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ABSTRACT
Indoleamine 2,3-deoxygenase 1 (IDO1) induces immune tolerance in the tumor microenvironment (TME) and is recognized as a potential therapeutic target. We studied the expression of both IDO1 and the related tryptophan 2,3-dioxygenase (TDO) in several different subtypes of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL), and evaluated the kynurenic (KYN) pathway in the local TME and in patient sera. Specimens from the total of 90 CTCL patients, including mycosis fungoides (MF, n = 37), lymphomatoid papulosis (LyP, n = 36), primary cutaneous anaplastic large cell lymphoma (pCAlCL, n = 4), subcutaneous panniculitis-like T-cell lymphoma (SPTCL, n = 13), and 10 patients with inflammatory lichen ruber planus (LRP), were analyzed by immunohistochemistry (IHC), immunofluorescence (IF), quantitative PCR, and/or liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS). Three CTCL cell lines also were studied. Expression of both IDO1 and TDO was upregulated in CTCL. In MF specimens and in the MF cell line MyLa2000, IDO1 expression exceeded that of TDO, whereas the opposite was true for LyP, AlCL, and corresponding Mac1/2A cell lines. The spectrum of IDO1-expressing cell types differed among CTCL subtypes and was reflected in the clinical behavior. In MF, SPTCL, and LyP, IDO1 was expressed by malignant cells and by CD33+ myeloid-derived suppressor cells, whereas in SPTCL CD163+ tumor-associated macrophages also expressed IDO1. Significantly elevated serum KYN/Trp ratios were found in patients with advanced stages of MF. Epacadostat, an IDO1 inhibitor, induced a clear decrease in KYN concentration in cell culture. These results show the importance of IDO1/TDO-induced immunosuppression in CTCL and emphasize its role as a new therapeutic target.

Introduction
Prognostic and therapeutic implications of tissue and serum levels of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 (IDO1 also known as IDO), tryptophan (Trp), and kynurenic (KYN) are currently of great interest in cancer research. IDO1-catalyzed depletion of Trp in the tumor microenvironment (TME) results in release of soluble KYN and downstream metabolites, renders regulatory T cells (Tregs) and antigen presenting cells (APCs) tolerogenic, and inhibits antitumor T cell responses. Three enzymes, namely IDO1, tryptophan 2,3-dioxygenase (TDO, also known as TDO2), and indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 2 (IDO2), catalyze the same rate-limiting step in the conversion of Trp into KYN. IDO1 and TDO share limited structural homology but have distinct enzymatic properties and differ in their expression profile in normal and neoplastic tissues.

Contact
Pilvi Maliniemi, PhD, cloudnro9@hotmail.com, Department of Dermatology and Allergology, Inflammation Center, Helsinki University Central Hospital, Haartmaninkatu 8, 00250 Helsinki, Finland.

Article History
Accepted 10 December 2016

Keywords
Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma; immunosuppressive microenvironment; indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1; kynurenine; tryptophan 2,3-dioxygenase

CONTACT
Pilvi Maliniemi, PhD, cloudnro9@hotmail.com, Department of Dermatology and Allergology, Inflammation Center, Helsinki University Central Hospital, Haartmaninkatu 8, 00250 Helsinki, Finland.

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed on the publisher's website.

Published with license by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC © Pilvi Maliniemi, Kirsi Laukkanen, Liisa Väkevä, Katja Dettmer, Tuomas Lipsanen, Leila Jeskanen, Alban Bessedec, Peter J. Oefner, Marshall E. Kadin, and Annamari Ranki.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The moral rights of the named author(s) have been asserted.
IDO1 is controlling harmful inflammation but unfavorable if suppressing antitumor responses.1 IDO1 also plays an important role in peripheral tolerance required to suppress autoimmunity. In these conditions, IDO1 is expressed mostly by APCs, such as DCs and macrophages, in response to signals like interferon-gamma (IFNγ) or activation of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3).1,2 Overall, IDO1 can regulate inflammatory responses and change the local milieu from immunogenic to tolerogenic.3,4,5 Strong TDO expression has been observed mainly in hepatocellular carcinoma.3,6,7 The nature of TDO-expressing cells in tumors is poorly characterized and even less is known about IDO2.8,9,10 Strong TDO expression has been observed mainly in hepatocellular carcinoma.3,6,7 The nature of TDO-expressing cells in tumors is poorly characterized and even less is known about IDO2.8,9,10

