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Abstract

This paper reports the measurement of \( J/\psi \) meson production in proton–proton (pp) and proton–lead (pPb) collisions at a center-of-mass energy per nucleon pair of 5.02 \text{ TeV} by the CMS experiment at the LHC. The data samples used in the analysis correspond to integrated luminosities of 28 \text{ pb}^{-1} and 35 \text{ nb}^{-1} for pp and pPb collisions, respectively. Prompt and nonprompt \( J/\psi \) mesons, the latter produced in the decay of B hadrons, are measured in their dimuon decay channels. Differential cross sections are measured in the transverse momentum range of \( 2 < p_T < 30 \text{ GeV}/c \), and center-of-mass rapidity ranges of \( |y_{\text{CM}}| < 2.4 \) (pp) and \( -2.87 < y_{\text{CM}} < 1.93 \) (pPb). The nuclear modification factor, \( R_{\text{pPb}} \), is measured as a function of both \( p_T \) and \( y_{\text{CM}} \). Small modifications to the \( J/\psi \) cross sections are observed in pPb relative to pp collisions. The ratio of \( J/\psi \) production cross sections in p-going and Pb-going directions, \( R_{\text{FB}} \), studied as functions of \( p_T \) and \( y_{\text{CM}} \), shows a significant decrease for increasing transverse energy deposited at large pseudorapidities. These results, which cover a wide kinematic range, provide new insight on the role of cold nuclear matter effects on prompt and nonprompt \( J/\psi \) production.

1 Introduction

It was suggested 3 decades ago that quark-gluon plasma (QGP) formation would suppress the yield of \( J/\psi \) mesons in high-energy heavy ion collisions, relative to that in proton–proton (pp) collisions, as a consequence of Debye screening of the heavy-quark potential at finite temperature [1]. This QGP signature triggered intense research activity, both experimental and theoretical, on the topic of heavy quarkonium production in nuclear collisions. Experiments at SPS [2,3], RHIC [4,5], and the CERN LHC [6,7] have reported a significant \( J/\psi \) suppression in heavy ion collisions compared to the expectation based on pp data. This suppression is found to be larger for more central collisions over a wide range in rapidity (\( y \)) and transverse momentum (\( p_T \)). In addition, a suppression of different bottomonium states \( [\Upsilon(1S), \Upsilon(2S), \Upsilon(3S)] \) has been observed at the LHC in lead–lead (PbPb) collisions at a center-of-mass energy per nucleon pair of \( \sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV} \) [8–10], which appears to be consistent with the suggested picture of quarkonium suppression in the QGP [11,12].

In order to interpret these results unambiguously, it is necessary to constrain the so-called cold nuclear matter effects on quarkonium production, through, e.g., baseline measurements in pPb collisions. Among these effects, parton distribution functions in nuclei (nPDF) are known to differ from those in a free proton and thus influence the quarkonium yields in nuclear collisions. The expected depletion of nuclear gluon density at small values of the momentum fraction (\( x \)), an effect known as shadowing, would suppress \( J/\psi \) production at forward \( y \), corresponding to the p-going direction in pPb collisions [13,14]. It has been also suggested that gluon radiation induced by parton multiple scattering in the nucleus can lead to \( p_T \) broadening and coherent energy loss, resulting in a significant forward \( J/\psi \) suppression in pPb collisions at all available energies [15,16]. These phenomena can be quantified by the nuclear modification factor, \( R_{\text{pPb}} \), defined as the ratio of \( J/\psi \) cross sections in pPb collisions over those in pp collisions scaled by the number of nucleons in the Pb ion (\( A = 208 \)), and by the \( R_{\text{FB}} \) ratio of \( J/\psi \) cross sections at forward (p-going direction) over those at backward (Pb-going direction) rapidities.

