Association of symptoms with gastrointestinal microbiota in irritable bowel syndrome
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Abstract

AIM: To investigate the correlations between self-reported symptoms of irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) and the gastrointestinal (GI) microbiota composition.

METHODS: Fecal samples were collected from a total of 44 subjects diagnosed with IBS. Their symptoms were monitored with a validated inflammatory bowel disease questionnaire adjusted for IBS patients. Thirteen quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction assays were applied to evaluate the GI microbiota composition. Eubacteria and GI bacterial genera (Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus and Veillonella), groups (Clostridium coccoide/Eubacterium rectale, Desulfovibrio desulfuricans) and distinct bacterial phylotypes [closest 16S rDNA sequence resemblance to species Bifidobacterium catenulatum, Clostridium cocleatum, Collinsella aerofaciens (C. aerofaciens), Coprococcus eutactus (C. eutactus), Ruminococcus torques and Streptococcus bovis] with a suspected association with IBS were quantified. Correlations between quantities or presence/absence data of selected bacterial groups or phylotypes and various IBS-related symptoms were investigated.

RESULTS: Associations were observed between subjects’ self-reported symptoms and the presence or quantities of certain GI bacteria. A Ruminococcus torques (R. torques)-like (94% similarity in 16S rRNA gene sequence) phylotype was associated with severity of bowel symptoms. Furthermore, among IBS subjects with R. torques 94% detected, the amounts of C. cocleatum 88%, C. aerofaciens-like and C. eutactus 97% phylotypes were significantly reduced. Interesting observations were also made concerning the effect of a subject’s weight on GI microbiota with regard to C. aerofaciens-like phylotype, Bifidobacterium spp. and Lactobacillus spp.
CONCLUSION: Bacteria seemingly affecting the symptom scores are unlikely to be the underlying cause or cure of IBS, but they may serve as biomarkers of the condition.

© 2010 Baishideng. All rights reserved.
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INTRODUCTION

Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a common functional bowel disorder, with an estimated worldwide prevalence of 10%-20% among adults and adolescents. IBS is characterized by pain or discomfort, distorted bowel habits and altered stool characteristics[1]. Although the prognosis of IBS is good, the syndrome results in a reduced quality of life. Subjects with IBS report significantly more comorbidities, including dyspepsia, asthma and head- and backache, as well as anxiety, depression and insomnia, than the general population[2]. The exact etiology of IBS is likely to be multifactorial; moreover, patients diagnosed with the disorder may well be experiencing bowel symptoms due to different causes.

Much attention has recently been focused on the impact of gastrointestinal (GI) microbiota on this disorder. Changes observed in the fecal microbiota composition[3-5], high incidence of IBS after GI infections[6], alterations seen in IBS patients’ GI immune systems[7], as well as ability of probiotics to alleviate the symptoms of IBS[8-10], all suggest that microbes play a key role in IBS. However, a genetic basis for IBS has also been presented. In twin studies, a greater likelihood for a twin to develop IBS if the other sibling already had the disorder was observed among monozygotic twins compared to dizygotic twins[11]. Uproregulation of genes involved in mucin production has been described to take place in IBS patients[12]. Downregulation of protease-activated receptor 1 expression and upregulation of protease-activated receptor 2 ligand mast cell tryptase in diarrhea-predominant IBS (IBS-D) are involved in visceral hypersensitivity; a change in the expression ratio of these two protease-activated receptors appears to take place in the context of IBS-D[13]. Similarly to ulcerative colitis, colonic mucosal 5-HT (serotonin) concentrations are significantly lowered in IBS patients compared with the levels observed in healthy controls, suggesting the existence of a shared mechanism underlying the symptoms[14].

In this study, we examined whether the presence or absence of certain microbes previously linked to either IBS or healthy controls’ microbiota correlated with the symptoms experienced by IBS patients. Our results suggest that a connection between IBS-related microbiota and severity of self-reported symptoms exists.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

Subjects fulfilling the Rome I criteria for IBS[15] were recruited from the district of Kuopio in Eastern Finland by the Kuopio University Hospital and Harjula Hospital during years 2004-2005. The participants (n = 44; 11 men, 33 women) were 20-72 years old and their general condition was confirmed as good by medical experts (see Table 1 for subject characteristics). Exclusion criteria for participation included presence of organic GI diseases, inadequately treated hypertension or pharmacologically treated diabetes. Use of statins, pharmacologically treated hypertension or coronary artery disease were not considered exclusion criteria if medication had been used for at least six months prior to the study with no changes in dosage.