**Results**

**Converse expression patterns of IDO1 and TDO in CTCL subgroups**

In MF, the relative mRNA expression level of IDO1 was increased 3- to 4-fold compared with the expression of TDO (Fig. 1A). Interestingly, in LyP and ALCCL the opposite was true; with TDO mRNA expression exceeded that of IDO1 by approximately 10-fold. A concordant disparate expression profile was found in representative cell lines, too. Accordingly, IDO1 expression in the MF-derived MyLa2000 cell line was almost 10-fold higher than that of TDO and vice versa in Mac2A representing ALCCL (Fig. 1B). Similar conversed expression patterns were observed using western blotting analysis (Fig. 1C). MyLa2000 was chosen as calibrator (relative density value 1) and after normalization against the density values of β-actin, the adjusted density values for IDO1 in Mac1 and Mac2A cells were 0.48 and 0.4, respectively. Likewise, the corresponding density values for TDO were 1.43 and 1.45, respectively. Thus, IDO1 is 0.5-fold downregulated and TDO is approximately 1.4-fold upregulated in Mac1 and Mac2A cell lines compared with Myla2000.

Immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis of formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) sections revealed protein expression of IDO1 and TDO to be substantially expressed in all studied CTCL subgroups (Fig. 2). Inflammatory or non-malignant cells accounted mostly for IDO1 expression (Fig. 3A–C). In MF, approximately 10% of morphologically malignant lymphocytes expressed IDO1, whereas IDO1-positive macrophages often surrounded the malignant cells (Fig. 3B). Interestingly, TDO expression dominated especially in LyP and ALCCL (Fig. 2B). TDO was expressed by most of the large atypical cells in the inflammatory infiltrate in LyP (Fig. 3D) as well as in malignant lymphocytes in Pautrier microabscesses of MF (Fig. 2E). In SPTCL, TDO was also expressed by the malignant cells surrounding the adipocytes (Fig. 3F).

Since IDO1 and TDO catabolize conversion of Trp to KYN, we also examined KYN abundance in IDO1-expressing skin specimens. Ten of twelve IDO1-expressing LyP and all IDO1-expressing MF samples showed sparse or moderate nuclear and/or cytosolic KYN (Fig. 3G–H). Malignant cells also contained KYN in MF, (Fig. 3H) and KYN was detected in morphologically malignant cells surrounding adipocytes in SPTCL (Fig. 3I).

**IDO1 is expressed by different cell populations in CTCL subgroups**

We next identified IDO1-expressing cells in the lymphoma microenvironment by performing double IHC and IF staining. Both techniques revealed that the cell types expressing IDO1 in the microenvironment differed between LyP, MF, and SPTCL. LyP and MF contained considerable numbers of CD163+ TAMs, but they did not express IDO1 (Figs. 4A–D, respectively). In SPTCL, CD163+ TAMs surrounding the subcutaneous malignant lymphocyte infiltrates expressed IDO1 (Fig. 4E–F). Also, a proportion of the morphologically malignant lymphocytes in SPTCL (Fig. 4E and ref. 16) and in MF expressed IDO1 (ca. 10%, Fig. 4D).

Furthermore, we found CD33+ myeloid derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) to express IDO1 in all studied CTCL subtypes (Fig. 5A). In the inflammatory infiltrates of some LyP samples, up to 30% of the cells were IDO1+ MDSCs (Fig. 5A, upper panel), clearly exceeding the number of double-positive cells in MF (Fig. 5A, middle panel). Interestingly, in some MF specimens the IDO1-expressing cells were CD33-negative. This indicates the presence of yet another IDO1-expressing cell population in MF awaiting identification. Double and single positive cells for IDO1 and CD33 were found to occur frequently in SPTCL (Fig. 5A, lower panel). In LyP, IDO1 was expressed only by a limited number of CD30+ cells (red) (Fig. 5B), whereas other cell types in the TME accounted mostly for IDO1 expression (green) (Fig. 5C).

