

<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Feasibility of Day Surgery in Patients With Breast Conservation and Sentinel Node Biopsy : A Randomized Controlled Trial

Ojala, K.

2016-03

Ojala , K , Vironen , J H , Mattila , K , Eklund , A M , Leidenius , M H K & Meretoja , T J 2016
, ' Feasibility of Day Surgery in Patients With Breast Conservation and Sentinel Node Biopsy
: A Randomized Controlled Trial ' , Scandinavian Journal of Surgery , vol. 105 , no. 1 , pp.
29-34 . <https://doi.org/10.1177/1457496915583201>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/161335>

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1457496915583201>

publishedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

FEASIBILITY OF DAY SURGERY IN PATIENTS WITH BREAST CONSERVATION AND SENTINEL NODE BIOPSY: A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL

K. Ojala¹, J. H. Vironen², K. Mattila³, A. M. Eklund², M. H. K. Leidenius¹,
T. J. Meretoja¹

¹ Breast Surgery Unit, Comprehensive Cancer Center, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki University, Espoo, Finland

² Department of Surgery, Jorvi Hospital, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki University, Espoo, Finland

³ Department of Anesthesiology, Jorvi Hospital, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki University, Espoo, Finland

ABSTRACT

Background and Aims: The aim of this study was to analyze feasibility of day surgery in breast cancer patients with breast conserving surgery and sentinel node biopsy.

Material and Methods: The study was a randomized controlled trial comparing day surgery with one night hospital stay in breast cancer patients with breast conserving surgery and sentinel node biopsy. A total of 40 patients with ≤ 3 -cm tumor and clinically N0 were randomized to one night stay group and 38 patients to day surgery group. Within discharge, patients and their relatives were given questionnaires in order to evaluate their experience regarding the duration of hospital stay.


Results: Randomized groups were similar regarding patient age and tumor stage. A total of 18 (47%) day surgery group patients were discharged the same day. The most common reason for overnight hospital stay was axillary clearance, 9 (24%). None of the patients in the day surgery group, but 2 patients in the overnight hospital stay group had re-operation due to complications. Perception and preference results were analyzed both according to randomization and actual treatment groups. Patients in both groups had rather similar experiences on the first postoperative day. Also, spouse's or relative's perception after discharge was similar in both groups.

Conclusion: Day surgery was well received by the patients and their relatives. Day surgery appears as feasible in patients with breast conservation and sentinel node biopsy.

Key words: Breast cancer; day surgery; breast conserving surgery; sentinel node biopsy

Correspondence:

Kaisu Ojala
Breast Surgery Unit
Comprehensive Cancer Center
Helsinki University Hospital
Helsinki University
PL 263
00029 HUS
Finland
Email: kaisu.ojala@hus.fi

Scandinavian Journal of Surgery
2016, Vol. 105(1) 29–34
© The Finnish Surgical Society 2015
Reprints and permissions:
sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav
DOI: 10.1177/1457496915583201
sjs.sagepub.com


INTRODUCTION

Over the last decades, surgical treatment of breast cancer has become less invasive. More patients are likely to receive breast conserving surgery (BCS) as tumors are found earlier and smaller, whereas larger tumors may be operated utilizing oncoplastic BCS methods. Sentinel node biopsy (SNB) has replaced axillary lymph node dissection (ALND) in axillary staging. BCS and SNB seem suitable procedures for day surgery. A few studies have been carried out to evaluate the safety of day surgery in patients with BCS and SNB (1–4). These studies have reported acceptable complication and hospital re-admission rates after day surgery (1, 5, 6).

Physical recovery after SNB is faster when compared with ALND (7). Not only physical recovery, but also psychological and social recovery after breast cancer surgery is important. BCS itself has possibly reduced both early and late psychological implications of the disease (8, 9). However, the patient's preferences and perceptions regarding day surgery have not been widely evaluated (1, 10).

The aim of this study is to compare safety as well as physical and psychological symptoms between day surgery and overnight stay in patients undergoing BCS and SNB, in a randomized controlled study setup.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

In this randomized controlled trial, we compared day surgery with one night hospital stay in breast cancer patients having BCS and SNB, with the following inclusion criteria:

1. Preoperative diagnosis of breast cancer suitable for BCS and SNB;
2. Clinical tumor size of ≤ 3 cm and clinical N0 status;
3. Patient eligible for day surgery (general health), American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) class I–II;
4. Patient accepting day surgery.

