High-fat-induced intestinal permeability dysfunction associated with altered fecal bile acids
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Abstract

AIM: To investigate whether high-fat-feeding is associated with increased intestinal permeability via alterations in bile acid metabolism.

METHODS: Male C57Bl/6J mice were fed on a high-fat (n = 26) or low-fat diet (n = 24) for 15 wk. Intestinal permeability was measured from duodenum, jejunum, ileum, and colon in an Ussing chamber system using 4 kDa FITC-labeled dextran as an indicator. Fecal bile acids were analyzed with gas chromatography. Segments of jejunum and colon were analyzed for the expression of farnesoid X receptor (FXR) and tumor necrosis factor (TNF).

RESULTS: Intestinal permeability was significantly increased by high-fat feeding in jejunum (median 0.334 for control vs 0.393 for high-fat, P = 0.03) and colon (0.335 for control vs 0.433 for high-fat, P = 0.01), but not in duodenum or ileum. The concentration of nearly all identified bile acids was significantly increased by high-fat feeding (P < 0.001). The proportion of ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) in all bile acids decreased (1.4% ± 0.1% in high-fat vs 2.8% ± 0.3% in controls, P < 0.01) and correlated inversely with intestinal permeability (r = -0.72, P = 0.01). High-fat feeding also increased jejunal FXR expression, as well as TNF expression along the intestine, especially in the colon.

CONCLUSION: High-fat-feeding increased intestinal permeability, perhaps by a mechanism related to bile acid metabolism, namely a decreased proportion of fecal UDCA and increased FXR expression.

© 2012 Baishideng. All rights reserved.
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INTRODUCTION

Intestinal permeability is a term for the paracellular and transcellular translocation of large molecules foreign to the body. Paracellular permeability is mediated by tight-junction proteins, which prevent uncontrolled transport through the epithelium[1]. Deterioration of tight-junction proteins may permit translocation of bacterial lipopolysaccharides into the serum, i.e., endotoxemia, which may then cause inflammation. In obesity, low-grade inflammation is a risk factor for type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular diseases[2,3]. Impaired gut barrier function is related to several disease states such as steatohepatitis, fatty liver dis-
case and diabetes\textsuperscript{[4-6]}. Furthermore, it may form the link between obesity and its related disorders\textsuperscript{[7,12]}. 

High dietary fat content may in part lead to barrier dysfunction, supported by cross-sectional data indicating that a diet high in energy and fat is associated with endotoxemia\textsuperscript{[13]}. Dietary fat affects bile acid metabolism, because the absorption of fat requires an increase in bile flow. Consequently, a high-fat diet elevates the fecal concentration of bile acids\textsuperscript{[16,19]}. In mice, an orally fed secondary bile acid deoxycholic acid (DCA) induces intestinal inflammation\textsuperscript{[18]}, and \textit{in vitro} bile acids provoke permeability of intestinal cell monolayers\textsuperscript{[17,24]}.

In contrast, the most hydrophilic bile acid, ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA), may counteract increased intestinal permeability\textsuperscript{[20]}.

Proteins involved in bile acid absorption are mediated by the intestinal farnesoid X receptor (FXR), also known as the bile acid receptor, which is highly expressed in intestinal and liver tissues\textsuperscript{[26]}. Mice deficient in FXR show compromised barrier function and localization of neutrophils in their intestinal villi\textsuperscript{[26]}.

The aim of this study was to investigate whether high-fat feeding is associated with increased intestinal permeability via alterations in bile acid metabolism.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Animals

Male C57Bl/6 mice were obtained from Charles River (Sulzfeld, Germany) and acclimatized for a week prior to the experiment. At 6 wk of age, they were randomized into two groups equal in weight: High-fat (\(n = 26\); 60\% fat, D12492; Research Diets, New Brunswick, NJ, United States) and control (\(n = 24\); 10\% fat, D12450B; Research Diets). Diets were paired for fiber and protein content. Mice were housed six per cage in a standard animal laboratory with a dark/light cycle of 12/12 h, with free access to food and water. After 15 wk of feeding, mice were euthanized with a gas mixture of \(70\%\) \(\text{CO}_2\) and \(30\%\) \(\text{O}_2\), and cervical dislocation. Animal experiments were approved by the National Animal Experiment Board of Finland.