Clinical studies and laboratory tests

Participants were subjected to a standard medical examination and laboratory tests, including blood cell counts (B-Leuk, B-Trom, B-Eryt), hemoglobin (B-Hb), hematocrit (B-Hcr), erythrocyte mean cell volume (E-MCV), mean cell hemoglobin concentration (E-MCH), erythrocyte sedimentation rate, glycosylated hemoglobin (B-GHb) and blood lipids (fS-Chol, fS-Chol-HDL, S-Trigly). Lactose absorption was ensured with a DNA test for a mutation in the lactase gene. The participants were also tested for the presence of IgA antibodies against gliadin, followed by a duodenal biopsy if celiac disease was suspected. Subjects over 45 years were examined for the presence of occult blood in the feces was evaluated.

Questionnaires

Participants filled in a questionnaire regarding their quality of life and symptoms. The survey was based on an internationally approved and validated questionnaire for inflammatory bowel disease questionnaire (IBDQ)[16]. The questions in the IBDQ were adapted to suit IBS patients. The query contained 30 questions clustered into four groups, comprising “bowel symptoms”, “systemic symptoms”, “social function” and “emotional function”.
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The seven alternative answers for each question were assigned numerical values from 1 to 7 (1 = no problem at all, 7 = very severe problem). Two questions regarding the form of feces and the frequency of defecation were treated as separate variables. The participants also answered two questions about prior antibiotic treatments and GI infections.

### Analysis of fecal bacterial microbiota

Each subject gave a fecal sample for bacteriological studies. The samples were stored at -80°C prior to analysis. Bacterial DNA was isolated from 1 g of fecal material by removing the undigested particles from the fecal material with three rounds of low-speed centrifugation, collection of the bacterial cells with high-speed centrifugation, enzymatic and mechanical cell lysis and DNA extraction and precipitation[4]. DNA concentrations were measured with the multilabel plate reader Victor3TM (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences, Boston, MA, USA). With the method, the average yield of DNA was 342 μg/g of fecal material (median: 290 μg/g; SD: 167 μg/g). Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) was used to assess the amounts of selected GI bacteria or bacterial phylotypes (Table 2) in the fecal samples.

In total, 13 qPCR assays were performed to analyze the GI microbiota in fecal samples (Table 2). The applied assays targeted quantitatively IBS-associated human GI bacteria \((\text{Lactobacillus spp., Clostridium coccoideis/Enubacterium rectale-group, Veillonella spp. and Bifidobacterium spp.})^{[3]}\) and bacterial phylotypes \((\text{Collinsella aerofaciens-like, Clostridium coccoidei, Coprococcus eutactus} 97\%, \text{Ruminococcus torques}} 91\% \text{and Ruminococcus torques (R. torques)}} 94\%{[4,18]}\) or bacteria associated with IBS in semi-quantitative sequence data analyses \((\text{Bifidobacterium catenulatum/Pseudocatenulatum-like})^{[4]}\) or with intestinal disturbances according to the literature \((\text{Desulfovibrio desulfuricans-group})^{[19]}\). The iCycler iQ Real-Time Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) in conjunction with the iCycler Optical System Interface software (version 2.3; Bio-Rad) were used to analyze the samples as described previously[45]. Two technical replicates were used for the samples and standard reactions. Depending on the assay, 0.5 or 50 ng of fecal DNA was applied in the reactions.

### Statistical tests

Basic statistical analysis of the data was performed using the SPSS program, version 14.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). R program, version 2.8.0[20] and the package Rcmdr, version 1.4-10[21] were used to perform the principal component analysis (PCA), to describe the categorical data and to statistically test this data. Linear models were used to describe the relationship between variables and were applied to each bacterial genus and phylotype quantified.

The health-related quality of life questionnaire yielded ordinal data; thus, non-parametric statistical methods were used for analysis. However, PCA analysis was performed for the questionnaire data. Results are presented as medians and interquartile ranges. The \(\chi^2\) test was applied to compare nominal data, and the Mann-Whitney \(U\) test to compare continuous data when two patient groups were analyzed.

Logarithmic transformation was performed on the bacterial data prior to further analyses. Within the data, undetected abundances possibly caused by technical limitations instead of the absence of the target phylotype were imputed with the mean values obtained from the qPCR runs with the same primer applied to water. If these also were undetected for a certain assay, the minimum of all detected water runs was used. Bacterial qPCR data were also inspected to ascertain the presence or absence of certain phylotypes in the patient samples. Binary data were then used to evaluate whether the phylotypes had any relationship with various traits of the study subjects.

