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Inflammation and immunosuppression in severe acute pancreatitis

Marja-Leena Kylänpää, Heikki Repo, Pauli Antero Puolakkainen

Abstract

Acute pancreatitis (AP) is a common disease, which usually exists in its mild form. However, in a fifth of cases, the disease is severe, with local pancreatic complications or systemic organ dysfunction or both. Because the development of organ failure is the major cause of death in AP, early identification of patients likely to develop organ failure is important. AP is initiated by intracellular activation of pancreatic proenzymes and autodigestion of the pancreas. Destruction of the pancreatic parenchyma first induces an inflammatory reaction locally, but may lead to overwhelming systemic production of inflammatory mediators and early organ failure. Concomitantly, anti-inflammatory cytokines and specific cytokine inhibitors are produced. This anti-inflammatory reaction may overcompensate and inhibit the immune response, rendering the host at risk of systemic infection. At present, there is no specific treatment for AP. Increased understanding of the pathogenesis of systemic inflammation and development of organ dysfunction may provide us with drugs to ameliorate physiological disturbances.
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techniques, for example endoscopic and laparoscopic approaches, have been introduced to avoid the need for open necrosectomy[13]. However, in severe AP the incidence of intra-abdominal hypertension is 60%-80% and in some cases necessitates surgical decompression in the early phase of the disease[14]. The use of antimicrobial drug therapy does not protect against infected necrosis or reduce mortality in severe AP[15]. A factor which may affect the outcome is early endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) in biliary AP with biliary obstruction[16]. Thus, despite extensive research during the recent decades, no mode of treatment has been developed that could dramatically improve the outcome of severe AP.

INFLAMMATORY RESPONSE IS SIMILAR IN AP AND SEPSIS

Previously, AP was considered to be a disease of the pancreas. Nowadays, however, there is strong evidence for systemic effects of the disease. The mechanisms of systemic inflammation resulting in organ failures are much alike in severe AP and in, for example, severe burn injury, multitrauma or sepsis[17] (Figure 1). Thus, a considerable amount of research data gained, for example, in sepsis studies could be applicable also in severe AP.

The initial phase of AP involves triggering events that lead to premature activation of pancreatic proteases as a result of intracellular co-localization of the digestive and lysosomal enzymes. This leads to disruption of the acinar cells[18-20]. Then, activated enzymes escape into the interstitium of the pancreas and cause pancreatic autodigestion[21,22]. Furthermore, pancreatic damage is followed by activation of local inflammatory cells and various inflammatory mediators (cytokines) (Figure 1). Localized inflammation is the body’s initial physiologic protective response, which is generally strictly controlled at the site of injury. Loss of the local control results in excessive uncontrolled activation of inflammatory cells and mediators. This response is defined as systemic inflammatory response syndrome[23]. Proinflammatory cytokines, tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) and interleukin-1β (IL-1β) are released vía portal vein and lymph fluid drainage to the circulation[24]. In addition, the production of cytokines enhances proinflammatory signals. IL-6 stimulates the synthesis of acute phase proteins (i.e. C-reactive protein and procalcitonin) in the liver[25]. Proinflammatory cytokines activate the vascular endothelium in the whole body, which leads to enhanced leakage of the capillary veins and triggers migration of leukocytes into tissues, promoting activation of coagulation cascades. Circulating neutrophils and monocytes become activated, as evidenced by the increased expression of adhesion molecules (e.g. CD11b), and release their proteolytic enzymes and oxygen radicals, which damage vascular endothelial cells and organ parenchymal cells[26]. Enhanced amounts of tissue fluid together with impaired microcirculation lead to lack of oxygen, which results in dysfunction of vital organs and, ultimately, in organ failure[27,28]. While lungs and kidneys have a wide capillary bed, they are especially susceptible to phlogistic mediators inducing end organ injury.

ANTI-INFLAMMATORY RESPONSE

Systemic inflammation in AP is concomitantly associated with rapidly-strengthening compensatory anti-inflammatory response syndrome (CARS)[29,30]. An anti-inflammatory response may be sufficient to control the systemic inflammatory reaction, a sign of good prognosis. However, CARS may be excessive leading to immune deficiency or suppression, which renders the host susceptible to secondary infections. In AP, an increased number of infections has been observed in a later stage of the disease[31], i.e. at the phase of immune suppression.

