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Abstract. A low-altitude aerial infrared (AIR) survey was conducted to identify hydraulic connections between aquifers and rivers and to map spatial surface temperature patterns along boreal rivers. In addition, the stable isotopic compositions (δ¹⁸O, δD), dissolved silica (DSi) concentrations and electrical conductivity of water in combination with AIR data were used as tracers to verify the observed groundwater discharge into the river system in a boreal catchment. Based on low temperature anomalies in the AIR survey, around 370 groundwater discharge sites were located along the main river channel and its tributaries (203 km altogether). On the basis of the AIR survey, the longitudinal temperature patterns of the studied rivers differed noticeably. The stable isotopes and DSi composition revealed major differences between the studied rivers. The groundwater discharge locations identified in the proximity of 12 municipal water intake plants during the low-flow seasons should be considered as potential risk areas for water intake plants during flood periods (groundwater quality deterioration due to bank infiltration), and should be taken under consideration in river basin management under changing climatic situations.

1 Introduction

Interactions between groundwater (GW) and surface water (SW) are complex, and the rates of exchange are spatiotemporally highly variable (Tóth, 1963; Winter et al., 1998), depending on shoreline and riverbed sediments, aquifer characteristics, topography and meteorological conditions (Sebestyen and Schneider, 2001; Schneider et al., 2005; Rosenberry and LaBaugh, 2008). River channel interactions can be classified as gaining, losing, parallel flow and flow-through (Winter, 1998; Woessner, 1998) and they can vary through time within a year (Winter, 1998). Evidently, GW has an important role in maintaining stream flow, thermal buffering, water quality and beneficial habitat for fish and freshwater aquatic life in rivers (Hansen, 1975; Stanford and Ward, 1993; Brunke and Gonser, 1997; Boulton et al., 1998; Woessner, 2000; Loheide and Gorelick, 2006).

A variety of temperature-based methods have been used to identify the GW discharge into the SW bodies in previous studies at different scales (catchment scale, local scale). Thermal infrared (TIR) has been used for identifying GW–RW (river water) interaction on the catchment scale (10 km scale) (e.g., Torgersen et al., 2001; Cristea and Burges, 2009; Tonolla et al., 2012; Dugdale et al., 2015) and local scale (kilometer scale) (Loheide and Gorelick, 2006; Loheide and Deitchman, 2009; Tonolla et al., 2012; Röper et al., 2014). Furthermore, a low-altitude aerial infrared (AIR) survey provides a method for collecting spatially continuous patterns of surficial RW temperatures in an entire river over a short period of time (Faux et al., 2001; Torgersen et al., 2001; Cristea and Burges, 2009) and modeling the stream water temperatures with in situ temperature measurements (Cristea and Burges, 2009). Conventional temperature-based methods like temperature data loggers, fiber optic cables and in situ measurements have been used to accurately detect areas where GW discharges into the SW bodies on a local scale (e.g., Conant, 2004; Schmidt et al., 2007; Selker, 2008; Krause et al., 2012).
In the River Vantaa drainage basin, in southern Finland, there is a need for more comprehensive understanding of GW–SW exchange processes with respect to the water sup-

Figure 1. (a) Quaternary deposits and study sites in the River Vantaa catchment. End-moraine ridges are included into glaciofluvial sand and gravel, and cover-moraine sheets into glacigenic till, respectively. (b) Elevation model of the River Vantaa catchment. (Basemap Database© National Land Survey of Finland 2010; Quaternary Deposit Database© Geological Survey of Finland 2008; Watershed Database© SYKE 2010; Topographic Database© National Land Survey of Finland 2010.)

Figure 2. (a) Land use in the River Vantaa catchment. (b) Location of the classified aquifers of the River Vantaa catchment and AIR flights over the rivers Vantaa, Herajoki, Palojoki, Keravanjoki, Tuusulanjoki and Lepsämänjoki in 2010 and 2011. In Finland, mapped aquifers are classified into three classes according to their priority. (Corine land cover© National Land Survey of Finland 2010; Basemap Database© National Land Survey of Finland 2010; Quaternary Deposit Database© Geological Survey of Finland 2008; Groundwater Database© SYKE 2010.)
ply, water quality and characteristics of the aquatic environment under changing climatic conditions. The GW–RW exchange zones may have a more significant impact on water quality and quantity in the River Vantaa and its tributaries than has thus far been acknowledged. Large fluctuations in the river flow rate, the low percentage cover of lakes, the high relative percentage of headwater lakes, the flat topography and generally poor infiltration capacity of the soils are related to the relatively high flooding sensitivity of the studied catchment in southern Finland (Mäntylä and Saarelainen, 2008) (Fig. 1). Furthermore, the continuous development and construction of new areas in the densely populated capital region has increased the flood risk during peak-flow periods (Suhonen and Rantakokko, 2006) (Fig. 2a). This has been acknowledged in a number of recent surveys in which riparian areas vulnerable to floods in the studied river catchment have been identified (i.e., Mäntylä and Saarelainen, 2008). Climate change is predicted to result in increasing annual precipitation and elevated temperatures during the twenty-first century (Jylhä et al., 2004), as well as an expected intensification of extreme precipitation events (Beniston et al., 2007), which will significantly increase the flood risk in some southern Finnish watersheds according to Veijalainen et al. (2010). Frequency of summer floods due to the extreme precipitation and winter floods due to mild winters are expected to increase in the tributaries of the River Vantaa (Veijalainen et al., 2009). A summer flood in 2004 resulted in water quality problems at two major GW intake plants (Suhonen and Rantakokko, 2006), as well as the contamination of several GW wells (Silander et al., 2006) in the studied river catchment.

The water quality of the River Vantaa and its tributaries has regularly been monitored since the 1970s in order to identify the incoming load of nutrients and contaminants. In the River Vantaa catchment, the (RW) has generally high nutrient concentrations and poor hygienic quality during heavy rains and the spring thaw (Vahtera et al., 2014). Flooding and heavy rain have the potential to induce contamination of municipal water intake wells via both overland flows entering the wells and by RW bank infiltration into the aquifer. There are 28 GW intake plants in the studied drainage basin, 12 of which are located in the proximity of main stream channels and are potentially vulnerable to RW contamination during high peak flow (Fig. 1a).

The assumption is that GW–RW exchange can possibly be an important factor affecting the quality of water in municipal water intake plants, where a hydraulic connection between the river and the aquifer exists. The main aims of this study were to identify the GW–SW interaction sites and to gain a better understanding of ubiquity of aquifer–river channel interaction and the potential vulnerability of municipal water intake plants in the studied catchment. This will also improve the general understanding of GW–SW interactions in boreal catchments under changing climatic conditions, potentially affecting the quality of GW utilized by waterworks.

