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Parents of children with specific language impairment (SLI) are advised to promote language development at home. However, it is not known if children with SLI differ from healthy controls in their daily activities. This study collected prospectively information about the home activities of the children with SLI and their matched controls by using parents' daily reports. Participants were 20 matched pairs. The ages of children in matched pairs were from 6 to 8 years. During one week, parents filled in daily questionnaires of listed home activities. The observed time was between 5 pm and 9.30 pm each day and it was divided into 30-minute scoring periods. Parents of children with SLI reported more varying home activities and fewer activities of playing outdoors than parents of control children. Home activities with literacy or screen time did not show difference between the two groups, and neither did playing table top games. Parents of children with SLI did more overlapping choices when scoring home activities than parents of control children. Children with SLI seemed to spend somewhat less time with home activities that, in particular, may ask for language and social skills and collaboration with peers.

1. Introduction

According to the International Classification of Diseases 10 (ICD10) [1], children with specific language impairment (SLI) can have diagnosis F80.1 or F80.2 [1]. Diagnosis F80.1 is an expressive language disorder in which the child's ability to use expressive spoken language is markedly below the appropriate level for the mental age, but in which language comprehension is within normal limits. There may or may not be abnormalities in articulation. Diagnosis F80.2 is a receptive language disorder in which the child's understanding of language is below the appropriate level for the mental age. In virtually all cases expressive language will also be markedly affected and abnormalities in word-sound production are common [1].

Among others, environmental factors have been suggested to explain the delayed language development as well as SLI of children [2, 3]. Parents play a critical role in their children’s language development, as they are the source of the genes and they modify the home environment of their children, thus, usually, facilitating and scaffolding the language development [4, 5]. For example, one of the most important environmental factors affecting children's language skills has been suggested to be the quality of home literacy [6–8]. Significant relationship has been suggested between retrospectively reported home support for early literacy and performance on language tasks, even in adolescence [9]. However, studies of literacy experiences at home have often been carried out with retrospective questionnaires in which parents estimate the overall quantity of literacy experiences...
at home [3, 10, 11]. Analogously, increased use of media has been reported to induce putative harmful effects on young children's development in several studies among different cultures [12–15]. Because of the reports suggesting the negative effects of screen time on the development of a child the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) has even recommended limiting the daily screen time [16]. However, the possible differences of screen time have not been studied prospectively in children with and without SLI.

The effect of nature-nurture relationship on language development has been studied along many decades [17, 18] and, to support its significance, findings of empirical studies have suggested that collaborative work between parents and speech and language therapists (SLTs) benefits children’s language development [19, 20]. The focus of the intervention has turned from face-to-face with children settings to collaboration between parents and professionals [17, 19–21]. Furthermore, the International Classification of Functions (ICF) [22] calls for recognizing the environmental factors and considering them as part of intervention. This environmental intervention includes collaboration between parents and clinicians, because this collaboration and advising is supposed to have an effect also on the daily living of children that is expected to manifest itself as increased time spent at home on the recommended tasks and recommended daily activities. The quality of home literacy [6–8], activities like playing with peers and physical playing [23, 24], and use of media, especially television, [12–15] are just those putative daily activities which could be subjects of ecological intervention [18, 22] for children with SLI.

However, there is limited knowledge of the connections of language impairment on the daily activities of children with SLI, and these data are solely based on retrospective studies as stated before. Retrospective studies are prone to various biases [25]. To detect the putative differences in daily activities this study aimed at collecting information prospectively about the home activities of the children with SLI and their matched controls by using parents’ daily reports. Our interest targeted the activities when children are at home with their parents.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Measurement of Activities at Home

Parent reports have been suggested to provide a valid access to parents’ knowledge about their child across time and contexts and information about behavioural skills that are difficult to observe [26–28]. Because of the absence of earlier studies of the daily basis questionnaires, the parent questionnaires in this study were first formulated according to the common knowledge and parent interviews of home activities of children in Finland. The second step was the pilot survey for parents outside of the aimed study groups that gave essential further knowledge of daily activities. The information of the pilot survey also conducted to the time scoring method used. Finally, the parents in this study filled in, during one week, daily questionnaires (see Appendix) where the observed time was between 5 pm and 9.30 pm each day and it was divided into 30-minute scoring periods. As the children do not act in 30-minute sessions, parents were advised to choose the main activity of their child in each particular session.

