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Fundamental models of aerosol nanoparticle coagulation sink in the atmosphere are sophisticated and require detailed knowledge about the size distribution of background aerosol particles. Here, we present empiric equations which help to make quantitative relations graspable and support quick and rough estimation of the coagulation sink according to background aerosol particle concentration and vice versa when browsing limited data. Accuracy of an empiric equation is described by a deviation between the results obtained according to two methods: fundamental models and empiric equations. Optimizing empiric equations is discussed considering a set of aerosol size distributions measured at the SMEAR II station, Finland, during years 2008–2010. Fundamental models include the particle-particle coagulation model by Dahneke and the air ion attachment model by Hoppel and Frick. Small air ions are considered a special kind of nanoparticles. The background aerosol is characterized with a power-weighted integral concentration in a restricted range of particle diameters. The power exponent and borders of the diameter range are adjusted when optimizing the equation. Nanoparticles are represented with a power function of the diameter or with the electric mobility. Compromises between the simplicity of the equation and the accuracy of the approximation are considered and different versions of empiric equations are proposed.

Introduction

Understanding of climate changes requires knowledge about processes controlling the Earth’s cloud cover including the formation of new particles in atmospheric air and their growth up to the size of cloud condensation nuclei. The growth is controlled by the condensation of gaseous components on the nanometer size seeds of particles and by the scavenging of these seeds due to coagulation with preexisting coarse particles of a background aerosol (Kulmala 2003, Kulmala et al. 2004, Westervelt et al. 2012). New particle formation and growth are sensitive to the variation of the coagulation sink of the finest nanoparticles (Kerminen and Kulmala 2002, Kulmala et al. 2012, Kulmala et al. 2013).

If the distribution of aerosol particles according to their size is known then the coagulation sink can be calculated according to a fundamental model as an integral over the product of the coagulation coefficient and the distribu-
An ordinary computer can examine a large dataset during a few seconds, and replacing a fundamental model with a simple empiric equation does not have considerable effect on data processing. This raises a question why empiric equations are needed. Empiric equations have different applications. In some situations they help to make quantitative relations comprehensible. For example, Lehtinen et al. (2007) showed that coagulation sink depends on the diameters of nanoparticles approximately according to the power law with an empiric exponent of about \(-1.6\). This result has perceptive value and resolves the earlier theoretical discussion where the value of the power exponent was usually assumed to be \(-2\) or \(-1\). Another application is quick and rough estimation of the coagulation sink according to the concentration of background aerosol particles and vice versa when browsing limited data. The detailed size distributions of background aerosol particles are measured only in well-equipped stations of atmospheric aerosol research (Manninen et al. 2010) and are usually not openly accessible. Journal publications may include only few examples of detailed distribution function. The integral parameters like number concentrations of particles in specified size ranges are more widely available. Here, a proper empiric equation can be useful as a practical tool. Additionally, the empiric equations may have applications in approximate mathematical models of nanoparticle and air ion dynamics.

Theoretical estimates and statistical characteristics of coagulation sink in boreal atmospheric air have been analyzed in earlier studies by Dal Maso et al. (2002, 2005) and by Lehtinen et al. (2007) using the data recorded during 1996–2003 at the SMEAR II station in Hyytiälä, Finland (Hari and Kulmala 2005). The present study is based on the measurements made at the same station during three years (2008–2010) using improved instrumentation and methods. The shapes of background aerosol size distributions in European unpolluted low altitude stations are relatively similar with the exception of one seashore station (Asmi et al. 2011). The main differences between stations can be found in levels of concentration. Empiric equations which do not have pretensions of high accuracy may appear representative for a wide geographic zone. The quality criteria for an empiric equation are comprehensibility, convenience in applications and good match of results with fundamental models. Our choices for reference fundamental models are the model of coagulation in transition regime by Dahneke (1983) recommended by Gopalakrishnan and Hogan (2011), and the Hoppel-Frick model of the attachment of air ions to aerosol particles (Hoppel and Frick 1990).