AIR surveys were carried out to identify areas of thermal anomalies as potential GW discharge locations to riverbeds, based on the temperature contrast between RW and GW (e.g., Torgersen et al., 2001; Loheide and Gorelick, 2006; Davis, 2007; Conant and Mochnacz, 2009; Loheide and Deitche, 2009), and to produce spatially continuous temperature profiles of the surveyed rivers.

The additional objective was to assess the applicability of the thermal method used in boreal catchment by verifying the identified GW discharge locations using site-specific thermal and hydrogeochemical methods. More detailed field studies were performed at study sites in the rivers Vantaa and Palojoki (Fig. 2b). The stable isotopic compositions (δ18O, δD), as well as dissolved silica (DSi) concentrations of GW and RW were used as tracers to verify the observed GW discharge into the river system. In order to identify risk of transport of contaminants into drinking water production wells through RW infiltration, water quality (NO3-N, NO2-N, dissolved organic carbon (DOC), turbidity) was monitored at 1 h intervals to investigate the potential RW infiltration into the production wells at four study sites at 1 h intervals (Hyvinkäänlä data is presented) during the springtime maximum river flow period in 2012. Many previous studies have used TIR to identify and classify thermal anomalies as well as to model the stream water temperatures but not with the hydrogeochemical variables in order to explore the connection between anomalous stream water temperatures and GW–SW interaction, indicative of geochemical variables, to assess the potential vulnerability of intake plants in proximity of main stream channels.

2 Study area

The River Vantaa is one of the water reserves for Finland’s capital region (ca. 1 million inhabitants). The total catchment area of the River Vantaa is 1685 km² and the percentage cover of lakes is 2.25 % (Fig. 1a), the largest lake having a total area of 6.0 km² (Seuna, 1971; Ekholm, 1993). The length of the River Vantaa is 99 km, while its tributaries range in length from 8 to 65 km (Tikkanen, 1989) (Table 1). The surveyed rivers were slow to moderate flowing streams in a gently undulating glacial landscape that ranged in elevation from 0 to 160 m above sea level (m a.s.l.). The surveyed rivers contained straight and meandering channel types.

The River Vantaa catchment is characterized by strong snow-dominated seasonality, and major floods can be caused by either snowmelt or heavy rain events (Veijalainen et al., 2010). The highest flow rates typically occur during the spring and late autumn months due to snowmelt (spring thaw) and heavy rains in autumn. The mean annual precipitation values at the nearest weather stations, Vantaa (Helsinki–Vantaa airport) and Hyvinkää (Hyvinkäänlä), are 682 and 660 mm, respectively (Pirinen et al., 2012) (Fig. 2b). Approximately 10–20 % of the precipitation falls as snow in southern
Table 1. Field measurement study sites and their characteristics. Data on river flow rates, mean flow discharge (NQ) and mean high discharge (HQ) based on 2002–2012 data from the HERTTA database, except for the rivers Palojoki and Herajoki, which are based on flow measurements in 2011–2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>River</th>
<th>Aquifer type</th>
<th>River width (m)</th>
<th>River depth (m)</th>
<th>NQ-HQ (m³ s⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vantaa</td>
<td>Glaciofluvial esker, unconfined</td>
<td>14–17</td>
<td>1.5–2.5</td>
<td>0.64–51.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keravanjoki</td>
<td>Littoral sand, semi-confined</td>
<td>15–25</td>
<td>1.0–2.7</td>
<td>0.07–48.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuusulanjoki</td>
<td>Glaciofluvial esker + delta, unconfined</td>
<td>4–8</td>
<td>0.2–0.7</td>
<td>0.02–7.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palojoki</td>
<td>Glaciofluvial esker, semi-confined</td>
<td>3–9</td>
<td>0.1–1.1</td>
<td>0.02–2.44 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepsämänjoki</td>
<td>Glaciofluvial esker, confined</td>
<td>3–5</td>
<td>0.5–1.4</td>
<td>0.08–19.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herajoki</td>
<td>Glaciofluvial esker, confined</td>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>0.3–0.5</td>
<td>0.02–0.95 *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a River width from side to side; b River water depth range from minimum to maximum stage.

Finland (Karlsson, 1986). The mean annual air temperature varies from 4.1 to 5.0 °C in the study area (Finnish Meteorological Institute, 1991).

In the northern part of the study area, the elevation ranges from +100 to +160 m a.s.l. (Fig. 1b), and the dominant geomorphological relief types are bedrock terrain and glaciogenic deposits forming cover-moraine sheets (glacigenic till in Fig. 1a) and end-moraine ridges (glaciofluvial sand and gravel in Fig. 1a) (Tikkanen, 1989). The elevation decreases relatively smoothly towards the south, the majority of the central and southern parts of the catchment being lower than +80 m a.s.l. (Fig. 1b). In the lower areas, Quaternary deposits are dominated by marine and lacustrine silt and clay, which cover 39 % of the entire catchment area (Helsinki-Uusimaa Region, 1997). Riverbeds only sporadically overlap glaciofluvial sand and gravel formations (Fig. 1a), as they generally pass along bedrock fracture zones covered by thick clay layers (Tikkanen, 1989). Major GW reserves are associated with glaciofluvial eskers mainly hosting unconfined aquifers (Fig. 2b), but some part of aquifers can be semi-confined or confined (Table 1). The 29 aquifers in close vicinity to riverbeds are classified as important ones that are used by municipal water companies in the River Vantaa catchment (Fig. 1a).

Land use is divided between forestry 51 %, agriculture 26 % and urban (artificial surfaces) land use 20 % (Fig. 2a). Land use varies between the River Vantaa and its tributaries, as the headwater areas are dominated by forestry and southern areas by urban land use (Fig. 2a).

Detailed field measurements and water sampling was performed at selected field study sites within the River Vantaa catchment. At the field study sites in both the River Vantaa and River Palojoki, the riverbed perpendicularly cuts the glaciofluvial sandy esker ridge (Figs. 2b, 3). However, the bed of the River Vantaa is steeply sloped and bottom sediments mainly consist of loam with low permeability, whereas the bed of the shallow River Palojoki is gently sloped and bottom sediments consist of sand and gravel, enhancing GW discharge to the river through the river bottom. The thickness of glaciofluvial material varies between 10 and 35 m under the Hyvinkää study site beside the River Vantaa, and GW in the aquifer flows towards the River Vantaa from both the north and south (Breilin et al., 2004) (Fig. 3a). The Hyvinkää study site is located in close proximity to the north bank of the River Vantaa, and the three production wells are located 30–60 m from the River Vantaa channel (Fig. 3a).

