeth in subjects >40 y of age (Reich and Hiller 1993; Phipps and Stevens 1995; Agerholm 2001; Gomes et al. 2012). Missing teeth has been proposed as a surrogate marker for periodontitis (Desvarieux et al. 2003; Holmblund and Lind 2012), and it is easily accessible information compared to diagnosis of periodontitis, which relies on clinical examinations and radiographic analysis by a dentist. A comprehensive review by Polzer et al. (2012) and large prospective cohort studies by Watt et al. (2012) and Schwahn et al. (2013) suggested causation between missing teeth and circulatory mortality, while all-cause mortality still lacks sufficient evidence. Recently, Wiener and Sambamoorthi (2014) concluded a significant independent association between self-reported missing teeth values and CVD in a rather large (N = 275,424) cross-sectional study setup. Also Cabrera et al. (2005) found an association between
missing teeth and all-cause mortality among 1,462 studied women with 24 y of follow-up. Our study further strengthens this evidence, especially since adding information on missing teeth to established risk factors significantly improved the risk discrimination of death.

Tooth loss or missing teeth have been used as a marker of past and present periodontal status in a large number of cross-sectional studies concerning CVD. The studies that measure the association between missing teeth and signs of CVD—such as coronary atherosclerotic burden with coronary angiography, carotid ultrasound, arterial stiffness, or self-reported angina pectoris—support our results (Desvarieux et al. 2003; Desvarieux et al. 2005; Gomes et al. 2012; Holmlund and Lind 2012; Medina-Solis et al. 2014; Asai et al. 2015). Presently, we analyzed the association between missing teeth and various incident cardiovascular events, while most studies have used mortality rates as the outcome (Ragnarsson et al. 2004; Cabrera et al. 2005; Polzer et al. 2012; Watt et al. 2012). Record linkage through national registers has proven to be a reasonably valid indicator for detecting incident CHD events (Pajunen et al. 2005).

Some of the earlier studies use self-reported values for missing teeth (Holmlund and Lind 2012; Medina-Solis et al. 2014; Wiener and Sambamoorthi 2014). In earlier reports, study groups have been typically dichotomized—for example, into dentate/edentate or having <10 or <20 teeth; alternatively, they have used the number of teeth as a continuous variable (Polzer et al. 2012). In this study, we used the division into missing-teeth groups due to lack of general consensus. Of course, the causes of missing teeth overlap across groups, but hypothetical cause grouping may aid in the interpretation of results: The first group, with 0 to 1 missing teeth, represents periodontally healthy subjects (controls); the group with 2 to 4 missing teeth is likely explained by lack of or extractions of third molars; those missing 5 to 8 teeth may have additionally lost them due to orthodontic reasons, periododontitis, or caries (Phipps and Stevens 1995; Gomes et al. 2012); and those with 9 to 31 missing teeth most likely suffer from chronic periodontitis. The 2 groups with most missing teeth may represent ongoing or treated advanced oral disease with plausible systemic inflammatory burden. It is noteworthy that tooth extraction practices might vary internationally. Thus, missing teeth might not relate to periodontitis similarly in all populations. Missing teeth is a somewhat difficult epidemiologic biomarker because it could reflect severe disease, treated periodontitis, or both (Cabrera et al. 2005). Edentulousness represents an unusual end stage of the disease, eliminating all clinical evidence of ongoing inflammation, even though the systemic damage may be irreversible and evident (Hujoel et al. 2001).

We observed a peak in the AMI incidence in the group with 5 to 8 missing teeth, and a CVD incidence peak in the group with 9 to 31 missing teeth at baseline. Due to the magnitude of tooth loss, we hypothesize that these subjects suffer from chronic periodontitis. This hypothesis and curvilinear association are supported by earlier findings (Desvarieux et al. 2003; Pussinen et al. 2003). The subjects with few remaining teeth may have attenuated mastication, which could lead to unhealthy nutritional intake (Geissler et al. 1984; Schwahn et al. 2013). However, no significant difference in nutritional intake was observed across missing-teeth groups in the subpopulation of the present study after adjusting for age, sex, and education years. Interestingly, edentulous subjects had the largest hazards for all-cause death and DM but not for CVD. This finding challenges the proposed dose-response relationship and shifts the

---

**Table 4. Discrimination and Reclassification for 13-y CVD Events, DM, and Death in the Established Risk Profiles: Groups with and without Missing Teeth.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>End Point</th>
<th>C-statistic</th>
<th>Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reference Risk Score&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Extended Risk Score&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.8365</td>
<td>0.8369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.547</td>
<td>0.0020 ± 0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.8264</td>
<td>0.8291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.0071 ± 0.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.8265</td>
<td>0.8266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td>0.0010 ± 0.0008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Cox regression reference risk model included age (as the time scale), sex, smoking (yes/no), and a geographic variable (east/west). Bold indicates statistical significance.

BMI, body mass index; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DM, diabetes mellitus; NRI, net reclassification improvement.

<sup>a</sup>Framingham risk factors only.

<sup>b</sup>Number of missing teeth added.

<sup>c</sup>Total n = 7,397, n = 692, additionally adjusted for systolic blood pressure, blood pressure treatment (medication within 0–7 days, yes / no), total cholesterol (log), HDL cholesterol (log), education (three categories) and existing DM.

<sup>d</sup>Total n = 7,862, n = 891, additionally adjusted for existing CVD.

<sup>e</sup>Total n = 7,198, n = 557. Additionally adjusted for BMI, BMI/age, physical inactivity, parent with DM, and C-reactive protein (log). The risk prediction model was extended with missing-teeth groups (0–2, 3–4, 5–8, 9–31, 32 missing). Categorical NRI was assessed for 4 risk categories (0–5%, 5–10%, 10–20%, >20%) and clinical NRI—that is, reclassification from 5% to 20% predicted risk by the traditional model into 3 risk categories (0–5%, 5–20%, >20%). For the 13-y absolute risk predictions, we used 10× cross validation stratified by sex, 10-y age group, disease end-point status, and the category of missing teeth.
Missing Teeth Predict Incident CV Events

J.M. Liljestrand, contributed to conception, design, data analysis, and interpretation, drafted the manuscript; A.S. Havulimaa, P.J. Pussinen, contributed to conception, design, data analysis, and interpretation, critically revised the manuscript; S. Paju, V. Salomaa, contributed to conception, design, and data interpretation, critically revised the manuscript; S. Männistö, contributed to data interpretation, critically revised the manuscript. All authors gave final approval and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Acknowledgments

The study was supported by the Academy of Finland (1266053; P.J.P.), the Sigrid Juselius Foundation (P.J.P.), the Finnish Foundation for Cardiovascular Research (V.S.), and OdontoLOGiska Samfundet i Finland r.f. (J.M.L.). The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this article.

References


Lockhart PB, Bolger AF, Papapanou PN, Osimbowale O, Trevisan M, Levison ME, Taubert KA, Newburger JW, Gornik HL, Gewitz MH. 2012. Periodontal disease and atherosclerotic vascular disease: does the evidence support an


