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**SUMMARY**

Pathological lymphatic diseases mostly affect vessels in specific tissues, yet little is known about organ-specific regulation of the lymphatic vasculature. Here, we show that the vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 3 (VEGFR-3)/p110α PI3-kinase signaling pathway is selectively required for the formation of mesenteric lymphatic vasculature. Using genetic lineage tracing, we demonstrate that part of the mesenteric lymphatic vasculature develops from cKit lineage cells of hemogenic endothelial origin through a process we define as lymph-vasculogenesis. This is contrary to the current dogma that all mammalian lymphatic vessels form by sprouting from veins. Our results reveal vascular-bed-specific differences in the origin and mechanisms of vessel formation, which may critically underlie organ-specific manifestation of lymphatic dysfunction in disease. The progenitor cells identified in this study may be exploited to restore lymphatic function following cancer surgery, lymphedema, or tissue trauma.

**INTRODUCTION**

The circulatory system is composed of hierarchical networks of blood and lymphatic vessels with specific features serving their specialized functions. Structural and functional differences among arteries, capillaries, veins, and lymphatic vessels are reflected by unique transcriptional signatures within endothelial cells lining these vessels (Aird, 2007a, b). In addition, vascular beds of different organs show remarkable specialization that allows them to fulfill the functional needs of each organ. For example, capillary beds of the kidney and the brain show organotypic features that reflect their opposite roles in controlling passage of solutes between the tissue and the blood. Endothelial cells of the kidney glomeruli have fenestrae for the filtration of blood, while those of the blood-brain barrier display specialized tight junctions and a low rate of vesicular transcytosis, thus forming a barrier that seals the central nervous system (Aird, 2007b; Engelhardt and Liebner, 2014). Gene expression profiling of microvascular endothelial cells from different organs revealed unique transcriptional signatures for transcription factors and adhesion molecules (Nolan et al., 2013). In addition, tissue-specific endothelial cells produce a unique repertoire of angiocrine growth factors and chemokines, which indicates an important role for the vasculature in organ development, homeostasis, and regeneration (Butler et al., 2010a, 2010b; Ding et al., 2010; Kusumbe et al., 2014; Nolan et al., 2013).

Embryonic blood vessels form via two fundamentally different mechanisms, de novo formation of vessels from endothelial progenitors (vasculogenesis) and sprouting of vessels from pre-existing ones (angiogenesis). In contrast, mammalian lymphatic vasculature is thought to form exclusively through an angiogenic process involving endothelial cell sprouting from the veins (lymphangiogenesis) (Srinivasan et al., 2007). Upon exit from the vein, the differentiated lymphatic endothelial cells (LECs) form the first primitive lymphatic structures called lymph sacs, from which lymphatic vessels of peripheral organs are formed via
further sprouting. Although much has been learned about the general mechanisms of blood and lymphatic vessel formation, organ-specific vascular development and specialization remain poorly understood. Extracellular signals provided by the specific tissue microenvironment are likely to play a key role in this process, but cell-intrinsic genetic mechanisms are also involved. For example, canonical Wnt7a/Wnt7b signaling was shown to control organ-specific function of the CNS vasculature, while Mfsd2a specifically regulates the formation of the blood-brain barrier (Armulik et al., 2010; Ben-Zvi et al., 2014; Stenman et al., 2008). Dysfunction of lymphatic vasculature recently has been linked to a number of human pathologies, including inflammation, obesity, and cardiovascular disease (Altalal, 2011). A prominent clinical consequence of the failure of the lymphatic system, caused by a genetic defect or damage following surgery or trauma, is tissue swelling or lymphedema. Notably, several hereditary lymphedemas are characterized by defects that affect specific tissues or organs. For example, in Milroy disease and lymphedema-distichiasis syndrome, which are caused by mutations in genes encoding VEGFR-3 and FOXC2, respectively, lymphedema is restricted to the lower limbs (Connell et al., 2013). In other syndromes, it also can occur in hands or genitalia, or manifest as a generalized lymphatic dysplasia with a degree of systemic involvement, such as intestinal or pulmonary lymphangiectasia (Connell et al., 2013). Molecular mechanisms underlying organ-specific manifestation of lymphatic dysfunction are not characterized, yet this knowledge is instrumental in designing therapeutic strategies for lymphedema and other lymphatic disorders, which are currently lacking.

Here we identify a hitherto unrecognized mechanism by which lymphatic vascular morphogenesis is regulated in an organ-specific manner. We show that VEGFR-3/PI3K signaling is selectively required for the formation of mesenteric lymphatic vessels. Using genetic lineage tracing, we further demonstrate that, contrary to current belief that all mammalian lymphatic vessels form by sprouting from veins, part of the mesenteric lymphatic vasculature forms from non-venous-derived progenitors of homogenic endothelial origin.

RESULTS

VEGFR-3/PI3K Signaling Is Selectively Required for Mesenteric Lymphatic Vessel Development

To understand organ-specific mechanisms of lymphangiogenesis, we investigated the requirement of the key lymphangiogenic growth factor receptor, VEGFR-3, in the development of different lymphatic vascular beds. Since homozygous deletion of Vegfr3 is expected to lead to loss or severe hypoplasia of lymphatic vessels in all organs, we instead analyzed hypomorphs with partial and selective deficiency of VEGFR-3 signaling via the p110α specifically regulates the formation of the blood-brain barrier (Armulik et al., 2010; Ben-Zvi et al., 2014; Stenman et al., 2008).