At the study site in the River Palojoki, the riverbed is significantly shallower and narrower than that of the River Vantaa (Table 1) and the sediments are composed of coarse-grained sand and gravel. The Tuusula artificial GW plant is located on the NW side of the River Palojoki channel, on the NW–SE discontinuous Tuusula esker chain (Fig. 3b), and supplies both natural and artificially recharged GW. The recharge of natural GW in the shallow and unconfined Jäniksenlinna aquifer is approximately 4000 m³ day⁻¹ (Hatva, 1989) (classified aquifer in Fig. 3b). Water from Lake Päijänne (9370 m³ day⁻¹) is conducted to the infiltration site through a water supply tunnel and is artificially recharged into the aquifer by pond infiltration through the permeable esker deposits. This artificial GW is accounting for 50 % of water intake from the Jäniksenlinna aquifer (Kortelainen and Karhu, 2006). GW flows towards the River Palojoki from the NW (mostly artificial GW) and SE (mostly natural GW) (Helmisäari et al., 2003) (Fig. 3b).

3 Methods

3.1 AIR surveys and field measurements

AIR surveys have proved to be a feasible method for identifying GW discharge locations in previous hydrological studies (e.g., Torgersen et al., 2001; Conant and Mochnacz, 2009). Furthermore, AIR surveys provide a method for collecting spatially continuous patterns of river temperatures in an entire river over a short period of time (Faux et al., 2001; Torgersen et al., 2001; Cristea and Burges, 2009). An AIR survey was used to identify areas of discrete and diffuse discharge of...
GW to stream water based on temperature contrast between SW and GW (Torgersen et al., 2001; Anderson, 2005). In Finland, the conditions are most favorable for AIR studies from July to August, when the annual maximum contrast exists between GW (4–8 °C) and RW (20–24 °C) temperatures.

An AIR survey was conducted over the River Vantaa and its tributaries, Herajoki, Palojoki, Keravanjoki and Tuusulanjoki in July 2010 during the low-flow period (Fig. 2b). A FLIR Thermo Vision A40 sensor was mounted in a pod on a side of a Raven R44 II helicopter together with a Nikon D1X digital camera. An AIR survey was acquired from 100 to 250 m above ground surface (m a.g.s.) and the ground speed varied between 50 and 90 km h\(^{-1}\) following the river courses. July 2010 was warm and had low precipitation (15 mm, Finnish Meteorological Institute), apart from few thunderstorms.

In July 2011, an AIR survey was conducted over the rivers Vantaa, Keravanjoki and Lepsämänjoki (Fig. 2b). Altogether, the AIR surveys covered 203 km of rivers as well as the riparian areas alongside the channels in 2010 and 2011 (Fig. 2b). Due to the preceding warm weather conditions, which prevailed for several weeks, the conditions were ideal for detecting GW discharge locations in summer 2011. A FLIR ThermoCAM P60 together with an HDR-CX700 digital video camera was used, with the cameras held in a near vertical position on the side of the helicopter. Fine-scale adjustments of the flight path and altitude were made visually by the pilot in cooperation with the FLIR operator and attempted to capture both the rivers and a significant proportion of the riparian areas on the sides of the channels. The flight altitude of 100–300 m a.g.s. produced a ground resolution of 0.15–0.5 m. Thermal images were collected digitally and recorded from the sensor to the onboard computer at a rate of 5 frames s\(^{-1}\), which guaranteed full overlap between the image frames. Digital image files were tagged with the acquisition time and with the position from a built-in GPS. The thermal and digital video cameras synchronized data collection to the nearest second and provided a means of correlating thermal and visible band imagery during post-flight image processing.

Both thermal cameras used in AIR surveys had a pixel resolution of 320 × 240, a spectral range of 7.5–13 μm and a field of view of 24° × 18°. The FLIR system was capable of detecting temperature differences of ±0.08 °C with an accuracy of ±2.0 °C or ±2.0 % of the reading, as reported by the manufacturer. The ground speed varied between 50 and 90 km h\(^{-1}\) during the AIR surveys in 2010 and 2011, depending on the stream width and intensity of meandering. Ground speed was maintained at 50 km h\(^{-1}\) over narrow, meandering streams and increased to 90 km h\(^{-1}\) over wide, straight river sections. The canopy cover from riparian vegetation ranged from nearly completely closed to wide open and varied within and between the rivers surveyed.

Aerial surveys were mainly conducted in an upstream direction during the early afternoon hours in calm and cloudless weather conditions. The upstream direction was used due to the ease of following the main stream in the up-
stream direction, the exceptions were mainly due to the logistical and economic reasons to save flight time. Meteorological data on air temperature and relative humidity during the aerial surveys were obtained from the two nearest weather stations (Fig. 2b).

Reference measurements were collected simultaneously with AIR survey to compare the kinetic water temperature \( T_k \) measured 5 cm below the water surface with a thermometer to the radiant water temperature \( T_r \) measured remotely with a thermal sensor from the skin layer of RW in 2010 and 2011. The \( T_k \) were compared to \( T_r \) to define the average absolute temperature difference between the reference measurements and remote measurements with the TIR sensor. The reference measurements were collected by discrete manual measurements with a YSI 600 XLM-V2-M multiparameter probe (accuracy \( \pm 0.15 ^\circ C \)) at the River Keravanjoki study site in 2010 and the River Lepsämänjoki in 2011.

The \( T_r \) values were adjusted for the emissivity of natural water (0.96) and with inputs of air temperature, relative humidity and path length in post-processing. In producing the spatially continuous profiles of minimum radiant water temperature \( T_{\text{min}} \), thermal images were individually analyzed and \( T_{\text{min}} \) was manually sampled from each thermal image of the main stream channel, and the lowest value for \( T_{\text{min}} \) was selected for each second. \( T_{\text{min}} \) was selected for this study instead of the extraction methods based on image sampling or weighted averages used in previous studies (Torgersen et al., 2001; Cristea and Burges, 2009) to more efficiently localize the anomalously cold temperatures in the main stream channel indicating GW contributions into the river flow. The image sampling and weighted averages methods are both based on the median values of selected points or the histogram of contiguous water pixel temperatures (Cristea and Burges, 2009) and therefore missing or averaging the \( T_{\text{min}} \) values. The thermal anomalies in the proximity of the main stream channel were examined and compared with the base map and visible band imagery to exclude artificial cold anomalies such as roads or electrical power lines.

Detailed field studies were performed at the Hyvinkää and River Palojoki study sites in 2010 (Figs. 2b, 3, Table 1). A total of 19 cross sections of the RW temperature and electrical conductivity (EC) near the sediment–water interface and sediment temperature at intervals of 1–2 m were measured at study sites representing different hydrogeological and hydrological settings. In addition, two longitudinal profiles of RW temperature near the sediment–water interface were collected (Figs. 6a, 7a). All RW temperature and EC measurements were collected with a YSI 600 XLM-V2-M multiparameter probe and the sediment temperature measurements with a stainless steel sediment temperature probe (Thera Plus, Electronic Temperature instruments Ltd, Worthing, West Sussex, UK, accuracy \( \pm 0.10 ^\circ C \)). Moreover, at the River Vantaa study site, RW temperature and EC were measured at 1 h intervals 0.3 m below the water surface and 0.3 m above the river bottom, the total depth of the water being 1.6 m. At the shallow River Palojoki study site, similar continuous measurements were performed 0.2 m above the river bottom, the total depth of the RW in the low-flow season being at most measuring points only 0.7–1.0 m.
Water quality (NO$_3$-NO$_2$-N, DOC, turbidity) of drinking water production wells was monitored at 1-h intervals with S:can sensors (UV–VIS spectrometers) at the Hyvinkäänylä study site during the springtime maximum river flow period in 2012 (Fig. 2b).