In addition to prompt \( J/\psi \) mesons, directly produced in the primary interaction or from the decay of heavier charmonium states such as \( \psi(2S) \) and \( \chi_c \), the production of \( J/\psi \) mesons includes a nonprompt contribution coming from the later decay of B hadrons, whose production rates are also expected to be affected by cold nuclear matter effects [17,18]. However, neither high-\( p_T \) B mesons nor b quark jets show clear evidence of their cross sections being modified in pPb collisions [19,20]. In this respect, the nonprompt component of \( J/\psi \) production can shed light on the nature of nuclear effects (if any) on bottom-quark production at low \( p_T \).
At the LHC, $J/\psi$ meson production in pPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV has been measured by the ALICE [21,22], ATLAS [23], and LHCb [24] collaborations. The $R_{p\bar{p}}$ ratio has been determined as functions of rapidity in the center-of-mass frame, $y_{CM}$, and $p_T$. Using an interpolation of the pp production cross sections at the same collision energy, $R_{p\bar{p}}$ has also been estimated in Refs. [21,22,24] as functions of $y_{CM}$ and $p_T$. A significant suppression of the prompt $J/\psi$ production in pPb collisions has been observed at forward $y_{CM}$ and low $p_T$, while no strong nuclear effects are observed at backward $y_{CM}$.

This paper reports an analysis of $J/\psi$ production in pp and pPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, using data collected with the CMS detector in 2013 (pPb) and in 2015 (pp). The $J/\psi$ mesons with $2 < p_T < 30$ GeV/$c$ are measured via their dimuon decay channels in ranges of $|y_{CM}| < 2.4$ in pp and $-2.87 < y_{CM} < 1.93$ in pPb collisions. Both $R_{p\bar{p}}$ and $R_{FB}$ are measured as functions of $y_{CM}$ and $p_T$. The latter ratio is also studied as a function of the event activity in pPb collisions, as characterized by the transverse energy deposited in the CMS detector at large pseudorapidities.

### 2 Experimental setup and event selection

The main feature of the CMS detector is a superconducting solenoid with an internal diameter of 6 m, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the field volume are the silicon pixel and strip tracker, the crystal electromagnetic calorimeter, and the brass and scintillator hadronic calorimeter. The silicon pixel and strip tracker measures charged particle trajectories in the pseudorapidity range of $|\eta| < 2.5$. It consists of 66 M pixel and 10 M strip sensor elements. Muons are detected in the range of $|\eta| < 2.4$, with detection planes based on three technologies: drift tubes, cathode strip chambers, and resistive plate chambers. The CMS apparatus also has extensive forward calorimetry, including two steel and quartz-fiber Cherenkov hadron forward (HF) calorimeters, which cover $2.9 < |\eta| < 5.2$. These detectors are used for online event selection and the impact parameter characterization of the events in pPb collisions, where the term impact parameter refers to the transverse distance between the two centers of the colliding hadrons. A more detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in Ref. [25].

The pPb data set used in this analysis corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 34.6 nb$^{-1}$. The beam energies are 4 TeV for p, and 1.58 TeV per nucleon for the Pb nuclei, resulting in $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV. The direction of the higher-energy p beam was initially set up to be clockwise, and was reversed after 20.7 nb$^{-1}$. As a result of the beam energy difference, the nucleon–nucleon center-of-mass in pPb collisions is not at rest with respect to the laboratory frame. Massless particles emitted at $|y_{CM}| = 0$ in the nucleon–nucleon center-of-mass frame are detected at $|\eta_{lab}| = -0.465$ for the first run period (clockwise p beam) and $+0.465$ for the second run period (counterclockwise p beam) in the laboratory frame; the region $-2.87 < y_{CM} < 1.93$ is thus probed by flipping the $\eta$ of one data set so that the p-going direction is always toward positive $y_{CM}$. The pp data set is also collected at the same collision energy with an integrated luminosity of 28.0 pb$^{-1}$. In this sample, $J/\psi$ mesons are measured over $|y_{CM}| < 2.4$.

In order to remove beam-related background such as beam-gas interactions, inelastic hadronic collisions are selected by requiring a coincidence of at least one of the HF calorimeter towers with more than 3 GeV of total energy on each side of the interaction point. This requirement is not present in pp collisions which suffer less from photon-induced interactions compared to pPb collisions. The pp and pPb events are further selected to have at least one reconstructed primary vertex composed of two or more associated tracks, excluding the two muons from the $J/\psi$ candidates, within 25 cm from the nominal interaction point along the beam axis and within 2 cm in its transverse plane. To reject beam-scraping events, the fraction of good-quality tracks associated with the primary vertex is required to be larger than 25% when there are more than 10 tracks per event.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$y_{CM}$</th>
<th>Minimum $p_T$ (GeV/$c$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.93 &lt; y_{CM} &lt; 2.4$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.5 &lt; y_{CM} &lt; 1.93$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.9 &lt; y_{CM} &lt; 1.5$</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0 &lt; y_{CM} &lt; 0.9$</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-0.9 &lt; y_{CM} &lt; 0$</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-1.5 &lt; y_{CM} &lt; -0.9$</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-1.93 &lt; y_{CM} &lt; -1.5$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-2.4 &lt; y_{CM} &lt; -1.93$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-2.87 &lt; y_{CM} &lt; -2.4$</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