### Ethical issues

The study protocol was approved by the Kuopio University Hospital Ethical Committee. Participation in the study was voluntary, and patients were allowed to withdraw at any point without giving an explanation.

### RESULTS

#### Characteristics of IBS patients

Characteristics of the IBS patients are listed in Table 1. In general, the clinical studies revealed no major issues regarding the health status of participants. However, of the 44 subjects studied, 7/11 men and 15/33 women had a body mass index (BMI) value above 25, which is considered borderline between normal weight and slightly overweight[22]. Presence of \(\text{Helicobacter}\) had been confirmed previously in 8 patients; interestingly, in some cases, treatment of the infection had remained incomplete (data not shown). \(\text{Helicobacter}\) infection or the way it had been treated was not, however, reflected in the symptoms (data not shown). Origin of IBS as a result of GI inflammation was not given support by this study, as only one subject recalled suffering from gastroenteritis but could not remember whether the onset of IBS occurred before or after the ailment.

#### Bacterial analyses and correlation of symptom scores with microbiota composition

The modified IBDQ symptom questionnaire consisted...
Table 2  Targets, assay conditions and primers of quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction assays

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target bacterial group/phylotype</th>
<th>Positive control strain or clone</th>
<th>MgCl₂ (mmol/L)</th>
<th>Detection (℃)</th>
<th>Annealing (℃)</th>
<th>Primer sequences (F: forward, R: reverse)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bifidobacterium catenulatum</td>
<td>AM277302</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>F: 5’-ACCTCTGCCATGGGCGTC-3’&lt;br&gt; R: 5’-CCGAAATTGGTGCCTGCAC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudocatenularum-like</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifidobacterium spp.[30]</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>F: 5’-CCGCTTGCCATGGGCGTC-3’&lt;br&gt; R: 5’-CCGAAATTGGTGCCTGCAC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clostridium eodecidus/Erubacterium rectale-group[30]</td>
<td>DSM20219</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>F: 5’-CGTCACTGACACTAAGAG-3’&lt;br&gt; R: 5’-CTTCATGCACAA/GTCCCA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clostridium cocleatum 88%[29]</td>
<td>AM274577</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>F: 5’-CAGTACCTTAGTACATAGG-3’&lt;br&gt; R: 5’-CTTCATGCACAA/GTCCCA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collinella aerofaciens-like[30]</td>
<td>AM276364</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>F: 5’-AGTCGTTCGCGCGGTATATTA-3’&lt;br&gt; R: 5’-CTTCATGCACAA/GTCCCA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coprococcus eutactus 97%[4]</td>
<td>AM278899</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>F: 5’-CGGTACCTTCAAAGGAAGCAC-3’&lt;br&gt; R: 5’-ACTCCTCGCATGGGGTGTC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfobulbus desulfuricans-group[4]</td>
<td>ATCC7797</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>F: 5’-CGTACCTTCAAAGGAAGCAC-3’&lt;br&gt; R: 5’-ACTCCTCGCATGGGGTGTC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eubacterial 168[29]</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F: 5’-GTTATGACACTGAGAC-3’&lt;br&gt; R: 5’-GTTATGACACTGAGAC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus-group[29,32]</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>F: 5’-AGGCGGGAATCTTCTCCCA-3’&lt;br&gt; R: 5’-CACCCTACACTAGG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruminococcus torques 91%[4]</td>
<td>AM276624</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>F: 5’-GTTTACCTTCAAAGGAAGCAC-3’&lt;br&gt; R: 5’-GTTATGACACTGAGAC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruminococcus torques 94%[4]</td>
<td>AM277929</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>F: 5’-ACCTCGGAGGAAAGGAGACA-3’&lt;br&gt; R: 5’-ACACTACACATTGGTCCT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus bovis-like[4]</td>
<td>AM276479</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>F: 5’-ATCTAGCTAGGAAGGAATGCT-3’&lt;br&gt; R: 5’-CGTCCCGATTAAAGACG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veillonella spp.[29]</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>F: 5’-ATCTAGCTAGGAAGGAATGCT-3’&lt;br&gt; R: 5’-CGTCCCGATTAAAGACG-3’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of 28 questions divided into the four categories of bowel symptoms, systemic symptoms, social function and emotional function (Table 3). High median values along with a narrow interquartile range can be considered characteristic of the questions central for ascertaining symptoms of IBS (Table 3). Correlations between the four categories were all significant (Table 4).