All patients received intraoperative analyses on the SNB with the plan to proceed with ALND if nodal disease was present. Patients randomized to the day surgery group were discharged on operation day if criteria were still met and their condition allowed it. Criteria for day surgery discharge on the operation day were as follows:

1. BCS and SNB without drainage;
2. Stable blood pressure and pulse level;
3. No respiratory problems;
4. Patient mobilized to the preoperative level;
5. No or only mild nausea;
6. Postoperative pain controlled by oral pain medication, either nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID), or paracetamol, or paracetamol–codein;
7. No or minimal postoperative bleeding;
8. Normal urination;
9. Adult companion at home;
10. Patient being first on the operation schedule.

General anesthesia was induced with propofol and fentanyl and maintained with propofol and remifentanyl infusions. All patients were intubated and received rocuronium for muscle relaxation. Dexamethasone and granisetron were given for prophylaxis of postoperative nausea and vomiting. For treatment of pain at home, ibuprofen and paracetamol or paracetamol with codeine were prescribed.

For possible postoperative problems, detailed instructions and contact information were given within discharge. Patients were able to return to hospital and stay at breast surgery ward overnight. Patients in the overnight hospital stay group were usually discharged on the first postoperative day.

Within discharge, patients with SNB, but without ALND (N=61) and their spouses or other relatives received questionnaires evaluating their perception of day surgery as well as physical and psychological symptoms. The questionnaires were not validated. The questionnaires were filled on the first postoperative day and returned by mail. Two types of questionnaires were used: those with four alternatives (i.e. 1=*none*, 2=*only little*, 3=*quite much* and 4=*very much*) and those with yes or no alternatives.

Results were analyzed according to original randomized groups and per protocol, that is, according to actual treatment groups. In actual treatment groups, patients were classified into day surgery group or overnight stay group by actual treatment received, regardless of original randomization. For statistical analyses, chi-squared test and Mann–Whitney U test were used. Four graded answers were categorized into binomial variables for statistical analyses, so that alternatives 1 and 2 were combined as well as alternatives 3 and 4. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 21 statistical software.

The study plan was accepted by the Ethics Committee of Helsinki University Central Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

RESULTS

A total of 85 women receiving breast cancer surgery at the Jorvi Hospital, Helsinki University Central Hospital, Finland, between January 2008 and March 2011 were randomized to the day surgery group (N=40) or to the overnight stay group (N=38). Altogether, 7 patients were excluded from the study. Randomization data of 5 patients were missing, and 2 patients were not eligible because mastectomy was planned due to large tumor size after initial randomization, Fig. 1.

Results were analyzed according to randomization and also according to actual treatment received, that is, per protocol. Questionnaire results were analyzed from patients receiving BCS and SNB (N=44).

A total of 18 (47%) patients randomized to day surgery group were discharged the same day, whereas 20 (53%) stayed at least one night in hospital (Fig. 1). The most common reason for overnight hospital stay was ALND (9 patients). The other reasons included drainage (1 patient), patient's wish for overnight stay after

surgery (1 patient), and not being first on operation schedule (1 patient). Day surgery criteria in study protocol were not met in 6 patients. Reason for overnight stay was missing in 2 patients (Fig. 1). Altogether, 60 patients stayed one night or longer in hospital; 40 randomized to overnight stay and 20 randomized to day surgery group.

Randomized groups were similar regarding patient age, histological tumor size, and nodal stage (Table 1). When comparing actual treatment groups, patients who were discharged on the operation day were all node negative, because of the study design (Table 2).

None of the patients randomized to day surgery underwent re-operations due to complications. Two patients randomized to overnight stay had re-operations due to postoperative bleeding; the hematoma evacuations were performed during the same hospi-

talization as the primary surgery. Hospital stay was prolonged for 3 days due to postoperative bleeding and hematoma evacuation in one patient randomized to overnight stay (Tables 1 and 2).

