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ABSTRACT: In Northern Finland, by the rock painting of Värikallio (ca. 3000–500 BC), several echoes can be heard. The most remarkable of these appear to be originating from the painted rock itself. The article presents the first results of the research project that seeks to explore the role of sound in the development and use of Finnish rock art and Sámi offering sites. Field recordings, made at the site of Värikallio in summer 2013, are analyzed with a sound analysis and visualization toolkit, and interpreted with the help of GIS data and a 3D model of the site. A probable depiction of a drummer, identified in the painting in the course of the fieldwork, provides a further clue to the significance of sound rituals at rock paintings.
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1. Introduction

Some 140 prehistoric open-air rock paintings are known from Finland, forming a distinct tradition within the wider phenomenon of Fennoscandian hunter-gatherer rock art (Lahelma 2008; Gjerde 2010). The paintings are located on steep lakeshore cliffs, often in narrow bays between the mainland and an island, straits leading from one lake to another, or narrow canyon-like lakes. Such locations, possibly featuring an anomalous soundscape, inspired the French musicologist Jégor Reznikoff (1995) to conduct some simple acoustic tests (singing, clapping of hands and counting the echoes) at two Finnish rock painting sites and propose that sounds – echoes in particular – may have played a role in the location of Finnish rock paintings. Some later studies (e.g., Lahelma 2010) have embraced the idea and more robust methodology for investigating the matter has been developed by Waller (1993; Waller et al. 1999) and Diaz-Andreu & Garcia Benito (2012), among others. Inspired by this research, and by clues associating sacred sites with acoustic phenomena in the ethnography of the Sámi of Northern Finland (Lahelma 2010, 52–54; Åikä 2011, 84–88), we decided to test this hypothesis and document the echoes at the rock painting site of Värikallio through a series of controlled recordings.
Värrikallio (or 'Colour Rock') lies in the Hossa wilderness park in the north-eastern part of the country, a rugged area far from any major population centres, with a landscape of moraine ridges, elongated canyon-like lakes and largely pristine boreal forests. The paintings have been made on a smallish, southwest-facing cliff rising at the eastern end of Lake Somer (Figure 1) (Taavitsainen 1979). A second, smaller rock painting site lies nearby, but most other sites are located in the Finnish Lake District more than 350 km to the southeast. With regard to the number and range of figures, Värrikallio is one of the largest and most important rock painting sites in Finland. The figures mostly depict simple stick-figure cervids (elk or wild reindeer) and human figures, possibly also some beavers or lizards. Because of blurred contours, counting the exact number of the images is an extremely difficult task, but a conservative estimate would be around 40–50 (Lahelma 2008, appendix 2).

Unlike many other rock art traditions, the dating of Finnish paintings is comparatively well established (e.g., Jussila 1999; Seitsonen 2005). The freshwater basins of the Finnish interior are subject to post-glacial isostatic land uplift and tilting, as a result of which many sites originally painted at water level are now as much as ten metres above the present surface. When the hydrological history of the lake is known, a probable dating can be offered to the painting with the aid of geological shore displacement curves. According to present understanding (see Lahelma 2008, 33–41), the rock painting tradition falls between ca. 5200 and 1000 BC.

However, at Värrikallio the images occur between 20 cm and 2.5 m above the present surface of the lake, suggesting that water levels have not altered greatly during the past millennia. This was a prime reason for choosing the site for acoustic testing, as the acoustic properties of the site should have remained unchanged, but the implication is that shore displacement chronology does not apply. The style of the paintings is somewhat distinct from paintings further south, suggestive of a different cultural affiliation, but similarities are strong enough to place the site within the wider Finnish rock painting tradition and its chronological framework. The stick-figure cervids depicted at Värrikallio are generally a late feature in Finnish rock art, as is the lack of boat figures (Seitsonen 2005; 2008). Thus, the site can tentatively be dated to the Late Neolithic or Early Bronze Age (ca. 3000–500 BC), although in the absence of direct chronometric dates the dating is admittedly very uncertain.