### 3.2 Stable isotopes and DSi

Stable isotopic compositions of water have widely been applied as tracers in hydrological research (Gibson et al., 2005). Precipitation and GW are the main components of water in most rivers, and the relative proportions of these sources differ in each watershed, depending on the physical settings and climatic variables, as well as human activities in the watershed (Kendall and Coplen, 2001). As the basin size increases, the isotopic compositions of rivers are increasingly affected by subsequent alterations of the different runoff components and precipitation, mixing with GW, and by evaporation (Kendall and Coplen, 2001). When GW and SW have different chemical signatures, spatial variation in the tracer concentration of SW can be used to verify GW inflow into the SW body (Gat and Gonfiantini, 1981; Kendall et al., 1995).

Precipitation contains little or no DSi (dissolved silica) (Asano, 2003), whereas the arithmetic mean concentration of DSi in GW for Finnish dug wells is 6.5 ppm (Lahtero et al., 2002). The DSi concentrations are dependent on the GW residence time and the grain size of aquifer media (Sandberg, 1993; Soveri et al., 2001). Streams show systematic variation in the DSi concentration as a function of flow, with higher concentrations under baseflow conditions and the lowest concentrations under high flow (Neal et al., 2005). Therefore, DSi could serve as a potential tracer to estimate the contribution of GW to river flow, as observed earlier by Hinton et al. (1994).

Water sampling of RW and GW under low-flow conditions was performed at six study sites in order to examine the impacts of GW discharge on RW chemistry at the GW discharge locations identified with AIR surveys in 2010 (Nygård, 2011; Korkka-Niemi et al., 2011) and 2011 (Fig. 1a). Samples for $\delta$D, $\delta$18O and DSi analyses were collected from RW ($n = 36$) (R. Herajoki, $n = 4$; R. Lepsämänjoki, $n = 7$; R. Vantaa, $n = 6$; R. Palojoki, $n = 6$; R. Tuusulanjoki, $n = 5$; R. Kerava, $n = 8$) and GW ($n = 26$) (R. Herajoki, $n = 8$; R. Lepsämänjoki, $n = 2$; R. Vantaa, $n = 8$; R. Palojoki, $n = 2$; R. Tuusulanjoki, $n = 4$; R. Kerava, $n = 2$) in June, July and August 2011.

The sample locations at each study site were selected in order to detect changes in RW chemistry: (1) upstream sample sites above potential GW discharge to the river, (2) GW discharge sites based on geological location (riverbeds overlaps glacigenic sediments), and (3) sample sites downstream of GW discharge.

The RW samples were collected from the river channel using a Limnos sampler or bottle sampler, depending the channel width and depth at the sampling location. The spring water samples were collected from discharging and flowing GW directly into sampling bottles representing the natural GW. GW samples from observation wells were taken with a GW pump (Tempest/Twister) after purging the water volume 3 times. The GW samples from water intake wells were taken from the well tap after letting the water run for approximately 5 min. Samples for isotopic and DSi analyses were collected into HDPE (high-density polyethylene) bottles and analyzed with a Picarro analyzer and ICP-MS (inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry), respectively, at the Department of Geosciences and Geography, University of Helsinki. The isotope results are reported as $\delta$ values, representing the deviation in per mill (‰) from the isotopic composition of Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW), such that $\delta^{18}O = (R_{\text{sample}} / R_{\text{standard}}) \times 1000$, where $R$ refers to the $^{18}O /^{16}O$ or $D / H$ ratios in both the sample and standard. The accuracy for a single analysis was $\leq \pm 0.5$ ‰ for $\delta$D and $\leq \pm 0.1$ ‰ for $\delta^{18}O$. Samples for DSi concentration measurements were preserved in a refrigerator until analysis, pre-filtered (0.45 µm) and analyzed according to the ISO 17294-2 standard. The analytical error was approximately $\pm 2$ ‰ for DSi.

The deuterium excess (d-excess) was calculated as an index of the evaporation effect for each sample using the following equation (Dansgaard, 1964):

$$d - \text{excess} = \delta D - 8\delta_{18},$$

where $\delta D$ is the $\delta$D and $\delta_{18}$ is the $\delta^{18}O$.

### 3.3 Statistics

To test if the GW input could also be seen in RW quality inside the classified aquifers, the non-parametric Mann–Whitney U test for two unrelated or independent populations (Rock, 1988; Ranta et al., 1991) were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 22 on RW samples ($n = 36$) in order to assess the GW component in RW. RW sampling points were grouped according to their relationship with the aquifers. If a sampling point was inside the mapped GW area (classified aquifer), the sampling point was classified into the group “GW effect” ($n = 17$). Otherwise, the sampling point was classed as “no GW effect” ($n = 19$).

### 4 Results

#### 4.1 AIR

Almost 10 000 thermal images were acquired during the AIR survey in 2010 (Korkka-Niemi et al., 2012). Based on the AIR surveys and site-specific field measurements, thermal anomalies were classified as discrete or multiple springs, cold creeks discharging into a river, diffuse sources by the shoreline, and diffuse and wide seepage areas (Korkka-Niemi et al., 2012).
Approximately 30,000 thermal images were acquired during the AIR survey in 2011, and the anomalies were classified into three categories. Two discrete categories (springs and cold creeks) were the same as in 2010, and a thermal anomaly was defined as a difference of at least 0.5°C between the \( T_{\text{minr}} \) in the main channel and the observed anomaly. In this paper, the two previously presented diffuse categories were merged to form one diffuse category (wetlands), because both contribute to the diffuse discharge of GW in the riparian zone. Category three, diffuse anomalies, was located in riparian areas and had variable areal coverage ranging from separate small diffuse anomalies to wetlands with large areal coverage. Altogether, 374 thermal anomalies were identified along the 203 km course of the studied rivers in 2010 and 2011 using AIR surveys (Fig. 4a, Table 2). The observed anomalies in category one were mostly connected to Quaternary deposits, whereas anomalies in categories two and three were not directly connected to them (Fig. 4).

There was significant variation in the longitudinal profiles of \( T_{\text{minr}} \) between the studied rivers (Figs. 4b and 5). The revealed patterns of spatial variability in \( T_{\text{minr}} \) provided a means to characterize the thermal signatures of the individual rivers like the patterns of warming and cooling in relation to distance from the stream mouth or series of peaks and troughs as earlier described by Torgersen et al. (2001). The most notable tributary confluences, rapids, springs, wetlands, dams and geomorphological features of the channel are marked on the longitudinal profiles in Fig. 5.

