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Abstract. Aerosol–cloud interactions are the largest source of uncertainty in the radiative forcing of the global climate. A phenomenon not included in the estimates of the total net forcing is the potential increase in upper tropospheric humidity (UTH) by anthropogenic aerosols via changes in the microphysics of deep convection. Using remote sensing data over the ocean east of China in summer, we show that increased aerosol loads are associated with an UTH increase of 2.2 ± 1.5 in units of relative humidity. We show that humidification of aerosols or other meteorological co-variation is very unlikely to be the cause of this result, indicating relevance for the global climate. In tropical moist air such an UTH increase leads to a regional radiative effect of 0.5 ± 0.4 W m⁻². We conclude that the effect of aerosols on UTH should be included in future studies of anthropogenic climate change and climate sensitivity.

1 Introduction

The effective globally averaged radiative forcing due to anthropogenic aerosols has a best estimate of −0.9 W m⁻² but a wide uncertainty range from −1.9 to −0.1 W m⁻² (Boucher et al., 2013). This estimate combines a direct forcing of −0.35 [−0.85 to +0.15] W m⁻² due to aerosol–radiation interaction with an even less well-constrained forcing due to aerosol–cloud interaction, but still omits some potentially important mechanisms of aerosol forcing. Ramanathan et al. (2001) suggested that aerosol-induced suppression of precipitation in the updraughts of deep clouds can transport more water into the upper troposphere. Even without changes in the total amount of precipitation, changes in cloud microphysics may affect upper tropospheric humidity (UTH, Bister and Kulmala, 2011) and thereby counteract some of the cooling effects of aerosols. High values of UTH have been observed to collocate with high values of aerosol optical depth (AOD, Kottayil and Satheesan, 2015), but here we present the first observational study to show that it is very unlikely that the increase in UTH is caused by something other than aerosols.

An increase in the number of atmospheric aerosols acting as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) leads to more numerous and smaller cloud droplets, a suppressed amount of warm rain and enhancement of ice precipitation in deep convection (Khain et al., 2005; Morrison and Grabowski, 2011; Storer and van den Heever, 2013; Fan et al., 2013). Consistent with that, smaller ice crystals have been observed in association with increased amounts of aerosols (Sherwood, 2002; Jiang et al., 2009). Sublimation of the increased amount of hydrometeors in the upper troposphere can increase the UTH
Figure 1. Deep convection in (a) pristine, horizontally homogeneous, (b) polluted, horizontally homogeneous and (c) polluted, horizontally heterogeneous conditions. In all pairs of figures (a, b, c) the total amount of precipitation reaching the ground is the same. (i) Mechanism: aerosols decrease warm rain, increase ice precipitation and increase hydrometeor mass in the upper troposphere as shown in (a, b). More sublimation in (b) than in (a) causes an increase in the UTH in (b). (ii) Invigoration problem: horizontal variation of the aerosol amount, as within (c), can invigorate convection in more polluted areas (box 2 in c) and suppress convection in cleaner areas (box 1 in c). Therefore, comparing the conditions of box 2 in (c) to that in (a) would make the effects of aerosols seem too large. We avoid this problem by comparing cases with similar amounts of precipitation.

2 Data and methods

2.1 Data

UTH, i.e. the relative humidity with respect to water, in a layer between approximately 200 and 500 hPa, was obtained from the microwave humidity sounder (MHS; Bonsignori, 2007) on board the MetOp-A satellite for 60°S to 60°N. The ascending node was used, crossing the Equator northward at 21:30 local solar time. A microwave method developed by Buehler et al. (2008) enabled us to detect relative humidities also in the areas of anvil clouds.

The daily rainfall product, 3B42, from the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) multi-satellite analysis (Huffman et al., 2007) was used in this study. These data cover the globe from 50°S to 50°N and are provided on a 0.25° × 0.25° grid. The TRMM daily rainfall product consists of satellite and in situ measurements during a 24 h time period starting at 22:30 UTC of the previous day (in our study region approximately 08:30 local solar time).