2. Methods

The fieldwork was conducted in August 2013, in the course of a single day. A windy morning and resulting currents of the lake hindered the work, although the acoustic recording was carried out in calm and windless weather in the evening. The recording took place onboard a rowing boat, which made it difficult to keep the measuring equipment stable and to stay still at the measurement points.

figure 1. Painted rock of Värrikallio seen from the southwest. Photo by Tiina Ahtta.

figure 2. Map of the measurement points and the main results of the recording: direction of the arrow = direction of arrival of the echo, size of the arrow = amplitude of the echo with a scale of 0.1, 0.5, 2.0 (grey = manifolds), colour of the measurement point = delay time of the echo coming from the northern shore. Points 1 and 6 are situated closest to the painted rock.
2.1. Mapping

Before recordings, we selected 15 measurement points and marked them with buoys. Points were selected so that 10 of them were located in lines between the rock painting and the opposite shore (Figure 2). In addition, we selected five control points east and west from the painted area. The coordinates of the points were measured using a handheld GPS device. A RTK-GPS (real time kinetic) was also tested in order to acquire more accurate geographic reference points, but the high and steep lakeshore cliffs blocked the signal, making the use of this device impossible. Because of the wind and the deep water in Lake Somer, the buoys moved during the day. The buoys that formed the grid were nevertheless more stable, whereas the location of the control points might have shifted. The painted rock, its environment and the measurement points were also measured using a Leica ScanStation 2 laser scanner. This is a scanner used for surveying infrastructure, topography and buildings. Scanning was conducted from one point on the shore opposite the rock paintings. The accuracy reached was ca. 1 cm at the areas, which were regarded significant for the research, or the smooth painted rock wall and its immediate vicinity. The opposite shore and areas further afield were scanned with lesser accuracy, or ca. 2.5 cm.

Both the 3D data and more traditional GIS analyses were used in the environmental analyses of the recorded sounds. 3D data has previously been used in rock art research for documenting the painted caves and for monitoring rock art erosion (e.g., Simpson et al. 2004; Barnett et al. 2005; Rüther et al. 2009; Lerma et al. 2010; Rodríguez-González et al. 2012). 3D has enhanced the visualization techniques for recording cave spaces. 3D data and acoustic recordings have, nevertheless, rarely been combined in archaeology. A few examples may be cited, but they mostly describe acoustic phenomena in built spaces (e.g., Both 2009; Paliou & Knight 2013). Here 3D data is mainly used to describe the relationship between the echoes and topographical features, e.g., the distance of the measurement points from the shore and the form of the painted rock. Our 3D model also visualizes the topographical features of Lake Somer, showing that the paintings were made on a part of the cliff that differs from its surroundings by its smoothness and inclined form (Figure 3). The combination of 3D data and acoustic measurements helps to explain some variabilities in the acoustic data.

2.2. Recording Equipment and Techniques

As a sound source, we used a starter revolving (cal. 6 mm), handclap and a wooden percussion plate, all of which produce brief and energetic broadband impulses. Of these, the repeatable gunshots were mainly used for sound analysis. Impulse responses of the measurement points were recorded with a Zoom H4n portable recorder (48 kHz/16 bit), which allows recording on four channels simultaneously, using the built-in stereo microphone and two external inputs, in this case Neumann KM 183 condenser microphones. The weighted sound pressure level of ambient sounds was measured with an Amprobe SM-20 sound level meter.