The four seasons are climatically very different in Finland and children's activities vary substantially according to the seasons. Therefore, the activities of all children were registered during the same week in April 2006.

#### 2.2. Participants

This study is a part of a larger research SLI in Vantaa [29–31]. The research included all children born in 1998 and 1999 who were diagnosed in specialist health care in Helsinki University Central Hospital as having SLI (ICD10 diagnosis F80.1 or F80.2) living in the city of Vantaa, Finland. All of the diagnoses of the children in this study were specified in the specialist health care where guidelines and international definitions (DSM-V and ASHA) were in use. The size of this birth cohort was 4553 children in January 2006 [32]. Approximately one hundred children with SLI were found in the research data. The study criteria for the SLI group were the following: Finnish as mother language, normal intellectual ability, normal hearing, and diagnosis F80.1 or F80.2. For each case, a control child without the diagnosis of SLI was selected from the Vantaa population register. Furthermore, to ensure that also the parents of children with new diagnoses of SLI were informed of this study, the introductory letters were available in specialist health care units in the Helsinki University Central Hospital. The letter was accompanied by the preliminary letter of consent to be returned to the responsible researcher in a prepaid envelope. In the selection of control children, gender, month of birth (±1 month), and the area of the town they lived in were matched. The matched case-control study design was chosen because it is the most efficient study design when outcomes are relatively rare, like SLI [33].

The flowchart of the child involvement in the study is given in Figure 1.

In the present study the parents of 56 children with SLI and 36 suggested control children accepted the study protocol. Parents of 38 (67.9%) children with SLI and 30 (83%) of matched control children returned the questionnaires. Out of the returned questionnaires the matched pairs run into each other in 20 pairs, for example, 53% of the returned questionnaires of children with SLI and 67% of the returned questionnaires of control children. The ages of children in matched pairs were as follows: six pairs, 6 years of age; ten pairs, 7 years of age; and four pairs, 8 years of age. Data of children with SLI in matched pairs are presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of matched pairs</th>
<th>SLI</th>
<th>Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Boys (%)</td>
<td>Girls (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F80.1</td>
<td>12 (71%)</td>
<td>2 (67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F80.2</td>
<td>5 (29%)</td>
<td>1 (33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17 (100%)</td>
<td>3 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Data of children with SLI in matched pairs: number of matched pairs in F80.1 and F80.2 and numbers of boys and girls.
2.3. Data Analysis. The data were first analysed by counting together each child’s activities separately for each day. Because the data suggested that during the weekends families had special activities when the questionnaires were not fulfilled, weekends were left out from the final analysis. Thus, the final data included the activities from Monday to Friday of the 20 matched pairs. Though parents were advised to select only one alternative for each 30-minute session, there were cases when parents selected more than one alternative. These overlapping choices caused different summarized follow-up times from Monday to Friday. To avoid false interpretations due to the overlapping choices, the second raw data processing was done in each activity that showed statistical significance. In order to test the strength of the putatively observed statistically significant differences of home activities the data was reanalysed by using the most unfavourable scores for each observed activity difference and thereby checking the specificity of the present findings [25, 34].

Cross tabulation was used to count the activities between each pair of children with SLI and their matched controls. The Chi-square test was used to analyse the difference in activities at home. Nonparametric test for related samples (Wilcoxon signed-rank test) was used to compare the children with SLI and their matched controls. The statistical difference level was \( p = 0.05 \). PASW 18 was used to analyse the data.

3. Results

The preliminary analysis suggested that children with SLI played less outdoors (Figure 2), played less table top games \( (p = 0.05) \) (Table 2), and changed more activities (Figure 3) than their typically developing controls. In the specificity testing the difference remained statistically significant in activities “playing outdoors” \( (p = 0.03) \) and “changing activity” \( (p = 0.03) \) but in activity “playing table top games” (Table 2) it disappeared \( (p = 0.08) \).

In all other studied activities (playing indoors, building, drawing, looking at books, playing electric games, listening to reading, exercise at home indoors, watching TV/video, activities with music, guided hobbies, meal times, washing, sauna, and doing homework) the differences between the studied groups were not statistically significant (Table 2).