| $E_{T}^{HF|\eta|>4}$ (GeV) | $(E_{T}^{HF|\eta|>4})$ Fraction (%) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 0–20                      | 9.4                               | 73                      |
| 20–30                     | 24.3                              | 18                      |
| >30                       | 37.2                              | 9                       |
In pPb collisions, an additional filter [26] is applied to remove events containing multiple interactions per bunch crossing (pileup). After the selection, the residual fraction of pileup events is reduced from 3% to less than 0.2%. This pileup rejection results in a 4.1% signal loss, which is corrected for in the cross section measurements. Since pileup only affects the event activity dependence in pPb results, no filter is applied in pp results.

Dimuon events are selected by the level-1 trigger, a hardware-based trigger system requiring two muon candidates in the muon detectors with no explicit limitations in $p_T$ or $\eta$. In the offline analysis, muons are required to be within the following kinematic regions, which ensure single-muon reconstruction efficiencies above 10%:

$$
\begin{align*}
  p_T^{\mu} &> 3.3 \text{ GeV}/c & \text{ for } |\eta_{\text{lab}}^{\mu}| < 1.2, \\
p_T^{\mu} &> (4.0 - 1.1|\eta_{\text{lab}}^{\mu}|) \text{ GeV}/c & \text{ for } 1.2 \leq |\eta_{\text{lab}}^{\mu}| < 2.1, \\
p_T^{\mu} &> 1.3 \text{ GeV}/c & \text{ for } 2.1 \leq |\eta_{\text{lab}}^{\mu}| < 2.4.
\end{align*}
$$

(1)

The muon pairs are further selected to be of opposite charge, to originate from a common vertex with a $\chi^2$ probability greater than 1%, and to match standard identification criteria [27].

Simulated events are used to obtain the correction factors for acceptance and efficiency. The Monte Carlo (MC) samples of $J/\psi$ mesons are generated using PYTHIA 8.209 [28] for pp and PYTHIA 6.424 [29] for pPb collisions. Generated

![Fig. 1](image-url) Examples of the invariant mass (left) and pseudo-proper decay length (right) distributions of $\mu^+\mu^-$ pairs for pp (upper) and pPb (lower) collisions. The bin widths of $\ell_{J/\psi}$ distributions vary from 15 to 500 $\mu$m, with the averaged value of 83 $\mu$m. The projections of the 2D fit function onto the respective axes are overlaid as solid lines. The long-dashed lines show the fitted contribution of nonprompt $J/\psi$ mesons. The fitted background contributions are shown by short-dashed lines.

\[\text{Counts} / (20 \text{ MeV}/c^2)\]

\[\begin{array}{c}
|\eta_{\text{lab}}^{\mu}| < 1.93 \\
2 < p_T < 3 \text{ GeV}/c
\end{array}\]
Table 3 Summary of the relative systematic uncertainties for the cross section measurements, given in percentages, for prompt and nonprompt $J/\psi$ mesons in pp and pPb collisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Prompt $J/\psi$</th>
<th>Nonprompt $J/\psi$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pp</td>
<td>pPb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pp</td>
<td>pPb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal extraction</td>
<td>0.8–3.2</td>
<td>0.7–5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>2.4–4.4</td>
<td>2.4–6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance</td>
<td>0.0–2.3</td>
<td>0.0–1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated luminosity</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2.7–5.3</td>
<td>2.8–7.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Particles in the pPb simulation are boosted by $\Delta y = \pm 0.465$ to account for the asymmetry of p and Pb beams in the laboratory frame. Samples for prompt and nonprompt $J/\psi$ mesons are independently produced using the D6T [30] and Z2 [31] tunes, respectively. In the absence of experimental information on quarkonium polarization in pp and pPb collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV, it is assumed that prompt $J/\psi$ mesons are produced unpolarized, as observed in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV [32–34]. The nonprompt $J/\psi$ sample includes the polarization ($\lambda_\theta \approx -0.4$) determined from a measurement of the exclusive B hadron decays ($B^+, B^0, B^0_s$) as implemented in EvtGen 9.1 [35]. The pPb measurements might be affected by physics processes with strong kinematics.