AOD has in general been considered a moderate proxy of cloud condensation nuclei (CCN; Andrae, 2009). However, it should be noted that AOD does not provide information on aerosol type, vertical profile of the aerosols or hygroscopic growth of the aerosol particles. We used AOD from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) instrument on board the Terra satellite, which crosses the Equator southward at 10:30 local solar time (Remer et al., 2005). MOD08_D3 collection 5 level 3 global daily 1° × 1° data of the effective optical depth average ocean mean at 550 nm was used in most parts of the study, as well optical depth land and ocean mean in global analysis. MODIS level 3 products are obtained from level 2 swaths along the flight, with 10 km resolution for AOD and uncertainty of ±0.03 ± 0.05 × AOD over ocean. A satellite instrument cannot retrieve AOD below clouds, and in level 2 data the pixels adjacent to a cloud are
also removed from the AOD product to avoid possible cloud contamination of the data. However, as the 1° × 1° level 3 data are produced from the 10 km-resolution level 2 data, it is possible to obtain AOD and cloud properties for the same 1° × 1° grid cell when this cell is partly cloudy (Fig. 2).

### 2.2 Cirrus correction

Cirrus clouds may contaminate the AOD values obtained from MODIS (Sun et al., 2011; Wollner et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2011). In our study region, MODIS AOD increased almost linearly with the increasing cirrus fraction (cf), obtained also from MOD08_D3 (Figs. 3 and 4). This may partly be due to a microphysical effect of aerosols, as more extensive cirrus anvils have been observed in association with higher AOD (Koren et al., 2005, 2010; Fan et al., 2013, and as shown schematically in Fig. 1a and b). However, AOD data contamination due to sub-visual cirrus is also possible (Sun et al., 2011; Wollner et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2011).

We removed data with cirrus fraction larger than 0.9 and used linear regression for cirrus fractions between 0.1 and 0.9 to remove the cirrus dependence from the AOD data to minimise the possible AOD data contamination (as explained in Fig. 4). However, by doing so, we also removed any possible microphysical effect of aerosols on cirrus anvils and subsequently may have underestimated the aerosol effect on UTH. Therefore, we also report results without the cirrus correction in Table 1. It should be noted that the applied cirrus correction is designed only to assure that our results are not contaminated by sub-visual cirrus; it is not, therefore, a general method to be applied in all satellite data.

For sensitivity tests (Table 2), a linear regression for the cirrus fractions between 0.1 and 0.9 was applied with a fit.
Table 1. Impact of different choices on the results. ΔUTH was calculated as ΔUTH = UTH[AOD ≥ median(AOD)] − UTH[AOD < median(AOD)] east of China in June, July and August in 2007–2013 and is expressed as %-units. 90% confidence interval is calculated from the standard error of the monthly mean ΔUTH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No cirrus correction</th>
<th>Dependence on cirrus fraction removed from the AOD data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ΔUTH</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔUTH, for precipitation &gt; 1 mm day⁻¹</td>
<td>7.3 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔUTH, for precipitation &gt; 1 mm day⁻¹, precipitation binning applied with 10 precipitation bins</td>
<td>5.8 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. The study region and the data used. (a) AOD, (b) daily precipitation, (c) 350hPa wind roses and (d) UTH in the study region east of China averaged from the observations in June, July and August in 2007–2013. (a) Aerosol outflow from continental China clearly dominates AOD in the region, with contributions from Japan and Korea. (b) Precipitation is plentiful in the region. (c) Mean wind direction in the region is westerly. (d) There are high UTH values downwind of the regions with large values of precipitation.

solar time and UTH from the MetOp-A satellite crossing the Equator northward at 21:30 local solar time.

2.4 Data analysis

All data were linearly interpolated to the same 1° x 1° grid. The UTH data were binned according to daily precipitation in the same 1° x 1° grid. The applied data were restricted to cases when the daily precipitation was more than 1 mm. We use the limit of 1 mm to exclude cases without any deep convection from the study. For each grid point, UTH was calculated as $\Delta UTH = median[UHT|AOD ≥ median(AOD)] - median[UHT|AOD < median(AOD)]$ for each precipitation bin, and an average was then calculated over the precipitation bins. Median(AOD) is the median of all AOD data in the study region for precipitation values of more than 1 mm day$^{-1}$. For global analysis median(AOD) is the median of AOD in each grid box. The 90% confidence interval of the mean was calculated as $\pm 1.725 \times SD / \sqrt{N}$, where SD is the standard deviation of the $N = 21$ monthly median values, which were assumed to be independent of each other. For global analysis, a two-sided $t$ test was conducted over the monthly medians and only values significant at the 10% level are shown. A logarithmic fit was calculated for the binned mean values in Fig. 6a, excluding the first data point. The obtained fit is $UHT = 45.5015 + 1.3680 \times \ln(AOD)$.