**Conventions**

The chemical composition and internal structure of particles are not discussed in the present paper and all particles are considered spheres of definite diameter. The size range of fine nanoparticles is limited to the diameter of 10 nm, and particles with diameters larger than 10 nm are considered background aerosol particles. Small ions are a special kind of electrically charged nanoparticles with a typical diameter of 0.7–0.8 nm. We assume that the concentration of nanoparticles is low and their sink is caused by coagulation with background particles. This means that the nanoparticle-nanoparticle coagulation is neglected. The sink of nanoparticles \(S\) is measured in \(s^{-1}\) and defined as the relative intensity of loss in an imaginary situation when there is no supply of particles

\[
S = -\frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{dt},
\]

Here \(N\) is the concentration of particles in the investigated size category. The sink of nanoparticles depends on the size and electric charge of nanoparticles and on the size and charge distributions of the background aerosol particles. The distribution of electric charges of aerosol particles in natural atmospheric air does not usually greatly deviate from the thermodynamic equilibrium distribution and the probability to have more than one elementary charge on a nanoparticle is negligible. Thus the nanoparticles are considered neutral or singly charged spheres.

Particle size distribution is described by the function \(n(d_p) = \frac{dN}{dd_p}\), where \(N\) is the number concentration of the particles whose diameter does not exceed \(d_p\). When plotting the distribu-
tions in a wide range of diameters, the function \( dN/d[\log(d_p)] = \ln(10)d_p n(d_p) \) is often used. The category of particles can be accented by replacing the universal index \( p \) with a specified index: \( n \) marks the nanoparticles, \( b \) the background aerosol particles, \( 0 \) the neutral particles, \( 1 \) the singly charged particles and \( q \) the particles with \( q \) elementary charges. The sink can be expressed separately for neutral particles or charged particles. The full sink of charge-specified particles includes a coagulation component and a charging or neutralization component. The coagulation sink includes only the coagulation component.

Background particles in the humid natural air contain some amount of water. We assume that part of the water is lost in the measuring process and the size distributions are recorded for slightly shrunken particles. The diameter of background particles in an analyzer \( (d_b) \) is called the measured diameter and indicated on the horizontal axis when plotting the diagrams of size distributions. However, the sink of nanoparticles is to be calculated considering the hygroscopic growth of background particles. Corresponding natural diameter of a particle in the atmosphere is marked with the index \( h \) and it can slightly exceed the measured diameter: \( d_h > d_b \). The data obtained from published measurements correspond, as a rule, to the diameter \( d_b \). Thus the diameter \( d_b \) is used as an argument in empiric equations, which should represent the correlation between the coagulation sink and the measurements of aerosol parameters.

The power-weighted integral concentration of background aerosol particles in a size range \((d_1, d_2)\)

\[
N_{k,d_1,d_2} = \int_{d_1}^{d_2} \left( \frac{d_b}{1 \text{ nm}} \right)^k n_b(d_b) dd_b \tag{2}
\]

has the unit of \( \text{cm}^{-3} \) independent of the power exponent \( k \). The number concentration of background particles in a size range \((d_1, d_2)\) is

\[
N_{d_1,d_2} = N_{0,d_1,d_2} = \int_{d_1}^{d_2} n_b(d_b) dd_b. \tag{3}
\]

A widely used range-specific number concentration \( N_{50,500} \) includes background particles with diameters from 50 to 500 nm. The boundaries of the background particle diameters \( d_1 \) and \( d_2 \) are shown in the index as expressed in nanometers. The dimensionless numeric value of the nanoparticle diameter as measured in nanometers is denoted \( d_{nm} = d_p/1 \text{ nm} \). This quantity can be raised to a fractional power without dimension problems.

Reference data

Data used in the present study were acquired during three years (2008–2010) at the SMEAR II station (Station for Measuring Forest Ecosystem–Atmosphere Relations) located in Hyytiälä, Finland (61°31´N, 24°17´E, 181 m a.s.l., 220 km NW from Helsinki), and run by the University of Helsinki (Hari and Kulmala 2005). The station provided data for the networks of European Supersites for Atmospheric Aerosol Research (EUSAAR, see http://www.eusaar.net/upload/SMEAR2.pdf) and EMEP/GAW joint super sites (Asmi et al. 2011). The air quality in Hyytiälä represents typical regional background conditions in southern boreal zone of Europe. The instruments are located in a cottage within a Scots pine stand. Conditions of measurements were the same as described by Virkkula et al. (2011). Distribution of particles according to the diameter was measured using the Differential Mobility Particle Sizers (DMPS) with Hauke-type DMAs and the Aerodynamic Particle Sizer (APS) TSI model 3321. The DMPS data of the distribution function \( dN/d[\log(d_p)] \) is given at 38 geometric diameters in the range of 3–980 nm and the APS data at 52 aerodynamic diameters in the range of 520 nm–19.8 \( \mu \text{m} \). During data preparation, the two datasets were merged by converting the aerodynamic diameters to geometric diameters by the factor of 0.82, following the argumentation by Virkkula et al. (2011). Exactness of the conversion is not critical in the present study because most of the nanoparticle sink is caused by background particles in the size range of DMPS measurements.