Parents in the two groups had made more than one choice for some 30-minute sessions. However, parents of children with SLI had made these overlapping choices statistically
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Table 2: Home activities with nonsignificant statistical difference. Median, 25% quartile, 75% quartile, and \( p \) (signed-rank test) are presented.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Median (h)</th>
<th>25% quartile</th>
<th>75% quartile</th>
<th>( p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Playing indoors</td>
<td>SLI</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building</td>
<td>SLI</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drawing</td>
<td>SLI</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Looking at books</td>
<td>SLI</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playing table top games</td>
<td>SLI</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playing electric games</td>
<td>SLI</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listening to reading</td>
<td>SLI</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise at home indoors</td>
<td>SLI</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watching TV/video</td>
<td>SLI</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity with music</td>
<td>SLI</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guided hobbies</td>
<td>SLI</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meal times</td>
<td>SLI</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washing, sauna</td>
<td>SLI</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doing homework</td>
<td>SLI</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison of time used for playing outdoors between children with SLI and their matched controls

\( \ast p < 0.05 \), versus matched controls, signed-rank test

Comparison of time used for changing activity between children with SLI and their matched controls

\( \ast p < 0.05 \), versus matched controls, signed-rank test

FIGURE 2: Playing outdoors. Median scores with 25% and 75% quartiles are presented as box plots. Brackets show the lowest 10% and the highest 90%.

FIGURE 3: Changing activity. Median scores with 25% and 75% quartiles are presented as box plots. Brackets show the lowest 10% and the highest 90%.

4. Discussion

This first ever prospective study agrees with retrospective findings suggesting that children with SLI really may have somewhat different daily activities than their healthy counterparts [7, 9, 12, 14]. These differences appeared although number of our subjects was small. At home children with SLI seemed to have more situations when they do not concentrate on one activity at a time than their controls. Parents of children with SLI indicated this varying activity, lack of concentration, and running wild by reporting more activities of “changing activity.” The difficulty of children with SLI to...
Total duration of home activities scored by parents from Monday to Friday between children with SLI and their matched controls.

*Significance level p < 0.05, versus matched controls, signed-rank test.

**Figure 4:** Parents overlapping choices. Median scores with 25% and 75% quartiles are presented as box plots. Brackets show the lowest 10% and the highest 90%.

As discussed earlier, the behaviour of children with SLI is affected by functions that appear in complicated clusters [38]. Though SLI is an impairment in language the difficulties are not solely confined to the verbal behaviour but they extend to nonverbal behaviour, too [38]. The executive functioning difficulties of children with SLI have been discussed to show similar prevalence as of those of children with ADHD [38]. Also, the comorbidity of language impairments and other developmental disorders have been discussed through the decade [39]. The findings of the present small data support the earlier studies suggesting the nonspecific nature of SLI [38–40]. Children with SLI indicated more varying activity and lack of concentration and this caused the bigger number of overlapping choices and “changing activity-scores” of parents of children with SLI than parents of their controls.

The present data found no statistically significant differences between the study groups of activities of looking at books, listening to reading, doing homework, or guided hobbies that may contribute the most to language learning. Neither were statistically significant differences found in screen time parameter. The effect of nature-nurture relationship on language development [17, 18] is well known and parents play a critical role in their children's language development, as they are the source of the genes and they modify the home environment of their children [41]. However, lack of differences in these daily activities hints that the putative differences, even if they actually would exist, seem not to be very prominent. Due to our small data further interpretation of this result is not performed.

5. Strengths and Limitations

The strength of the present study was the case-control setting and the prospective data collection daily during the same time period with all children. In addition, all children of this matched case-control study lived in the same town, at the same areas, thus having supposedly the same sort of social surroundings and similar facilities for their daily activities. The cultural background of the participants in the study was homogenous. Among the strengths of the present study were also the length of the study period, one week, and daily questionnaires that are supposed to diminish the mistakes of memorising.