2.5 Radiative transfer calculations

The sensitivity of the radiative transfer to perturbations in the UTH was tested by using the libRadtran radiative transfer code (Mayer and Kylling, 2005). Reference soundings for the tropical atmosphere (Dunion, 2011) were used. We added 1, 2, 5 and 10% RH to the 500–200 hPa layer of the reference soundings of tropical moist (TM) and midlatitude dry (MLD) air. The outgoing longwave flux at the top of the atmosphere was integrated over the 4–50 µm wavelength band using the DISORT radiative transfer equation solver (Stamnes et al., 1988) and the correlated k-method by Fu and Liou (1992). The radiative effects were calculated only for the longwave region, as the shortwave effect was estimated to be minor. Stratospheric adjustment was not taken into account.

3 Results

3.1 UTH increase associated with AOD

We found that UTH increased with increasing values of AOD for AOD higher than about 0.08 (Fig. 6a). From a logarithmic fit to these values, the UTH increase associated with the AOD increase, for example from 0.1 to 0.6, was observed to be 2.5% RH. An UTH increase was not visible with very high amounts of precipitation (Fig. 7), presumably due to enhanced wet scavenging of aerosols. In particular, earlier strong precipitation may result in larger UTH occurring together with smaller AOD, which then masks the micropysical effect of aerosols on UTH (Quaas et al., 2010; Grandey et al., 2013). Overall, wet scavenging associated with both earlier and simultaneous precipitation masks the effect of aerosols on UTH; therefore our results may underestimate the true effect.

The median AOD over the study region was 0.18 for precipitation values of more than 1 mm day$^{-1}$. We selected this median to represent the limit between high and low AOD. The mean UTH difference between these two AOD categories ($\Delta UTH$) was $2.2 \pm 1.5$ % RH (90% confidence interval, see Sect. 2.4) for precipitation values of more than 1 mm day$^{-1}$ (Fig. 6b, see also Fig. 8). Without applying the cirrus correction, which removes part of the real micropysical effect, the corresponding UTH difference was much higher, $5.8 \pm 1.4$ % RH (Table 1). Without the precipitation binning, or with only a few bins, a larger mean difference in UTH between cases with high and low AOD was obtained (Fig. 9). UTH also increased less as a function of AOD in Fig. 6a when averaged over 10 precipitation bins than when the median values without precipitation binning were used. The fact that precipitation binning decreases the obtained
Figure 6. (a) UTH as a function of AOD and b, histogram of UTH in case of low and high AOD east of China (120–149° E, 25–45° N) in June, July and August in 2007–2013. In both figures only data from 1° × 1° grid cells with precipitation values of more than 1 mm day\(^{-1}\) are shown. Binned mean values are averages over 10 precipitation bins. Cirrus dependence has been removed from the AOD data (see Fig. 4).

Figure 7. Results in three precipitation categories. UTH as a function of AOD (on a logarithmic scale) in three precipitation categories: (a) 1–3 mm day\(^{-1}\), (b) 3–10 mm day\(^{-1}\) and (c) 10–100 mm day\(^{-1}\), east of China in June, July and August in 2007–2013. In each precipitation category, the AOD data were split into 8 bins, after which the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles of the data and mean UTH of 10 precipitation bins were calculated. The cirrus dependence has been removed from the AOD data.

\(\Delta UTH\) may be at least partly due to the removal of invigoration effects of aerosols on precipitation.

We tested the sensitivity of the results by varying the limit between high and low AOD and by averaging AOD over 5° × 5°. We also varied the time lag between AOD and UTH measurements, and the time span over which the precipitation was taken, up to 2 days. Furthermore, different aerosol products were tested. In general, a higher UTH was observed with a higher value of AOD. However, the magnitude of \(\Delta UTH\) depended on the specific choices (Table 2).