Dysfunction of lymphatic vasculature recently has been linked to a number of human pathologies, including inflammation, obesity, and cardiovascular disease (Altalal, 2011). A prominent clinical consequence of the failure of the lymphatic system, caused by a genetic defect or damage following surgery or trauma, is tissue swelling or lymphedema. Notably, several hereditary lymphedemas are characterized by defects that affect specific tissues or organs. For example, in Milroy disease and lymphedema-distichiasis syndrome, which are caused by mutations in genes encoding VEGFR-3 and FOXC2, respectively, lymphedema is restricted to the lower limbs (Connell et al., 2013). In other syndromes, it also can occur in hands or genitalia, or manifest as a generalized lymphatic dysplasia with a degree of systemic involvement, such as intestinal or pulmonary lymphangiectasia (Connell et al., 2013). Molecular mechanisms underlying organ-specific manifestation of lymphatic dysfunction are not characterized, yet this knowledge is instrumental in designing therapeutic strategies for lymphedema and other lymphatic disorders, which are currently lacking.

Here we identify a hitherto unrecognized mechanism by which lymphatic vascular morphogenesis is regulated in an organ-specific manner. We show that VEGFR-3/PI3K signaling is selectively required for the formation of mesenteric lymphatic vessels. Using genetic lineage tracing, we further demonstrate that, contrary to current belief that all mammalian lymphatic vessels form by sprouting from veins, part of the mesenteric lymphatic vasculature forms from non-venous-derived progenitors of homogenic endothelial origin.

RESULTS

VEGFR-3/PI3K Signaling Is Selectively Required for Mesenteric Lymphatic Vessel Development

To understand organ-specific mechanisms of lymphangiogenesis, we investigated the requirement of the key lymphangiogenic growth factor receptor, VEGFR-3, in the development of different lymphatic vascular beds. Since homozygous deletion of Vegfr3 is expected to lead to loss or severe hypoplasia of lymphatic vessels in all organs, we instead analyzed hypomorphs with partial and selective deficiency of VEGFR-3 signaling via the PI3-kinase pathway. For this purpose, we generated mice carrying single functional copies of genes encoding VEGFR-3 and the p110α catalytic subunit of PI3K, which is the key downstream effector of VEGFR signaling in blood and lymphatic endothelia (Graupera et al., 2008; Gupta et al., 2007; Vanhaesebroeck et al., 2010). Mice carrying a heterozygous Vegfr3 null (Vegfr3<sup>−/−</sup>) or a heterozygous kinase-dead PI3KCA allele (denoted as p110α<sup>D933A/+</sup>) developed into adulthood and showed normal lymphatic vasculature (Dumont et al., 1998; Graupera et al., 2008; data not shown). In contrast, double-heterozygous Vegfr3<sup>−/−</sup>; p110α<sup>D933A/+</sup> animals died perinatally and exhibited vascular defects characterized by near absence of mesenteric lymphatic vessels and leakage of chylous fluid (Figures S1A–S1C). While in control mice each artery-vein pair extending from the mesenteric root to the intestine is accompanied by a lymphatic vessel (Figure S1C; data not shown), only a rare and often truncated lymphatic vessel could be found in neonatal Vegfr3<sup>−/−</sup>; p110α<sup>D933A/+</sup> mice at P0–P4 (Figures S1C, 1A, 1B, and S1D). The few lymphatic vessels that developed in the mutant mesentery were dilated and exhibited abnormal expression of LYVE-1, which was downregulated in mesenteric vessels of control neonatal mice (Figure S1C).

To exclude the possibility that the lymphatic phenotype was due to growth retardation, we analyzed mice at embryonic stages when Vegfr3<sup>−/−</sup>; p110α<sup>D933A/+</sup> animals were recovered at normal Mendelian ratio with no detectable gross abnormalities (Figure S1A). Immunofluorescence analysis using the established lymphatic markers Nr2p and Prox1 showed that the majority (8 of 10) of double-heterozygous embryos completely lacked mesenteric and intestinal submucosal lymphatic vessels at embryonic day (E)14–E17 (Figures 1A, 1B, and S1D). Mice that do not develop any mesenteric lymphatic vessels are expected to die shortly after birth, as they are unable to absorb dietary fats. In agreement, about 50% of the double-heterozygous mice died immediately after birth (Figure S1A). Mutants with a complete loss of lymphatic vessels were only observed at P0 (data not shown), while from P1 onward, all surviving pups had at least one mesenteric lymphatic vessel (Figure 1B; data not shown). Additionally, the mesenteric lymph sac at the root of the mesentery was reduced in size or absent in the Vegfr3<sup>−/−</sup>; p110α<sup>D933A/+</sup> embryos (Figures 1A and 1C). Surprisingly, however, lymphatic vessels in the diaphragm, which are thought to develop from the same lymph sac as the mesenteric lymphatic vasculature, as well as dermal lymphatic vessels formed in Vegfr3<sup>−/−</sup>; p110α<sup>D933A/+</sup> mice (Figures 1A, 1D, and S1E). Blood vasculature in the skin, mesentery, and intestine also was unaffected in the double-heterozygous mice (Figures 1A, S1C, and S1D). p110α specifically cooperated with VEGFR-3 and not VEGFR-2, the other lymphatic endothelial VEGFR, since Vegfr2<sup>ΔFosP</sup>; p110α<sup>D933A/+</sup> mice developed into adulthood and showed normal lymphatic vasculature (Figures S2A and S2B).