The main weakness of this study was the small number of the participants. Partially this was due to our relatively small cohort [30, 31] and, thus, the number of case-control pairs
remained small. This hampers possibilities to make clear-cut conclusions about parameters which did not turn out to differ statistically significantly and allows only preliminary conclusions to be drawn from these parts of the data. Prospective studies with bigger data are required to answer the questions regarding whether there are differences in these parameters or not.

Another putative methodological weakness in the present study was the parents' subjective nature of the observations on children's behaviour. Many of the parents are aware of the commonly known recommendations concerning children's developmental activities. All this may have affected parents' scoring behaviour when filling in the questionnaires, for example, estimating the time used for “watching TV/video.” However, even though some of the parents may have tried to stress the commonly recommended actions in their answers, there is no reason to believe that this would have induced a group effect modifying the result.

We also had limited access to the diagnostic data of the children with SLI because the diagnoses were made in specialist health care. Full access to the data would have allowed us to review the nature and severity of each child with SLI more profoundly. Though listed activities were collected in the pilot study openly formulated descriptive data of activities might have given more information of the putative differences of daily activities between the children with SLI and their matched controls.

The majority of previous studies on human interactions at home rely on one-shot self-reported data and reports over extended periods of time are carried out as follow-ups by filling in questionnaires or interviewing parents retrospectively [8, 10]. Studies using daily reports are not common in the field of SLI studies. Thus, home support for language learning has no standard forms of parent reports and, for example, frequency of book reading has been mostly studied by interviewing parents or asking questions about the frequency of reading [9, 10]. Though language and communicative behaviour are learned at home, the studies in this area are difficult to carry out, because the method itself may cause changes in the targeted activities. The method of the present study, prospective parent reports, can be seen as reliable as the method used in published studies [9, 10, 27, 28].

6. Conclusions

The result of the present study suggests smaller differences and bigger similarities in duration of daily activities between children with SLI and their matched controls. The challenge for the future is to evaluate how relevant these differences and similarities in home activities are, especially for the intervention.

Appendix

Daily Home Activities Questionnaire

Day 1

Playing outdoors

☐ Time 17–17.30

☐ Time 17.30–18.00

☐ Time 18.00–18.30

☐ Time 18.30–19.00

☐ Time 19.00–19.30

☐ Time 19.30–20.00

☐ Time 20.00–20.30

☐ Time 20.30–21.00

☐ Time 21-

Playing indoors

☐ Time 17–17.30

☐ Time 17.30–18.00

☐ Time 18.00–18.30

☐ Time 18.30–19.00

☐ Time 19.00–19.30

☐ Time 19.30–20.00

☐ Time 20.00–20.30

☐ Time 20.30–21.00

☐ Time 21-

Building

☐ Time 17–17.30

☐ Time 17.30–18.00

☐ Time 18.00–18.30

☐ Time 18.30–19.00

☐ Time 19.00–19.30

☐ Time 19.30–20.00

☐ Time 20.00–20.30

☐ Time 20.30–21.00

☐ Time 21-

Drawing

☐ Time 17–17.30

☐ Time 17.30–18.00

☐ Time 18.00–18.30

☐ Time 18.30–19.00

☐ Time 19.00–19.30

☐ Time 19.30–20.00

☐ Time 20.00–20.30

☐ Time 20.30–21.00

☐ Time 21-

Looking at books

☐ Time 17–17.30

☐ Time 17.30–18.00

☐ Time 18.00–18.30

☐ Time 18.30–19.00

☐ Time 19.00–19.30

☐ Time 19.30–20.00

☐ Time 20.00–20.30

☐ Time 20.30–21.00
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17–17.30</td>
<td>Playing tabletop games</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.00–18.30</td>
<td>Playing electric games</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.30–19.00</td>
<td>Listening to reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.00–19.30</td>
<td>Exercise at home indoors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.30–20.00</td>
<td>Watching TV/video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.00–20.30</td>
<td>Guided hobbies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.30–21.00</td>
<td>Meal times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-</td>
<td>Washing, sauna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17–17.30</td>
<td>Changing activity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Activities with music:
- Time 17–17.30
- Time 17.30–18.00
- Time 18.00–18.30
- Time 18.30–19.00
- Time 19.00–19.30
- Time 19.30–20.00
- Time 20.00–20.30
- Time 20.30–21.00
- Time 21-
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