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ABSTRACT
We present evidence from cosmological hydrodynamical simulations for a co-evolution of the slope of the total (dark and stellar) mass density profile, $\gamma_{\text{tot}}$, and the dark matter fraction within the half-mass radius, $f_{\text{DM}}$, in early-type galaxies. The relation can be described as $\gamma_{\text{tot}} = A f_{\text{DM}} + B$ for all systems at all redshifts. The trend is set by the decreasing importance of gas dissipation towards lower redshifts and for more massive systems. Early-type galaxies are smaller, more concentrated, have lower $f_{\text{DM}}$ and steeper $\gamma_{\text{tot}}$ at high redshifts and at lower masses for a given redshift; $f_{\text{DM}}$ and $\gamma_{\text{tot}}$ are good indicators for growth by ‘dry’ merging. The values for $A$ and $B$ change distinctively for different feedback models, and this relation can be used as a test for such models. A similar correlation exists between $\gamma_{\text{tot}}$ and the stellar mass surface density $\Sigma_*$. A model with weak stellar feedback and feedback from black holes is in best agreement with observations. All simulations, independent of the assumed feedback model, predict steeper $\gamma_{\text{tot}}$ and lower $f_{\text{DM}}$ at higher redshifts. While the latter is in agreement with the observed trends, the former is in conflict with lensing observations, which indicate constant or decreasing $\gamma_{\text{tot}}$. This discrepancy is shown to be artificial: the observed trends can be reproduced from the simulations using observational methodology to calculate the total density slopes.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The distribution of dark and luminous matter in early-type galaxies (ETGs) has been the subject of many studies over the past years. The total mass of galaxies can, for example, be estimated from the X-ray emission of hot halo gas (e.g. Pointecouteau, Arnaud & Pratt 2005; Pratt & Arnaud 2005; Das et al. 2011; Newman et al. 2013), strong-lensing measurements (e.g. Koopmans et al. 2006; Auger et al. 2010; Barnabè et al. 2011), or dynamical modelling at different levels of complexity (e.g. Gerhard et al. 2001; Thomas et al. 2007; Cappellari et al. 2013; Tortora et al. 2014b). More recently, measurements using tracer populations such as planetary nebulae or globular clusters have also been able to assess mass distributions and stellar kinematics out to large radii (e.g. Deason et al. 2012; Napolitano et al. 2014; Cappellari et al. 2015). The contribution of the dark matter is typically estimated by subtracting the luminous stellar component. Uncertainties in the initial mass function (IMF) slope and thus the mass-to-light ratio, however, can result in significant variations in the derived dark matter fractions (e.g. Barnabè et al. 2011; Deason et al. 2012; Dutton et al. 2013), i.e. a bottom-heavy IMF, for example, results in more stellar mass for a given stellar luminosity, lowering the dark matter fraction.

Dynamical modelling of Coma cluster ETGs (Thomas et al. 2007) as well as numerical simulations (Remus et al. 2013) indicate that the total (stellar plus dark matter) radial density profiles of ETGs at $z = 0$ can be well described by a power law $\rho \propto r^{\gamma}$, with an average slope of $\gamma \approx -2.1$ over a large radial range of $0.3 R_{1/2} < r < 4 R_{1/2}$. This is also in good agreement with the results presented by Humphrey & Buote (2010), who studied 10 ETGs in different environments from field to cluster densities at low redshifts. They modelled the total mass profiles of ETGs using X-ray measurements of the hot halo surrounding the galaxies, covering $0.2 R_{\text{eff}} < r < 10 R_{\text{eff}}$, and find power-law slopes for the total density between $-2 < \gamma < -1.2$. Larger galaxies tend to have flatter slopes, similar to what has been shown by Remus et al. (2013). More recently,
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Cappellari et al. (2015) presented similar results for ETGs from the SLUGGS survey, but their slopes tend to be steeper than those found by Humphrey & Buote (2010) and Thomas et al. (2007).

Humphrey & Buote (2010) also found the central dark matter fraction within the effective radius to vary with other galaxy properties. They suggest that the accretion of mostly collisionless material leads to a reordering of the system towards an isothermal state (see e.g. Hilz et al. 2012). Recently, Tortora et al. (2014a) studied the central total mass density profiles of ∼4300 ETGs at z < 0.1 from the SPIDER survey (La Barbera et al. 2010) and 260 ETGs from the ATLAS3D survey down to stellar masses as low as Ms, ∼ 10^{10} M⊙. They find a clear correlation between the slope of the total central density profile of the galaxies and their size and stellar mass, with their most massive galaxies having slopes close to isothermal (γ ≈ −2). A correlation of the total density slope within the effective radius and the mass of the ETG has also been reported by Newman, Ellis & Treu (2015), who studied ETGs in group environments, and by Forbes et al. (2016) from the SLUGGS survey.

As suggested by Remus et al. (2013), these correlations of the size, dark matter fractions, and in situ fractions with the total mass density slopes originate from the galaxies’ formation histories: At high redshifts, galaxy growth is dominated by dissipative processes like early gas-rich assembly from filaments and gas-rich mergers. These processes lead to an enhanced in situ star formation, which increases the baryonic content of the galaxies especially in their central parts, and thus results in low central dark matter fractions (e.g. Oser et al. 2010). They also lead to steeper total density slopes (see Remus et al. 2013; Sonnenfeld, Nipoti & Treu 2014). After a redshift of z ≈ 2, dissipative processes become less important, while the dissipationless processes start to dominate, i.e. the galaxy growth is mainly driven by merger events and accretion. This picture is sometimes called the two-phase formation scenario for galaxies (e.g. Naab et al. 2007; Guo & White 2008; Bezanson et al. 2009; Naab, Johansson & Ostriker 2009; Oser et al. 2010; Johansson, Naab & Ostriker 2012; Moster, Naab & White 2013; Furlong et al. 2015; Wellons et al. 2015, 2016). This theoretical framework offers an explanation for the observed trends at z = 0: Gas-poor (collisionless) mergers can increase the dark matter fractions within the effective radius by violent relaxation during major-merger events or, even more efficiently, by rapidly increasing the luminous size of the galaxies in minor merger events (e.g. Hilz et al. 2012; Hilz, Naab & Ostriker 2013). In this picture, ETGs which experienced multiple (mostly) gas-poor merger events have lower in situ fractions, higher dark matter fractions, and larger sizes at z = 0, but the violent accretion of mostly collisionless material also leads to a reordering of the system towards an isothermal state (Johansson, Naab & Ostriker 2009; Remus et al. 2013). Therefore, ETGs which have steeper slopes at z = 0 had either only a few or only gas-rich accretion events since z ≈ 2, and therefore still have high in situ fractions, smaller sizes, and low central dark matter fractions. They also tend to be less massive; however, this tendency is much less pronounced than the correlation between slope and size. The flattening of the slope with decreasing redshift found in simulations (Johansson et al. 2012; Remus et al. 2013) can therefore be naturally explained by ETG growth after about z ≈ 2 being dominated by mostly dry merger events, which cause a flattening of the density slope while enhancing the central dark matter fraction.

However, observations of galaxies which are strong lenses have revealed a different picture. Most studies find no evolution of the total density slopes with redshift (Koopmans et al. 2006; Auger et al. 2010; Barnabé et al. 2011; Ruff et al. 2011; Bolton et al. 2012) or even a mild decrease of the density slopes (Sonnenfeld et al. 2013b) up to redshifts of z ≈ 1. Treu & Koopmans (2004), for example, report average slopes of γ ≈ −1.75 ± 0.10 for their set of five ETGs from the LSD survey at redshifts between 0.5 < z < 1.

In this paper, we use numerical simulations to investigate the evolution of the central mass distributions of ETGs, especially emphasizing the central dark matter fractions. We include ETGs selected from zoom-in simulations with varying star formation feedback models and ETGs from a large-volume cosmological simulation (Magneticum) including feedback from active galactic nuclei (AGNs) in order to understand the impact of those different feedback mechanisms. Details of the simulations are presented in Section 2. In Section 3, we present the evolution of the mass–size relation for the different simulated ETG samples and compare to observations, and in Section 4 we discuss the evolution of the central dark matter fractions with redshift and its correlation with galactic mass and size. In Section 5, we discuss the total density slopes found in our simulations at different redshifts and their correlations with the dark matter fractions and stellar mass surface densities, and present a comparison with observations. We conclude in Section 6 with a summary and discussion.