To further explore the selective requirement of VEGFR-3/PI3K signaling for mesenteric lymphatic vessel development, we genetically deleted p110α in all lymphatic vessels by crossing p110α<sup>lox<sup>/lox</sup></sup> mice (Graupera et al., 2008) with Vegfr3<sup>CreERT2</sup> mice (I.M.-C. and S.O., unpublished data). To first validate the previously unpublished Vegfr3<sup>CreERT2</sup> mice, we crossed them to the R26-mTmG reporter mice that allow monitoring of Cre activity by the expression of membrane-bound green fluorescent protein (GFP) and concomitant inactivation of red fluorescent protein Tomato expression (Muzumdar et al., 2007). The 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT) administration to pregnant females led to efficient Cre-mediated recombination in dermal (85.8% ± 2.0%, n = 4) and mesenteric (78.6% ± 7.0%, n = 4)
lymphatic vessels in Vegfr3-CreERT2;R26-mTmG embryos at E15.5 (Figures 1E and S3A). On the contrary, Cre recombination in blood endothelia was very low (0.8% ± 0.8% and 7.0% ± 0.5% in skin and mesentery, respectively, n = 4) (Figure 1E). Similar recombination efficiency was observed at E17.5 (Figure S3B). In control mesentery, lymphatic vessels were visualized by GFP fluorescence (Figure 1F). In contrast, deletion of p110α in all lymphatic vessels in p110αlox/lox mice; Vegfr3-CreERT2;R26-mTmG mice led to a reduced number of mesenteric lymphatic vessels, although the mesenteric lymph sac formed normally (Figures 1F and 1G). Dermal lymphatic vessels showed normal sprouting and branching in the mutant compared to control embryos (Figures 1H and 1I). Dermal and mesenteric blood vessels also appeared normal in the mutants (Figure 1F; data not shown), as expected given the low level of Cre-mediated p110α deletion in blood endothelia in the Vegfr3-CreERT2 line (Figure 1E). Together, these results demonstrate a selective requirement of VEGFR-3/Pi3K signaling, mediated via the p110α Pi3K pathway, for the formation of mesenteric lymphatic vasculature.

**Venous-Derived LECs Form the Mesenteric Lymph Sac**

Next we investigated whether the mesentery-specific VEGFR-3/Pi3K signaling requirement reflects a unique mechanism of vessel formation in this tissue. Early lymphatic development in the jugular region, where LECs were shown to differentiate within cardinal and superficial veins, has been studied extensively (Hägerling et al., 2013; Srinivasan et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2012). Following their exit from the veins, LECs form the peripheral longitudinal lymphatic vessel (PLLV) and primordial thoracic duct (pTD), commonly referred to as jugular lymph sac; the lymphatic vessels from which vessels sprout further to peripheral organs such as skin (Hägerling et al., 2013; Srinivasan et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2012). In the mesentery, LECs are thought to similarly differentiate within major veins at the root of the mesentery and exit the veins to form a single retroperitoneal (also called mesenteric) lymph sac, from which vessels of the mesentery and the diaphragm are formed (Sabin, 1902; van der Putte, 1975; Figure 2A). To capture this process, we analyzed the mesenteric root between E12 and E14 using high-resolution immunofluorescence confocal microscopy. Using Prox1 and Endomucin as markers of LECs and venous blood endothelial cells (BECs), respectively, we first detected Prox1-positive LECs within the superior mesenteric vein at E12.5 (Figure 2B). At E13 all Prox1-positive cells were found outside the vein organized in cord-like structures and expressing high levels of the established LEC marker Nrp2 (Figure 2B). At E14, LECs formed an extensive lymphatic plexus adjacent to the superior mesenteric vein (Figure 2B). These data are consistent with the current model of lymphatic development, and they suggest that the mesenteric lymph sac is of venous origin and forms between E12 and E14.

The origin of the mesenteric lymph sac was analyzed further by lineage tracing using an inducible endothelial-specific Pdgfb-CreERT2 line (Claxton et al., 2008) in combination with the R26-mTmG double reporter (Figure 2C). Upon 4-OHT administration, Pdgfb-expressing endothelial cells and their descendants were labeled with GFP. Genetic labeling of blood endothelium by GFP at E11/E12, just prior to the onset of mesenteric lymphatic development, led to significant labeling of the lymph sac (Figure 2C), thus confirming the contribution of venous-derived cells to the mesenteric lymph sac.

**Mesenteric Lymphatic Vessels Form via the Assembly of Isolated Endothelial Cell Clusters**

Next we analyzed the formation of mesenteric lymphatic vessels that run parallel to mesenteric veins (Figure 2A) and are thought to develop by sprouting from the mesenteric lymph sac. Surprisingly, we observed isolated clusters of endothelial cells that were positive for the LEC markers Prox1 and Nrp2 and located along mesenteric veins in the absence of continuous vessel sprouts from the lymph sac (Figure 3A). Clusters appeared between E13 and E13.5, rapidly increased in size and number, and coalesced to form lymphatic vessels by E14.5 (Figure 3A). Different stages of cluster and vessel formation were frequently observed within the same mesentery along different artery-vein pairs, suggesting that cluster formation and coalescence occur during a brief window of time (Figures 3A and S4A).