Altogether, 44 (72%) patients returned the questionnaires, including 20 patients randomized to day surgery and 24 patients randomized to overnight stay group. When analyzed according to actual treatment, 18 patients returning the questionnaires were treated on day surgery group and 26 on the overnight stay group. All questions were answered in only 14 questionnaires. Questionnaire results are shown in Tables 3 and 4 for actual treatment groups, respectively. When analyzed according to intention to treat, no significant differences were found.

All patients who were randomized to day surgery and were treated accordingly would choose day surgery in the future. Similarly, their spouses' or relatives' perception of day surgery was positive. The majority of patients randomized to overnight stay (67%), or who had stayed overnight regardless of randomization (61%), would not choose day surgery in the future; $p < 0.0001$ between day surgery and overnight stay, both for randomized groups and actual treatment groups.

Patients in both groups had rather similar experiences on the first postoperative day. Patients reported receiving enough information and support, without difference between groups when analyzed according to actual treatment ($p = 0.789$ and $p = 0.228$). Also, spouse's or relative's perception after discharge was similar in both groups (Tables 3 and 4).




Fig. 1. Patient randomization and actual treatment.

DISCUSSION

This randomized study shows that early patient satisfaction after BCS is equally good in day surgery patients as in patients with overnight stay. Patients received adequate information about their disease, received counseling and emotional support in both groups. Lack of emotional support was not reported as a reason for overnight stay. In our study, also

TABLE 1

Randomized groups; 78 patients.

		Day surgery, N = 38	Overnight stay, N = 40	p-value
Age, median (range)		55 (40–68)	57 (44–69)	0.426
Tumor size (mm), median (range)		12.3 (1–30)	13.3 (4–75)	0.827
Breast surgery	Breast conservation	38 (100%)	40 (100%)	
Axillary surgery	SNB	29 (76%)	32 (80%)	0.694
	SNB + ALND	9 (24%)	8 (20%)	
Histological nodal stage	N0	29 (76%)	32 (80%)	0.694
	N1	9 (24%)	8 (20%)	
Hospital nights	0	18 (47%)	0	0.000
	1	17 (45%)	36 (90%)	
	2	1 (3%)	2 (5%)	
	3	1 (3%)	2 (5%)	
	4	1 (3%)	0	
Re-operation due to complication		0 (0%)	2 (5%)	0.157

SNB: sentinel node biopsy; ALND: axillary lymph node dissection.

TABLE 2
Actual treatment groups; 78 patients.

		Day surgery, N = 18	Overnight stay, N = 60	p-value
Age, median (range)		56 (45–68)	56 (40–69)	0.841
Tumor size (mm), median (range)		10.9 (1–30)	13.4 (4–75)	0.140
Breast surgery	Breast conservation	18 (100%)	60 (100%)	
Axillary surgery	SNB	18 (100%)	44 (72%)	0.011
	SNB + ALND	0 (0%)	17 (28%)	
Histological nodal stage	N0	18 (100%)	44 (72%)	
	N1	0 (0%)	17 (28%)	
Hospital nights	0	18 (100%)	0	<0.001
	1	0	53 (88%)	
	2	0	3 (5%)	
	3	0	3 (5%)	
	4	0	1 (2%)	
Re-operation due to complication		0	2 (3%)	0.501

SNB: sentinel node biopsy; ALND: axillary lymph node dissection.

TABLE 3
Questionnaire results. Actual treatment groups (N = 44). Percentage of answers on question.