The rivers Herajoki and Vantaa showed a downstream warming trend and the headwater springs could be observed as \( T_{\text{minr}} \) lows in thermal longitudinal profiles (Fig. 5a, b). The River Vantaa showed large variability in \( T_{\text{minr}} \) of values (16°C) over a 64 km length from the headwaters (Fig. 5a). The narrow river channel and the riparian vegetation hampered the reliable acquisition of thermal imagery over the headwater area of the River Herajoki.

The rivers Keravanjoki and Tuusulanjoki originate at the outflow of a lake, which could be observed as a high \( T_{\text{minr}} \) in the headwaters (Fig. 5c, d). In the River Keravanjoki, a series of peaks and troughs were recorded in \( T_{\text{minr}} \) in the downstream direction, and the downstream temperatures were close to headwater temperatures (Figs. 4b, 5c). The \( T_{\text{minr}} \) varied by approximately 3°C in the River Tuusulanjoki, and lower temperatures in the upstream part of the river were connected to a dam and small springs in the esker area (Fig. 5d).

The \( T_{\text{minr}} \) of the River Lepsämänjoki increased by approximately 8°C along the first 11 km from the headwaters, reached the maximum values at around 11 km and after this the \( T_{\text{minr}} \) remained between 19 and 21°C (Figs. 4b, 5e). In the River Lepsämänjoki, the narrowness of the channel and riparian vegetation limited thermal imaging of the headwa-
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The River Palojoki displayed a general downstream cooling pattern and rather constant T
values before crossing the esker aquifer area close to the artificial GW plant, where the temperatures dropped in a distinct way as the artificial and natural GW discharged into the river (Fig. 5f). The T
temperatures slowly increased after a major drop until the river entered a second esker aquifer area and temperatures started to decrease due to the influence of GW discharge (Fig. 5f).
The observed smaller peaks and troughs in longitudinal temperature profiles, with 1–2 ◦C fluctuations in T
were connected to the inputs from tributaries, dams, and rapids, narrowing of the channel and meandering bends (Fig. 5).
The profiles were not corrected with respect to the increased RW temperatures during the flights. During mid-
afternoon surveys in July, the RW temperature changed at rates of 0.2–0.7 ◦C h
according to the continuous water temperature monitoring in the River Vantaa. The flight times over the rivers Palojoki, Herajoki and Tuusulanjoki were around or less than 15 min and downstream warming therefore had only a minor effect.

The values of T
were within ±0.6 ◦C of the reference measurements of T
(n = 29) in subsequent years. The average absolute temperature difference between T
and T
was 0.22 ◦C. In this study, the focus was more on the relative temperature differences than the absolute temperature values.

4.2 Field measurements

Variable temperature anomalies in the lower RW layer, not detectable with AIR surveys, could be characterized (Figs. 6, 7). It is a well-known limitation of the TIR technique to detect the surficial temperatures (“skin” layer <0.1 mm), and only substantial subsurface GW contributions to SW bodies that reach the surface can therefore be detected (Torgersen et al., 2001). At the River Vantaa study site, where a series of springs was observed near the eastern shoreline prior to the major GW discharge location (Korkka-Niemi et al., 2012),
the longitudinal profile (A–AA’) of RW temperatures near the sediment–water interface revealed the lower cold water regime in the river (Fig. 6a).
The bottom RW temperatures were mainly relatively equal and constant during the continuous water temperature monitoring period (Fig. 6b). However, a significant difference was observed at the end of the monitoring period, when the temperature and EC values of RW at the bottom simultaneously dropped several times (Fig. 6b). The RW level declined by 0.1 m during the monitoring period due to the low precipitation in July, resulting in GW discharge from the springs near the eastern shoreline to the river bottom. The lower EC values had a statistically significant (p < 0.01) and very strong positive correlation (r
= 0.92, n = 261) with the lower temperatures on the river bottom (Fig. 6b). EC values of western GW and RW were similar, respectively 22 and 21 mS m
−1, whereas the mean EC value of spring water on the more pristine eastern river bank was 17 mS m
−1. The lower EC values of RW had a statistically significant (p < 0.01) and strong positive correlation (r
= 0.85, n = 145) with the lower temperatures of RW (Fig. 6c).
The temperature of RW was 22–25 ◦C in the cross sections B–BB’, C–CC’ and J–JJ’ (Fig. 6c). From cross sections D–DD and E–EE’ (Fig. 6c), the temperature and EC values decreased more and the thermal stratification appeared more pronounced in the cross section from F–FF’ to I–II’ (Fig. 6c, d). Further downstream, where the riverbed perpendicularly cuts an unconfined glaciofluvial esker, the temperature in both sediment and RW near the sediment–water interface in the middle of the riverbed was 7–13 ◦C (cross sections from G–GG’ to I–II’) (Fig. 6c).
At the study site in the River Palojoki, where riverbed is significantly shallower and narrower than the River Vantaa (Table 1) and the sediments are composed of coarse-grained sand and gravel, similar temperature and EC value patterns were recorded in the RW and riverbed sediment (Fig. 7). The longitudinal profile (M–MM’) of temperature and EC values of RW near the sediment–water interface showed first the decline in values and later the increase to a constant level in a downstream direction (Fig. 7a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>River</th>
<th>Category 1 spring/discrete</th>
<th>Category 2 creek/discrete</th>
<th>Category 3 diffuse</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Herajoki</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepsämänjoki</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keravanjoki</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palojoki</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuusulanjoki</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vantaa</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. The mean, range and standard deviation of $\delta^{18}O$, $\delta D$ and $d$-excess values of water samples in summer 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water type</th>
<th>$n^a$</th>
<th>$\delta^{18}O$, VSMOW</th>
<th>$\delta D$, VSMOW</th>
<th>$d$-excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mean $^b$</td>
<td>range</td>
<td>SD $^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-11.70</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GW</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-11.57</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-12.10</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Herajoki</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-11.18</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Vantaa</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-10.12</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Lepsämänjoki</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-10.08</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Tuusulanjoki</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-9.70</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Palojoki</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-9.63</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Keravanjoki</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-8.84</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Number of analyses

$^b$ Arithmetic mean

$^c$ Standard deviation ($1\sigma$)

Figure 6. Field studies at the Hyvinkäänskilä study site in the low-flow period in July 2010: (a) longitudinal profile of RW temperatures (A–AA') near the sediment–water interface, (b) continuous measurements of temperature and EC in RW 0.3 m above the river bottom (monitoring period from 22 July to 2 August 2010), (c) cross-sectional profiles (from B–BB' to J–JJ') of temperature and EC in RW near the sediment water interface and temperature in the sediment (water depth ranging from 0.10 to 2.0 m), and (d) schematic figure of stratification and the vertical RW temperature profile in the middle of the River Vantaa at cross section F–FF'. The grey array represents the GW sinking down by the river bank and the dashed lines represent the subsurface preferential GW flow paths. (Basemap Database© National Land Survey of Finland 2010; Quaternary Deposit Database© Geological Survey of Finland 2008; Groundwater Database© SYKE 2010.)