2 NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

We use ETGs selected from three different simulation sets to study the effect of different sub-resolution feedback models on our results. The Magneticum Pathfinder simulations are a set of large-scale hydrodynamical cosmological simulations, while the other two samples are taken from zoom-in re-simulations of selected halo samples. In the following, we briefly describe the simulations with respect to the included feedback models relevant for this work. For further details, we refer to the previous works which describe the simulations in more detail. All simulations were performed using extended versions of the parallel TreePM smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) code GADGET-2 (Springel 2005) called p-GADGET-3. An overview of the general properties of the simulations can be found in Table 1.

2.1 The Magneticum Pathfinder simulations

The Magneticum Pathfinder1 simulations (Dolag et al., in preparation) are a set of hydrodynamical cosmological boxes with volumes ranging from (2688 Mpc/h)^3 to (48 Mpc/h)^3 and resolutions of m_{min} ∼ 2.6 × 10^9 M⊙ h^{-1} up to m_{min} ∼ 7.3 × 10^8 M⊙ h^{-1} (see also Bocquet et al. 2016). Throughout all simulations, a Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe 7 (WMAP7; Komatsu et al. 2011) Λ cold dark matter (ΛCDM) cosmology is adopted with σ_8 = 0.809, h = 0.704, Ω_M = 0.728, Ω_b = 0.272, and Ω_Λ = 0.0451 and an initial slope for the power spectrum of n_s = 0.963.

The version of GADGET-3 used for these simulations includes various updates in the formulation of SPH regarding the treatment of the viscosity (Dolag et al. 2005; Beck et al. 2016), thermal conduction (Dolag et al. 2004), and the employed SPH kernels (Donnert et al. 2013; Beck et al. 2016). Kinetic feedback from galactic winds is included following Springel & Hernquist (2003), and the metal enrichment and star formation descriptions follow the pattern of metal production from SN Ia, SN II, and asymptotic giant branch (AGB) mass-losses (Tornatore et al. 2004, 2007). Each gas particle can form up to four stellar particles. Regarding the metal enrichment,
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12 different types of elements are followed. Additionally, a self-consistent dependence of the gas cooling on the local metallicity is included following Wiersma, Schaye & Smith (2009). Black hole (BH) feedback is implemented according to Springel, Di Matteo & Hernquist (2005), Fabjan et al. (2010), and Hirschmann et al. (2014). For the identification of substructures, a modified version of SURFIND (Springel et al. 2001) is used which includes the contribution of the stars (Dolag et al. 2009).

The Magneticum Pathfinder simulations show good agreement with observational results for the pressure profiles of the intractable medium (Planck Collaboration V 2013; McDonald et al. 2014) and the properties of the formed BH and AGN population over cosmic times (Hirschmann et al. 2014; Steinborn et al. 2015). They have also been found to produce populations of both late- and early-type galaxies which resemble the observed angular momentum properties (Teklu et al. 2015) and global dynamical properties (Remus et al. 2015a).

Table 1. Simulation details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPH</th>
<th>AGN</th>
<th>Wind</th>
<th>Cooling</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oser</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Primordial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magneticum</td>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Weak</td>
<td>Incl. metals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Cosmological zoom simulations

Cosmological zoom simulations are re-simulations of haloes selected from a parent dark-matter-only simulation with higher resolutions and additional baryonic physics included. While large cosmological volumes provide a statistically representative sample of galaxies, zoom-in re-simulations are computationally less expensive to run and thus can be used to study the impact of the different feedback models on galaxy properties simultaneously allowing for higher resolution levels.

The re-simulations studied in this work are selected from a parent cosmological box of (72 Mpc/h)³ with 512³ dark matter (DM) particles (Moster et al. 2010; Oser et al. 2010). The particles have a mass resolution of \( m_{DM} = 2 \times 10^7 \, M_\odot \, h^{-1} \) and \( m_{gas} = 7.3 \times 10^6 \, M_\odot \, h^{-1} \). The mass of the stellar particles varies due to the fact that each gas particle can spawn four stellar particles; thus, on average the stellar masses are of the order of \( m_*=2 \times 10^6 \, M_\odot \, h^{-1} \). The gravitational softening evolves from redshifts to \( z=2 \), and from \( z=2 \) to 0 the softening is fixed to \( \epsilon_{DM} = \epsilon_{Gas} = 1.4 \, kpc \, h^{-1} \) for dark matter and gas particles and to \( \epsilon_* = 0.7 \, kpc \, h^{-1} \) for stellar particles.

2.2.1 Oser simulation set

The first set of zoom simulations used in this work were simulated with the code GADGET-2, including star formation and self-regulated supernova feedback according to Springel & Hernquist (2003) as well as radiative cooling for a primordial mixture of hydrogen and helium (Katz, Weinberg & Hernquist 1996). In addition, a uniform but redshift-dependent UV radiation background was included following Haardt & Madau (1996). The smaller haloes in this simulation set have also been re-simulated with a higher spatial resolution, with particle resolutions of \( m_{DM} = 3.6 \times 10^6 \, M_\odot \, h^{-1} \), \( m_{gas} = m_* = 7.4 \times 10^5 \, M_\odot \, h^{-1} \) and comoving gravitational softenings of \( \epsilon_{DM} = 0.45 \, kpc \, h^{-1} \), \( \epsilon_{gas} = \epsilon_* = 0.2 \, kpc \, h^{-1} \). Additional details on the galaxy properties of these simulation can also be found in Oser et al. (2012), Hirschmann et al. (2012), Remus et al. (2013), and Naab et al. (2014).

2.2.2 Wind simulation set

Several of the zoom simulations from this sample have also been re-simulated including metal enrichment and momentum-driven galactic winds. For the metal enrichment, contributions from SN Ia, SN II, and AGB stars are included following the four elements C, O, Si, and Fe following Oppenheimer & Davé (2008). The values for the mass loading \( \eta \) and the wind velocity \( v_{wind} \) are, however, not constant (as in Springel & Hernquist (2003), and thus as in Magneticum), but instead they scale with the galaxy velocity dispersion, motivated by observations of galactic superwinds of Martin (2005) and Rupke, Veilleux & Sanders (2005). These simulations are described in detail by Hirschmann et al. (2013, 2015). In general, the simulations are successful in predicting fairly realistic star formation rate (SFR) histories and baryon conversion efficiencies in low-mass haloes. However, they still form too massive galaxies with too high SFR due to missing AGN feedback. From these simulations, the second set of zoom simulations used in this work are selected.

2.3 Galaxy classification

We use a classification scheme based on the circularity parameter, similar to Scannapieco et al. (2008). At first, we align each galaxy along its principal axis of inertia of the stars within 0.1 \( R_{vir} \). The stellar mass within 0.1 \( R_{vir} \) also serves as the definition of the total stellar mass of our galaxies, \( M_* \). The circularity parameter for each gas and star particle within 0.1 \( R_{vir} \) is then calculated as

\[
\epsilon_{circ} = j_z / j_{circ},
\]

where \( j_z \) is the specific angular momentum of each particle with respect to the \( z \)-axis and \( j_{circ} \) is the specific angular momentum expected if the particle were on a circular orbit. For each galaxy, we then consider the histograms of the circularity parameter. If a galaxy is rotationally supported, the majority of the stellar (and the gas) particles have a circularity close to \( \epsilon_{circ} = 1 \) (or \( \epsilon_{circ} = -1 \).
in the case of a counter-rotating (gas) disc. On the other hand, if a galaxy is dispersion dominated, the majority of the particles have a circularity close to \( \epsilon_{\text{circ}} = 0 \). In the following, a galaxy is classified as ETG if more than a given fraction \( f(\epsilon_{\text{circ}}) \) of its stellar particles have circularities within \(-0.3 \leq \epsilon_{\text{circ}} \leq 0.3 \) and the fraction of cold gas within the half-mass radius (the radius which contains 50 per cent of the stellar mass \( M_* \); see also Remus et al. 2013) is \( f_{\text{gas}} \leq 3 \) per cent. This criterion is a result of a detailed analysis by Teklu et al. (2015), where it was already applied to select ‘posterior child’ samples of both spheroidal and disc galaxies in the Magneticum simulations.