	Day surgery	N = 18	Overnight stay N = 26		p-value
	Not at all or little	Quite or very much	Not at all or little	Quite or very much	
Patient feels					
Tired	13 (72%)	5 (28%)	14 (54%)	12 (46%)	0.218
Anxious	18 (100%)	0	22 (88%)	3 (12%)	0.128
Nervous	18 (100%)	0	23 (96%)	1 (4%)	0.381
Irritated	18 (100%)	0	24 (100%)	0	
Depressed	18 (100%)	0	24 (96%)	1 (4%)	0.391
Insecure	18 (100%)	0	23 (92%)	2 (8%)	0.219
Desperate	18 (100%)	0	25 (96%)	1 (4%)	0.400
Lonely	18 (100%)	0	25 (100%)		
Weak	13 (100%)	0	13 (93%)	1 (7%)	0.326
Short of breath	18 (100%)	0	25 (100%)	0	
Painful	18 (100%)	0	22 (88%)	3 (12%)	0.128
Sleepless	16 (89%)	2 (11%)	21 (81%)	5 (19%)	0.469
Loss of appetite	13 (87%)	2 (13%)	15 (100%)	0	0.143
Nausea	18 (100%)	0	23 (92%)	2 (8%)	0.219
Headache	18 (100%)	0	23 (92%)	4 (8%)	0.219
Need of emotional support	18 (100%)	0	26 (100%)		
Spouse feels					
Tired	14 (82%)	3 (18%)	21 (88%)	3 (12%)	0.646
Anxious	14 (82%)	3 (18%)	21 (88%)	3 (12%)	0.646
Nervous	17 (100%)	0	21 (91%)	2 (9%)	0.212
Irritated	17 (100%)	0	23 (96%)	1 (4%)	0.394
Depressed	16 (94%)	1 (6%)	23 (96%)	1 (4%)	0.802
Insecure	17 (100%)	0	23 (96%)	1 (4%)	0.394
Sleepless	17 (100%)	0	23 (96%)	1 (4%)	0.394
Lonely	16 (94%)	1 (6%)	21 (88%)	3 (12%)	0.482
Desperate	17 (100%)	0	24 (100%)	0	
Able to support patient	3 (19%)	13 (81%)	3 (12%)	21 (88%)	0.588
Able to discuss with patient	1 (6%)	16 (94%)	2 (8%)	22 (92%)	0.767
Need of more conversation with patient	16 (94%)	1 (6%)	22 (92%)	2 (8%)	0.767
Need of conversation with someone else	15 (94%)	1 (6%)	19 (91%)	2 (9%)	0.718

spouse's or other relative's/friend's perception was evaluated. Results showed no difference in psychological distress between these groups either.

Patient satisfaction with day surgery was high; all day surgery patients would choose day surgery in future. A total of 39% of overnight stay patients

TABLE 4
Questionnaire results. Actual treatment groups (N=44). Percentage of answers on question.

	Day surgery, N=18		Overnight stay, N=26		p-value
	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Patient feels able to talk about cancer and her feelings during the first 24h after surgery	18 (100%)	0	25 (96%)	1 (4%)	0.400
Patient feels that she has received enough support	18 (100%)	0	24 (92%)	2 (8%)	0.228
Patient feels that she has received enough information	17 (94%)	1 (6%)	25 (96%)	1 (4%)	0.789
Patient would choose day surgery in the future	18 (100%)	0	10 (39%)	16 (61%)	<0.001
Patient had to contact hospital after discharge	2 (11%)	16 (89%)	1 (12%)	7 (88%)	0.919
Spouse considers the length of hospital stay appropriate	17 (100%)	0	23 (96%)	1 (4%)	<0.001

showed interest in day surgical discharge whereas 61% would have chosen overnight stay again. In fact, patients may prefer the procedure that they have already experienced, but fear the unknown.

The rate of day surgical discharge was surprisingly low. In earlier studies, rate of discharge from day surgery in breast cancer patients has been higher, between 86% and 100% in selected study populations (2, 4–6, 11). In our study, most common reasons for overnight stay were ALND, inability to fulfill day surgical discharge criteria, and use of drainages. Study protocol also strictly demanded day surgery patients to be first on the operation lists, which caused overnight stay in two patients randomized to day surgery. Nevertheless, none of the day surgical patients were re-admitted following discharge.

This study was started in 2008 when there was not much knowledge about day surgery in breast cancer patients. Currently, neither ALND nor drainage is a contraindication to day surgery at our unit. However, all patients with mastectomy will stay overnight. In some units, even mastectomy patients have been discharged on the operation day (3, 11) and with drainage. Nevertheless, ALND is not anymore routine treatment in all sentinel node positive patients (12–16). Furthermore, breast conservation may even be feasible in selected patients with multifocal tumors, due to evolution of oncoplastic techniques (17). Therefore, day surgery is a feasible option in increasing number of breast cancer patients.