The EC values measured from upstream RW (0.22 mS m$^{-1}$) (cross section W–WW') and natural GW (0.22 mS m$^{-1}$) (observation well, not shown in Fig. 7) were close to each other, whereas infiltration water (EC = 0.072 mS m$^{-1}$) used for artificial GW recharge deviated from these values. The lower EC values, which were similar to the infiltration water, were observed concurrently with cold RW temperatures ($r_{Pearson} = 0.86$, $p < 0.01$, $n = 133$) (Fig. 7c, from P–PP' to S–SS', U–UU', V–VV'). The lower RW temperatures occurred simultaneously with the lower EC values near the bottom during the continuous water temperature-monitoring period (Fig. 7b) and had a statistically significant ($p < 0.01$) and strong positive correlation ($r_{Pearson} = 0.78$, $n = 261$).

In the upstream cross sections, the RW temperature was 7.2°C at the lowest water depth near the sediment–water interface and the low temperatures were observed in the riverbed where the water depth was at its maximum (V–VV').

whereas the RW temperature at most measurement points was 5–6 °C in cross sections from Q–QQ' to T–TT' (Fig. 7c). Further downstream, the water depth in the river was 0.4–0.8 m with a temperature range from 6 to 18 °C near the sediment–water interface in cross sections from N–NN' to P–PP' (Fig. 7c). The water temperature throughout the entire riverbed at the River Palojoki study site was generally lower than in the River Vantaa study site (Figs. 6, 7).

There were two peaks in the River Vantaa water level during the water quality monitoring period in 2012 when a RW rise of 1 m was observed within 7 days (Fig. 8). A slight increase in DOC levels from 1.5 to 1.8 ppm and a second increase from 1.7 to 2.4 ppm was detected in a production well within 2–5 days after RW level rise above the GW level (Fig. 8). GW nitrate concentration was diluted by RW and turbidity remained under 0.6 NTU throughout the monitoring period.

### 4.3 Stable isotopes and DSi

The measured mean δ¹⁸O, δD, DSi and d-excess values of water samples are presented in Tables 3 and 4. There were significant differences in stable isotope composition between the studied rivers (Table 3). The ranked order of the mean δ¹⁸O and δD values of rivers from the most enriched to the least enriched is River Keravanjoki, River Palojoki, River Lepsämänjoki, River Vantaa, River Keravanjoki (Table 3).

Significant variation in DSi concentrations was observed between the studied rivers (Table 4). Comparing the six rivers, the mean DSi concentrations were highest in the rivers Lepsämänjoki and Herajoki (Table 4). The ranked order of the mean DSi concentrations of rivers from the lowest to the highest is River Keravanjoki, River Tuusulanjoki, River Vantaa, River Palojoki, River Lepsämänjoki and River Herajoki (Table 4).
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**Figure 7.** Field studies at the River Palojoki study site during the low-flow period in July 2010: (a) longitudinal profile of RW temperatures (M–MM') near the sediment–water interface; (b) continuous measurements of temperature and EC in RW 0.2 m above the river bottom (monitoring period from 22 July to 2 August 2010) and (c) cross-sectional profiles (from N–NN' to W–WW') of temperature and EC in RW near the sediment–water interface and temperature in the sediment (water depth ranging from 0.10 to 1.62 m). (Basemap Database © National Land Survey of Finland 2010; Quaternary Deposit Database © Geological Survey Finland 2008; Groundwater Database © SYKE 2010.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water type</th>
<th>nᵃ</th>
<th>DSi, ppm</th>
<th>meanᵇ</th>
<th>range</th>
<th>SDᶜ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GW</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Herajoki</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Lepsämänjoki</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Palojoki</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Vantaa</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Tuusulanjoki</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Keravanjoki</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃ Number of analyses ᵇ Arithmetic mean ᶜ Standard deviation (1σ)
Discussion

5.1 AIR

There were some variations in the observed anomalies between consecutive years, possibly related to annual differences in the hydraulic heads. Some minor springs identified in the AIR survey in July 2010 were not detectable in July 2011, because the hydraulic heads of the aquifers in the study area were generally at a higher level in July 2010 than in July 2011 (Finnish Environmental Administration, 2015). This illustrates the temporal as well as the spatial variation in GW–RW interaction in the studied rivers. The differences observed between years are partially related to the different methods of image acquisition (mounted versus hand-held) and missing some short sections of the strongly meandering study rivers.

The differences in thermal anomalies among the studied streams can partly be explained by the shape of the riverbeds and composition of the riverbed sediments. For instance, most parts of the River Herajoki have a fine-grained streambed, and because no preferential flow paths are available for GW, the number of observed anomalies was lower. Conversely, in the River Palojoki, several sections have an influx of GW through the bottom and shoreline slopes of the coarse-grained gravelly and sandy streambed.

The lower temperatures in the rapid zones were generally due to the increase in the stream velocity, mixing of the water layers and disappearance of stratification. Moreover, the rapids appear in areas of coarse-grained sediments and possibly enhanced GW discharging into the river. The meander bends and narrowing of the stream channel had a similar effect on the mixing of the RW. According to Torgersen et al. (2001), large-scale patterns such as gradual warming trends covering 5–10 km are related physical geomorphic and hydrological processes at the watershed scale. These types of patterns were seen, for example, in the River Keravanjoki, where gradual decreasing and increasing trends were connected to the wetland and notable widening of the main channel, respectively (Fig. 5c).

The longitudinal thermal profiles of the rivers Keravanjoki and Vantaa in consecutive summers revealed similar overall thermal patterns and amplitudes, although the absolute temperatures differed, being lower in 2011 (Fig. 5a,c). In 2010, July was exceptionally warm and the SW temperatures were close to the record level (Korhonen and Haavalammi, 2012), which possibly explains the observed higher $T_{\text{minr}}$. Correspondingly, the differences in headwater conditions, precipitation, and main channel and tributary flow rates influenced the magnitude of the longitudinal thermal profile as described by Cristea and Burges (2009). The longitudinal thermal patterns indicated that the cool and warm water sources mainly had spatially fixed locations (Fig. 5a,c), as also demonstrated earlier by Faux et al. (2001). Longitudinal thermal patterns are a result of the combination of natural environmental and anthropogenic factors (Faux et al., 2001). The stream temperature is an important parameter in aquatic management (Poole and Berman, 2001), and thermal profiles can provide valuable insights into the causative factors behind the observed stream temperatures. AIR surveys were found to be an applicable method to identify thermal anomalies and possible areas of GW discharge across the river basin and to collect spatially continuous patterns of RW temperatures in entire river sections over a short period of time. Furthermore, AIR surveys can also direct water sampling and further investigations to the relevant GW discharge locations.