![Fig. 2](image-url) Differential cross section (multiplied by the dimuon branching fraction) of prompt $J/\psi$ mesons in pp (left) and pPb (right) collisions at forward (upper) and backward (lower) $y_{CM}$. The vertical bars (smaller than the symbols in most cases) represent the statistical uncertainties and the shaded boxes show the systematic uncertainties. The fully correlated global uncertainty from the integrated luminosity determination, 2.3% for pp and 3.5% for pPb collisions, is not included in the point-by-point uncertainties.
matic dependence within an analysis bin, e.g., polarization or energy loss. Such possible physics effects on the final cross sections are not included in the systematic uncertainties, as was done in the previous analyses [8,9]. The QED final-state radiation from muons is simulated using PHOTOS [36]. Finally, the CMS detector response is simulated using GEANT4 [37].

3 Analysis procedure

3.1 Differential cross section, \( R_{\text{pPb}} \), and \( R_{\text{FB}} \)

In this paper, three observables analyzed in \( J/\psi \) meson decays to muon pairs are reported. First, the cross sections are determined based on

\[
\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \to \mu^+ \mu^-) \frac{d^2 \sigma}{dp_T dy_{\text{CM}}} = \frac{N_{\text{Fit}}^{J/\psi}}{L_{\text{int}} \Delta p_T \Delta y_{\text{CM}}},
\]

where \( \mathcal{B}(J/\psi \to \mu^+ \mu^-) \) is the branching fraction to the \( \mu^+ \mu^- \) channel [38], \( N_{\text{Fit}}^{J/\psi} \) is the extracted raw yield of \( J/\psi \) mesons in a given \((p_T, y_{\text{CM}})\) bin, \( (\text{Acc} \varepsilon) \) represents the dimuon acceptance times efficiency described in Sect. 3.3, and \( L_{\text{int}} \) is the integrated luminosity with the values of \((28.0 \pm 0.6) \text{pb}^{-1}\) for pp [39] and \((34.6 \pm 1.2) \text{nb}^{-1}\) for pPb [40] collisions.

The cross sections are measured in up to nine bins in \( p_T \) ([2,3], [3,4] [4,5], [5,6.5], [6.5,7.5], [7.5,8.5], [8.5,10], [10,14], [14,30] \text{GeV}/c), with the minimum \( p_T \) values varying with \( y_{\text{CM}} \) ranges as shown in Table 1.

The second observable considered is the nuclear modification factor, calculated as

\[
R_{\text{pPb}}(p_T, y_{\text{CM}}) = \frac{(d^2 \sigma / dp_T dy_{\text{CM}})_{\text{pPb}}}{A(d^2 \sigma / dp_T dy_{\text{CM}})_{pp}},
\]

where \( A = 208 \) is the number of nucleons in the Pb nucleus.

The third measurement is the forward-to-backward production ratio for pPb collisions, defined for positive \( y_{\text{CM}} \) by

\[
R_{\text{FB}}(p_T, y_{\text{CM}} > 0) = \frac{d^2 \sigma(p_T, y_{\text{CM}})/dp_T dy_{\text{CM}}}{d^2 \sigma(p_T, -y_{\text{CM}})/dp_T dy_{\text{CM}}},
\]

This variable is a sensitive probe of the dynamics of \( J/\psi \) production by comparing nuclear effects in the forward and the backward \( y_{\text{CM}} \) hemispheres, since \( R_{\text{FB}}(p_T, y_{\text{CM}}) \) is equivalent to \( R_{\text{pPb}}(p_T, y_{\text{CM}})/R_{\text{pp}}(p_T, -y_{\text{CM}}) \). In addition, several uncertainties cancel in the \( R_{\text{FB}} \) ratio, such as those from the integrated luminosity determination. The minimum \( p_T \) values for the \( R_{\text{FB}} \) measurement are 5 GeV/c for 1.5 < \( |y_{\text{CM}}| \) < 1.93, and 6.5 GeV/c for \( |y_{\text{CM}}| > 1.5 \). The ratio \( R_{\text{FB}} \) is also analyzed as a function of \( E_T^{\text{HF}|y|>4} \), the transverse energy deposited on both sides of the collisions in the HF calorimeters within the 4 < \( |y| \) < 5.2 range. This energy is related to the impact parameter of the collision. In Table 2, the mean value of \( E_T^{\text{HF}|y|>4} \) and the fraction of events for each bin used in the analysis are computed from minimum bias pPb events.