Data was originally recorded with the time interval of 10 min and presented with 1107 diurnal files for DMPS measurements and 1051 diurnal files for APS measurements. About 90% of available time is covered with both DMPS and APS measurements. The reference dataset was compiled in the following way: First, the hourly
averages were calculated, including only the hours that had at least 3 datapoints. Next, the distributions of particles according to the measured diameter were converted into the logarithmically uniform grid with 16 size intervals in a diameter decade, where the geometric centers of the intervals from 2.94 nm to 14.3 µm are \( d_b^i = 10^{(i + 6.5)/16}, i = 1, \ldots, 60 \) (only the subset \( i = 10, \ldots, 57 \) is used in the present study). The diameter grid was chosen to match the original grid of most of the instrument recordings. The simultaneous DMPS and APS data were merged so that the overlapping size range of 500–1000 nm was filled with the weighted averages

\[
n(d_b) = [(1000 \text{ nm} - d_b) n_{\text{DMPS}}(d_b) + (d_b - 500 \text{ nm}) n_{\text{APS}}(d_b)]/500 \text{ nm}.
\]

Here \( n_{\text{DMPS}}(d_b) \) and \( n_{\text{APS}}(d_b) \) are the distribution functions from the DMPS and APS instruments.

Finally, the data were analyzed with the aim of detecting possible defects. During this step about 7% of records were eliminated because recognizable distortions were detected, mostly lack of data in some size segment. The final dataset includes 21 682 hours of data, which covers 82.5% of possible hours during the considered period. Mean distributions of number concentration and power-weighted concentrations are plotted in a logarithmic diagram (Fig. 1).

The coagulation sink depends on the size of the background aerosol particles in natural air where they contain some water. The reference data are tabulated according to particle measured diameter \( d_b \) and do not include the information necessary for exact determination of the ratio of the natural diameter \( d_h \) to the measured diameter \( d_b \). The standard hygroscopic growth factor \( GF_{90} \) corresponds to the change in relative humidity from a very low value to 90%. The relative humidity inside the instruments is only partially reduced and the humidity of outdoor air is usually less than 90%. Thus the ratio \( d_h/d_b < GF_{90} \). We accept a rough approximation assuming 50% of the full hygroscopic growth

\[
d_b = \lbrack 1 + (GF_{90} - 1)/2 \rbrack d_b.
\]

Ehn et al. (2007) investigated the factor \( GF_{90} \) for particles up to dry diameter of 50 nm in Hyytiälä and found that \( GF_{90} \) increases with the particle size and has a distinct diurnal variation around the value of about 1.25 in case of the dry diameter of 50 nm. The sink of nanoparticles is caused mostly by particles larger than 100 nm in diameter. Adam et al. (2012) found mean \( GF_{90} \) of about 1.32 for 165 nm particles in Italy. Fors et al. (2011) recorded \( GF_{90} \) in the Swedish rural station of Vavihill during 27 months in 2008–2010 and found the mean diurnal variation of \( GF_{90} \) to be between 1.30 and 1.33 for the dry diameter of 50 nm and between 1.45 and 1.48 for the dry diameter of 165 nm (Table 1), while the scattering of values from hour to hour was low and characterized with a standard deviation of about 0.1. We will use an approximation

\[
GF_{90} \approx 1.5 - 0.3 \exp(-d_b/100 \text{ nm}) \tag{6}
\]

based on results by Fors et al. (2011).
Sink of neutral nanoparticles

The size distribution of background aerosol particles is originally presented according to the measured diameter $d_n$. Coagulation sink of neutral nanoparticles of diameter $d_n$ on background aerosol particles depends on the natural diameters $d_h$ and can be calculated as