Abundance and prevalence of the 13 qPCR target bacteria or phylotypes in patient samples are shown in Tables 5 and 6, respectively. An association between R. torques 94% phylotype and symptom scores (emotional function, social function, systemic symptoms, bowel symptoms) was observed in a PCA visualization of the results as they correlated significantly with the same dimension, whereas a weaker negative association was observed for Coprococcus eutactus (C. eutactus) 97%, Bifidobacterium spp., Veillonella spp. and Desulfobulbus desulfuricans (D. desulfuricans)-group and the symptom scores (Figure 1A). When the bowel symptoms (bloating, passing gas, increased need to defecate or need to defecate when bowel is empty, abdominal cramps, abdominal pain, soiling) were analyzed in a PCA, a similar effect was observed: R. torques 94% and all bowel symptoms except soiling correlated with the same dimension, whereas Collinella aerofaciens (C. aerofaciens)-like, C. eutactus 97%, Veillonella spp., Bifidobacterium spp., and Lactobacillus spp. were negatively associated (Figure 1B).

In linear modeling of continuous data, R. torques 94% was associated with an increase in self-reported bowel symptoms [analysis of variance (ANOVA), P < 0.05]. When the IBS subjects were grouped according to whether R. torques 94% was detected in their fecal samples (Table 7), self-reported bowel symptoms tended to be higher among subjects with R. torques 94% present (ANOVA, P = 0.056). Interestingly, presence of R. torques 94% had a negative effect on the abundance of C. eutactus 97% (P < 0.01), C. aerofaciens-like (P < 0.05) and C. cocleatum 88% (P < 0.05) phylotypes.

No other bacterial associations with symptom scores could be verified. Although in particular the C. aerofaciens-like phylotype had a negative association with R. torques 94%, its relationship with self-reported symptoms remained obscure. The phylotype was, however, associated with lower BMI values (Mann-Whitney test for present-absent data; P < 0.01) and lower blood pressure (Mann-Whitney test for present-absent data for systolic and diastolic blood pressure; P < 0.01 and 0.01, respectively), and the data also suggested a link to lower blood sugar levels (P = 0.06). The C. aerofaciens-like phylotype was less frequently observed in subjects with BMI above 25 (Table 6) and none of the six subjects with BMI values over 30 had the C. aerofaciens-like phylotype in their feces. Similarly to C. aerofaciens, C. eutactus 97% was also associated with lower blood pressure (P < 0.05 and 0.05 for diastolic and systolic blood pressure, respectively). A positive association was present between the presence of C. aerofaciens and amounts of C. eutactus 97% (P < 0.01), whereas a strong negative effect on R. torques 94% was observed (P < 0.001). In addition, when the IBS subjects were categorized according to their BMI, subjects with a BMI value over 25 had more bifidobacteria than normal-
weight subjects, but less lactobacilli in an almost significant manner (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

Self-reported symptoms and GI microbiota composition of IBS patients were analyzed to investigate putative biomarkers for the disorder. Interesting associations between GI microbiota composition and symptom severity were observed.

To measure IBS patients’ symptoms, we applied the IBDQ, designed for assessing the quality of life of IBD patients’

According to the Spearman’s correlations calculated for each question and symptom group, the questions generally described best the group in which they were included (data not shown). Generally, the range observed for each question contained the entire available scale, indicating that the patients formed a heterogeneous group regarding the severity of individual symptoms (Table 3). However, between symptom groups, there were high correlations, indicating that although the questions measured severity of specific issues, the groups themselves actually measured the same health issues (Table 4). This is understandable since IBS patients’ physical and mental symptoms reflect their well-being at a given point of observation. Bearing in mind that the questionnaire was intended for IBD patients...
patients, the results should be interpreted with caution. For example, reasons underlying weight problems are different in IBS patients than in IBD patients, who may experience problems with either loss or gain of weight, depending on the status of their disease. In our study, weight problems were correlated with a higher BMI and can thus be considered a measure of problems with weight. Division of the patients into two groups according to the BMI values resulted in a significant divergence in the systemic symptoms scores between these two groups, with the patients having a BMI in excess of 25 experiencing more symptoms than leaner subjects. This observation may suggest that some variables other than severity of IBS might be affected by BMI, as seemed to be the case for lactobacilli and bifidobacteria (Table 5). In addition, as some of the participants were treated for hypertension, any connections between blood pressure and GI microbiota should be observed with extreme caution.