As a stringent criterion to avoid contamination of our selected sample of ETGs with disc-like galaxies, merging and distorted structures as well as red discs, we choose a circularity fraction of \( f(\epsilon_{\text{circ}}) > 0.4 \) per cent based on figs 5 and 19 of Teklu et al. (2015). This choice of \( f(\epsilon_{\text{circ}}) \) also ensures that the same selection criterion can be applied safely at all studied redshifts. The Magneticum simulation studied in this work contains a total of 269 haloes with stellar masses \( M_* > 5 \times 10^{10} \, \text{M}_\odot \), of which 96 were classified as ETGs. Using the same selection criterion, we also identify ETGs from the Magneticum simulation at higher redshifts, always using the same lower total mass cut of \( M_* > 5 \times 10^{10} \, \text{M}_\odot \). In this work, we especially focus on the samples of ETGs selected at \( z = 0 \) (96 ETGs), \( z = 0.5 \) (87 ETGs), \( z = 1 \) (56 ETGs), and \( z = 2 \) (5 ETGs); however, if necessary, additional redshifts are also available. The selection limits and the numbers of all galaxies and ETGs at all redshifts are listed in Table 2. The ETGs at high redshift are most likely the progenitors of some of the ETGs at \( z = 0 \); however, we do not trace the ETGs back in time for this analysis but only select them at each timestep according to the above-mentioned total mass and circularity criteria. These ETGs selected from the Magneticum simulations are in the following called ‘Magneticum ETGs’.

However, if we apply the same choice of \( f(\epsilon_{\text{circ}}) > 0.4 \) per cent to the zoom simulations, the second set, where the momentum-driven wind model is included, reduces to only two galaxies at \( z = 0 \) and none at higher redshifts. We thus weaken the circularity criterion to have only 35 per cent of the stellar mass with circularities between \(-0.3 \leq \epsilon_{\text{circ}} \leq 0.3 \) (i.e. \( f(\epsilon_{\text{circ}}) > 35 \) per cent). Following Teklu et al. (2015), this weaker criterion should still lead to the selection of dispersion-dominated, spheroidal galaxies, while disc galaxy contamination is still excluded, merging or distorted systems could theoretically contaminate the selection. Since both samples of zoom simulations are, however, rather small, we simply check all selected galaxies by eye to avoid contamination.\(^2\) The gas criterion, on the other hand, is not changed.

We apply the \( f(\epsilon_{\text{circ}}) > 35 \) per cent criterion to both zoom simulations to ensure a better consistency between both samples, as both samples are simulated from the same set of initial conditions. For the first set of zoom simulations (Oser et al. 2010), nearly all galaxies at \( z = 0 \) were classified as ETGs (20 out of 21 galaxies), with decreasing numbers of ETGs at higher redshifts (see Table 2). The ETGs at higher redshifts are always the progenitors of those at lower redshift. These ETGs are the same as those studied by Remus et al. (2013), and will in the following be denoted as ‘Oser ETGs’.

For the second set of zoom simulations, the number of selected ETGs at \( z = 0 \) enhances to 5 out of 13 galaxies. At higher redshifts, however, only one or two galaxies classify as ETG (see Table 2), and thus we only include the five ETGs at \( z = 0 \) from this simulation set in our analysis. These ETGs will be denoted as ‘Wind ETGs’. The reduced number of ETGs clearly shows the impact of the momentum-driven wind model and the metal enrichment, which is discussed in detail in Hirschmann et al. (2013). Although the initial conditions and the numerical scheme are the same for both the wind and the Oser zoom simulations, the simulations including the momentum-driven wind and metal models produce significantly more disc-like galaxies, while the simulations without those models tend to mostly form ETGs even at high redshifts. This is due to the more efficient removal of low-angular-momentum gas in the wind models, which later on can be reincorporated in the galaxies, however, then its angular momentum is larger and thus builds up more disc-like structures (see also Ubler et al. 2014).

### 3 THE MASS–SIZE RELATION

The mass of an ETG is observationally closely correlated with its size, as shown, for example, by Shen et al. (2003) for SDSS and by Baldry et al. (2012) for the GAMA survey. For our simulations, we use the three-dimensional half-mass radius \( R_{1/2} \) to compare to the effective radius \( r_{\text{eff}} \) from observations. We define the three-dimensional half-mass radius \( R_{1/2} \) as the radius which contains half of the mass of the galaxy. To exclude contamination by streams or (disrupted) satellite galaxies, in this calculation we include only stellar particles within 10 per cent of the virial radius \( r_{\text{vir}} \). This approach mimics the limitations also experienced by observers as they attempt to observe the low surface brightness component in the outer halo, which is dominated by noise and sky background. This is the same definition used by Remus et al. (2013) and other similar studies, and it might slightly but systematically overestimate the actual size of the galaxy compared to the observations.

In Fig. 1, we show the mass–size relations from SDSS by Shen et al. (2003, left-hand panel) and from GAMA by Baldry et al. (2012, right-hand panel) for their ETGs in comparison with the results from our simulations. Both the Oser ETGs (red filled circles) and the Magneticum ETGs (blue filled circles) are in good agreement with the SDSS observations at the high-mass end, where the GAMA survey does not have any data points. The Oser ETGs tend to be slightly below the observed relation from SDSS, and are generally more massive at the same sizes than their counterparts from the Magneticum ETG sample. This most likely originates from the fact that the simulations of the Magneticum ETGs include BHs and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ETG sample</th>
<th>Masscut ((z = 0))</th>
<th>Masscut ((z &gt; 0))</th>
<th>(N_{\text{ETG}}/N_{\text{gal}}) ((z = 0))</th>
<th>(N_{\text{ETG}}/N_{\text{gal}}) ((z = 0.5))</th>
<th>(N_{\text{ETG}}/N_{\text{gal}}) ((z = 1))</th>
<th>(N_{\text{ETG}}/N_{\text{gal}}) ((z = 2))</th>
<th>(f(\epsilon_{\text{circ}}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oser</td>
<td>(M_* &gt; 5 \times 10^{10} , \text{M}_\odot)</td>
<td>Progenitors</td>
<td>20/21</td>
<td>18/21</td>
<td>12/21</td>
<td>11/21</td>
<td>35 per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magneticum</td>
<td>(M_* &gt; 5 \times 10^{10} , \text{M}_\odot)</td>
<td>(M_* &gt; 5 \times 10^{10} , \text{M}_\odot)</td>
<td>96/269</td>
<td>87/305</td>
<td>56/298</td>
<td>5/212</td>
<td>40 per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>(M_* &gt; 5 \times 10^{10} , \text{M}_\odot)</td>
<td>(Progenitors)</td>
<td>5/13</td>
<td>(2/13)</td>
<td>(1/13)</td>
<td>(1/13)</td>
<td>35 per cent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^2\) As the number of galaxies in the Magneticum simulation is so much larger than the number of galaxies in both zoom simulation, we do not need to weaken the criterion but rather decide to use the stronger cut to ensure an uncontaminated selected sample of ETGs without the necessity of a visual inspection.
their associated feedback, which are not present in the Oser ETG simulations (Choi et al. 2015; Crain et al. 2015).

Especially for the smaller masses, the Magneticum ETGs are usually larger in size than the SDSS galaxies of the same mass. In that mass regime, however, they are in excellent agreement with the observations from the more recent GAMA survey which shows a tilt in the mass–size relation for smaller mass ETGs. This tilt was also found in a recent re-analysis of the SDSS data by Mosleh, Williams & Franx (2013), who showed that the sizes of the smaller galaxies were underestimated in the previous study (see also Hall et al. 2012).

The ETGs from the Wind ETG sample (yellow filled circles) have masses $M_* > 2 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$ and are all clearly below the observed mass–size relation. This is due to the fact that, at the high-mass end, the AGN feedback becomes important, and counteracts the effects of the stellar feedback (see also Hirschmann et al. 2013). The comparison of Magneticum ETGs and Wind ETGs clearly shows that here the AGN feedback is the important missing ingredient (see also Dubois et al. 2013).