**Increased enzymatic activity of IDO1 associates with advanced MF stage**

The concentration ratio of KYN to Trp has been used widely as an indicator of IDO1 enzymatic activity. Here, we evaluated its...
correlation to MF disease stage. First, we investigated the necessity of overnight fasting and collected two partly overlapping sets of patient sera, fasting and non-fasting. We did not detect any significant effect of fasting on the KYN/Trp ratio (data not shown) and, hence, all sera were included in the final analysis. Overall, compared with healthy sera, the concentration of Trp was decreased in both, LyP and MF (Figs. 6C, S2A and B). However, only in MF the serum KYN/Trp ratio was increased significantly (p = 0.012, Table 1), while it did not differ in LyP (Fig. 6A). Elevated KYN/Trp ratios were found more often in advanced than early stages of MF (Table 1). Correspondingly, a moderate elevation of KYN was also found in MyLa2000 compared with Mac1 and −2A cells (Fig. 6B).

We also measured the concentrations of 10 other KYN pathway metabolites (Figs. 6C and S2). In a comparison of MF to healthy sera, we found KYN and quinolinic acid (QA) to be significantly increased in addition to indole-3-lactic and indole-3 acetic acids (Fig. 6C). In LyP, the levels of the metabolites were generally lower or showed no significant difference compared with healthy sera (Fig. S2A and B). The difference between LyP and MF was obvious as 8 of 12 KYN pathway metabolites were significantly increased in MF compared with LyP (Fig. S2C). Interestingly, the end product of the KYN pathway, nicotinamide (NAm), was significantly decreased in both entities (Figs. 6C and S2B).

**In vitro inhibition of IDO1 in MF-derived cell line**

Although IDO1 inhibitors, currently in clinical trials, are not intended to kill tumor cells directly, we studied the effect of the IDO1 inhibitor epacadostat (INCB024360) on the IDO1-expressing MF-derived MyLa2000 cell line. HeLa cells were used as control cell line. IDO1 inhibition decreased the concentration of KYN in the cell supernatant of MyLa2000 and HeLa
cells by 29% and 53%, respectively, but had no direct effect on cell viability, as expected (Fig. S3B and C).

Discussion

Overcoming immune tolerance in tumors has become a major objective of cancer research and treatment. IDO1 and its metabolite KYN have been recognized as major mediators of immunosuppression and, thus, potential therapeutic targets. Our study focused primarily on the identification of IDO1-expressing cells in different CTCL subtypes. We report, for the first time, that several cell types other than malignant cells contribute to the expression of IDO1 in CTCL. Among hematologic malignancies, IDO1 expression has been previously found in the acute myeloid leukemia (AML) blasts and in MDSCs in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) of B-cell origin. We found the spectrum of IDO1-expressing cells to differ markedly among CTCL subtypes. In LyP, IDO1 was prominent and expressed by both CD33+ MDSCs and CD30+ large atypical cells. While non-malignant cells in the TME, including CD33+ MDSCs, accounted for most of the IDO1 expression observed in clinical samples of MF, about 10% of morphologically malignant MF cells also expressed IDO1. Moreover, we found clusters of malignant MF cells to be surrounded by IDO1-expressing APCs or macrophages, which support the hypothesis of a tumor-promoting microenvironment. In SPTCL, IDO1 expression was observed in malignant cells as well as in CD33+ MDSCs and CD163+ TAMs. Recently, in lymphoma and leukemia, CD163+ TAMs were shown to be tumor promoting and associated with disease progression.

Figure 2. Relative frequencies of IDO1 and TDO expression in LRP and in each CTCL subgroup. The shade code indicates the percentage of the mononuclear cells expressing the given marker; ++ 26–50% (gray), +++ >50% (dark gray).