In immunosuppression, monocytes are characterized by a markedly reduced human leukocyte antigen-DR (HLA-DR) expression, and a profound reduction of their ability to produce proinflammatory cytokines, for example TNF-α[22,32]. Monocytes with low HLA-DR density show impaired antigen presentation capacity[33,34]. IL-10, the most potent anti-inflammatory cytokine, is responsible for the decreased monocyte HLA-DR expression[35]. IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1ra) and IL-6 are also important anti-inflammatory cytokines. IL-1ra binds competitively to the IL-1 receptor and blocks IL-1 mediated responses[36]. Previously, IL-6 was regarded as a proinflammatory cytokine. However, some years ago it was shown to act predominantly as an anti-inflammatory cytokine and, for example prevents synthesis of IL-1β and TNF-α[37].

Altered cellular immune function in AP was first reported by García-Sábrido el al[39] in 1989 when they showed a correlation between poor outcome and anergy to delayed-type hypersensitivity testing. In addition to proinflammatory mediators[40,41], the IL-10 plasma level has also been shown to be increased in severe AP[39] and predicts development of organ failure in the very early phase of AP[42]. In AP patients, decreased monocyte HLA-DR expression
is associated with development of secondary infections. Decreased monocyte HLA-DR expression has also been shown to predict development of organ failure and a fatal outcome. In AP patients, high plasma levels of IL-10 and IL-6 correlate with decreased monocyte HLA-DR expression. IL-10 and IL-6 can be measured by a chemiluminescent immunoassay that could be available on a 24-h basis at the hospital emergency unit. Flow cytometric measurement of monocyte HLA-DR expression can be used as a routine clinical test and provides results within 30–60 min of sampling. In addition to monocyte functions, there are changes also in lymphocyte count and proportion of T-helper cells that can be measured by flow cytometry in severe AP.

DEVELOPMENT OF ORGAN FAILURE WORSENS PROGNOSIS

The classification of AP severity is based on a consensus conference held in Atlanta in 1992. According to the Atlanta classification, AP is classified as severe if systemic and/or local complications (for example pancreatic necrosis, pseudocyst or abscess) are present. Otherwise, AP is classified as mild. Organ failure occurs in half of patients with pancreatic necrosis.

The most common organ failure in severe AP is respiratory failure. Also other organs may fail, e.g. renal, hepatic, cardiovascular, digestive, neurologic, coagulation or endocrine or immunologic systems. Failure of different organs has different effects on the prognosis of the disease. Mortality rates in severe AP patients with respiratory, renal, and hepatic failure are 43%, 63%, and 83%, respectively. The Atlanta classification has been criticized because it does not separate organ failure from local complications. Furthermore, the definition of organ failure in the Atlanta classification is so wide that it includes many patients with a good prognosis. To overcome these shortcomings we have categorized the patients into 3 groups: patients who develop vital organ failure, patients who meet the Atlanta criteria for severe AP but do not develop organ failure, and patients who neither meet the Atlanta criteria nor develop organ failure. Indeed, in terms of mortality and morbidity, the organ failure group is the critical one regardless of the presence or absence of necrosis of the pancreas. Organ failure often develops early in the course of AP. As many as 37%-76% of AP patients who will develop organ failure may have it already at admission or develop it during the first 24 h. Also a difference between transient (<48 h) and persistent (>48 h) organ failure has been noted. Patients with transient organ failure have an uneventful recovery but persistent organ failure predicts death and local complications.

The mortality of AP is biphasic. Half of the mortality takes place during the first week of the disease and is related to severe multigorgan failure. If the patient survives the first week, he/she may get infectious complications, such as sepsis, with worsening organ dysfunction. These events increase mortality during the second phase of the disease. Knowing the biphasic nature of the mortality is highly relevant to treatment and research of novel treatment modalities in severe AP because the first peak occurs during on-going pro- and/or anti-inflammatory responses whereas the second peak occurs at the phase of immune suppression.

IMMUNE-MODULATION THERAPY

Current treatment of AP remains unspecific and supportive and is mainly targeted to aggressively prevent systemic complications by intensive care. Delayed admission to the intensive care unit results in a 4-fold excess in mortality. Therefore, early identification of patients who develop a severe AP with organ failure is essential for improved prognosis by earlier intervention with appropriate resuscitation in specialized hospitals. At present, no specific medical therapy for AP exists. Several experimental studies and clinical trials have attempted to discover medical treatment to inhibit the systemic inflammatory reaction and, thus, the development of multiple organ dysfunction. In a rat model of AP, in contrast to expectations, anti-TNF antibody was found to be harmful. However, when given prophylactically anti-TNF antibodies decreased the severity of AP. In experimental AP, block of the cytokine cascade at the level of the IL-1 receptor with a specific antagonist (IL-1ra) decreased pancreatic damage. Furthermore, anti-inflammatory therapy with an IL-10 agonist and an anti-IL-8 antibody have shown beneficial effects. In addition, anti-adhesion therapy with anti-intercellular adhesion molecule-1 antibody ameliorates lung injury in mice with severe AP. Platelet-activating factor antagonist lepxifant was promising in the first clinical trials but in the final trial the results were disappointing. In the complex network of inflammatory responses, a combination therapy to inhibit several proinflammatory agents could be useful. In clinical AP, patients are typically admitted to the emergency unit in a fairly late stage of the disease when organ failure is about to develop or may already be present. Then the patient has CARS or probably even immunosuppression, initiation of anti-inflammatory therapies could worsen the prognosis. Thus, the therapeutic window for anti-inflammatory therapies may be too narrow in clinical practice. The problem of early-occurring organ dysfunction in clinical trials is highlighted by the lepxifant study, in which 44% of the patients met the criteria of organ failure prior to receiving the first dose of the study drug, an inhibitor of platelet activating factor, or placebo.