At higher redshifts, observations show that ETGs tend to be smaller in size than their present-day counterparts of the same mass (e.g. van Dokkum, Kriek & Franx 2009; Bezanson et al. 2013; Szomoru et al. 2013; van de Sande et al. 2013; van der Wel et al. 2014; Marsan et al. 2015). Fig. 2 shows the mass–size relation for our simulated ETGs at different redshifts of $z = 0.5$ (left-hand panel), $z = 1$ (middle panel), and $z = 2$ (right-hand panel). For comparison, we included in each panel the mass–size relations for ETGs from van der Wel et al. (2014) as black dashed lines and the mass–size relations at $z = 0$ from SDSS by Shen et al. (2003) as a black dotted line. All simulations predict the same shift in size towards more compact galaxies at higher redshifts as seen in the observations, albeit we only have very few galaxies at the high-mass end at $z \approx 2$ in our sample. Both the Oser ETGs and the Magneticum ETGs show the same behaviour, clearly stating that this is independent of the subgrid models for feedback used in the simulations (see Table 1). Nevertheless, both simulations are shifted compared to each other, with the Oser ETGs slightly below the Magneticum ETGs already at high redshifts. Again, the Magneticum ETGs are in better agreement with the observations, but at the higher mass end the ETGs from both simulations are more compact than the observed relations from van der Wel et al. (2014) suggest. However, note that compact ETGs have also been observed (see for example van de Sande et al. 2013).

Another interesting aspect concerning Magneticum ETGs is that the mass growth through dry (minor) merging, which shifts the ETGs strongly in size but not in mass (Naab et al. 2009; Hilz et al. 2012, 2013), cannot be the only mechanism for the formation of all ETGs which are observed at $z = 0$, as the number of Magneticum ETGs is significantly lower at $z = 2$ than at $z = 0$ and therefore not all those Magneticum ETGs at $z = 0$ can be grown from compact ETG progenitors through dry minor merging. Other processes such as late major-merger events or ram-pressure stripping in dense (galaxy cluster) environments can also lead to the formation of ETGs. Thus, ETGs formed in these ways do not necessarily need to follow the mass–size growth relations predicted for the dry merging scenario.

4 CENTRAL DARK MATTER FRACTIONS

We calculate the central dark matter fractions within the stellar half-mass radius, $f_{DM}$, for all our galaxies. At $z = 0$, we find a large scatter from $f_{DM} \lesssim 10$ per cent up to $f_{DM} \approx 60$ per cent. The Oser ETGs show a much smaller scatter in dark matter fractions than the Magneticum ETGs. The Wind ETGs populate the same area as the Oser ETGs, indicating that there is no obvious change due to the inclusion of the momentum-driven stellar feedback in this aspect for massive galaxies. This range is similar to the range of dark matter fractions found by Koopmans et al. (2006) and Barnabé et al. (2011) from strong lensing (within different radii), and by Thomas et al. (2007), who estimate the central dark matter fractions within the effective radius from dynamical modelling. It also agrees with the findings by Courteau & Dutton (2015), who propose a range of dark matter fractions within the effective radius of $f_{DM} \approx 10$ per cent up to $f_{DM} \approx 60$ per cent for ETGs, depending on whether the dark halo has been adiabatically contracted or not.

At $z = 0$, we find only a very weak correlation between the stellar mass and the central dark matter fraction for the Magneticum ETGs.
Co-evolution of $\gamma_{\text{tot}}$ and $f_{\text{DM}}$

Figure 2. Same as Fig. 1 but at different redshifts (left-hand panel: $z = 0.5$; middle panel: $z = 1$; right-hand panel: $z = 2$). The black dotted line shows the relation from SDSS at $z = 0$ (Shen et al. 2003) for comparison in all panels. The black dashed lines in all three panels show the mass–size relations found by van der Wel et al. (2014) at different redshift bins. In the left-hand panel, we include the relations from van der Wel et al. (2014) at $z = 0.25$ (upper line) and $z = 0.75$ (lower line), the central panel includes their relations at $z = 0.75$ (upper line) and $z = 1.25$ (lower line), and the right-hand panel includes their relations at $z = 1.75$ (upper line) and $z = 2.25$ (lower line).

Figure 3. Stellar mass ($M_*$, upper panels) and half-mass radius ($R_{1/2}$, lower panels) versus dark matter fractions within the half-mass radius $f_{\text{DM}}$ for our simulated galaxies. Oser ETGs are shown as red filled circles, Wind ETGs as yellow filled circles, and Magneticum ETGs as blue filled circles. From left to right: redshift as labelled. Upper-left panel: for comparison, central dark matter fractions from observations are shown, for Coma cluster ETGs as light green open circles (Thomas et al. 2007), and for SLACS lenses (Barnabé et al. 2011, assuming a Chabrier IMF) as bright green diamonds. Lower panels: linear fits to the Magneticum ETGs and Oser ETGs are shown in the corresponding colours.

but a noticeable tendency for more massive galaxies to have higher central dark matter fractions for the Oser ETGs, as shown in the upper-left panel of Fig. 3. The Wind ETGs have slightly lower central dark matter fractions with respect to the stellar mass than both other simulated samples; however, the sample size is too small to see any possible correlations.

Contrary to the stellar mass, we find a clear correlation between the size of an ETG and its central dark matter fraction at $z = 0$: Smaller ETGs always have smaller central dark matter fractions, as shown in the lower-left panel of Fig. 3. This trend is more pronounced for the Oser ETGs than for the Magneticum ETGs. The Wind ETGs show a similar behaviour as both the Oser ETGs and the Magneticum ETGs.

For comparison, data from Thomas et al. (2007) are included as light green open circles, and data from Barnabé et al. (2011) are shown as green diamonds in the upper-left panel of Fig. 3. Similar to the Magneticum ETGs, the observations reveal no clear correlation between the stellar mass and the central dark matter fractions. There are several massive ETGs in the Coma sample with relatively low dark matter fractions which have no counterpart in any of our samples.
simulated samples of ETGs. This has already been shown for the Oser ETGs by Remus et al. (2013), and the discrepancy remains with the Magneticum ETGs. This could be due to a selection effect in both our simulated ETG samples, namely to only include ETGs which are at the centres of dark matter haloes and no subhaloes, whereas all Coma cluster ETGs in this study are actually substructures within the Coma cluster host halo. Those substructures will have suffered from environmental processes like tidal stripping and harassment, which might influence the dark matter fractions even in the central areas (Whitmore, Forbes & Rubin 1988; Dolag et al. 2009; Limousin et al. 2009; Shu et al. 2015; Parry et al. 2016). Unfortunately, none of our simulations include a massive galaxy cluster like Coma to test this hypothesis.

At higher redshifts, the dark matter fractions are generally lower than at z = 0. At z = 2, the highest central dark matter fraction for the Magneticum ETGs is f_{DM} = 15 per cent. For the Oser ETGs, there are two galaxies which have higher fractions, but they are still below f_{DM} < 30 per cent. The majority of ETGs in both simulation samples have central dark matter fractions of f_{DM} ≈ 10 per cent or less, and the scatter is small. The average central dark matter fractions at z = 2 are (f_{DM}) = (10 ± 5) per cent and (f_{DM}) = (11 ± 6) per cent for the Magneticum and Oser ETGs, respectively, in comparison to (f_{DM}) = (36 ± 10) per cent and (f_{DM}) = (27 ± 8) per cent at z = 0. This agrees with results from Toft et al. (2012) who report dark matter fractions of f_{DM} = (18 ± 20) per cent for their sample of massive compact quiescent galaxies at z = 2 and f_{DM} = (46 ± 23) per cent for their comparison sample of local ETGs. Similarly, Tortora et al. (2014b) found for their observed ETGs at redshifts up to z ≈ 0.8 that the high-redshift ETGs have significantly smaller central dark matter fractions than their low-redshift counterparts.