Figure 3. Expression of IDO1, TDO, and KYN in tissue specimens of CTCL as determined by immunohistochemistry. (A) Expression of IDO1 in LyP. (B) Expression of IDO1 in TME of MF specimen and in macrophages surrounding the malignant cells (enlarged insert, upper right corner). (C) IDO-positive cells surrounding the adipocytes in SPTCL. (D) Expression of TDO in LyP. (E) Expression of TDO in MF. (F) Expression of TDO in SPTCL. (G) Expression of KYN in LyP. (H) Expression of KYN in MF. (I) Expression of KYN in SPTCL. (Scale bar 20 μm and 40x magnification).
It was somewhat unexpected, that both Mac1 and Mac2A, clonally derived from LyP, showed only slight IDO1 mRNA expression compared with clinical LyP specimens. This would suggest that in vivo MDSCs cells account for most IDO1 expression in LyP. Our findings on these cell lines agree with gene expression profiling data\(^27\) (see Table S3 of ref. \(26\) for more details), where IDO1 was not ranked among the top 400 differentially expressed genes. Instead, Mac cells showed upregulation of TDO, whose overexpression could be confirmed at mRNA and protein levels in clinical LyP and ALCL specimens and in the CTCL cell lines (Fig. 1). Compared to IDO1, TDO has a lower affinity to L-tryptophan. Thus, it is less capable of depleting tryptophan and causing in vitro T-cell inhibition or activation of a stress response in Treg cells,\(^28\) which are abundant in LyP tissue.\(^29\) This led to the hypothesis that anti-inflammatory and tumor-protective effects of TDO are mediated by the production of Trp catabolites rather than by tryptophan depletion. Interestingly, our data showed that TDO expression exceeded that of IDO1 in LyP and ALCL. These results warrant further study on the role of TDO in these CTCL subtypes.

In hematologic and lymphoid malignancies, high IDO1 expression was shown to associate with inferior survival and adverse clinical outcome.\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^26\) Because our observation on local KYN abundance correlated with IDO1 expression, we randomly selected a cohort of LyP and MF patients under follow-up or treatment, measured the serum concentrations of Trp and various KYN pathway metabolites and used the KYN/Trp ratio as an indicator of IDO1 enzymatic activity. KYN/Trp ratio was significantly increased in sera of MF patients compared with healthy controls and associated with advanced disease. Hence, the serum KYN/Trp ratio may serve as a potential prognostic biomarker for progressive MF but this will require validation in a large-scale prospective study. Despite the relatively strong local immunosuppression suspected from IDO1 expression and KYN in LyP, we did not find any significant difference in the corresponding patients’ serum KYN/Trp ratios compared with healthy controls (Fig. 6A). While these results support the indolent nature of LyP, it will be interesting to determine in a prospective study whether LyP patients with elevated KYN/Trp ratios suffer more frequently from second lymphomas.

KYN and other Trp metabolites inhibit T-cell responses mainly by their binding to the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR).\(^30\) The nuclear expression observed here may, therefore, also indicate activated AhR receptor signaling\(^31\) also found in Mac cell lines (MEK, article in preparation). Lastly, we wanted...
to see whether we could downregulate IDO1 activity in MF-derived cell line MyLa2000 using KYN concentration and cell viability as parameters. It is understood that IDO1 inhibitors are not expected to kill tumor cells directly but we were able to demonstrate a clear decrease in the KYN concentration in these malignant cells with epacadostat (Fig. S3B), currently under investigation in several clinical trials (reviewed in ref 1). Moreover, IDO1-induced Treg activity, also observed here (Fig. S1), is strictly dependent on the PD-1/PD-L1 ligand pathway to create suppression.1 Thus, this would indicate that a combination trial with an IDO1 inhibitor and anti-PD-1 or PD-1L might be feasible for MF.

In summary, we show that both IDO1 and TDO are expressed in CTCL tissues and cell lines. CTCL subtypes show unique patterns of IDO1 and TDO expressions by malignant cells and cells in the TME. Serum KYN/Trp ratios are elevated in MF patients and correlate significantly with advanced disease, thus being a potential test for clinical use. We conclude that our data shows evidence of IDO1-induced immunosuppression in CTCL and suggests that blocking IDO1 activity might improve therapeutic responses in CTCL in combination with other therapies.