Neumann KM 183 is a diffuse field pressure microphone providing a flat frequency response at a 90° angle from the diaphragm. In our recordings, the KM 183s were used as an AB stereo pair pointed upwards with a mutual distance of 22 cm, i.e. roughly equal of typical ear-to-ear distance of a human head. The AB stereo pair allows measuring the angle of arrival of the reflected impulses based on the time difference of the respective impulses in the recorded microphone signals. The H4n internal XY stereo microphone signal served two functions: 1) a secondary independent stereo recording, where the stereo image is formed by interchannel intensity difference, and 2) a reference signal to separate reflections arriving from the front of the microphone array from those arriving from the back.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M-point</th>
<th>Time delay</th>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Amplitude</th>
<th>Frequency range</th>
<th>Structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.044° s</td>
<td>5 m</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20-2000 Hz</td>
<td>Two-fourfold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.538° s</td>
<td>98 m</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>20-3500 Hz</td>
<td>Manifold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.039° s</td>
<td>11 m</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>20-2000 Hz</td>
<td>Two-fourfold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.413° s</td>
<td>75 m</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>300-3500 Hz</td>
<td>Manifold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.273° s</td>
<td>47 m</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>20-13000 Hz</td>
<td>Two-fourfold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.380° s</td>
<td>39 m</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>250-3500 Hz</td>
<td>Manifold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.425° s</td>
<td>73 m</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>20-9000 Hz</td>
<td>Two-fourfold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.185° s</td>
<td>22 m</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>250-3500 Hz</td>
<td>Manifold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.570° s</td>
<td>99 m</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>20-4000 Hz</td>
<td>Two-fourfold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.038° s</td>
<td>7 m</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>20-2000 Hz</td>
<td>Manifold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.186° s</td>
<td>21 m</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20-2000 Hz</td>
<td>Two-fourfold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.400° s</td>
<td>57 m</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>20-3500 Hz</td>
<td>Manifold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.666° s</td>
<td>21 m</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20-2000 Hz</td>
<td>Two-fourfold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.579° s</td>
<td>99 m</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>20-3500 Hz</td>
<td>Manifold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.400° s</td>
<td>57 m</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>20-3500 Hz</td>
<td>Manifold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.186° s</td>
<td>22 m</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>20-2000 Hz</td>
<td>Two-fourfold</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Characteristics of the main echoes at different measurement points: measurement point, time delay of the echo, distance from the measurement point to the reflecting surface, direction of arrival of the echo with scale (front, right, rear, front, right, rear, back, right, back, front, left, back, left, left, front, front [-front > 120° towards southwest], amplitude of the echo; frequency range of the echo, structure of the echo, i.e. rough number of the reflections with two scale (two-fourfold, manifold).
3. Results

Echoes, i.e. reflections and repetitions of the initial impulse, were heard with the ear at all measurement points (Table 1, Figure 2). Gunshots, handclap and clanging of the percussion plate all performed well. For the most part, the echoes appeared to be reflecting from both shores of Lake Somer, which in this place is about 110 m in breadth. The strongest and most distinct echoes were heard at measurement points 1–10, more or less at right angles to the massive, smooth, vertical painted rock. By ear, it was evident that these reflections were arriving from the direction of the painted rock, and from the more gently sloping shore opposite to it.

3.1. Echo from the Painted Rock

The echo arriving from the painted rock is clearly visible in the oscillograms of measurement points 2–5 and 7–10, some distance away from the rock wall (Figure 4, 5). The spike representing the echo is tall and short indicating that the sound is loud and more or less similar to the initial gunshot. The two-piece form of the spike, however, indicates that the sound is reflected a couple of times. The first of these peaks – or an even fainter one before it – could be caused by the pier in front of the wall. In the sonograms, it can be seen that the echo contains all frequencies of the gunshot (20–20 000 Hz) (Figure 6), or at least a broad range of these, even from a distance of one hundred metres. The highest frequencies are attenuated at measurement points 4, 5, 9 and 10, probably due to the air absorption, windshields of the microphones and the minor high frequency content of the gunshot. Near the rock wall, at measurement points 1 and 6, the echo coincides with the gunshot and therefore cannot be perceived as a separate sound.