The other three upper panels (from left to right) of Fig. 3 show the stellar mass versus central dark matter fractions for our simulated galaxies at different redshifts, for z = 0.5, 1, and 2, respectively, and the lower three panels show the half-mass radius versus the central dark matter fraction, correspondingly. While there is still no clear correlation between stellar mass and central dark matter fractions even at higher redshifts but a general slight tendency for more massive systems to have slightly larger dark matter fractions, the correlation between central dark matter fractions and size is present at all redshifts. The growth in size and dark matter fractions follows a linear relation R_{1/2} = A f_{DM} + B valid for all redshifts, which is shown as blue (red) dashed line for the Magneticum ETGs (Oser ETGs) in all four lower panels of Fig. 3. Those fits were made to the full sample of Magneticum ETGs (Oser ETGs) at all four redshifts together, and we clearly see that, apart from the larger Oser ETGs at z = 2, all our galaxies are well represented by the lines independent of their redshift. This suggests that the growth mechanisms for the central dark matter fractions and the galaxy sizes are much stronger correlated with each other than with the mass growth. The fitting parameters A and B for both curves can be found in Table 3. Note that, while the Wind ETGs did not fit at all at the mass–size relation at z = 0, they nevertheless still fall on the R_{1/2}–f_{DM} relation.

This could be interpreted as a direct effect of the mass growth of galaxies through (minor) merger events. Hilz et al. (2012) showed that an increase in mass by a factor of 2 through minor merger events enhances the central dark matter fraction by about 80 per cent, while one equal-mass major-merger event only increases the dark matter fraction by 25 per cent. Accordingly, the growth in size is much larger in the case of multiple minor mergers than for a major merger, thus explaining the much tighter correlation between dark matter fraction and size and the lacking correlation in mass. Both types of merger can thus also explain the observed increase in central dark matter fractions with decreasing redshift. In addition, recent merger events can also cause stellar outward migration (Hirschmann et al. 2015), which would also lead to an increase in the central dark matter fractions. The fact that this increase is seen in both of our simulation samples again indicates that these trends are not caused by numerical artefacts but are due to the underlying physics of accretion through merger events. This becomes even more clear when we compare the in situ fraction of the simulated ETGs at z = 0 with their central dark matter fractions, as shown in Fig. 4 for the Oser ETGs, using the in situ fractions obtained by Oser et al. (2012). The central dark matter fraction is anti-correlated with the in situ fraction such that galaxies with lower central dark matter fractions have higher in situ fractions, while ETGs with high central dark matter fractions have only about f_{in situ} ≈ 20 per cent or less. This again supports the idea that (mostly) dry merging is the main driver of mass growth for those galaxies which were already ETGs at about z ≈ 2, since dry merging also reduces the fraction of stars formed in situ while simultaneously enhancing the central dark matter fraction. But note that the in situ fractions are most likely strongly underestimated in the Oser ETGs ETGs ETGs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oser ETGs</th>
<th>Magneticum ETGs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>y_{tot} = A z + B</td>
<td>A = −0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{1/2} = A f_{DM} + B</td>
<td>B = 2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y_{tot} = A f_{DM} + B</td>
<td>A = 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y_{tot} = A f_{DM} + B</td>
<td>B = −2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f_{DM} = A \log (\Sigma_{*}) + B</td>
<td>A = −0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y_{tot} = A \log (R_{1/2}) + B</td>
<td>B = 3.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f_{DM} = A \log (\Sigma_{*}) + B</td>
<td>B = 10.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y_{tot} = A \log (R_{1/2}) + B</td>
<td>A = 0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y_{tot} = A \log (R_{1/2}) + B</td>
<td>B = −2.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. The fraction of stars formed in situ versus the fraction of dark matter within the half-mass radius for the Oser ETGs at z = 0.
5 TOTAL DENSITY PROFILE SLOPES

We fit the total (i.e. stellar plus dark matter) radial density profiles of our ETGs by a single power law with a slope of

$$\gamma = \frac{d \log(\rho)}{d \log(r)}.$$  

These fits have been shown to be a reasonable description of the density profiles for the Oser ETGs by Remus et al. (2013) and for the Magneticum ETGs by Remus, Dolag & Burkert (2015). In the following, we will study these slopes in more detail and link them to the quantities studied before, especially the central dark matter fractions.

5.1 Total density slope evolution with redshift

At low redshifts, we find the total radial density profiles of most of our simulated ETGs to be close to isothermal, i.e. $\gamma_{\text{tot}} \approx -2$. This was already shown for the Oser ETGs by Remus et al. (2013), and turns out to be the case for the Magneticum ETGs as well. As can be seen in Fig. 5, the Magneticum ETGs tend to have flatter slopes (black histograms), with an average of $\langle \gamma_{\text{tot}} \rangle = -2.05 \pm 0.13$, than the Oser ETGs, which have a mean value of $\langle \gamma_{\text{tot}} \rangle = -2.30 \pm 0.28$. The Wind ETGs have generally steeper density slopes of $\langle \gamma_{\text{tot}} \rangle = -2.56 \pm 0.03$. At higher redshifts, both the Oser and Magneticum ETGs have generally steeper slopes than at low redshifts, although the Oser ETGs always show steeper slopes than the Magneticum ETGs. Nevertheless, even if the actual values for the mean total density slopes for the Oser ETGs are smaller than for the Magneticum ETGs at each redshift bin, the general evolutionary trends are the same. As shown by the red and blue lines in Fig. 5, the evolution of the average total density slopes $\langle \gamma_{\text{tot}} \rangle$ in both simulated samples of ETGS follows a linear correlation with $z$, i.e. $\langle \gamma_{\text{tot}} \rangle = A z + B$, with very similar values for $A$ found for both simulations ($A = -0.21$ for the Magneticum ETGs, $A = -0.27$ for the Oser ETGs, see also Table 3 for the fit parameters). A similar evolutionary trend was also found by Johansson et al. (2012) for their set of re-simulations. The generally flatter average slopes of the Magneticum ETGs compared to the Oser ETGs at all redshifts originate most likely from the additional feedback included in the Magneticum simulations, especially the AGN feedback (see also Dubois et al. 2013). However, the different feedback models do not cause a change in the general trends of the total density slopes with redshift.
While both our simulated samples of Magneticum ETGs and Oser ETGs clearly show that at higher redshifts the total density slopes $\gamma_{\text{tot}}$ were steeper than at lower redshifts, the observations indicate a different behaviour. Sonnenfeld et al. (2013b) demonstrated that the power-law slopes of the radial density profiles inferred from observations of strong-lensing ETGs are flatter at higher redshifts than at low redshifts. In a subsequent paper, Sonnenfeld et al. (2014) argued that merger events at low redshifts thus must contain a significant amount of cold gas to steepen the slope. Fig. 5 highlights the tension between simulations and observations, showing the observations as coloured symbols. While the total density slopes found for the Oser ETGs at high redshifts are all much steeper than the observed ones, the Magneticum ETG sample actually includes galaxies with total density slopes as flat as the observed ones even at higher redshifts. This is mainly due to the fact that the Magneticum ETG sample is selected from a full cosmological box, and thus also includes massive evolved galaxies even at redshifts as high as $z = 2$ which are the progenitors of the most massive galaxies at $z = 0$.

Even though there are ETGs in the Magneticum ETG sample which show similarly flat slopes as the observations at high redshifts, they are nevertheless outliers. The overall trend between simulations and observations differs strongly at high redshifts.

5.2 Mock observations of the total density slopes

Since the simulations and observations are in good agreement regarding general properties of the spheroids such as masses, dark matter fractions, and sizes at different redshifts, and only diverge in the interpretation of the evolutionary trends for the total density slopes, the question remains whether this could be explained by a methodical issue. To answer this question, we try to reproduce the observational method of determining density slopes by using the simulated spheroids as ‘fake’ lens galaxies and analysing these galaxies using the same tools as the observers. A. Sonnenfeld kindly provided us with the analysis code used to calculate the total density slopes from the SL2S observations. The input information required by this method is the effective radius $R_{\text{eff}}$ of the lens galaxy, the Einstein radius of the lens $R_{\text{Ein}}$, the projected line-of-sight velocity dispersion $\sigma_{\text{LOS}}$ within 0.5 $R_{\text{eff}}$, and the total mass $M_{\text{tot}}$ within the lens area.

To make mock observations from our simulations, we use the following inputs to meet those requirements.