*C. aerofaciens*-like phylotype had interesting associations with patient characteristics. We have previously observed a reduction in the amount of *C. aerofaciens* in the fecal samples of IBS patients compared with healthy controls. Recently, Mäkivuokko et al. reported that elderly subjects using non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) had reduced amounts of *C. aerofaciens* present in their feces relative to healthy young subjects and elderly subjects without NSAIDs. A link between anti-inflammatory drugs and the absence of *C. aerofaciens* was suggested by the authors. In this present study, we observed a negative correlation between the presence (or amounts) of *C. aerofaciens* and the BMI value of test subjects. Notably, obese (BMI > 30) subjects were negative for *C. aerofaciens*, but contradictory to our results, Turnbaugh et al. have found *C. aerofaciens* to be more prominent in obese than lean twins and their mothers. Obesity has been associated with a low-grade systemic inflammation in which the GI microbiota may be involved; this could explain the negative association observed for *C. aerofaciens* and BMI values. It was difficult to conclude whether *C. aerofaciens* could have any role in IBS; in general, overweight (BMI > 25) subjects reported more systemic symptoms than normal-weight subjects.

### Table 5 Number of 16S rRNA gene copies detected in 50 ng of fecal DNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>qPCR assay</th>
<th>All (n = 44)</th>
<th>BMI &lt; 25 (n = 17)</th>
<th>BMI &gt; 25 (n = 22)</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Bifidobacterium catenulatum/Pseudocatenulatum-like</em></td>
<td>4.8 (1.6)</td>
<td>5.4 (0.7)</td>
<td>4.3 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bifidobacterium</em> spp.</td>
<td>5.8 (1.0)</td>
<td>5.5 (1.0)</td>
<td>6.2 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Clostridium cocoides/Eubacterium rectale-group</em></td>
<td>7.3 (0.2)</td>
<td>7.3 (0.1)</td>
<td>7.3 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Clostridium cecetium</em> 88%</td>
<td>5.6 (1.1)</td>
<td>5.5 (1.4)</td>
<td>5.6 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Collinsella aerofaciens-like</em></td>
<td>5.6 (1.1)</td>
<td>5.3 (1.2)</td>
<td>5.8 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Coprococcus eutactus 97%</em></td>
<td>5.3 (1.5)</td>
<td>5.5 (1.7)</td>
<td>5.4 (1.3)</td>
<td>0.639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Desulfovibrio desulfuricans-group</em></td>
<td>3.9 (0.8)</td>
<td>3.8 (0.6)</td>
<td>4.0 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eubacterial</em> 16S</td>
<td>6.0 (0.3)</td>
<td>5.9 (0.4)</td>
<td>6.0 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lactobacillus-group</em></td>
<td>3.9 (0.9)</td>
<td>4.2 (0.7)</td>
<td>3.7 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ruminococcus torques 91%</em></td>
<td>4.6 (0.8)</td>
<td>4.4 (0.8)</td>
<td>4.8 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ruminococcus torques 94%</em></td>
<td>3.8 (1.0)</td>
<td>4.0 (1.3)</td>
<td>3.6 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus bovis-like</em></td>
<td>2.7 (1.5)</td>
<td>3.2 (2.1)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.2)</td>
<td>0.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Veillonella spp.</em></td>
<td>3.4 (1.0)</td>
<td>3.5 (1.1)</td>
<td>3.4 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.745</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Body mass index (BMI) data missing for 5 subjects; *Calculated with Mann-Whitney U-test; *Values are presented as log10 averages with standard deviation in parentheses.*qPCR: Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction.

### Table 6 Prevalence of target 16S rRNA genes detected for each quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction assay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>qPCR assay</th>
<th>All (n = 44)</th>
<th>BMI &lt; 25 (n = 17)</th>
<th>BMI &gt; 25 (n = 22)</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Bifidobacterium catenulatum/Pseudocatenulatum</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bifidobacterium</em> spp.</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Clostridium cocoides/Eubacterium rectale-group</em></td>
<td>44</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Clostridium cecetium</em> 88%</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Collinsella aerofaciens-like</em></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Coprococcus eutactus 97%</em></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Desulfovibrio desulfuricans-group</em></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eubacterial</em> 16S</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lactobacillus-group</em></td>
<td>44</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ruminococcus torques 91%</em></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ruminococcus torques 94%</em></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus bovis-like</em></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Veillonella spp.</em></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.709</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Body mass index (BMI) data missing for 5 subjects; *Calculated with pearson χ² test. ND: Not determined; qPCR: Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction.
This leads to a problem in interpretation of the results; as the measured symptom groups correlate strongly with each other, it is difficult to determine whether a rise observed in one symptom group is actually caused by a rise in another symptom group rather than by IBS itself.