#### Intestinal permeability measurements

Fresh segments of duodenum, jejunum, ileum and proximal colon were collected in duplicate, opened along the mesenteric border, pinned onto 0.3 cm\^{2} sliders and mounted into an EasyMount Ussing chamber system with a voltage-clamp apparatus (Physiologic Instruments, San Diego, CA, United States). Two intestinal segments were collected in duplicate from each mouse, because only four chambers were available. Tissues were surrounded by 5 mL Ringer solution (120 mmol/L NaCl, 5 mmol/L KCl, 25 mmol/L NaHCO\textsubscript{3}, 1.8 mmol/L NaHPO\textsubscript{4}, 0.2 mmol/L NaH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}, 1.25 mmol/L CaCl\textsubscript{2}, 1 mmol/L MgSO\textsubscript{4}, 10 mmol/L glucose) on each side. The system was water-jacketed to 37 °C and carbonated with a carbogen (95% \(\text{O}_2\), 5% \(\text{CO}_2\); AGA) gas flow. After an equilibration period of 10 min, solutions were replaced with fresh Ringer, and 4 KDa FITC-dextran (TdB Cons, Uppsala, Sweden) was added to the luminal side to a final concentration of 2.2 mg/mL. Resistance and short-circuit current were followed for 60 min, after which, serosal fluorescence was detected with a Wallac Victor\textsuperscript{TM} 1420 Multilabel counter (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, United States). Intestinal permeability was determined by comparing serosal fluorescence to luminal fluorescence as per mille of translocated dextran.

#### Fecal bile acid analysis

Feces were collected at 13 wk by placing mice individually in metabolic cages for 24 h. Feed and water was provided \textit{ad libitum}. Feces were carefully separated from all other material and frozen at -20 °C. Upon analysis, feces of six mice from each group were dried overnight with nitrogen and pulverized. Bile acids were extracted and measured by gas-liquid chromatography according to the method by Grundy \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{[21]} in the laboratory of the Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa. Internal standards were run for isolithocholic acid, lithocholic acid, epideoxycholic acid, DCA, chenodeoxycholic acid, cholic acid and UDCA.

#### Farnesoid X receptor and tumor necrosis factor expression assays

Segments of jejunum were collected from each mouse, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C. RNA was extracted with TRI Reagent (RT111; Molecular Research Center, Cincinnati, OH, United States) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Tissues were homogenized with Precellus24 (6500 rpm, 2 × 15 s; Bertin Technologies, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France). Phase separation was performed with chloroform (34 854; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, United States), and RNA precipitation with isopropanol (\(\text{P}/7507/15X\), Fisher Scientific, United States). RNA concentration was measured with NanoDrop 8000 (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States), and converted to cDNA with a SuperScript VILO cDNA synthesis kit (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, United States) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, using 1 µg RNA in a reaction volume of 20 µL. Reactions for quantitative real time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) were run using TaqMan chemistry (Applied Biosystems) for FXR (Mm00436420_m1) and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) (Mm00443258_m1). Gene expression was normalized to beta-actin and beta-glucuronidase. Reactions were run on a CFX96 real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, United States) in triplicate. Skeletal muscle was used as a non-expressing negative control. Gene expression was calculated with Bio-Rad CFX Manager software using the normalized expression \(\Delta\Delta C(t)\) method.

#### Statistical analysis

Permeability results were statistically analyzed with a Mann-Whitney \(U\) test due to the uneven distribution of values around the means. In addition to calculating intestinal permeability for each segment (duodenum, jejunum, ileum and colon), a value for overall intestinal permeability was calculated for each mouse as an average of the permeability in its two measured intestinal segments. Bile acid and gene expression data were analyzed with a \(t\) test.
between control and high-fat groups. As there was a special focus on UDCA, the concentration of this bile acid relative to total identified bile acids was also calculated. The gene expression data had a setting of four groups, characterized by two factors possibly affecting intestinal FXR and TNF expression: intestinal segment and diet. The independent effects of these two factors were statistically analyzed with a factorial experiment. Groups were also compared with each other individually for 