(i) The effective radius $R_{\text{eff}}$ of the lens galaxy is (as discussed before) approximated by the stellar half-mass radius $R_{1/2}$. 
(ii) For the Einstein radius of the lens, we assume $R_{\text{Ein}} = 1.5 R_{1/2}$, according to the ratios of $R_{\text{Ein}}$ to $R_{\text{eff}}$ which have been found by Sonnenfeld et al. (2013a) and Ruff et al. (2011) for the SL2S survey. The ratios of the lenses studied in the SLACS (and BELLs) survey were usually smaller, but the ratios for the LSD survey were of similar order. This choice, however, should not strongly influence the results, since Sonnenfeld et al. (2013b) showed that the ratio between effective radius and Einstein radius does not change the resulting slopes significantly (the influence of changes in this ratio on the resulting total density slopes was smaller than the error of the measurements). This is important since there is a tendency for lenses at higher redshifts to have larger ratios of $R_{\text{Ein}}$ to $R_{\text{eff}}$ due to geometrical reasons.

(iii) To obtain the projected line-of-sight velocity dispersion $\sigma_{\text{LOS}}$ within 0.5 $R_{\text{eff}}$, we rotate our spheroids to both a face-on and an edge-on projection, and calculate the line-of-sight velocity dispersion within half of the half-mass radius for both projections separately. In the following study, we will always consider both projections, as they are the maximum and minimum values which can be found.

(iv) For the total mass within the lens area, we include all stellar, gas, and dark matter particles within the given projected radius of $R_{\text{Ein}} = 1.5 R_{1/2}$, for both projections.

The density slopes we obtain from these mock observations are shown in Fig. 6, for the edge-on (green bars) and for the face-on (blue circles) view. Galaxies at $z = 0$ are shown as filled symbols, those at higher redshifts ($z = 0.5, 1,$ and 2) as open symbols. We only show the result for the Magneticum ETGs as the behaviour is the same for the Oser ETGs.

As can clearly be seen, there is a strong discrepancy between the resulting density slopes taken directly from the simulations, $\gamma_{\text{tot}}$, and the ‘observed’ density slopes, $\gamma_{\text{mock}}$. Ideally, the data points should lie along the dash–dotted line, i.e. $\gamma_{\text{mock}} \approx \gamma_{\text{tot}}$. However, the mocked slopes are closely scattered around $\gamma_{\text{mock}} = -2.2$ for the edge-on and $\gamma_{\text{mock}} = -1.9$ for the face-on projections, while the intrinsic slopes from the simulations show a much larger range of variation from $\gamma_{\text{tot}} \approx -3$ to $\gamma_{\text{tot}} \approx -1.6$. The discrepancy between the ‘real’ total density slopes and the mocked slopes is most prominent at the steeper slope end. This behaviour might be related to the results presented by van de Ven, Mendelbaum & Keeton (2009), who model the lensing properties of galaxies with different density distributions and find that non-isothermal density profiles may appear isothermal if measured at the Einstein radius.

Indications for such a discrepancy between simulated and observed slopes had already been presented by Sonnenfeld et al. (2014, especially their fig. 8) using a comparison sample of major-merger simulations. However, the difference is much larger for our cosmological simulations where we find significantly steeper slopes at high redshifts. In addition, as shown for the major-merger sample studied by Remus et al. (2013), with the usual present-day configuration for disc galaxies, the initial slopes are also close to isothermal.
which is why the effect of the discrepancy between the mocked and intrinsic slopes is less pronounced in those cases. Given that the line-of-sight velocity dispersion is one of the major input parameters in calculating the mocked slopes, and actually the most error-prone one, we tested whether the difference between the mocked and the intrinsic slopes depends on the line-of-sight velocity dispersions of our simulated sample, but we did not find any correlation.

To conclude this analysis, in Fig. 7 we again plot the evolution of the slopes as in Fig. 5, but this time including the mock observations of our simulations. The dashed lines show the median values obtained for the face-on projections, and the dotted lines show the same for the edge-on projections of the Magneticum ETGs (blue) and the Oser ETGs (red). For comparison, the solid lines again show the linear fits in redshift to the intrinsic density slopes from the simulations, and the coloured symbols are the same strong-lensing observations as in Fig. 5.

Most importantly, both simulation sets now show not only the same values as the observations at low redshifts, but also the same behaviour with redshift, namely that there is basically no evolution of the density slopes. While this identifies the origin of the discrepancies between the evolutionary trends from observations and simulations, it raises the question of how the analysis tools used to infer the total density slopes from strong-lensing measurements can be improved. A more detailed comparison of the observational methods with simulations will hopefully help to solve those issues, and enhance our understanding of the interaction processes between the dark and luminous components as well as the role of the cold gas in establishing the total radial density profiles.

5.3 Correlating galaxy properties with the total density slope

The central dark matter fractions $f_{DM}$ correlate with the in situ fractions $f_{in situ}$ of the ETGs (Fig. 4), and the in situ fractions show a correlation with the total density slope $\gamma_{tot}$ (Fig. 10 in Remus et al. 2013). Therefore, there should also exist a correlation between the central dark matter fractions and total density slope $\gamma_{tot}$. The second column of Fig. 8 shows $\gamma_{tot}$ versus $f_{DM}$, for the Magneticum ETGs (blue circles) and the Oser ETGs (red circles) at different redshifts ($z = 0, 0.5, 1, 2$ from top to bottom). We find a clear correlation between both quantities for both samples of ETGs, in the sense that ETGs with a flatter slope have larger central dark matter fractions. However, the correlations have very different slopes. While the Oser ETGs show a steep, nearly linear increase in $\gamma_{tot}$ with increasing $f_{DM}$, the Magneticum ETGs show a strong increase in $\gamma_{tot}$ for small changes in $f_{DM}$ at low central dark matter fractions, and a flattening of the correlation above $f_{DM} \approx 20$ per cent, where the slopes only change slightly, and are on average already close to isothermal.

Another interesting observational quantity to compare to is the effective stellar mass surface density, defined as

$$\Sigma_e = \frac{M_*}{2\pi r_{eff}}$$

(3)

following Sonnenfeld et al. (2013b), which can be considered as a measurement of the concentration of the stellar component: the smaller $\Sigma_e$, the less concentrated a galaxy. We calculated $\Sigma_e$ for our simulated haloes, using the stellar half-mass radius instead of the effective radius in equation (3), and find a clear correlation with the total density slope (see the left column of Fig. 8) for both simulation samples. More compact galaxies have steeper total density slopes. Most of the Oser ETGs are much more concentrated than the Magneticum ETGs. This is due to the missing AGN feedback in the Oser ETG simulations, as the AGN feedback suppresses the star formation in the centre of the galaxies. A similar result has been reported by Dutton et al. (2015) who use artificial quenching instead of AGN feedback to suppress the star formation. The Wind ETGs, which also do not have AGN feedback, are similarly compact as the Oser ETGs; however, their total density slopes are all very steep, which shows that the stellar feedback has a much stronger influence on the total density slope than on the concentration.

The third column of Fig. 8 shows the total density slope $\gamma_{tot}$ versus the stellar mass $M_*$ at $z = 0, 0.5, 1, 2$ (from top to bottom). We find a much weaker trend between the slopes of the total density profiles and the stellar masses for the Magneticum ETGs than for the Oser ETGs. This holds true for all redshifts, and is also a result of the additional AGN feedback which is included in the Magneticum ETGs. In this correlation, the influence of the stellar feedback can be seen best, as the Wind ETGs here clearly deviate from the correlations found for the Oser ETGs, namely their total density profiles are steeper for a given stellar mass, while they agree with the Oser ETGs for all the other correlations at $z = 0$. This is due to the fact that the stellar feedback enhances the fraction of stars formed in situ while simultaneously lowering the fraction of accreted stars (see Hirschmann et al. 2013), and higher in situ fractions correlate with steeper total density slopes (see Remus et al. 2013). On the contrary, the AGN feedback has the opposite effect of the stellar feedback. While it suppresses the star formation at the centre, it enhances the number of accreted stars at lower redshifts.