3.2 Signal extraction

The signal extraction procedure is similar to that in previous CMS analyses of pp [41,42] and PbPb [6] collisions. The prompt \( J/\psi \) mesons are separated from those coming from...
B hadron decays by virtue of the pseudo-proper decay length, \( \ell_{J/\psi} = L_{xy} m_{J/\psi}/p_T \), where \( L_{xy} \) is the transverse distance between the primary and secondary dimuon vertices in the laboratory frame, \( m_{J/\psi} \) is the mass of the \( J/\psi \) meson, and \( p_T \) is the dimuon transverse momentum. For each \( p_T, y_{CM}, \) and event activity bin, the fraction of nonprompt \( J/\psi \) mesons (b fraction) is evaluated through an extended unbinned maximum likelihood fit to the invariant mass spectrum and \( \ell_{J/\psi} \) distributions of \( \mu^+\mu^- \) pairs, sequentially. The invariant mass spectrum is fitted first, and some parameters are initialized based on MC simulations. The fully correlated global uncertainty of 4.2% is displayed as a shaded box at \( R_{pPb} = 1 \) next to the left axis. The predictions of shadowing models based on the parameterizations EPS09 and nCTEQ15 [14,46–48] are also shown.

For the \( \ell_{J/\psi} \) distributions, the prompt signal component is represented by a resolution function, which depends on the per-event uncertainty in the \( \ell_{J/\psi} \) provided by the reconstruction algorithm of primary and secondary vertices. The resolution function is composed of the sum of two Gaussian functions. A Gaussian with a narrower width (\( \sigma_{\text{wide}} \)) describes the core of the signal component, while another with a greater width (\( \sigma_{\text{narrow}} \)) accounts for the effect of uncertainties in the primary vertex determination and has a fixed value based on MC simulations. The \( \ell_{J/\psi} \) distribution of the nonprompt component is modeled by an exponential decay function convolved with a resolution function. The continuum background component is modeled by the sum of three exponential decay functions, a normal one on one side \( \ell_{J/\psi} > 0 \), a flipped one on the other side \( \ell_{J/\psi} < 0 \), and a double-sided one, which are also convolved with a resolution function. The parameters describing the \( \ell_{J/\psi} \) distributions of the background are determined from sidebands in the invariant mass distribution \( 2.6 < m_{\mu\mu} < 2.9 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \) and \( 3.3 < m_{\mu\mu} < 3.5 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \). The results are insensitive to the selection of sideband ranges.

For pPb analysis, two data sets corresponding to each beam direction are merged and fitted together, after it is determined that the results are compatible with those from a separate analysis, performed over each data set.
shows examples of fit projections onto the mass (left) and $\ell J/\psi$ (right) axes for muon pairs with $2 < p_T < 3 \text{ GeV}/c$ in $-2.4 < y_{CM} < -1.93$ from pp (upper), and in $1.5 < y_{CM} < 1.93$ from pPb (lower) collisions.

3.3 Corrections

The acceptance and reconstruction, identification, and trigger efficiency corrections are evaluated from the MC simulation described in Sect. 2. The acceptance is estimated by the fraction of generated $J/\psi$ mesons in each $(p_T, y_{CM})$ bin, decaying into two muons, each within the fiducial phase space defined in Eq. (1).

In order to compensate for imperfections in the simulation-based efficiencies, an additional scaling factor is applied, calculated with a tag-and-probe (T&P) method [44]. The tag muons require tight identification, and the probe muons are

**Fig. 5** Rapidity dependence of $R_{pPb}$ for prompt $J/\psi$ mesons in two $p_T$ ranges: $6.5 < p_T < 10 \text{ GeV}/c$ (upper) and $10 < p_T < 30 \text{ GeV}/c$ (lower). The vertical bars represent the statistical uncertainties and the shaded boxes show the systematic uncertainties. The fully correlated global uncertainty of 4.2% is displayed as a gray box at $R_{pPb} = 1$ next to the left axis. The predictions of shadowing models based on the parameterizations EPS09 and nCTEQ15 [14,46–48] are also shown.