$$S(d_n) = \int \beta_{co}(d_n, d_h) \beta_{co}(d_n, d_h) dd_h = \int \beta_{co}(d_n, d_h) \beta_{co}(d_n, d_h) dd_h, \quad (7)$$

where $d_h$ and $d_n$ are related according to Eqs. 5 and 6 and $\beta_{co}(d_n, d_h)$ is the mutual coagulation coefficient for two neutral particles. In our earlier work (Tammet and Kulmala 2005), coagulation coefficients were calculated according to Sahni interpolation between the free molecule regime and the continuum regime as recommended by Fuchs and Sutugin (1971). Gopalakrishnan and Hogan (2011) confirmed the earlier conclusion by Otto et al. (1999) that a better compromise between exactness and computational simplicity is offered by the interpolation by Dahneke:

$$\beta_{co} = \frac{2 + \kappa}{2 + 2\kappa + \kappa^2}, \quad \kappa = \frac{\beta_{co}}{\beta_{co}} \left( \frac{\pi kT (m_n + m_h)}{2m_n m_h} \right), \quad (8)$$

where $\beta_{co}$ and $\beta_{co}$ are the limits of coagulation coefficient for the free molecule regime and the continuum regime, $k$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T$ is the absolute temperature, $m_n$ and $m_h$ are the masses of the nanoparticle and the background particle, $B_n$ and $B_h$ are the mechanical mobilities of these particles, and $\kappa$ is the Dahneke’s analogue of the Knudsen number. Electrical and mechanical mobilities are calculated according to Tammet (1995, 2012).

We assume in the following discussion that the background particles may be electrically charged and that the distribution according to the charge corresponds to the thermodynamic equilibrium. Charge of a background particle polarizes the neutral nanoparticle and may enhance the coagulation. Effect of polarization can be theoretically estimated using the kinetic theory and the $(\infty - 4)$ potential model, which considers a nanoparticle a hard sphere with an elementary charge located in the centre of the sphere. Numeric calculation using the approximation of the collision integral according to Tammet (1995) showed that the polarization can enhance the coagulation of neutral nanoparticles with equilibrium-charged background aerosol particles by up to 0.02% when assuming the mean size distribution in the reference set of background aerosol measurements. Thus the nanoparticle polarization is neglected in the following discussion and the coagulation coefficients for neutral nanoparticles are calculated according to Dahneke interpolation without additional corrections.

The distribution function of the sink as a function of the particle diameter equals to the product of the coagulation coefficient and the distribution function of background particles, and the coagulation coefficient is calculated considering corresponding natural diameters of the background particles:

$$\frac{dS}{dd_h}(d_n, d_h) = \beta_{co}(d_n, d_h) n_h(d_h). \quad (9)$$

Mean distributions of the sink of different sized nanoparticles on the reference set of background aerosol measurements is illustrated with a diagram (Fig. 2) in the similar way as the distribution of number concentration. Some size regions of background aerosol particles have important contribution to the sink and some play a minor role (Table 2). About 90% of the coagulation sink of nanoparticles is caused by background aerosol particles with a measured diameter of 50–500 nm.

Lehtinen et al. (2007) showed that the sink of fine nanoparticles is approximately proportional to $d_n^{m-1}$, where the exponent $m$ is typically 1.6–1.7. The present calculations confirm this conclusion. If the sink is expected to be proportional to $d_n^{m-1}$, then the ratio $S_2/S_1$ for the diameters of $d_1$ and $d_2$ is $(d_2/d_1)^m$ and mean $m$ for an interval $(d_1, d_2)$ can be estimated as $m = \ln(S_2/S_1)/\ln(d_2/d_1)$ (Lehtinen et al. 2007). Corresponding estimates
of $m$ for monodisperse background aerosol particles are illustrated with a diagram (Fig. 3). The sink on the polydisperse background aerosol is dominated by particles with the diameter of 100–300 nm (Fig. 3) where the power exponents are close to $m = 1.6 – 1.7$ (Fig. 3).