---

**Figure 1. Organ-Specific Requirement of VEGF-R3/p110α PI3K Signaling for Mesenteric Lymphatic Vessel Development**

(A) Whole-mount immunofluorescence of E14.5 wild-type and Vegfr3+/+, p110αlox/lox embryos for LEC markers Nrpl2 (green) and Prox1 (red) and venous EC marker Endomucin (blue). Mutant embryos lack lymphatic vessels (LVs) specifically in the mesentery.

(B and C) Quantification of mesenteric LV (MLV) and lymph sac (MLS) phenotypes in Vegfr3+/+, p110αlox/lox mice at embryonic (E14–E17) and early postnatal (P0–P4) stages. All controls showed complete LV coverage. In (C), complete represents the size of LS in control littermates (n = 5 [E14–E17] and n = 5 [P0–P4]).

(D) Quantification of dermal LV branching and sprouting in E15.5 wild-type and Vegfr3+/+, p110αlox/lox embryos, showing no differences between the groups. Data represent mean ± SEM.

(E) (Top) Schematic of the Vegfr3-CreERT2 transgene, R26-mTmG reporter construct, and 4-OHT administration (Cre induction, red arrowheads) schedule. mTom/mGFP, membrane-bound Tomato/GFP. The timing of p110α and PLLV formation is indicated. FACS analysis of endothelial cells from E15.5 Vegfr3-CreERT2;R26-mTmG embryos expressing GFP expression, indicating Cre recombination, in LECs and to a lesser extent in BECs. (Bottom) Representative FACS plots and gating scheme. (Right) Graphs of all results; the horizontal lines represent mean (n = 4).

(F and H) Whole-mount immunofluorescence of control (p110αlox/lox or p110αlox/lox;Vegfr3-CreERT2;R26-mTmG) and p110α mutant (p110αlox/lox;Vegfr3-CreERT2;R26-mTmG) mesenteries (E17.5) and skin (E14.5) for indicated proteins. 4-OHT (1.5 mg) was administered at E10.5, E11.5, E12.5, and E13.5. GFP shows efficient Cre-mediated recombination in LVs and lack of vessels in the mutant mesentery. A mosaic pattern of recombination is detected in blood vessels. Note that Nrp2 is additionally expressed in the nerves and veins. Asterisk, MLS; N, nerve (Nrp2+); V, vein (Endomucin+).

(G) Quantification of MLS and MLV phenotypes. For LS, complete represents the size of LS in controls (n = 3).

(I) Quantification of dermal LV branching and sprouting in E17.5 control and p110αlox/lox;Vegfr3-CreERT2 embryos, showing no differences between the groups. Data represent mean ± SEM.

See also Figures S1–S3 and Table S1.

Scale bars, 100 μm (A and F; mesenteric vessels, LS, diaphragm), 200 μm (A and H; skin), and 1 mm (mesentery whole-mount).
We reasoned that the isolated LEC clusters could be formed of cells that detached from the lymph sac and migrated along the mesenteric veins. Alternatively, they may have differentiated locally from mesenteric veins. To address these possibilities, we characterized the morphological and molecular features of LEC clusters. Cell shape was first analyzed by labeling individual LECs with membrane-bound GFP using the Vegfr3-CreERT2;R26-mTmG mice and a suboptimal dose of 4-OHT. Cells within mesenteric LEC clusters extended long membrane protrusions, often in a non-polarized fashion (Figure 3B), and showed rounded nuclear morphology (Figure 3C). This was in contrast to the elongated nuclear morphology that is associated with a migratory phenotype (Kim et al., 2014), and that we observed in LECs upon cluster coalescence into vessels (Figure 3C). Venous-derived LECs forming the mesenteric lymph sac (Figure 3C), as well as the PLLV and pTD (Hägerling et al., 2013), also showed a more elongated nuclear morphology (Figure 3C). Mesenteric LECs within clusters thus lack features typical of polarized cells undergoing directional migration, which argues against their rapid migration from the lymph sac.

Mesenteric LEC clusters expressed the (lymphatic) endothelial markers Prox1, Nrp2, VEGFR-3, VEGFR-2, and Podoplanin (Figure 3D). They were proliferative as indicated by EdU incorporation (Figure 3D). Surprisingly, LEC clusters expressed very low levels of LYVE-1, which was highly expressed in lymph sac endothelium (Figure 3E). In addition, LEC clusters did not express BEC markers CD34 and Endomucin, or hematopoietic markers Sca1, CD41, c-Kit, or CD45 (Figures 3F and S4B). Lack of CD34 and Endomucin expression in mesenteric LECs suggests that they do not arise by local differentiation and budding from veins, which has been reported to lead to perdurance of BEC marker expression for 24 hr (Hägerling et al., 2013).