5.2 Field measurements

The GW discharge locations identified using AIR were confirmed with RW and sediment temperature measurements in 2010, as reported in Nygård (2011). EC values have in earlier studies on GW–lake water interactions proved to be a good indicator of the GW influence on surface waters, the average EC value in GW normally being significantly higher than in lake water (Lee, 1985; Venek and Lee, 1991; Harvey et al., 1997; Korkka-Niemi et al., 2009, 2011). However, in the river systems EC values range widely both temporally
and spatially due to the variable load from sewage treatment plants and urban areas, including residues of purified waste water and deicing chemicals (Vahtera et al., 2014). Hence, the use of EC as an indicator of the GW influence is not as straightforward as in GW–lake water studies.

The GW discharging into the River Vantaa appeared to have lower temperature and EC values in cross sections from D–DD’ to I–II’ (Fig. 6c). The sediment temperatures were also low (6–12 °C) along the river banks due to the continuous discharge of GW through the sandy shoreline deposits. The river flow rate measurements by Brander (2013) with a RiverSurveyor M9 Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (SonTek) demonstrated that in the low-flow period, the river flow within this major GW discharge location increased by approximately 0.1 m³ s⁻¹, i.e., 8640 m³ day⁻¹. The cross sections B–BB’, C–CC’ and J–JJ’ represent conditions before and after GW discharge into the river (Fig. 6c).

At the River Palojoki study site, the AIR survey revealed a lowering of several degrees in surface temperatures of RW downstream from the esker formation (Fig. 5f), indicating that a significant portion of the water in the River Palojoki originates from the semi-confined glaciofluvial aquifer. The river flow measurements reported by Brander (2013) also indicated an increase of 0.044 m³ s⁻¹ (3800 m³ day⁻¹) in river flow from a water intake plant to a location downstream. The fluctuations of RW temperature and EC values during the continuous water-temperature-monitoring period (Fig. 7) can be an outcome of the river level fluctuations and pumping from the production wells, resulting in surges of artificial GW. The observed differences in thermal surveys between the rivers Vantaa and Palojoki are related to the geomorphological properties and flow conditions of the river channels.

The invisibility of GW discharge in thermal images of the River Vantaa study site is related to the thermal stratification of RW. The highest volumes of GW were observed to discharge to the river at the point where the riverbed perpendicularly cuts the esker ridge. At this point, the temperature differences between the surface and bottom RW temperatures were as great as 17 °C. Thermal stratification is an outcome of both the influx of cold water and retention of cold and dense water at the bottom of the river channel pools, as reported by Nielsen et al. (1994). The cold and dense water originates from both GW sinking down by the river bank and possibly through subsurface preferential GW flow paths into the lower part of the river channel (Fig. 6d). This cold and less turbulent lower water regime can be isolated from mixing with the warm and more turbulent upper water regime as long as the inflow of cold water is sufficient or the river flow rate is slow enough, according to Nielsen et al. (1994). Matthews et al. (1994) suggested that thermal stratification is possible if cold water enters the river at locations with a very low flow rate.

Considerable thermal stratification was also observed at the Palojoki study site, as the maximum difference between surface and bottom RW was 13.4 °C. The thermal stratification was strongest at the pool and decreased (with decreasing water depth) in a downstream direction (Fig. 7c). As Torgersen et al. (2001) pointed out, thermal remote sensing can be biased by thermal stratification in channels with subsurface cold water inputs during low river flow rates. In the AIR survey results, the potential existence of these “hidden” GW–RW interaction sites should especially be noted during periods with low river flow rates.

Water quality measurements revealed the light increase in DOC concentration indicating the impact of RW was detected during the maximum river flow period in the production wells located in highly permeable deposits close to the river bank (Fig. 8). DOC concentrations stayed at an elevated level for a couple of days at the maximum, and soon after the RW level fell below the GW level the water quality in the production wells recovered (Fig. 8). Changes of water quality in production wells may be so rapid that they cannot be detected with conventional discrete sampling.

5.3 Stable isotopes and DSi

The isotopic composition of shallow GW does not differ significantly from the mean weighted annual composition of precipitation in temperate climates (Clark and Fritz, 1997). According to Kortelainen and Karhu (2004), the isotopic composition of shallow GW follows the local meteoric water line (LMWL) in Finland. The measured mean δ¹⁸O and δD of springs, GW and well water are mainly in close agreement with the previous studies of Kortelainen and Karhu (2004) (Table 3).

The stable isotope composition of the majority of the world’s main rivers falls along the global meteoric water line (GMWL) (Rozanski et al., 2001). The GMWL has a d-excess value of 10 ‰ (Merlivat and Jouzel, 1979), and d-excess values significantly below the global average of 10 ‰ indicate evaporation, since falling as precipitation (Kendall and Coplen, 2001). Among the studied rivers, the River Herajoki had d-excess values indicating the smallest evaporation effects. The rivers Vantaa and Lepsämänjoki were slightly dislocated from the LMWL and the d-excess values indicated some evaporation effects (Fig. 9b).

The δ¹⁸O and δD values of the observation well close to the Tuusula water intake plant had slightly evaporated d-excess values (7.1 and 8.0 ‰), which could be related to evaporation effects (Fig. 9a). These more evaporated δ¹⁸O and δD values from the observation well can be related to RW recharging the aquifer. Brander (2013) demonstrated with river flow rate measurements that the river flow decreased by approximately 8 % (0.07 m³ s⁻¹, i.e., 6300 m³ day⁻¹) due to RW recharging the aquifer in the low-flow period.

The stable isotope composition of River Herajoki plotted along the LMWL, with a stable isotope composition close to the GW composition, indicating GW as a source component (Fig. 9b). Brander (2013) observed from river flow measurements that the RW recharged the underlying aquifer in the...
The similarity of RW infiltration into the wells could not be observed due to proximity of a water intake plant. However, in this study, RW infiltration into the wells could not be observed due to the similarity of \( \delta^{18}O \) and \( \delta D \) values in GW and RW.