**Fig. 6** Transverse momentum dependence of $R_{FB}$ for prompt $J/\psi$ mesons in three $y_{CM}$ regions. The vertical bars represent the statistical uncertainties and the shaded boxes show the systematic uncertainties.
selected with and without satisfying the selection criteria relevant to the efficiency being measured. Then, invariant mass distributions of tag and probe pairs in the $J/\psi$ mass range are fitted to count the number of signals in the two groups. The single-muon efficiencies are deduced from the ratio of $J/\psi$ mesons in the passing-probe over all-probe group. The data-to-simulation ratios of single-muon efficiencies are used to correct the dimuon efficiencies, taking the kinematic distributions of decayed muons into account. The dimuon efficiency weights evaluated by the T&P method are similar for pp and pPb events and range from 0.98 to 1.90, with the largest one coming from the lowest $p_T$ bin. The efficiencies are independent of the event activity, as verified by pPb data and in a PYTHIA sample embedded in simulated pPb events generated by HIJING 1.383 [45].

In addition, the shape of the uncorrected distributions of $J/\psi$ yield versus $p_T$ in data and MC samples are observed to be different. To resolve the possible bias in acceptance and efficiency corrections, the data-to-simulation ratios are fitted by empirical functions and used to reweight the $p_T$ spectra in MC samples for each $y_{CM}$ bin. The effect of reweighting on the acceptance and efficiency is detailed in the next Section.

### 3.4 Systematic uncertainties

The following sources of systematic uncertainties are considered: fitting procedure, acceptance and efficiency corrections, and integrated luminosities.

To estimate the systematic uncertainty due to the fitting procedure, variations of the parameters or alternative fit functions have been considered for the invariant mass and $\ell J/\psi$ distributions. For the signal shape in the invariant mass distributions, three alternative parameter settings are tested: (1) $\alpha_{CB}$ is set to 1.7, averaged from the default fit, and $n_{CM}$ free, (2) both $\alpha_{CB}$ and $n_{CB}$ are left free, and (3) both are obtained from a MC template and then fixed when fit to the data. The maximum deviation of yields among these three variations is quoted as the uncertainty. For the background fit of the invariant mass distributions, a first-order polynomial is used as an alternative. For the shape of $\ell J/\psi$ distribution of prompt $J/\psi$ mesons, two alternatives are studied: (1) both $\sigma_{\text{wide}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{narrow}}$ are left free, and (2) both parameters are fixed to the MC templates. The maximum deviation of yields is taken as the uncertainty. Finally, for the $\ell J/\psi$ distribution shape of nonprompt $J/\psi$ mesons, the template shape is directly taken from reconstructed MC events. The uncertainties for the previously mentioned methods are $0.7\%–5.0\%$ for prompt and $1.1\%–36.3\%$ for nonprompt $J/\psi$ mesons. They are larger for the shape variations in the $\ell J/\psi$ than in the invariant mass distributions, especially for nonprompt $J/\psi$ mesons.

For the uncertainties from acceptance and efficiency correction factors, the effect of reweighting the $p_T$ spectrum of events generated by PYTHIA generator as described in Sect. 3.3 is considered. The deviation of the correction factors obtained from the default PYTHIA spectra and those from data-based weighted spectra is less than $2.9\%$ across all kinematic ranges. The full deviation values are quoted as the systematic uncertainties. The determination of uncertainties for T&P corrections is performed by propagating the uncertainties in single-muon efficiencies to the dimuon efficiency values. The systematic uncertainties are evaluated by varying the fit conditions in the T&P procedure, and the statistical uncertainties are estimated using a fast parametric simulation. The total uncertainty from T&P corrections is obtained by the quadratic sum of two sources. Uncertainties from the efficiency correction, including the T&P uncertainties, range from $2.4\%$ to $6.1\%$, and tend to be larger for lower $p_T$. The uncertainty in the integrated luminosities (2.3% for pp [39] and 3.5% for pPb [40]) is correlated across all data points and affects only the production cross sections and $R_{pPb}$, while it cancels out in the $R_{FB}$ measurements.