We sought further evidence for non-venous origin of mesenteric lymphatic vessels by analyzing mice carrying Cldn5-GFP transgene that labels all vessels, including mesenteric arteries, veins, and the lymph sac, by strong GFP fluorescence (Figure 3G). If generated in situ from the existing mesenteric vasculature, perdurance of GFP is expected to lead to GFP labeling of LECs, regardless of Cldn5 promoter activity. However, a major proportion of LECs in E14 Cldn5-GFP mesenteries were GFP−/C0 (Figures 3G and 3H). Isolated single Prox1+ LECs and clusters of two cells were mostly GFP− (Figure 3H). Larger clusters showed variability in GFP expression with some cells expressing high levels of GFP, equivalent to those detected in already formed vessels, while others were GFP− (Figure 3H). The progressive increase in the intensity of GFP from single cells to larger clusters suggests induction of Cldn5 expression first upon cluster and vessel formation. Importantly, the abundance of GFP− LECs suggests that mesenteric lymphatic vessels are not derived from mesenteric blood vessels or the venous-derived lymph sac.

Mesenteric Lymphatic Vessels Originate from Non-venous Endothelium

To trace the cell of origin of mesenteric LECs, we employed temporal lineage tracing using the endothelial-specific Pdgfb-CreERT2 line in combination with the R26-mTmG reporter. We found that when 4-OHT was administered at E8–E8.5, to target blood endothelium prior to the initiation of lymphatic development (Figure 4A), mesenteric arteries and veins showed poor
or no labeling, respectively. Surprisingly, however, traced GFP+ cells were present in the mesenteric LEC clusters and, to a lesser degree, in the lymph sac (Figures 4B and S5A). On the contrary, 4-OHT administration at E9 or later resulted in increased labeling of mesenteric blood vessels but decreased labeling of LECs (Figure S5A). Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis confirmed an overall increase in the labeling of blood endothelia, as well as venous-derived LECs, in E9 compared to E8 4-OHT-treated embryos (Figure S5B). Taken together, these results suggest selective targeting of LEC progenitors in the mesentery upon early induction of Pdgfb-CreERT2. Furthermore, efficiency of LEC labeling upon Cre induction at E8–E8.5 varied among different embryos, suggesting a narrow window of time during which the cell of origin of mesenteric LECs can be targeted and/or when LEC progenitors are generated (Figure S5A).

To identify the source of mesenteric LECs, we carried out detailed characterization of Cre recombination pattern in Pdgfb-CreERT2;R26-mTmG embryos that were treated with 4-OHT at E8–E8.5. Whole-mount immunofluorescence of E9.5 embryos showed only a few scattered GFP+ cells in the cardinal and vitelline veins (Figures 4C and S6A). Quantitative FACS analysis of LYVE-1+ LECs, at time points when venous LEC progenitors exit cardinal veins (E10.25) and form the first lymphatic structures pTD and PLLV (E11), showed low levels of recombination (Figure 4D). Immunofluorescence analysis confirmed that venous-derived jugular lymph sacs (pTD and PLLV) were not targeted (Figure S6B). Instead, we observed efficient labeling of major arteries, including the dorsal aorta and vitelline artery (Figures 4C and S6C). Hematopoietic cells including macrophages were also efficiently labeled (Figures 4B and S6C), suggesting the targeting of blood-forming hemogenic endothelium. FACS analysis indeed confirmed targeting of cKit+ hemogenic endothelium in the yolk sac (Figure S6D). Consistent with immunofluorescence data showing efficient targeting of the dorsal aorta and vitelline artery, the major intra- and extraembryonic hemogenic vessels, FACS analysis at E11 revealed that a major population of Pdgfb-CreERT2-traced cells in the embryo properly expressed cKit protein (Figure S6D). Together, these results suggest that arterial or hemogenic endothelium can generate mesenteric LEC progenitors.

cKit Lineage Progenitors of Hemogenic Endothelial Origin Contribute to Mesenteric Lymphatic Vessels

To specifically test the involvement of hemogenic endothelium-derived progenitors in lymphatic development, we used the inducible cKit-CreERT2 mice (Klein et al., 2013). Despite the expression of cKit in hemogenic endothelium (Marcelo et al., 2013; Figure S7A), the cKit-CreERT2 line did not label efficiently yolk sac vasculature that contains hemogenic endothelium between E7.5 and E9.5, and only rare hematopoietic cells could be traced (Figure S7B). However, 4-OHT administration to pregnant females at E10 (data not shown) or E11 (Figures 5A and S5B) resulted in the presence of traced GFP+ cells in mesenteric LEC clusters in E13.5 cKit-CreERT2;R26-mTmG embryos. Only a proportion of embryos (5 of 17, 29%) showed GFP+ LECs, most likely due to a low, although highly specific, recombination in cKit+ cells (Figure 5A). Lineage tracing using Vav-Cre (de Boer et al., 2003) showed that definitive hematopoietic cells did not, however, contribute to mesenteric lymphatic vessel development (Figure 5C). These data demonstrate the cKit lineage hemogenic endothelium-derived progenitors, but not definitive hematopoietic cells, as the source of mesenteric LECs.