### RESULTS

#### Intestinal permeability

The weight of the high-fat-fed mice was significantly higher compared to control mice (49.5 ± 0.59 g vs 28.6 ± 0.36 g, \( P < 0.001 \)). High-fat-feeding increased intestinal permeability significantly in the jejunum (median 0.334 per mille translocated dextran for control vs 0.393 for high-fat, \( P = 0.03 \)) and colon (median 0.335 for control vs 0.360 for high-fat, \( P = 0.33 \)) or ileum (median 0.351 for control vs 0.452 for high-fat, \( P = 0.69 \), Figure 1). Resistance and short-circuit current were measured during the Ussing chamber experiments to monitor tissue viability. The stability of these values indicates good viability of tissue segments throughout the experiments (Figure 2).

#### Fecal bile acids

Feces from high-fat fed mice contained substantially more bile acids compared to feces from control mice (2.13 ± 0.29 mg/g dry feces vs 0.37 ± 0.03 mg/g dry feces, \( P < 0.001 \); Figure 3A). This was reflected as a significantly elevated concentration of each bile acid (\( P < 0.01 \)) except isolithocholic acid (Figure 3B). We were especially interested in the effects of UDCA, which is considered cytoprotective, therefore, we calculated the ratio of UDCA to total bile acids. The proportion of UDCA in feces of high-fat-fed mice was nearly halved compared to that in control mice (1.4% ± 0.1% in high-fat vs 2.8% ± 0.3% in controls, \( P < 0.01 \), Figure 3C). There was also a marked inverse correlation of overall intestinal permeability to the proportion of UDCA in total fecal bile acids (\( r = -0.72, P = 0.01, n = 11, \) Figure 4A). The correlation remained significant even after controlling for body weight (partial correlation \( r = -0.64, P < 0.05, n = 11 \)). A trend for a similar association was seen in the subgroups of jejunal and colonic permeability (\( r = -0.88, P = 0.05, n = 5 \) for jejunum and \( r = -0.70, P = 0.12, n = 6 \) for colon, Fig-
A. In addition, we correlated permeability to the ratio of UDCA to DCA, a cytotoxic bile acid. This was done to prevent bias by the unmeasured muricholic acid, which is a primary bile acid present in murine bile and is left unidentified by methods designed for clinical use. The relation of UDCA to DCA showed a similar halved concentration and inverse correlation to permeability ($r = -0.70$, $P = 0.02$).

**Intestinal farnesoid X receptor and tumor necrosis factor expression**

The effect of high-fat-feeding on bile acid metabolism and intestinal inflammation was assayed as expression of intestinal FXR and TNF in the jejunum and colon. Jejunal FXR expression was increased 30% by high-fat feeding (0.74 ± 0.049 for high-fat vs 0.57 ± 0.051 for control, $P = 0.03$) and colonic TNF expression was doubled (0.82 ± 0.148 for high-fat vs 0.42 ± 0.068 for control, $P = 0.02$) but no significant differences were seen in jejunal tumor necrosis factor (TNF) ($P = 0.30$) or colonic FXR ($P = 0.63$).

**Figure 3. Fecal bile acids.** A: Concentration of total measured bile acids; B: Concentration of measured bile acids in feces; C: Proportion of ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) in total measured bile acids. Bars indicate mean and SEM. $n = 6/$group; *$P < 0.001$, **$P < 0.01$ between high-fat and control groups.

**Figure 4. Correlation of proportion of ursodeoxycholic acid with intestinal permeability.** Pearson’s correlation of fecal proportion of ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) percentage to overall permeability of intestine (A), jejunal permeability (B), and colonic permeability (C). Each dot represents an individual animal.

**Figure 5. Correlation of tumor necrosis factor and farnesoid X receptor expression.** Pearson’s correlation of tumor necrosis factor (TNF) and farnesoid X receptor (FXR) expression. Jejunal values are shown as black diamonds and colonic values as grey squares. Each dot represents an individual animal.