The sink is positively correlated with the concentration of background aerosol particles in any specified size range. The corresponding empiric equation is

$$S = a d_{n,m}^{-m} N_{k,d_1,d_2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where the power-weighted concentration of background particles is calculated according to Eq. 2. The background particle diameter limits $d_1$ and $d_2$ are chosen 50–500 nm or 10–10000 nm as usual in practice of aerosol measurements. Three parameters of empiric equation $a$, $m$, and $k$ are to be evaluated according to the criterion of minimum of the geometric standard deviation (GSD) between the estimates of the sink according to Eq. 10 and the reference values calculated according to Eqs. 7 and 8. In case of small variation, GSD is replaced with the relative standard deviation $RSD = \sigma/\mu \approx \text{GSD} – 1$, where $\mu$ is the arithmetic mean of the sink and $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of the difference between the empiric estimates and the reference values of the sink.

The numeric experiments were made considering the full set of 21 682 background aerosol distributions while the values of $d_n$ were randomly chosen from the set of integers 1–10, corresponding to $d_n$ values 1–10 nm. The quality of approximation depends on the range of the background particle diameters under consideration and the two exponents $m$ and $k$. The full size range of 10 nm–10 µm allows achieving a minimum value of RSD of about 4.5% through the reference set of hourly average distributions in Hyytiälä (Fig. 4). The corresponding approximation

![Fig. 2. Mean distribution of the sink of neutral nanoparticles with the diameters of 1, 2, 4 and 10 nm on the reference set of background aerosol measurements. The sink is calculated considering the natural diameters of background particles but presented in the scale of measured diameters.](image)

![Fig. 3. Mean power law exponent $m = \ln(S_2/S_1)/\ln(d_2/d_1)$ for different intervals of nanoparticle diameter as a function of the diameter of background particles (assumed here monodisperse).](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$d_b$</th>
<th>$d_b &lt; 20 \text{ nm}$</th>
<th>$d_b &lt; 50 \text{ nm}$</th>
<th>$d_b = 20–500 \text{ nm}$</th>
<th>$d_b = 50–500 \text{ nm}$</th>
<th>$d_b &gt; 500 \text{ nm}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$d_n = 1 \text{ nm}$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_n = 2 \text{ nm}$</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_n = 4 \text{ nm}$</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_n = 10 \text{ nm}$</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Percentages of the coagulation sink of different sized nanoparticles caused by different classes of background particles in case of the mean size distribution of reference aerosol. $d_n$ and $d_b$ denote the nanoparticle and background particle diameters.
S \approx (1.03 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}) d_{\text{n/nm}}^{-1.6} N_{145,10-10000} \quad (11)

confirms the exponent –1.6 of nanoparticle diameter proposed by Lehtinen et al. (2007) and gives an exponent of 1.45 of background particle diameter. Unfortunately, the power-weighted concentration with an exponent of 1.45 cannot be considered a well comprehensible or widely used parameter of the background aerosol and Eq. 11 is not handy when the task is to make quick estimates while browsing the data.

A popular integral measure of atmospheric aerosol number concentration is $N_{50-500}$ (Asmi et al. 2011). For this size range, the coefficient $a$ optimized for the reference dataset is $1.45 \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$. The corresponding empiric equation

$$S \approx (1.45 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}) d_{\text{n/nm}}^{-1.6} N_{50-500} \quad (12)$$

is well grasappable and convenient in practical applications. However, the accuracy of this approximation is not so good; the GSD is estimated to be about 1.3. The deviation of calculated values from reference results (Eqs. 7 and 8) is illustrated in Fig. 5. Approximation fails when the mean diameter of background particles is out of the range of 50–500 nm. Unfortunately, the incidents of small mean diameter happen mostly during burst events and Eq. 12 cannot be recommended when analyzing new particle formation events.