Mesenteric Lymphatic Vessel Formation Selectively Requires Pdgfrb Lineage Cells

Yolk sac hemogenic endothelium recently was shown to generate, during a narrow window of time between E8.5 and E9.5, cells that colonize the embryo mesenchyme and express mesenchymal markers including PDGFRβ (Azzoni et al., 2014). Interestingly, we found that Pdgfrb-Cre transgene (Foo et al., 2006) labeled a significant proportion (38.9% ± 4.7%, n = 24) of Prox1+ cells within mesenteric LEC clusters (Figure 6A). FACS analysis of LECs from E13.5 Pdgfrb-Cre;R26-mTmG mesenteries revealed a similar recombination efficiency, but with high inter-individual variation (Figure 6B). As expected, Pdgfrb-Cre-mediated recombination was not, however, restricted to LECs. Consistent with the reported expression of PDGFRβ (Foo et al., 2006), recombination also was observed in a large population of mesenchymal and perivascular cells (Figure 6A; data not shown). In addition, we observed low levels of recombination in BECs in multiple tissues (data not shown).

To provide evidence for the functional importance of the Pdgfrb-expressing cells for mesenteric lymphatic vessel development, we deleted Vegfr3, the key regulator of lymphangiogenesis, in these cells by crossing Pdgfrb-Cre;R26-mTmG mice with Vegfr3flox/flox animals (Haiko et al., 2008). Analysis of mesenteries between E15.5 and E18.5 revealed the absence of lymphatic vessels in 44% of the Vegfr3flox/flox;Pdgfrb-Cre embryos (n = 16) (Figures 6C and 6D). Similar to the Vegfr3flox/flox;Pdgfrb-Cre embryos that showed lymphatic defects (Figure 6E). However, lymphatic vessels of the thoracic skin formed in all (12 of 12) Vegfr3flox/flox;Pdgfrb-Cre embryos analyzed (Figure 6C; data not shown). These results demonstrate a selective requirement of Pdgfrb-expressing LEC progenitor cells for mesenteric lymphatic vessel development, and they provide functional evidence for organ-specific mechanisms of vessel formation.

In conclusion, our results demonstrate organ-specific mechanisms of lymphangiogenesis and origin of the vasculature. Contrary to current dogma that veins are the sole origin of the entire lymphatic vasculature in mammals (Srinivasan et al., 2007), we show that part of the mesenteric lymphatic vasculature is derived from cKit lineage progenitor cells of hemogenic endothelial origin (Figure 7). Our data further indicate that these progenitors give rise to LECs in the mesentery through a lymphvasculogenic process that is critically dependent on VEGFR-3/PI3K signaling.

DISCUSSION

Human vascular diseases are often restricted to specific vessel types or vascular beds of specific organs, but what underlies tissue-specific vessel failure is poorly understood. In this study we uncover an organ-specific role for VEGFR-3/PI3K signaling in mesenteric lymphatic vessel formation. We further identify
Figure 3. MLVs Form via the Assembly of Isolated LEC Clusters

(A) Whole-mount immunofluorescence of the developing MLVs from three embryos harvested at E13.5 for indicated proteins, showing different stages of LEC cluster formation and coalescence. Note the presence of isolated LEC clusters (arrowhead) in the absence of sprouts from the MLs. Asterisks, intestinal wall.

(B) Analysis of LEC cell shape with membrane-bound GFP in E13.5 Vegfr3-CreERT2;R26-mTmG vessels. 4-OHT (2 mg) was administered at E11.5 to induce mosaic LEC labeling. Arrowheads point to non-polarized filopodia.

(Mosiac LEC labeling: 2mg 4-OHT at E11.5)

(Vegfr3 CreERT2) GFP Nrp2

(GFP Nrp2)

(C) Nuclear length/width ratio for clusters, vessels, and LS.

(D) Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2) and VEGFR3 expression and co-localization with Nrp2 and Prox1 in E13.5 Vegfr3-CreERT2;R26-mTmG vessels. 4-OHT (2 mg) was administered at E11.5 to induce mosaic LEC labeling. Arrowheads point to non-polarized filopodia.

(E) Mesenteric vessels and LS with Nrp2 and VE-Cadherin (VE-Cad) expression and co-localization in E13.5 Vegfr3-CreERT2;R26-mTmG vessels.

(F) CD34 expression in E13.5 Vegfr3-CreERT2;R26-mTmG vessels.

(G) Claudin 5 (Cldn5) expression and co-localization with Nrp2 and Prox1 in E13.5 Vegfr3-CreERT2;R26-mTmG vessels.

(H) Fluorescence intensity (IP) for single LECs and 2, 3-4, LV, V, A.
hemogenic endothelium as a previously unknown tissue of origin for lymphatic vessels in the mesentery. Our results suggest that heterogeneity in endothelial origin may contribute to tissue-specific formation and functional specialization of vessels during development and vessel failure in disease.

VEGFR-3 is the key regulator of lymphangiogenesis, controlling lymphatic endothelial cell proliferation, migration, and survival. Inhibition of VEGFR-3 signaling in the mouse skin using a soluble ligand trap led to lymphatic vessel hypoplasia, while genetic deletion of its ligand, Vegfc, resulted in a complete absence of lymphatic vasculature due to failure of vessel sprouting from the veins (Hägerling et al., 2013; Karkkainen et al., 2004; Mäkinen et al., 2001a). As expected from these results, homozygous deletion of Vegfr3 resulted in severe lymphatic vessel hypoplasia in multiple tissues, including the skin and mesentery (L.S. and T.M., unpublished data and this study). The VEGFR-3 downstream signaling events controlling the different endothelial cell responses during vascular morphogenesis remain unclear, but in vitro studies have shown that VEGFR-3 activates the Ras/MAPK and PI3K/Akt pathways (Coso et al., 2012; Lapinski et al., 2012; Mäkinen et al., 2001b).