**Table 1.** Gene expression of farnesoid X receptor and tumor necrosis factor (mean ± SEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>High-fat</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>expression</td>
<td>expression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FXR: J</td>
<td>14 0.57 ± 0.051</td>
<td>12 0.74 ± 0.049</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jejunum</td>
<td>10 1.36 ± 0.234</td>
<td>14 1.49 ± 0.159</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF: J</td>
<td>14 0.21 ± 0.041</td>
<td>12 0.27 ± 0.040</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jejunum</td>
<td>10 0.42 ± 0.068</td>
<td>14 0.82 ± 0.148</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FXR: Farnesoid X receptor; TNF: Tumor necrosis factor.
Table 1). FXR and TNF expressions did, however, correlate with each other ($r = 0.41$, $P < 0.01$, $n = 50$, Figure 5).

A factorial experiment on the independent effects of diet and intestinal segment on gene expression revealed that overall intestinal TNF expression was elevated by high-fat-feeding ($P = 0.02$). Moreover, both TNF and FXR expressions were higher in colon compared to jejunum ($P < 0.001$ for both).

**DISCUSSION**

The objective of this study was to see whether intestinal permeability was increased by high-fat-feeding via a mechanism related to bile acid metabolism. These data showed that permeability of jejunum and colon in high-fat-fed mice was increased. The animals were clearly obese solely due to dietary fat content (60E%), and not by genetic modification. Our results on increased permeability are supported by a similar previous study, which investigated the effects of obesity and a high-fat diet on rat intestinal permeability.[12]. Also a carbohydrate-free diet containing an extremely high amount of fat (72E%) increased intestinal permeability in mice.[9]. Our 60E% diet may be considered more physiologically relevant compared to carbohydrate-free diets. We also took care to pair the diets in protein and fiber content. Therefore, the barrier dysfunction in high-fat-feeding was not biased by any fiber-mediated effect. There is no conclusion on whether gut permeability may be affected by obesity alone, without modifications in diet composition.[112].

In the present study, we found that, in addition to increased fecal bile acid content, high-fat feeding also modulated fecal bile acid profile. Bile acids and bile juice are known to impair barrier function in enterocyte monolayers in vitro[12,14], as well as recovery from tissue damage ex vivo[15], and are elevated in serum of high-fat-fed rats.[12]. Our data indicate that high-fat-feeding modifies gut permeability by a mechanism related to bile acids, as previously hypothesized[12].

This is, to the best of our knowledge, the first study to show that high-fat-induced intestinal barrier dysfunction is related to increased intestinal FXR expression. Inagaki et al.[20] have shown in a FXR knockout mouse model that FXR is involved in tight junction integrity. It is unclear, however, what role FXR plays in the pathogenesis of high-fat-induced barrier dysfunction.

Both fecal bile acids and intestinal TNF expression were elevated by high-fat-feeding in the present study. Bile acids are linked to inflammatory pathways, because DCA is able to stimulate the nuclear factor-κB route[25], which regulates TNF expression. A correlation between bile acids and TNF was not observed in our small set of fecal samples. Intestinal FXR and TNF were, however, correlated, which may reflect a link between intestinal bile acid concentration and inflammation. Incubation of jejunum in DCA (0.3 mmol/L) increased prostaglandin E2 in the supernatant, thus indicating a possible role for inflammation in increasing intestinal permeability (data not shown). Orally fed DCA induces intestinal inflammation in mice[14], which further supports the hypothesis that inflammation is involved in the pathogenesis of gut barrier dysfunction. In this study, we observed increased TNF expression in the intestine. TNF is known to increase intestinal permeability in vitro[26-28], and anti-TNF antibodies restore barrier function in vivo[28,30]. However, these data do not permit us to draw conclusions on whether increased TNF expression, in this study, was a cause or a secondary event in increased intestinal permeability.