Figure 7. Same as Fig. 5 but for the mock observations of our simulations. The dashed lines show the average radial density slopes obtained for face-on projections, and the dotted lines show the same for the edge-on projections of the Magneticum ETGs (blue) and the Oser ETGs (red). For comparison, the solid lines again show the linear fits in redshift to the intrinsic density slopes from the simulations, and the coloured symbols are the same strong-lensing observations as in Fig. 5.
Figure 8. Total mass density profile slopes $\gamma_{\text{tot}}$ versus stellar mass surface densities $\Sigma_*$ (first column), versus central dark matter fractions $f_{\text{DM}}$ (second column), versus stellar mass $M_*$ (third column), and versus half-mass radius $R_{1/2}$ (fourth column). Rows: from top to bottom $z = 0$, $z = 0.5$, $z = 1$, $z = 2$. Magneticum ETGs are shown as blue circles, Oser ETGs as red circles, and Wind ETGs as yellow circles. Dashed blue and red lines show fits to the Magneticum ETGs and Oser ETGs, respectively, with the fit parameters listed in Table 3.

(e.g. Dubois et al. 2013), and also leads to flatter total density slopes at a given stellar mass.

For the half-mass radii $R_{1/2}$, we see a clear correlation with the total density slopes $\gamma_{\text{tot}}$ for both simulations. As shown by the rightmost column of Fig. 8, at all redshifts (from $z = 2$ to 0, bottom to top) the galaxies are distributed along the correlation defined by the overall evolution (coloured lines) which can be described as $\gamma_{\text{tot}} = A \log (R_{1/2}) + B$. Interestingly, both the Magneticum and Oser ETGs lead to almost identical correlations, differing only by a small offset $B$ (see Table 3). The Wind ETGs at $z = 0$ are again roughly in agreement with the Oser ETGs, indicating that the offset in the relations found for the Magneticum ETGs and the Oser ETGs originates from the included AGN and not from the stellar feedback.

A similar behaviour can be seen for the evolution of the correlation between the total density slopes $\gamma_{\text{tot}}$ and the stellar mass surface density $\Sigma_*$ as well as the correlation between the total density slopes $\gamma_{\text{tot}}$ and the central dark matter fractions $f_{\text{DM}}$. Both the Magneticum ETGs and Oser ETGs evolve with redshift along a path which can be estimated by fitting to all galaxies at all redshifts for the respective simulation sets. The correlations can be well described by $\gamma_{\text{tot}} = A \log (\Sigma_*) + B$ and $\gamma_{\text{tot}} = A f_{\text{DM}} + B$ (fitting parameters see Table 3, and blue and red dashed lines in the first and second rows of Fig. 8). This can also be seen in the upper rows of Fig. 9, where we show $\gamma_{\text{tot}}$ versus $f_{\text{DM}}$ (left column) and $\gamma_{\text{tot}}$ versus $\Sigma_*$ (middle column), including the Magneticum ETGs and Oser ETGs at all four redshift bins. The fits are a good representation of the galaxies at all redshifts, and we again see the co-evolution of all three quantities with redshift. The Wind ETGs show a similar behaviour to the Magneticum ETGs and Oser ETGs; however, they tend to follow the fits found for the Oser ETGs and not those for the Magneticum ETGs. This suggests that the slight differences seen for the trends for Magneticum ETGs and Oser ETGs originate mostly from the impact of the included AGN feedback and not from the stellar feedback. For completeness, we show the stellar mass density $\Sigma_*$ versus the central dark matter fraction $f_{\text{DM}}$ in the upper-right panel of Fig. 9. As expected, there is a clear tendency for ETGs with larger $f_{\text{DM}}$ to be less compact, and while this is supported by both the Magneticum ETGs and the Oser ETGs, there is a clear difference between the
Correlations between central dark matter fractions with a relation $\frac{\gamma}{\Sigma_\text{tot}} = 2010 \frac{z}{f}$ versus $\gamma$ for the $\frac{\gamma}{\Sigma_\text{tot}} = 2014a$ surveys from Tortora et al. (2017). The lower-left panel of Fig. 9 shows $\gamma_\text{tot}$ versus $f$DM, total mass density slopes $\gamma_\text{tot}$ versus central dark matter fractions $f_\text{DM}$. Middle panels: total mass density slopes $\gamma_\text{tot}$ versus stellar mass surface density $\Sigma_*$. Right-hand panels: stellar mass surface density $\Sigma_*$ versus central dark matter fractions $f_\text{DM}$. The upper panels show the simulations: Magneticum ETGs at $z = 0$ (blue filled circles) and $z > 0$ (light blue open circles), Oser ETGs at $z = 0$ (red filled circles) and $z > 0$ (light red open circles), and Wind ETGs at $z = 0$ (yellow circles). The blue and red dashed lines represent fits to the total populations of the Magneticum ETGs and Oser ETGs at all four redshifts, respectively; see Table 3 for the parameters of the fits. The lower panels show observations on top of the fits to the Magneticum ETGs and Oser ETGs from the upper panels. Black squares are taken from the SPIDER and ATLAS$^3D$ surveys from Tortora et al. (2014a), light green open circles show the results for the COMA cluster ETGs from Thomas et al. (2007), and green open diamonds mark the results for SLACS lenses (Barnabè et al. 2011). In the central panel, additional observational results from strong lensing are included: SLACS lenses from Auger et al. (2010, light blue diamonds), SL2S lenses from Ruff et al. (2011, magenta open diamonds) and Sonnenfeld et al. (2013b, purple filled diamonds), and LSD lenses from Treu & Koopmans (2004, light green filled diamonds).

Figure 9. Correlations between central dark matter fractions $f_\text{DM}$, total mass density slopes $\gamma_\text{tot}$ and stellar mass surface density $\Sigma_*$. Left-hand panels: total density slopes $\gamma_\text{tot}$ versus central dark matter fractions $f_\text{DM}$. Middle panels: total density slopes $\gamma_\text{tot}$ versus stellar mass surface density $\Sigma_*$. Right-hand panels: stellar mass surface density $\Sigma_*$ versus central dark matter fractions $f_\text{DM}$. The upper panels show the simulations: Magneticum ETGs at $z = 0$ (blue filled circles) and $z > 0$ (light blue open circles), Oser ETGs at $z = 0$ (red filled circles) and $z > 0$ (light red open circles), and Wind ETGs at $z = 0$ (yellow circles). The blue and red dashed lines represent fits to the total populations of the Magneticum ETGs and Oser ETGs at all four redshifts, respectively; see Table 3 for the parameters of the fits. The lower panels show observations on top of the fits to the Magneticum ETGs and Oser ETGs from the upper panels. Black squares are taken from the SPIDER and ATLAS$^3D$ surveys from Tortora et al. (2014a), light green open circles show the results for the COMA cluster ETGs from Thomas et al. (2007), and green open diamonds mark the results for SLACS lenses (Barnabè et al. 2011). In the central panel, additional observational results from strong lensing are included: SLACS lenses from Auger et al. (2010, light blue diamonds), SL2S lenses from Ruff et al. (2011, magenta open diamonds) and Sonnenfeld et al. (2013b, purple filled diamonds), and LSD lenses from Treu & Koopmans (2004, light green filled diamonds).

These evolutionary trends support the idea that, after about $z = 2$, the evolution of ETGs becomes more dominated by merger events, which enhance the central dark matter fractions, lead to stronger growth in size than in mass, and evolve the total density slope towards an isothermal solution through dynamical friction and violent relaxation. In summary, we find that simulations show clear evidence for a co-evolution of $\gamma_\text{tot}$, $\Sigma_\text{tot}$, and $f_\text{DM}$ with a relation which is independent of redshift. While the relations are similar, the slopes for these correlations are different for different sets of simulation models, and therefore we suggest that they can be used as a test for different feedback models.

5.4 Comparison to observations

We compare the correlations found between $\gamma_\text{tot}$, $f_\text{DM}$, and $\Sigma_\text{tot}$ to observations from strong lensing as well as dynamical modelling. This is shown in the lower panels of Fig. 9, together with the correlations for the Magneticum ETGs and Oser ETGs (blue and red dashed lines, respectively, as in the upper three panels of the same figure).