PI3K signaling, particularly through its catalytic subunit p110α, has emerged as a key regulator of vascular development (Graupera and Potente, 2013). p110α is critically required for endothelial cell migration downstream of VEGF-2 during angiogenesis (Graupera et al., 2008), while its aberrant activation has been implicated in the development of blood and lymphatic vascular malformations in human syndromes (Brouillard et al., 2014). In this study we identified a strong genetic interaction between p110α and Vegfr3 during mesenteric and intestinal, but not dermal lymphatic development, suggesting a cooperative function of these genes selectively in the mesentery. In agreement with this observation, lymphatic endothelial-specific full deletion of p110α resulted in a selective failure of mesenteric lymphatic vessel formation. Contrary to its role in controlling BEC migration upon VEGF/VEGFR-2 activation, p110α deficiency did not impair lymphatic vessel sprouting in embryonic skin. These data suggest that p110α regulates different functions downstream of VEGFR-2 and VEGFR-3 in BECs and LECs, respectively. The organ-specific role of p110α further indicates that, in tissues other than the mesentery, VEGFR-3 signaling does not depend on p110α. Previous studies have shown the requirement of the PI3K regulatory subunits p85/p55/p50 and the interaction of Ras with p110α in the development and remodeling of multiple lymphatic vascular beds (Gupta et al., 2007; Mouta-Bellum et al., 2009). It is therefore possible that p110α function is compensated by the p110β and/or p110δ subunits in lymphatic vascular beds other than the mesentery.

The origin of the lymphatic vasculature has been debated for over a century. Florence Sabin described in the early 20th century that lymphatic vessels are derived from embryonic veins (Sabin, 1902). Recent molecular biological data not only support the concept of transdifferentiation of venous into LECs, but also suggest that they are the sole origin of the entire lymphatic vasculature in mammals (Oliver and Srivinvasan, 2010; Srivinvasan et al., 2007). Other contributing sources of LECs have been suggested though never demonstrated to contribute to mammalian lymphatic vasculature. In the early 1900s, Huntington and McClure proposed that LECs derive from mesenchymal precursors (Huntington and McClure, 1910). Based on expression analyses, such precursor cells were described in Xenopus (Ny et al., 2005), chicken (Wilting et al., 2000), and mouse (Buttler et al., 2006), although no functional validation has been provided. Grafting experiments further suggested that avian lymphatic vasculature is of dual origin, with a contribution from both venous- and mesenchymal-derived cells (Wilting et al., 2006). In addition, hematopoietic cell-derived endothelial progenitors and transdifferentiating leukocytes and macrophages were shown to participate in pathological lymphangiogenesis (Kerjaschki et al., 2006; Maruyama et al., 2005; Salven et al., 2003). The identity of these additional sources of LECs and their requirement for lymphatic vascular morphogenesis, however, was questioned by findings that demonstrated the venous origin of LECs (Oliver and Srivinvasan, 2010; Srivinvasan et al., 2007), and excluded macrophages as a source of lymphatic endothelium (Gordon et al., 2010). In this study we identified cKit lineage hemogenic endothelial cells as a novel, organ-specific origin of mesenteric lymphatic vessels. Mesenteric lymph sac instead forms from venous-derived LECs, with a minor contribution from the hemogenic endothelium-derived progenitors.

Similar to the two processes of blood vessel formation, vasculogenesis, and angiogenesis, the mechanisms of mesenteric lymph sac and vessel formation are fundamentally different. Blood vessel formation is initiated in the developing embryo via differentiation and assembly of mesodermal precursor cells (vasculogenesis), after which most if not all blood vessel formation occurs via sprouting from pre-existing vasculature (angiogenesis). We found that mesenteric lymphatic vascular development occurs in a fundamentally different manner. It is initiated at E12.5 via formation of the mesenteric lymph sac through an angiogenic process that involves LEC differentiation within and sprouting from the veins. The mesenteric lymphatic vessels are formed subsequently via differentiation and assembly of lymphatic endothelial progenitors of hemogenic endothelial origin into cell clusters and vessels through a process resembling vasculogenesis, which we thus defined as lymphvasculogenesis. During

(C) Quantification of nuclear morphology of LECs in clusters (n = 135 cells), vessels (n = 141 cells), and developing LS (n = 123 cells) at E13.5. The horizontal lines represent mean values. ***p < 0.0001.

(D–F) Whole-mount immunofluorescence of isolated LEC clusters and MLS for indicated proteins. Single-channel images are shown for the boxed regions in (E and F) for indicated stainings.

(G) Whole-mount immunofluorescence of E14 Cldn5-GFP mesenteries for indicated proteins. Note GFP ^ LEC cluster (arrowhead) but strong GFP expression in blood vessel and LS endothelia.

(H) Quantification of GFP fluorescence intensity in endothelial cells in E14 Cldn5-GFP mesenteries. For LECs, single cells and clusters of two and three to four cells are shown. V, vein; A, artery. The horizontal lines represent mean values. ***p < 0.0001.

See also Figure S4.