The \( \delta^{18}O \) and \( \delta D \) values of the rivers Keravanjoki and Tuusulanjoki were significantly displaced to the right of the LMWL, which was related to evaporation effects in open water bodies (Table 3, Fig. 9b). The RW sample taken from the River Tuusulanjoki (esker aquifer area) in August 2011 deviated from other RW samples in having a stable isotope composition close to that of the GW (Fig. 9b). The more evaporated \( \delta^{18}O \), \( \delta D \) and \( d \)-excess values of the rivers Keravanjoki and Tuusulanjoki could be due to the existence of headwater lakes and the dams along the river path. Additionally, supplementary water (Lake Päijänne water) is released into River Keravanjoki via the headwater Lake Ridasjärvi to sustain a sufficient river flow and water quality in river channel during the summer months (Vahtera et al., 2012) (Fig. 1a). Altogether, \( 3.9 \times 10^6 \) m\(^3\) of Lake Päijänne water was released, with an average discharge of 0.50 m\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\) from 25 May 2011 to 22 August 2011 (Vahtera et al., 2012). Supplementary water (Lake Päijänne water) was also released into the upstream lake of the River Tuusulanjoki to improve the water quality. Lake Päijänne water has significantly evaporated \( \delta^{18}O \), \( \delta D \) and \( d \)-excess values of \(-8.96\), \(-71.5\) and \(-0.1\%e\), respectively, and a low DSi concentration (1.2 ppm). This can have a considerable effect on the \( \delta^{18}O \), \( \delta D \), \( d \)-excess and DSi values of the River Keravanjoki and some effect on the respective values of the River Tuusulanjoki. The \( \delta^{18}O \) and \( \delta D \) values of the River Palojoki were a spatiotemporally varying complex mixture of precipitation, runoff, and natural and artificial GW. More detailed sampling is needed in order to specify the different contributions to the river flow.

The measured mean DSi values of springs, GW and well water were slightly higher than in Finnish dug wells in general (Lahtero et al., 2002) (Table 3). In the rivers Lepsämänjoki and Herajoki, the observed DSi concentrations were generally somewhat higher than in Finnish streams (Lahtero et al., 1996; range from 0.80 to 6.86 ppm, mean 3.62 ppm, \( n = 1162 \)), suggesting a greater GW component than typically. The mean DSi concentrations of the rivers Vantaa and Palojoki were generally close to the DSi in Finnish streams. The low DSi concentrations of the rivers Keravanjoki and Tuusulanjoki can be related to the supplementary addition of Lake Päijänne water.

The DSi concentrations of RW are an outcome of the relative proportions of different water types (GW, soil water, runoff, direct channel precipitation), the residence times of water in the soil matrix, land use, geology, weathering intensity, climatic variation and diatom production (Scanlon et al., 2001; Conley, 1997). Consequently, these multifarious and overlapping factors can complicate the use of DSi as a GW tracer in the riverine environment. However, in this study, the results for stable isotope compositions were mainly consistent with the DSi concentrations, as higher DSi concentrations appeared coincident with the stable isotope composition typical to the GW. The usability of DSi as a GW tracer was limited by the variability in the GW endmember concentrations, and use of the DSi as a GW tracer would benefit from the spatiotemporally denser sampling of GW endmembers.

Mean \( \delta^{18}O \), \( \delta D \), \( d \)-excess and DSi values for RW impacted by aquifers were \(-10.05\), \(-75.5\), \(4.9\%e\) and 4.6 ppm, respectively, while the respective values for RW not so clearly related to aquifers were \(-9.49\), \(-73.1\), \(2.9\%e\) and 2.9 ppm. According to the non-parametric Mann–Whitney U test, there was a statistically significant difference \((p < 0.05)\) between the “GW effect” sites and “no GW effect” sites in the measured DSi and \(d\)-excess values. This indicates that the GW input could also be seen in RW quality at the observed interaction sites. Therefore, these interaction sites could be more important for water quality and quantity than has thus far been acknowledged. GW discharge can have a positive effect
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on a river by increasing the river flow and improving water quality in the low-flow season. Alternatively, river channels hydraulically connected to aquifers can have a negative effect on water intake plants in the high-flow season. Nutrients (nitrate and phosphate) and faecal contamination (human sewage or animal sources) are the main causes of the lowered water quality of the River Vantaa and its tributaries. These sources induce risks to GW in the high-flow season. In the studied river sections, there are 12 municipal water intake plants in close proximity to the river channel and located close to the GW–RW interaction sites identified in this study (Fig. 4a). These water intake plants can pose a potential risk of water quality deterioration due to RW infiltration into the aquifer during floods. The identification and localization of GW–RW interaction sites (as potential risks sites) would enable water management activities (e.g., reducing the water volume pumped from production wells nearest the river channel in the most critical period when the RW level is high) to prevent a deterioration in GW quality at pumping wells.

6 Conclusions

In the River Vantaa and its tributaries, around 370 GW discharge sites could be located with AIR surveys along the studied rivers. GW discharge was notable and influenced the main stream temperatures in some river sections. AIR surveys revealed some temporal variation in GW discharge in the rivers Keravanjoki and Vantaa. The longitudinal $T_{min}$ profiles displayed considerable spatial variability both within and among the rivers.

The GW discharge locations identified with AIR surveys were confirmed with RW and sediment temperature measurements. The observed thermal stratification can bias the AIR survey results, leading to an underestimation of the extent and magnitude of the GW discharge, as only surficial temperature anomalies can be detected, and should be taken into account in AIR surveys during low-flow conditions in the summer.

In addition to temperature, stable isotopic compositions, EC and DSI concentrations of RW were applied as tracers in the River Vantaa and its tributaries in order to verify the observed GW discharge into the river system or RW recharge into the aquifer. The cold RW temperatures observed with AIR surveys, stable isotopes and DSI revealed that in smaller tributaries, the water flowing in the streams is predominantly GW originating from the headwater aquifers in the low-flow period. The results of this study support the use of several methods simultaneously to survey and confirm the GW–RW interaction.

Results of water quality monitoring revealed that in the GW–RW interaction areas transport of pathogens or recalcitrant contaminants from riverbeds to aquifers pose a risk to safe drinking water production during the maximum river flow periods. During the maximum river flow periods, the RW can recharge the adjacent aquifer, and risk management activities targeted at controlling bank infiltration are needed at several sites utilized by water works. The GW discharge locations identified during the low-flow season in July 2010 and 2011 should be considered as potential risk areas for the 12 water intake plants during floods and should be taken under consideration in RW basin management under changing climatic situations. Climate change is predicted to result in increasing floods, which could increase the vulnerability to contamination of water intake plants located in proximity to main stream channels due to the RW. Moreover, to quantify the volumes of GW discharge into the riverbeds as well as the bank infiltration from streams to the aquifers, river flow rate measurements are recommended.

This research provided new insights for water management, and the results could be used in evaluating the possible effects of GW and RW exchange on water quality in the identified exchange zones. Based on the results of this research potential, GW quality deterioration during peak-flow periods has been acknowledged at several waterworks. Infiltration of RW through permeable strata was observed to affect GW quality in some water intake wells installed into sand and gravel deposits in the vicinity of a riverbed. In order to avoid disruption in the drinking water supply, new locations for GW intake wells and intensified monitoring of hydraulic heads and quality of GW between the riverbed and wells have been considered at these water intake areas.
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