The time delay of this echo varies with the measurement point, and corresponds roughly to the distance from that particular point to the painted rock. At measurement point 2, for example, the time delay is 0.180 seconds, which means that the reflecting surface must be at a distance of 31 m, i.e. somewhere near the painted rock. Also small time differences between the microphones confirm that the sound arrives from this direction. Slight deviations at measurement points 6–10 could be explained by the uncontrolled turning and gliding of the boat. Such a strong response from the painted rock is not surprising. A smooth, hard, vertical rock wall is generally highly reflective, and tends to reflect nearly all impinging sound energy (Waller et al. 1999, 180–182).

A large area of the inclined wall, in this case about 130 m², ensures that a vast amount of energy is reflected back to the sender.

3.2. Echo from the Opposite Shore

The echo arriving from the opposite shore is best seen in the oscillograms of measurement points 1 and 6, where the echo from the painted rock is not blanketing out other reflections (Figure 7). Contrary to the previous echo, this echo is soft and long, and seems to convert the gunshot into a series of slight, rapidly spaced echoes, not resolvable by the human ear. In the sonograms, it can be seen that the sound contains mainly mid-frequencies 250–3 500 Hz (Figure 8). Higher and lower frequencies are quickly attenuated along the way. At measurement points 4, 5, 9 and 10, this echo precedes, and at measurement points 1, 2, 6 and 7, it succeeds the echo from the painted rock (Figure 9). Thus, two different echoes can be heard answering each other and reversing the order.
3.3 Flutter Echo Between the Painted Rock and the Opposite Shore?

When the long tail of the latter echo is studied more carefully, it appears that it has intensity peaks at more or less frequent and sparse intervals. At measurement point 8, for example, these peaks occur 0.630 and 0.953 seconds after the impulse. At measurement point 6, they occur 0.654 and 0.718 seconds after the impulse. At measurement point 7, the loudest frequency of the percussion plate makes audible peaks 0.556, 0.643 and 0.862 seconds after the impulse. These level boosts suggest re-reflection and superposition of two waves traveling in opposite directions (cf. Rossing et al. 2002, 44-46). The pattern hints that, at least at measurement points 1–10, sound not only reflects back from the painted rock and the opposite shore, but also bounces back and forth repeatedly following the same path. As these attenuated returns occur in fairly rapid succession, they cannot be heard as distinct echoes, but as a combination or undulation of the earlier sound. Thus, the painted rock seems to be an efficient sound reflector, capable of producing both a strong true echo and this kind of multiple flutter echo.

3.4. Other Echoes and Ambient Sounds

At other measurement points 11–15, echoes arrive similarly from both opposing shores of the lake. These echoes, however, are less distinct and more rapidly attenuated, probably because there are no smooth rock walls on the shoreline. Multiple overlapping and slightly divergent reflections within the first 0.2 seconds of the echo support this hypothesis. According to Shelley et al. (2013), narrow tree trunks tend to reflect especially mid-frequency sounds around the 1000 Hz octave band, where the wave length is comparable to the diameter of the trees.

ambient noise level (LA) from 29–30 dB upwards. The brash originates from small rapids at the eastern end of the lake, some 250 m away. By the rapids, the noise level (LA) is 60–62 dB and prevents any echoes from being perceived. At the studied site, no traffic noise or any other urban sounds can be heard.

4.1. Efficient Sound Reflection

Our first recordings at the rock painting of Värkijärvi indicate that the massive smooth painted rock is an efficient sound reflector. It reproduces the impulse rather accurately, in respect of intensity, structure, duration and spectrum of the sound, even from afar, the other side of the lake. It also seems to reinforce and prolong the echo from the opposite shore by creating a repetitive flutter echo between the parallel shorelines. The echoes from the painted rock are not the only ones at the studied site, but they dominate the space. Even a soft conversation and laughter appear to be reflecting back to the sender. Further studies with various softer impulses, sine sweeps and more stationary measurement points would be needed. At any rate, this kind of rock wall is probably the most efficient sound reflector found in the nature, or known by the people in prehistoric Finland.