Scale bars, 100 μm (A), 20 μm (B and G, right), 50 μm (D–F), and 500 μm (G, left).
Figure 4. MLVs Originate from Non-venous Endothelium

(A) Schematic of the Cre transgene, R26-mTmG reporter construct, and 4-OHT administration protocol (red arrowhead, 2 mg) used for lineage tracing.

(B) Tile scans of E14 Pdgfb-CreERT2; R26-mTmG mesenteries stained with antibodies against GFP (green) and Nrp2 (red). Single-channel images are shown. Note weak GFP staining (asterisk) in the artery from theires-GFP cassette within thePdgfb-CreERT2transgene.

(C) Immunofluorescence staining of E9.5 Pdgfb-CreERT2; R26-mTmG whole embryo (top) and transverse vibratome section (bottom) for indicated proteins. Note efficient Cre-mediated recombination (green) in dorsal aorta (DA), vitelline (VA), and umbilical artery (UA), and yolk sac (YS) vasculature, but not in cardinal vein (CV). Boxed area is magnified in the middle.

(D) FACS analysis of EC from Pdgfb-CreERT2; R26-mTmG embryos.
this process, mesenteric LECs extend long filopodia that interconnect cells from different clusters. These often non-polarized filopodia may play a role in cell-cell communication during cluster coalescence, similar to the role of blood endothelial filopodia in vessel anastomosis (Lenard et al., 2013; Phng et al., 2013).

Hemogenic endothelium is a de novo source of transient definitive hematopoietic progenitors during a narrow developmental window between E7.5 and E11 of mouse development, before first liver and then bone marrow become the main hematopoietic organs. Hemogenic endothelium is found at specific anatomical sites, including the yolk sac, placenta, vitelline artery, and the AGM region that contains the dorsal aorta (Hirschi, 2012). Recently, a subset of endocardial cells in the outflow cushion and atria of the heart also was shown to possess hemogenic activity, suggesting the existence of organ-specific sites of embryonic hematopoiesis (Nakano et al., 2013). Interestingly, the progeny of hemogenic endothelial cells may be functionally different depending on their site of origin (Hirschi, 2012). It therefore will be important to define the specific anatomic site(s) that gives rise to mesenteric LEC progenitors. However, due to a limitation of tools for tracing cells of hemogenic endothelial origin at different developmental stages, it was not possible to define the timing and contribution of different hemogenic sites to the generation of mesenteric LECs in this study. 4-OHT induction of cKit-CreERT2 at E10 or E11 did not allow us to distinguish if the source of mesenteric LECs are cKit+ progenitors, derived either from extra- or intraembryonic hemogenic endothelium, or cKit+ hemogenic endothelium in the embryo proper (including AGM), which are all present at E10–E11. Unveiling how the hemogenic endothelial-derived progenitors reach the mesenteries is also an interesting question for further research. One possibility is that they arrive via circulation, which is followed by their extravasation and differentiation into LECs. Alternatively, these cells may migrate directly from the site of emergence to the mesentery. In support of the latter possibility, it has been shown that primitive myeloid progenitors that arise in the yolk sac before E8 migrate to the cephalic region of the embryo before the onset of blood circulation and develop into microglia (Alliot et al., 1999; Ginhoux et al., 2010).

It has been suggested that differences in embryonic origins of vascular smooth muscle cells can contribute to tissue-specific localization of vascular diseases, such as aortic aneurysm and vascular calcification (Sinha et al., 2014). It is similarly plausible that organ-specific manifestation of lymphatic vessel dysfunction in disease is due to vascular bed-specific differences in their
origin and formation. It is interesting to note that several primary lymphedemas affect vessels of specific tissues. For example, in Milroy disease, caused by kinase-inactivating mutations in the VEGFR-3 gene, lymphedema is restricted to the lower limbs while lymphatic function in the forearms is unimpaired (Mellor et al., 2010).

In summary, we show that part of the mesenteric lymphatic vasculature forms from cKit lineage progenitor cells of hematopoietic endothelial origin, and not solely from the venous-derived lymph sac as previously thought. We additionally show that molecular mechanisms regulating the development of lymphatic vessels from venous- and non-venous-derived progenitors are different. These findings reveal a hitherto unrecognized organ-specific mechanism of lymphatic vascular morphogenesis, and they raise the possibility that heterogeneity in the cell of origin contributes to tissue-specific vascular properties and disease. In addition, our study identifies a progenitor cell population with potential for therapeutic exploitation to induce lymphatic regeneration following cancer surgery, lymphedema, or tissue trauma. Given the emerging roles of lymphatic vessels in inflammation, immunity, and lipid metabolism, lymphatic endothelial progenitors additionally may provide a novel strategy to treat common diseases associated with lymphatic dysfucntion.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Mice**

Description of mouse strains is provided in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures. Staging of E9 and E10 embryos was done by counting somite...
Figure 7. Two Origins of the Mesenteric Lymphatic Vasculature
Schematic model of mesenteric lymphatic vascular development. Venous-derived LECs form the retroperitoneal (M)LS at the root of the mesentery, MLVs instead form by differentiation of c-kit lineage progenitors of hemogenic endothelial origin. Blue, venous EC; light red, arterial EC; green, LEC; and dark red, non-venous LEC progenitors.
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