3.2 Causality questions

Causalities in satellite studies of aerosol–cloud interactions have been questioned because of meteorological covariation and aerosol humidification (Quaas et al., 2010; Grandey et al., 2013). For example, aerosol particles have been noted to swell in humid conditions leading to higher AOD even though the number of cloud condensation nuclei does not change (e.g. Quaas et al., 2010; Grandey et al., 2013; Twomey et al., 2009). Such effects may then cause correlations between AOD and cloud properties.
Although precipitation binning should alleviate these problems, we repeated our analysis by binning the data according to different meteorological variables from ERA-Interim reanalysis data (Dee et al., 2011) in addition to binning it by the amount of precipitation. Surface parameters and 550 hPa vertical velocity in pressure coordinates at 00:00 UTC (approximately 10:00 local solar time) were used at 1° × 1° resolution (Fig. 10).

If meteorological covariation or humidification explained our results, binning by meteorological variables should significantly decrease the observed UTH difference between the high and low values of AOD. For cases with non-extreme values for meteorological variables (i.e. boxes 2 to 5 in Fig. 10), the results were practically the same as with binning only by precipitation. With extreme values of some meteorological parameters (the lowest mean sea level pressure, the highest 2 m relative humidity and the largest pressure increase from the previous day), the results showed a weaker or even a negative relation between AOD and UTH. However, those values were likely associated with current or recent passage of low pressure systems, where the wet scavenging associated with significant amount of earlier precipitation may dominate the results. We conclude that meteorological covariation and humidification were not the reason for the observed association between UTH and AOD. This strongly suggests a causal relationship between aerosols and UTH.

3.3 Effect on radiative transfer

Outgoing longwave radiation is sensitive to the upper tropospheric water vapour concentration (Held and Soden, 2000). Water vapour is a greenhouse gas that mainly affects climate by absorbing outgoing longwave radiation. To balance the decrease in outgoing longwave radiation that results from increased UTH, an increase in surface and lower atmospheric temperature is required which acts to increase the outgoing longwave radiation.

To quantify these effects, radiative transfer calculations (see Sect. 2.5) were conducted for two reference soundings
Figure 10. Meteorological covariation. After binning with precipitation, data was binned to 6 bins according to the value of several meteorological variables from ERA-Interim reanalysis: mean sea level (m.s.l.) pressure, pressure change from the previous day, 550 hPa vertical velocity in pressure coordinates ($\omega$), low cloud cover, 2 m relative humidity, 10 m wind speed and 10 m wind direction (wind dir). These variables were chosen as they are expected to change considerably during the passage of synoptic (and mesoscale) disturbances. Change in UTH ($\Delta \text{UTH} = \text{UTH}(\text{AOD} \geq 0.18) - \text{UTH}(\text{AOD} < 0.18)$) is shown separately for the 6 bins and the mean over the 6 bins in the last column. For each meteorological variable, each of the 6 bins contains equal amount of data. The values increase from left to right except for 10 m wind direction for which the values go from north-easterly to north-westerly wind directions. Mean values of the meteorological variables in each bin are presented in parenthesis. In the last column, the upper value is the mean of $\Delta \text{UTH}$ in bins 2–5 and the lower value is the mean of $\Delta \text{UTH}$ in all 6 bins. If the observed increase in UTH would be caused by some of these meteorological variables, $\Delta \text{UTH}$ should go to zero when binned according to this variable. As no such behaviour is observed, we conclude that meteorological covariation is not the cause of the obtained results. Also, $\Delta \text{UTH}$ shows no systematic behaviour according to any of the meteorological variables.

Table 3. Radiative effect related to $\Delta \text{UTH}$. Outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) and radiative effect (RE) caused by a decrease in OLR when relative humidity in the upper troposphere (500–200 hPa) is increased by 1, 2, 5, and 10 percentage units in the tropical moist (TM) and midlatitude dry (MLD) air reference soundings of Dunion (2011).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TM OLR (W m$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>TM RE (W m$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>MLD OLR (W m$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>MLD RE (W m$^{-2}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dunion (2011)</td>
<td>256.83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>269.07</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1 % RH</td>
<td>256.58</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>268.68</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+2 % RH</td>
<td>256.33</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>268.30</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+5 % RH</td>
<td>255.61</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>267.21</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+10 % RH</td>
<td>254.46</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>265.55</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of TM and MLD air. The results show that a 1 % RH increase in the UTH of moist tropical air causes a local positive radiative effect of 0.25 W m$^{-2}$ (0.39 W m$^{-2}$ for dry air, see Table 3). In dry air the impact is larger as the fractional increase in water vapour concentration for a fixed increase in RH is larger in dry air (Held and Soden, 2000).