**Sink of singly charged nanoparticles and small ions**

Singly charged nanoparticles are often called air ions, while the particles of diameter less than 1.5 nm are small ions and particles of diameter 1.5–7.5 nm are intermediate ions. The coagulation coefficient of small air ions with aerosol particles is called the attachment coefficient. The attachment of air ions to aerosol particles was estimated on basis of fundamental calculations by Fuchs (1963), Hoppel and Frick (1986, 1990), and Stommel and Riebel (2007). The fundamental models lead to sophisticated computational algorithms that are inconvenient when solving practical problems of atmospheric aerosol dynamics. Hoppel and Frick (1986, 1990) published extended tables of attachment coefficients based on capacious computations. Tammet (1991), Tammet and Kulmala (2005) and Tammet et al. (2006) proposed a computationally convenient mathematical approximation which can replace the tables during the numerical processing of atmospheric aerosol measurements:

$$x = \frac{qe^2}{2\pi \epsilon_n kT (d_n + d_b)}$$

$$\beta_s(d_s,d_s) = 2\pi kT (d_s + d_b) \left[B_s^2 + B_b^2 \right]$$

$$\times \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{1 + aq (q-1) + (d_s + d_b)/b} \right]$$

$$\times \frac{x}{e^x - 1}. \quad (13)$$
Here $x$ is the dimensionless interaction potential at the contact between the ion and the particle of background aerosol, $q$ is the number of elementary charges on the particle (repelling charge should be presented with a positive and attracting charge with a negative number), $e$ is the elementary charge, $\varepsilon_0$ is the electric constant, $B_n$ and $B_h$ are the mechanical mobilities of the air ion and the background aerosol particle, and $a$ and $b$ are the parameters of the mathematical approximation. Parameter values $a = 0.4$ and $b = 25$ nm used in present study are optimized for diameters $d_b > 10$ nm. In case of neutral particles $q = 0$ and the term $x/(e^x - 1)$ should be replaced by 1. The mechanical mobility $B$ can be estimated as $Z/e$, where $Z$ is the electrical mobility of a corresponding singly-charged particle.

Distribution of the sink of singly charged nanoparticles according to the background particle size is characterized with function

$$\frac{dS}{dd_b}(d_s, d_b) = \sum_q \beta_q(d_s, d_b)n_{b_q}(d_b),$$

where $n_{b_q}$ is the size distribution of background particles carrying $q$ elementary charges and $\beta_q$ is the attachment coefficient or coagulation coefficient between a singly charged nanoparticle and a $q$-charged background particle. Argument of the distribution function of $q$-charged particles $n_{b_q}(d_b)$ is the measured diameter $d_b$ of the particle, but the charge probabilities should be ascertained for corresponding values of natural diameter $d_h$. The total sink is integral

$$S_q(d_s) = \int \sum_q \beta_q(d_n, d_b)n_{b_q}(d_b)dd_b,$$

where the sum is to be calculated over all negative, zero, and positive values of $q$. The limits of $q$ depend on the particle size, in the case of $d_b = 10$ µm, the value of $q$ was varied from –38 to + 38. The effect of background particle charge distribution is significant for smaller aerosol particles up to the diameter of about 400 nm and negligible for large background particles (Fig. 6). Background particles with a diameter around 200 nm have a dominating role in the total sink of small ions just as they dominate the sink of neutral nanoparticles.

The empiric equations were designed following the same method as in the case of sink of neutral nanoparticles. Correlation between the sink of small ions and power-weighted concentrations for the reference set of background aerosol measurements in the full range of 10 nm–10 µm exceeds 99.9% at the exponent of 1.1 (Fig. 7). This is explained by the fact that most of the sink is caused by particles in the size range of about 100–300 nm where attachment is well approximated with Maxwell equation for diffusion deposition and is nearly proportional to the particle diameter. Exponent of 1.1 is close to 1 and satisfactory precision can be achieved as well at exponent 1, in which case the
power-weighted concentration has comprehensible physical interpretation as the diameter length concentration of background aerosol. Diameters of small ions are usually measured with electric mobility spectrometers and the primary information is presented with the measured mobilities $Z$. Therefore it is reasonable to replace the diameter with corresponding electric mobility $Z$ in the empiric equations. In this case the coefficient of proportionality has dimension of voltage and the optimized empiric equation is

$$S_i = (0.164 \, V) Z \int_{0.5}^{10 \, \mu m} d_b n_b (d_b) \, dd_b, \quad (16)$$

Accuracy of this equation is characterized with GSD = 1.05 or relative standard deviation RSD of about 5% when results of Eq. 16 are compared with estimates according to Eqs. 13 and 15. Equation 16 delivers on average 3% larger values of the sink than an earlier approximation by Tammet (1991), which was based on Smerkalov’s mean size distribution of atmospheric aerosols (Smerkalov 1984). The small divergence between two independently derived empiric equations based on different sets of aerosol measurements indicates that the empiric equations may be not very sensitive to specific geographic location.