Materials and methods

Patients and specimens

With the approval of the Medical Ethical Review Board of the Helsinki and Uusimaa Hospital District, Finland and in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, a total of 100 patients were enrolled, including 90 CTCLs (37 MF, 36 LyP, 4 ALCL, and 13 SPTCL), and 10 inflammatory LRP. All LyP specimens were subtype A. Diagnoses were confirmed at the Dermatopathology Unit of the Department of Dermatology and Allergology, Helsinki University Central Hospital (HUCH). The numbers of patients and specimens included in the investigations performed varied and are given in the respective sections.

For the analysis of tryptophan metabolites, serum specimens were collected from 40 CTCL patients (14 LyP, 26 MF) followed at HUCH and 21 healthy volunteers. Sera were collected either after overnight fasting (14 LyP, 12 MF, and 11 healthy) or from non-fasting individuals (22 MF, 10 healthy). Eight MF patients donated both types of sera. The demographic features and follow-up data of these patients are shown in Table S1. The mean age of LyP and MF patients was 54.9 and 70.5 years, respectively. The corresponding mean follow-up times, calculated from the time of first histopathologically confirmed diagnosis until the time of serum collection, were 102 and 89 mo, respectively. Three MF patients died during follow-up, two of MF and a third of secondary diffuse large B-cell lymphoma.

Relative gene expression

RNA was extracted from archival FFPE skin specimens available from the patients enrolled, including 10 specimens from MF (nine patients), eight from LyP, and five from pcALCL (follow-up specimens of two patients) and LRP, using NucleoSpin FFPE RNA XS kit (cat#740969.10 Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co.KG) or from cell cultures with the RNeasy Mini Kit.
(cat#74104, Qiagen) according to manufacturers' instructions. No microdissection was used. From each sample, 200 ng of RNA were subjected to reverse transcription using the Super-Script® VILO cDNA synthesis kit (cat#11754–050, Invitrogen).

The expression of IDO1 and TDO was measured by quantitative PCR on a LightCycler 1.5 System (Roche Applied Science, Germany) using 11.25 ng of cDNA per reaction, iQ Supermix (cat#170–8860, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.), and the following TaqMan assays (IDO1; Hs00984148_m1, 66 bp, TDO; Hs00194611_m1, cat#4448892, Life Technologies). The relative expression levels were calculated by means of the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method using the housekeeping gene GAPDH as the reference (TaqMan assay cat#4310884E, 118bp, Life Technologies). Samples were analyzed in triplicate, a calibrator sample and negative controls were used. The LRP sample with the largest ΔCt-value served as tissue reference.

**Immunohistochemistry**

IHC detection of the proteins IDO1 (cat#MAB5412, 1:100, Chemicon International Inc.) and FoxP3 (cat#M3972, 1:50, Spring Bioscience Corp.) was performed on 72 FFPE tissue specimens: 9 LRP, 32 LyP (from 27 patients), 7 pcALCL (from 3 patients), 15 MF, and 9 SPTCL. IHC for TDO (TDO2 ab, cat#LS-B5791, 1:200, Life Span Biosciences) was performed on 9 LRP, 30 LyP, 14 MF, 7 ALCL, and 13 SPTCL samples. ImmPRESS Universal Antibody (anti-mouse Ig/anti-rabbit Ig, peroxidase) Polymer Detection Kit (cat#MP-7500, Vector Laboratories)
and NovaRED (cat#SK-4805, Vector Laboratories), or AEC and NovaRED (cat#SK-4805, Vector Laboratories), or AEC Peroxi-

1MF patient sera (n = 26, non-fasting) and healthy controls (n = 10, non-fasting).

2Decreased during follow-up. There was no correlation between the serum KYN/Trp ratio and second malignancies in any patient category. NA = not applicable, diagnosed later MF/AITL, angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma (mean ±/–SE).