This study has some limitations. The number of study patients was rather small, especially the number of those who were actually discharged on the operation day. The small number of patients may have prevented detection of some differences between the treatment groups. Approximately 400 breast cancer patients were operated annually in Jorvi Hospital and obviously all eligible patients were not recruited. Patient recruiting was lower than expected, probably because it was done parallel with busy clinical practice. Patient recruitment was terminated in 2011, before reaching predetermined 100 patients. This was

due to centralization of the surgical treatment of breast cancer in Helsinki metropolitan area, that is, merging Jorvi Hospital Breast Surgery Unit with Helsinki Breast Surgery Unit. Moreover, the questionnaires were designed by the researchers and validated quality of life questionnaires were not used. Also, the response rate was rather low.

We can conclude that patient satisfaction and recovery after BCS and SNB is equal after day surgical discharge and overnight hospital stay. Day surgery was well received by patients and relatives. Day surgery appears as feasible as overnight stay regarding patient perception and satisfaction.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Clinical Trial number: NCT01613352, Agency: Clinical Trials.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

FUNDING

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

REFERENCES

1. Marla S, Stallard S: Systematic review of day surgery for breast cancer. *Int J Surg* 2009;7:318–323.
2. Marchal F, Dravet F, Classe JM et al: Post-operative care and patient satisfaction after ambulatory surgery for breast cancer patients. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2005;31:495–499.
3. Margolese R, Lasry J: Ambulatory surgery for breast cancer patients. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2000;7:181–187.
4. McManus SA, Topp DA, Hopkins C: Advantages of outpatient breast surgery. *Am Surg* 1994;60:967–970.
5. Seltzer MH: Partial mastectomy and limited axillary dissection performed as a same day surgical procedure in the treatment of breast cancer. *Int Surg* 1995;80:79–81.
6. Marrazzo A, Taormina P, David M et al: Surgical treatment at early breast cancer in day surgery. *Chir Ital* 2007;59: 687–691.

7. Mansel RE, Fallowfiel L, Kissin M et al: Randomized multicenter trial of sentinel node biopsy versus standard axillary treatment in operable breast cancer: The ALMANAC trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2006;98:599–609.
8. Moyer A: Psychosocial outcomes of breast-conserving surgery versus mastectomy: A meta-analytic review. *Health Psychol* 1997;16:284–298.
9. Wapnir I, Cody R, Greco R: Subtle differences in quality of life after breast cancer surgery. *Ann Surg Oncol* 1999;6:359–366.
10. Bonnema J, van Wersch AMEA, van Geel AN et al: Medical and psychosocial effects of early discharge after surgery for breast cancer: Randomized trial. *BMJ* 1998;316:1267–1271.
11. Dooley WC: Ambulatory mastectomy. *Am J Surg* 2002;184:545–546.
12. Giuliano AE, Hunt KK, Ballman KV et al: Axillary dissection vs no axillary dissection in women with invasive breast cancer and sentinel node metastasis. A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2011;305:569–575.
13. Giuliano AE, McCall LM, Beitsch PD et al: Locoregional recurrence after sentinel lymph node dissection with or without axillary dissection in patients with sentinel lymph node metastases. The American College of Surgeons Oncology Group Z0011 randomized trial. *Ann Surg* 2010;252:426–433.
14. Donker M, Straver ME, van Tienhoven G et al: Comparison of the sentinel node procedure between patients with multifocal and unifocal breast cancer in the EORTC 10981-22023 AMAROS trial: Identification rate and nodal outcome. *Eur J Cancer* 2013;49:2093–2100.
15. Straver M, Meijnen P, van Tienhoven G et al: Sentinel node identification rate and nodal involvement in the EORTC 10981-22023 AMAROS trial. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2010;17:1854–1861.
16. Galimberti V, Cole BF, Zurrada SF et al: Axillary dissection versus no axillary dissection in patients with sentinel-node micro-metastases (IBCSG 23-01): a phase 3 randomized controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2013;14:297–305.
17. Gentilini O, Botteri E, Rotmensz N et al: Conservative surgery in patients with multifocal/multicentric breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2009;113:577–583.

Received: January 30, 2015

Accepted: March 28, 2015