In anergic septic patients immunostimulation with interferon-γ has proven to be beneficial. In a clinical study of septic patients, granulocyte macrophage stimulating factor (GM-CSF) treatment was well tolerated and increased monocyte HLA-DR expression and TNF-α production capacity. In severe AP, there is a defect in monocyte function. However, priming of monocyes in vitro by interferon-γ and GM-CSF increased HLA-DR expression and restored lipopolysaccharide-induced TNF-α secretion. Yet, it is of note, that immunosup-
pression may be confined to the peripheral blood while the lungs and the other end organs may still be in the pro-inflammatory stage. Therefore, immunosupilatory treatment must be used cautiously and clinicians should have the means to monitor the patients’ immunoinflammatory state. Currently, the best method is measurement of monocyte HLA expression by flow cytometry. It would be optimal to depress the pro-inflammatory reaction in the patients at risk of excessive immune suppression so that inappropriate CARS would be prevented. An interesting question is whether the patients in whom a deep immune suppression develops, benefit from antimicrobial prophylaxis, along with immunostimulation.

**COAGULATION SYSTEM IS RELATED TO INFLAMMATION**

In systemic inflammation, several proinflammatory cytokines activate the coagulation process in the body and activated endothelial cells seem to have an important contributing role in that process. Systemic coagulation activation results in thrombosis in small and middle-sized vessels in many organs, resulting in disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), that leads to decreased blood circulation of organs and organ failure. In DIC, consumption of platelets is increased resulting in thrombocytopenia which is a common sign of severe AP. The D-dimer level has been shown to be high in severe AP and serves as a marker of DIC. In experimental severe AP, it was demonstrated that microcirculatory disorders affecting capillary blood flow, capillary permeability and leukocyte endothelial interaction are present not only in the pancreas but also in the colon, liver, and lungs.

Protein C is a natural anticoagulant in blood and seems to have an essential role in the regulation of the coagulation cascade in inflammation. Protein C is activated by the thrombin-thrombomodulin complex at the endothelial surface. Activated protein C (APC) inactivates factor V and factor VIII and inhibits thrombin generation. In experimental studies, APC has shown also to have anti-inflammatory effects. Septic patients have a decreased protein C level in blood and it correlates with poor prognosis.

In meningococemia patients with DIC, treatment with APC has prevented development of organ failure, and decreased mortality. In patients with severe sepsis, treatment with APC was safe and resulted in decreased mortality. In severe AP, protein C pathway defects have been shown to be associated with development of organ failure. In a rat model of severe AP, APC treatment reduced inflammation in the pancreas and lungs and improved survival. These findings encouraged us to perform a placebo-controlled clinical trial of APC in the treatment of severe AP at the Helsinki University Central Hospital.

**FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

Deeper understanding of the cell biology and physiology of AP is necessary to permit the design of effective interventions concerning the inflammatory response process. Improved methods to accurately identify those patients with severe AP in need of monitoring and treatment in intensive care units are urgently needed. A means to monitor the state of the immune response (monocyte HLA-DR expression) during hospitalization of severe AP patients is important. Whether monitoring signaling pathways of circulating leukocytes, such as nuclear factor κB, signal transducers and activators of transcription and members of the mitogen activated protein kinase family helps us to find the patients at risk for secondary infections and, thus, late organ failure is at present under research. Future treatment strategies will probably focus on combination therapy aimed at suppressing excessive activation of the inflammatory response and preventing concomitantly overcompensating CARS and eventually immunosuppression. Because AP shares many features of sepsis, an urgent question is whether APC provides a means to alleviate severe AP, as it does in patients with life-threatening sepsis. Finally, the analysis of signaling patterns of leukocytes may reveal novel therapeutic targets in severe AP.
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