Table 1. Serum KYN/Trp ratios in relation to MF disease stage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>KYN/Trp (nM/μM)</th>
<th>KYN (nM)</th>
<th>Trp (μM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy controls</td>
<td>n = 10</td>
<td>30 ± 2</td>
<td>2241 ± 109</td>
<td>75 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 20</td>
<td>IVA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>6120</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>IVA</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>5590</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>4170</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>IVA</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>3290</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>IIA</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>3650</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3350</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>IVA</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2830</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3080</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2520</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2450</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2390</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1760</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2190</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>IIA</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1380</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2640</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>IIA</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1830</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2490</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>IB</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1780</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>IB</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2230</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1470</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>IVA</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Double immunofluorescence

Double immunofluorescence (IF) for CD33 (cat#133M-17, mouse IgG2b, 1:200, Cell Marque) and IDO1 (cat# mouse IgG3, 1:200, clone MAB5412, Chemicon International) were performed on the same sample set as analyzed by double IHC (see above). AlexaFluor-594 anti-mouse IgG2b antibody (catA-21145, 1:1000, Thermo Scientific) and AlexaFluor-488 anti-mouse IgG3 antibody (cat#115–545–209, 1:1000, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) were used as secondary antibod-

Cell lines

Cells were suspended in 10% DMSO–fetal bovine serum (FBS) and stored in liquid nitrogen using standard procedures. The MF-derived cell line MyLa200025 was grown in DMEM Gluta-

Western blot
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ABC-AP Kit /Permanent AP-Red Kit, respectively. Primary

antibodies were replaced with 1% BSA/PBS in negative con-

trols. Microscopes from Leica Biosystems were used.

and NovaRED (cat#SK-4805, Vector Laboratories), or AEC (cat#ab64252, Abcam) were used as chromogens. IHC for L-

KYN was performed on 7 LyP, 12 MF, and 4 SPTL specimens with a monoclonal antibody (1:100, clone 3D4-F2, mAb, cat#IS003, Immusmol) after antigen retrieval in 10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.5, 1 mM EDTA, 0.05% Tween20, and using the above

ImmPRESS kit and NovaRED chromogen. Colon carcinoma

specimens were used as positive tissue controls. The IHC stain-

ing was graded as follows: indicates <10%, +10–25%, ++26–

50%, and +++ over 50% of mononuclear cells expressing a
given marker (Fig. 2A). For IHC double staining, used to identify

IDO1-expressing cells, a total of 12 FFPE tissue specimens (3
each from MF, LyP, SPTL, and LRP) were selected based on

their IDO1 expression status to cover both strongly positive and

negative cases. Double IHC for CD163 (cat#ab132080, 1:1000, rab-

bit, Abcam) and IDO1 (cat#ab132080, 1:1000, mouse IgG3, Chemicon International) was performed using Vectastain Elite

ABC-AP Kit /Permanent AP-Red Kit, respectively. Primary

antibodies were replaced with 1% BSA/PBS in negative con-

trols. Microscopes from Leica Biosystems were used.
L-Kynurenine concentrations in CTCL-derived cell lines

The KYN concentrations of cell culture supernatants were measured by L-Kynurenine ELISA kit (cat#BA-E-2200, ImmunoSmol) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The OD values were measured on a Multiscan FC spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) and results were analyzed using non-linear regression.

Determination of Trp metabolites in patient sera

Sera were stored at −80°C until analyzed by liquid chromatography–electrospray ionization–tandem mass spectrometry (LC–ESI–MS/MS) as described previously to determine the concentrations (µM) of QA, 3-hydroxykynurenine (3-HK), NAm, kynurenic acid (KYN), tryptophan (Trp), xanthurenic acid (XA), kynurenic acid (KA), anthranilic acid (AA), 3-hydroxyanthranilic acid (3-HAA), 5-hydroxy-indole-3-acetic acid (HIAA), indole-3-lactic acid (ILA), and indole-3-acetic acid (IAA).

Statistical analysis

IBM SPSS Statistics 22 software was used to calculate the statistical significance between variables using either the Kruskal–Wallis test or the Mann–Whitney U test.
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