The diameter length concentration is still not a popular measure of the background aerosol and its values are usually not presented in publications. Thus the practical applications of Eq. 16 are still limited. An alternative empiric equation

$$S_i \approx (2.6 \times 10^{-6} \, V \, cm) Z N_{50-500}, \quad (17)$$

is based on the plain number concentration $N_{50-500}$. Unfortunately, the dispersion between values calculated according to this approximation and the model Eqs. 13 and 15 over the full set of reference measurements is much higher and corresponds to GSD = 1.3.

The probability of having multiple charges on an intermediate ion is negligible and singly charged nanoparticles up to the diameter of 10 nm can be considered in the same way as small ions. The sink of charged nanoparticles on background particles exceeds the sink of neutral particles because the attachment to oppositely charged particles is strongly enhanced and not fully compensated by the reduction of the attachment in case of coinciding polarities. Values of the sink of charged nanoparticles can be calculated according to Eq. 15, considering the actual size and mobility of the particle. Divergence from the distribution of neutral nanoparticle sink is pretty large and dies away only at the diameters above 1000 nm (Fig. 8).

An empiric equation for estimating the sink of charged nanoparticles $S_i$ can be based on an equation derived for the sink of neutral nanoparticles $S_0$. Dependence of the ratio $S_i/S_0$ on the nanoparticle diameter in the reference set of background aerosol size distributions is approximated with function

$$S_i (d_n) = \left(1 + \frac{1.5}{\sqrt{d_{\text{avm}}} - 4 (d_{\text{avm}} + 2)^3} \right) S_0 (d_n), \quad (18)$$

Empiric Eq. 18 and its deviation from fundamental models are illustrated with a diagram in Fig. 9. This equation is neither easily grasplable nor convenient for making rough estimates during quick browsing of data but can still serve as a practical tool when analyzing the measurements of intermediate air ions.

**Conclusions**

An empiric equation may help to make quantita-
tive relations comprehensible or assist in quick and rough estimation of the coagulation sink according to background aerosol particle concentration and vice versa when browsing limited data. These two goals lead to alternative versions of empiric equations related to the same problem.

A convenient basis for studying the size distribution of atmospheric aerosols is created by transforming the three-year measurement dataset from Hyytiälä, Finland, into a regular table of reference data of 21,682 hourly average distributions.

Two empiric equations are derived to allow estimating the sink of neutral nanoparticles on the preexisting coarse particles of background aerosol. The first equation (Eq. 11) has a low relative standard deviation of 4.5% in the reference set of measurements. It confirms the exponent –1.6 of nanoparticle diameter proposed by Lehtinen et al. (2007) and gives an exponent of 1.45 of background particle diameter. The fractional exponent 1.45 is not handy when the task is to make quick estimates while browsing the data. Thus Eq. 11 has only perceptive value. Another equation (Eq. 12) uses plain number concentration $N_{50-500}$ and is convenient in practical applications. However, the accuracy of this approximation is not good; the geometric standard deviation is about 1.3.

Other two empiric equations derived in this study allow the estimation of the sink of small air ions. The first equation (Eq. 16) has a geometric standard deviation of 1.05 through the reference set of measurements. Here the exponent of the background aerosol particle diameter is 1 and the power-weighted concentration has comprehensible physical interpretation as the diameter length concentration of aerosol particles. The values calculated according to this equation differ by 3% from the values calculated according to a similar equation derived by Tammet (1991) on the basis of a distinct size distribution of atmospheric aerosols by Smerkalov (1984). The small difference indicates that the empiric equations may be not very sensitive to specific geographic location. An alternative empiric Eq. 17 uses the plain number concentration $N_{50-500}$ and appears to be more convenient for making quick estimates when browsing the data but has a high geometric standard deviation of about 1.3.

The sink of charged nanoparticles of diameter up to 10 nm or intermediate ions is compared with the sink of neutral particles of the same size. The ratio $S_1/S_0$ approaches 1 when the diameter of the nanoparticle is increased. Numerical experiments allow approximating the ratio $S_1/S_0$ with empiric Eq. 18.
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