The phylogenetically most similar species to *R. torques* 94% which has previously been associated with IBS-D[28] is a known mucin degrader[29] and the reported increase of mucin in the context of IBS could explain the observed link between this phylotype and the symptoms. The negative association of *R. torques* 94% with *C. coccei- tum* 88%, *C. aerofaciens*-like and *C. eutactus* 97%, observed for this phylotype could thus also be due to sample characteristics (i.e., abundance of mucus and human cells in the samples) and in correlation with previous results, as these phylotypes have been associated with healthy controls’ GI microbiota in comparison with that of IBS subjects[28]. However, with our knowledge being restricted to the 16S ribosomal DNA sequence of the phylotype, all suggestions about the functions of these bacteria should be considered merely speculative.

Regarding *R. torques* 94%, an association with BMI values was lacking, while a role for this phylotype in IBS was suggested (Figure 1A and B). Of the bacterial genera and phylotypes here negatively associated with symptom scores or bowel symptoms (Figure 1A and B), *Lactobacillus* spp., *Bifidobacterium* spp., *D. desulfuricans*-group, *C. aerofaciens*-like and *C. eutactus* 97% have previously been detected in lowest quantities among IBS-D patients in comparison to other IBS symptom subtypes and healthy control subjects[5,18]. *V. longum* spp. has previously been associated with constipation-predominant IBS subjects[9] but was not found to correlate with self-reported IBS symptoms in this study.

The observed higher abundance of *B. adolescentis* spp. in overweight subjects has previously been reported in a large metagenomic study[28]. Interestingly, an energy-restricted diet has been shown to reduce *C. coccidios*-group, *B. adolescentis* longum and *Bifidobacterium* adolescentis counts and increase *Bacteroides fragilis*- and *Lactobacillus*-group counts in originally overweight adolescents, with the effect being more pronounced among subjects who had lost more weight[29]. However, as Santacruz et al.[28] concluded, it may well be the proportional amounts of various bacterial groups within the GI tract rather than their absolute numbers that play a role in complex events within the GI tract; they found the *Bifidobacterium* to *C. coccidios*-group ratio to increase in correlation with weight loss. In our study, the *C. coccidios/E. rectale*-group levels were the same in normal-weight and overweight subjects (Table 5).

In conclusion, our findings indicate that certain bacterial phylotypes might serve as markers of symptom severity in IBS. While the presence of *R. torques* 94% was associated with an increase in symptom severity, some other phylotypes seemed to act in the opposite direction. These microbes are, however, not found in all individuals and they may also be present in healthy subjects’ samples[4], therefore it is unlikely that their presence or absence in the GI tract would be the underlying cause of IBS.
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**COMMENTS**

**Background**

Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a common functional gastrointestinal (GI) disorder and results in a reduced quality of life. Alterations in the human GI microbiota have been detected among patients suffering from the syndrome. The abnormalities in the GI microbiota are suggested to contribute to IBS symptoms.

**Research frontiers**

The role of GI microbiota in IBS has been under investigation and studies suggest that microbes associated with IBS possess potential as non-invasive biomarkers. Since the majority of the GI bacteria are uncultivable, molecular methods are crucial in this field and have enabled a deeper study of the dis-
turbid microbiota. The authors examined whether the quantities, or presence or absence, of certain microbes previously linked to either IBS or healthy micro-
bacteria, correlated with the symptoms experienced by IBS patients.

**Innovations and breakthroughs**

Alterations in the overall microbiota and certain microbial phylotypes have been detected in IBS. The results of this study suggest that there is a connection between IBS-related microbiota and severity of self-reported symptoms.

**Applications**

The findings in this study indicate that certain bacterial phylotypes are associ-
ated with symptom severity in IBS. These bacteria may serve as biomarkers of
the course of the condition.

**Terminology**

Human intestinal microbiota is the ensemble of all microbes in the gastrointestinal
tract. The term bacterial phylotype stands for an operative taxonomic unit
determined by the 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity. Quantitative real-time
polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) is a method that enables quantification of
target DNA molecules in a sample. In this study 16S rDNA sequences of known
bacterial genera and of bacterial phylotypes were quantified using qPCR.

**Peer review**

This paper represents a large amount of work. Although the results are largely negative, they should be published, since IBS is such an important issue.
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