The observed summertime increase of 2.2 ± 1.5 % RH in UTH (5.8 ± 1.4 % RH without removal of AOD dependence on cirrus fraction) due to aerosols corresponds to the radiative effect of $+0.5 \pm 0.4 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ($+1.4 \pm 0.3 \text{ W m}^{-2}$, interpolated from Table 3) in tropical moist air. The real radiative effect of this phenomenon may be even larger than these values, since the effect of wet scavenging may partially obscure the microphysical effects of aerosols on UTH in our study region.
Figure 11. Mean difference in UTH (in % RH) between cases when AOD is higher and cases when AOD is lower than each grid box median for four seasons in 2007–2013: (a) June, July and August, (b) September, October and November, (c) December, January and February, (d) March, April and May. Precipitation binning and cirrus correction were applied to the data and only grid cells with precipitation more than 1 mm day$^{-1}$ were used. The data are averaged over $3^\circ \times 3^\circ$ grid and only grid cells with statistically significant differences ($t$ test with the 10 \% significance level) are shown. Black contours represent mean daily precipitation of 3, 9 and 30 mm. The study region east of China is marked with a green box in (a). Wet scavenging is likely to decrease $\Delta$UTH leading to a smaller statistical significance.
4 Discussion and conclusions

Although China’s outflow region is an optimal study region because of the aforementioned reasons, it is important to consider the relation between UTH and AOD globally. In the following we make a first attempt to understand the effect on global scales, but a more in-depth analysis could be the topic of an additional study. In Fig. 11, differences in UTH between the cases of high and low AOD averaged over 3 months are shown for the four seasons separately. The UTH difference was mostly positive, which supports our hypothesis, even though negative values were also observed in some locations, especially over remote oceans and in parts of the intertropical convergence zone. These negative values are mostly located far away from major aerosol sources and may thus be due to wet scavenging dominating the relationship between AOD and UTH over the effect of aerosols on the amount of ice in the upper troposphere and its sublimation. Similarly, where the $\Delta$UTH is positive, it would be even more positive without wet scavenging. As wet scavenging overall leads to a smaller $\Delta$UTH, it also decreases the statistical significance of the obtained results. Importantly, Fig. 11 indicates that our study region east of China is not anomalous, but that similar differences in UTH between high and low AOD are observed in many other regions over the globe.

A few caveats need to be taken into account when interpreting the global results (Fig. 11). First of all, in our study area, binning by other meteorological parameters proved unnecessary when the data were first binned by the amount of precipitation. However, in theory the same might not apply globally. Also, the AOD dependence on cirrus fraction may not be the same everywhere, as has been assumed in these calculations. However, changes in cloud microphysical properties tend to show logarithmic, rather than linear, dependence on the aerosol loading (Koren et al., 2008, and references therein), suggesting that the effect may also be large in cleaner areas.

Results from general circulation models show almost no changes in the relative humidity in a changing climate (Held and Soden, 2000; Soden et al., 2005). However, very few general circulation models have so far begun to include the effects of aerosols on deep convective clouds (Boucher et al., 2013; Khain et al., 2015). Namely, as noted by Khain et al. (2015), the only feasible option in current general circulation models is to use bulk microphysics parameterisation schemes. However, bulk microphysics schemes have trouble producing the effects of aerosols on cloud cover and cloud top height. As a result, the effect of aerosols on UTH is not correctly included in the current projections of climate change produced by general circulation models. Estimates of climate sensitivity that combine temperature observations with estimates of greenhouse gas and aerosol-induced radiative forcing should also be reconsidered.

5 Data availability


UTH data can be accessed at http://www.sat.ltu.se/projects/uth-clim/ (see Buehler et al., 2008). The dataset used in this study by request from Viju O. John.

Precipitation data can be accessed at http://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/datasetcollection/TRMM_3B42RT_Daily_7.html (see Huffman et al., 2007).

ERA Interim data can be accessed at http://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/data/interim-full-daily/levtype=sfc/ (see Dee et al., 2011).
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