The lower-left panel of Fig. 9 shows $\gamma_\text{tot}$ versus $f_\text{DM}$. The observations from strong lensing (SLACS sample; Barnabè et al. 2011) and dynamical modelling (COMA cluster ETGs, Thomas et al. 2007, and SPIDER and ATLAS$^3D$, Tortora et al. 2014a) are included as green open diamonds, light green open circles, and black open squares, respectively. They reveal a very good agreement with the correlation found for the Magneticum ETGs, while their match with the Oser ETGs is rather poor. The strongest deviations are present for the Coma cluster ETGs, which show a much larger scatter than the other observations and our simulations, as they include a subset of ETGs which have extremely high masses and flat total density slopes but low central dark matter fractions. As discussed before, this could be an environmental effect, since those galaxies all reside inside a massive galaxy cluster environment as satellite galaxies. The Magneticum ETGs are in excellent agreement with the observations from SPIDER and ATLAS$^3D$ (Tortora et al. 2014a). This result clearly highlights the importance of AGN feedback for the evolution of ETGs.

The middle panel of Fig. 9 shows $\gamma_\text{tot}$ versus $\Sigma_\text{tot}$ compared to all strong-lensing observations (see Fig. 5) in addition to the Coma cluster ETGs from Thomas et al. (2007). Generally, Sonnenfeld et al. (2013b) but also Auger et al. (2010) report for their observations, that ETGs with more concentrated stellar components have steeper total density profiles well in agreement with the correlation found in the simulations. The trend seen for the observations is much weaker than the relations found from both of our simulations, but it agrees best with the results from strong lensing presented by Sonnenfeld et al. (2013b, purple filled diamonds), who find the same slope $A$.
for their fit as we find for the Magneticum ETGs. This is especially interesting since the evolution found for the total density slope with redshift from these strong-lensing observations is so different from that found in the simulations. That indicates that there might be some selection bias towards galaxies with flatter slopes at higher redshift in the observations.

In the right-hand panel of Fig. 9, $\Sigma_*$ versus $f_{\text{hot}}$ is shown compared to the Coma cluster ETGs from Thomas et al. (2007, light green open circles) and the strong-lensing results from Barnabè et al. (2011, green open diamonds). In this case, the agreement between strong-lensing observations and simulations is rather poor for both simulations. However, the Coma cluster ETGs show a good agreement with the fit to the Magneticum ETGs, and therefore support the evolutionary trend found from the simulations.

One last but very interesting comparison between observations and simulations is shown in Fig. 10. Here we show the slopes of the total density profiles $\gamma_{\text{tot}}$ versus the stellar mass $M_*$ at $z = 0$ for all our simulations, and compare the result with observations from Tortora et al. (2014a), shown as black open squares. While the Oser ETGs show a strong trend between the slope of the density profile and the stellar mass, the Magneticum ETGs only show a much weaker trend. As can be seen, the observations are in excellent agreement with the Magneticum ETGs, which clearly demonstrates that the AGN feedback is an essential ingredient for properly modelling the higher mass ETGs. This is also in agreement with the results from the recent work by Forbes et al. (2016) from the SLUGGS survey, who also find only a very weak trend between the stellar mass and the total density slopes of their ETGs.

6 SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We study the evolution of the mass–size relation with redshift and find a generally good agreement with the observations, at all redshifts. At low redshifts, our relations found from the simulated ETGs at the high-mass end are in good agreement with the mass–size relation derived for the SDSS sample by Shen et al. (2003), while at the lower mass range the simulations more closely resemble the results from the GAMA survey (Baldry et al. 2012), which is focused especially on the low-mass end of the galaxy mass function. Both simulated samples of ETGs show a similar scatter around the relation as well as similar evolution trends for the high-redshift ETGs to have smaller sizes, but the Magneticum ETGs are generally less massive than the Oser ETGs of the same size at all redshifts. This is due to the fact that the Oser ETGs do not include a central BH and the associated AGN feedback, which, in the case of the Magneticum ETGs, efficiently prevents the overcooling problem by heating part of the cold gas in the centres of the galaxies during their evolution. In comparison, the Wind ETGs show smaller sizes at comparable masses at the high-mass end as the Oser ETGs, which is due to the lack of AGN feedback and an even stronger overproduction of stars in the centre due to metal cooling and late re-accretion of previously blown-out gas, overall increasing the in situ fraction and lowering the fraction of accreted stellar material (see Hirschmann et al. 2013).

Additionally, we examine the evolution of the central dark matter fraction of ETGs. We find that the central dark matter fractions generally decrease with redshift for all our ETG samples, in good agreement with recent observational results by Tortora et al. (2014b). While there is only a weak correlation with the masses of the galaxies, the central dark matter fractions clearly correlate with the sizes of the ETGs. Furthermore, we find a strong anti-correlation between the central dark matter fraction and the in situ fraction of ETGs, i.e. ETGs with high central dark matter fractions have only very little stars formed in situ. This is a natural consequence of the late growth through (dry) merger events (Hilz et al. 2012, 2013).

As shown by Remus et al. (2013), the in situ fractions also correlate with the slopes $\gamma_{\text{tot}}$ of the total (stellar plus dark matter) radial density profiles. These slopes are on average close to $\gamma_{\text{tot}} \approx -2$, indicating that the ETGs are close to isothermal. However, they show a large scatter and can be as steep as $\gamma_{\text{tot}} \approx -3$. Interestingly, we find clear correlations between the steepness of the total density slopes and other quantities studied in this work.

(i) A tight co-evolution exists between the central dark matter fractions and the slopes of the total density profiles. Galaxies with
larger central dark matter fractions have flatter slopes. This co-evolution can be described as $\gamma_{tot} = A_{DM} + B$ and holds for all systems at all redshifts, independent of the different feedback models.

(ii) The values for $A$ and $B$ change distinctively with the assumed feedback model, and thus this relation can be used as a test for feedback models.

(iii) A similar correlation exists between $\gamma_{tot}$ and the stellar mass surface density $\Sigma_*$, in that compact ETGs tend to have steeper total density slopes than their more extended counterparts.

This is in agreement with observations from strong lensing (e.g. Sonnenfeld et al. 2013b) and dynamical modelling (Tortora et al. 2014a). As expected, the model with weak stellar feedback and, in particular, feedback from BHs is in better agreement with observations.

All simulations, independent of the assumed feedback model, predict steeper total density slopes and lower dark matter fractions at higher redshifts. While the latter is in agreement with the observed trends, the former is inconsistent with current lensing observations (Treu & Koopmans 2004; Auger et al. 2010; Ruff et al. 2011; Sonnenfeld et al. 2013b) who find no changes in the total density slopes with redshift, or, if any, a tendency towards flatter slopes at higher redshifts. We find this discrepancy between observations and simulations to be a result of the method used observationally to determine the density slopes. The non-evolution of the total density slopes with redshift can be reproduced for the simulated ETGs by applying the same observational method. Thus, we conclude that the apparent disagreement between the redshift evolution of the total density slopes from observations and simulations is not real.

In summary, we find clear indications from all sets of simulations for the two-phase evolution scenario for central ETGs. At high redshift, gas dominates the mass growth of (early-type) galaxies; thus, many stars are formed in situ and only few are accreted. The gas dissipates its energy and sinks to the centre of the potential well where it forms the stars in a compact central structure; thus, the dark matter fractions are small and the total density slopes are steeper. At lower redshifts, (dry) merger events of all mass ratios start to dominate the mass growth of the galaxies, leading to an enhanced growth in size compared to the growth in mass, as mass is mostly added to the outskirts (apart from the rare major-merger events which actually mix the whole galaxy). This leads to a growth of the central dark matter fraction, a flattening of the total density slopes, and a decrease of the in situ fraction of stars. Indeed, we find a very close correlation between the central dark matter fractions and the slopes of the total radial density profiles of ETGs at all redshifts. Thus, we conclude that the central dark matter fractions and the slopes of the total radial density profiles of ETGs are good indicators for the number of dry merging events a galaxy has undergone.

Generally, we find that the AGN feedback leads to less compact ETGs with higher central dark matter fractions and flatter slopes of the total density profiles. Therefore, the co-evolution of both quantities can be used as a test for feedback models, as the slopes of this correlation, albeit constant in time, differ depending on the included feedback mechanisms.
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