Echoes and flutter echoes are undesirable phenomena in current architectural acoustics (Rossing et al. 2002, 534–537). Reflected waves of the echo appear to be originating from a point behind the barrier, from inside the wall, or outside the enclosed space (Rossing et al. 2002, 51–52). Reflected waves behave ambiguously, disorientate the listener and give rise to auditory illusions (Cross & Watson 2006, 111–113). Although avoided today, these effects could be viewed positively in pre-modern times, and exploited in the use of certain archaeological spaces, for example rock art sites that have been associated with shamanistic rituals (Lahelma 2008). However, it is important to notice that the properties of smoothness, hardness and verticality, not only contributed to sound reflection, but also provided good canvases to painters.

4.2. Drumming Figure in the Painting

Some ethnographic sources indicate that
Sámi noaidi or shamans of the historical period sang or chanted at places that featured a prominent echo (Paulalajarvi 1932, 50), and while drumming is not mentioned, it seems reasonable to assume that a shaman drum (goubden) could also be used in such a context, as shamanism and the use of drums are strongly connected in both Sámi culture and more generally in the circumpolar Arctic (e.g., Ahlbäck & Bergman 1991). This makes it particularly interesting to note that in the course of our fieldwork, we identified a probable depiction of a drummer (Figure 11) overlooked in the previous documentation. Work carried out at Värikalio (Taavitsainen 1979; Kivikäs 1995, 87–101). The figure (height 22 cm, width 17 cm) is located at the right end of the panel (part of Taavitsainen’s group b), ca. 1.40 m above lake surface, and appears to hold a round object in its left hand, while the right hand is raised in a striking or beating position. It is unique in Finnish rock paintings, where human figures generally do not carry objects or engage in any kind of activity, but has parallels in the hunter-gatherer rock carvings of Northern Norway – such as Skävberg (Simonsen 1958) and particularly Alta (Helskog 1988, 53, 94) – where drumming figures exhibit a very similar posture. If our identification of the Värikalio figure is correct, this provides a strong argument in support of the significance of sound rituals at rock paintings. The effects of drumming on the site acoustics would be worth testing.

5. Conclusions

According to our field recordings, the surroundings of the rock painting of Värikalio is clearly resounding, having audible echoes at each measurement point. The most remarkable and carrying echo is answering from the direction of the painted rock, the largest rock wall in this part of the lake. The painted rock appears to be highly resonant, and to create not only a strong true echo, but also a flutter echo between the opposite shore and itself. These results, as well as an identified drumming figure among the paintings, lend support to the hypothesis that sound played an important role in the development and use of this and other Finnish rock art sites.
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ABSTRACT: In this paper we are going to examine the Pharos as a Total Work of Art, connected with the soundscape and landscape of Alexandria. Viewing the Pharos through the prism of the contemporary history of art and music, we analyze the characteristics that render it a masterpiece. As a soundscape the Pharos is connected to the environment and the perception of the people who lived in the area in a refined era. In this sense this artwork connects ancient and contemporary thought as well as Oriental and European sensory flair.
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The Site

The Lighthouse (The Pharos) of Alexandria, one of the seven wonders of the Ancient World, marked the entrance to the city port of Alexandria. The Pharos was constructed in the early 3rd century BC, at the time when the Ptolemaic dynasty had reached the zenith of its power and was soon to become the landmark of Alexandria.

Even before the astounding construction there was, of course, the legendary site, that was sung by Homer as the home of the ever-changing god Proteus. Alexander the Great, who used to sleep with the Odyssey and the Iliad under his pillow, was eager to build an eponymous and unmistakably Greek city on the Nile Delta. The architect had already taken the necessary measurements when the young king dreamt of an old grey-haired man that pointed out the island of Pharos to him. Alexander exclaimed that Homer was, besides other things, the greatest architect and he immediately gave orders to lay down the plan for the lighthouse on this spot (Plut. Al. 26.5-8).

The site was indeed favorable in the sense that the island lay, far enough off the coast of the Canopic mouth of the Nile Delta to
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