We observed a halved proportion of UDCA in fecal bile acids of high-fat-fed mice. Moreover, this proportion of UDCA correlated with increased permeability, even when controlled for body weight. These data suggest a barrier protective effect for UDCA in our study. Our results are in agreement with previous reports showing that, as a hydrophilic bile acid, UDCA does not increase epithelial permeability in vitro in comparison to other bile acids[38]. On the contrary, it is capable of counteracting intestinal barrier dysfunction[39,40], protecting against chemically induced colitis[9,32,33] and colitis-associated adenocarcinoma[34] in rodents. Its role may be especially relevant in comparison to DCA, because UDCA protects mitochondria against DCA-induced reactive oxygen species production[38]. It may thus be suggested that, in addition to total bile acid concentration, the bile acid profile is relevant regarding bile-acid-related functions in the intestine.

Intestinal permeability was measured ex vivo with the Ussing chamber as the translocation of large dextrans. This direct method is more representative of intestinal permeability than the often used transepithelial resistance and tight-junction protein analysis. Furthermore, the Ussing chamber allows measurement of permeability from selected tissue segments, unlike direct in vivo methods.

The 4 kDa FITC-dextrans used here are generally believed to translocate through the paracellular spaces, although there are no reports to confirm this. Permeability to FITC-dextrans does, however, correlate with a decrease in tight-junction protein expression[39]. It has also been proposed that translocation of lipopolysaccharides occurs transcellularly via chylomicrons[36]. The methods used in the present study do not distinguish between these two pathways. Their importance in intestinal permeability needs further elucidation.

We evaluated modifications of bile acid metabolism by analyzing fecal bile acids. It must be noted that fecal bile acids only reflect alterations of bile acid metabolism, and this method does not allow us to draw conclusions about liver bile acid metabolism or bile composition. It is, however, an estimate of colonic bile acid concentrations.

In conclusion, high-fat feeding increases permeability in the jejunum and colon, elevates fecal bile acid concentration, and induces intestinal inflammation in mice. Alterations in bile acid homeostasis, namely UDCA synthesis and intestinal FXR expression, may relate to increased intestinal permeability. Our results show that alterations in bile acid metabolism may be associated with intestinal permeability and should be studied as a possible target in affecting the onset of barrier dysfunction.
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COMMENTS

Background

Intestinal permeability has recently been linked to type 2 diabetes, steatosis and steatohepatitis. One proposed cause for increased permeability is a diet high in fat. A high-fat diet increases excretion of bile. Secondary bile acids are known to increase permeability of epithelial monolayers in vitro. However, physiological concentrations of bile juice obtained from healthy rats have failed to increase epithelial monolayer permeability. This may be due to the fact that bile consists of a profile of several bile acids, which differ in cytotoxicity. It has not yet been addressed whether high-fat feeding alters the profile of fecal bile acids, and whether this profile plays a role in the onset of barrier dysfunction.

Research frontiers

Proposed mechanisms for the dietary induction of increased intestinal permeability have mostly been related to alterations in gut microbiota. Only a few publications have mentioned other luminal factors affecting permeability. The research hotspot in this field is how diet modifies bile acid metabolism and leads to increased intestinal permeability.

Innovations and breakthroughs

Although bile is considered detrimental to the gut epithelium, physiological concentrations have not increased epithelial monolayer permeability. We show that not only was bile excretion increased by high-fat feeding, but the profile of fecal bile acids had become more cytotoxic than in healthy control mice. The proportion of a hydrophilic bile acid, urgodeoxycholic acid (UDCA), was decreased by high-fat feeding and correlated inversely with intestinal permeability.

Applications

The prevention of barrier dysfunction may decrease the risk of its associated diseases. The present results suggest that bile acid metabolism is a potential target for the prevention of a barrier dysfunction.

Terminology

Intestinal permeability refers to how large molecules, such as inflammatory bacterial components, translocate through the intestinal epithelium into the circulation. Translocation may be paracellular, through tight-junction proteins, or transcellular, via chylomicrons. UDCA is a hydrophilic bile acid. Deoxycholic acid is a secondary bile acid produced from cholic acid by intestinal microbes.

Peer review

The authors tested intestinal permeability in an ex vivo model (Ussing chambers; mucosal to serosal flux of FITC-labeled dextran) in mice on a high-fat diet; moreover, analysis of fecal bile acids and expression of mucosal tumor necrosis factor and farnesoid X receptor was performed.
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