Table 3 summarizes systematic uncertainties considered in this analysis. The range refers to different ($p_T$, $y_{CM}$) bins; the uncertainties tend to be lower at high $p_T$ and midrapidity, and higher at low $p_T$ and forward or backward $y_{CM}$. The larger uncertainties of the nonprompt $J/\psi$ yields come from the signal extraction in their lowest $p_T$ bin, 2–3 GeV/c. In the case of the $R_{pPb}$ measurements with a $p_T$ limit of 4 GeV/c, maximum uncertainties for nonprompt $J/\psi$ mesons are $12.7\%$ for
pp and 12.8% for pPb collisions. The total systematic uncertainty is evaluated as the quadratic sum of the uncertainties from all sources in each kinematic bin, except for those from the integrated luminosity determination.

4 Results

4.1 Prompt J/ψ mesons

Figure 2 shows the double-differential prompt J/ψ production cross sections multiplied by the dimuon branching fraction in pp (left) and pPb (right) collisions, with data points plotted at the center of each bin. Statistical uncertainties are displayed as vertical bars, while boxes that span the $p_T$ bin width represent systematic uncertainties. Not shown is a global normalization uncertainty of 2.3% in pp and 3.5% in pPb collisions arising from the integrated luminosity determination.

Prompt J/ψ distributions are shown in Fig. 3 in pp and pPb collisions. The measurements are integrated over two $p_T$ intervals, $6 < p_T < 10$ GeV/$c$ (low $p_T$) and $10 < p_T < 30$ GeV/$c$ (high $p_T$).

The $p_T$ dependence of prompt J/ψ $R_{pPb}$ is shown in Fig. 4, in seven $y_{CM}$ ranges for which pp and pPb measurements overlap. Around midrapidity ($|y_{CM}| < 0.9$) and in the three backward $y_{CM}$ bins (lower panels), $R_{pPb}$ is slightly above unity without a clear dependence on $p_T$.
the most forward bin (1.5 < \( y_{CM} < 1.93 \)), suppression at low \( p_T \) (<7.5 GeV/c) is observed, followed by a weak increase of \( R_{ppb} \) at higher \( p_T \). The results are compared to three model calculations. One is based on the next-to-leading order (NLO) Color Evaporation Model \([14]\) using the EPS09 \([46]\) nPDF set. The other two are calculated from the nPDF sets of EPS09 and nCTEQ15 \([47]\), respectively, with the parameterization of \( 2 \rightarrow 2 \) partonic scattering process based on data, as described in Ref. \([48]\). All three \( R_{ppb} \) calculations are marginally lower than the measured values across all \( y_{CM} \) bins. The calculations based on coherent energy loss are not yet available to describe quarkonium production at large \( p_T \) (>10 GeV/c); therefore, no comparison of the present data with the model \([15]\) is performed.

It is worth noting that the \( R_{ppb} \) values measured in the most forward (1.5 < \( y_{CM} < 1.93 \)) and backward (−2.4 < \( y_{CM} < −1.93 \)) regions are consistent, in the overlapping \( p_T \) intervals (4 < \( p_T \) < 8 GeV/c), with the inclusive \( J/\psi \) results of the ALICE collaboration \([21,22]\) over 2.03 < \( y_{CM} < 3.53 \) and −4.46 < \( y_{CM} < −2.96 \), obtained using an interpolated pp cross section reference. Although the ALICE results are for inclusive \( J/\psi \) mesons, the nonprompt contribution is expected to be relatively small (<20%) in the domain \( p_T \) < 8 GeV/c.

Figure 5 displays the \( y_{CM} \) dependence of prompt \( J/\psi \) \( R_{ppb} \) in the low-\( p_T \) (upper) and the high-\( p_T \) (lower) regions corresponding to the same \( p_T \) bins used in Fig. 3. In the high-\( p_T \) region, \( R_{ppb} \) is above unity over the whole \( y_{CM} \) range. In the lower-\( p_T \) region, a decrease of \( R_{ppb} \) for increasing \( y_{CM} \) is suggested. The same theoretical predictions shown in Fig. 4 are overlaid. In contrast to the measurement of \( J/\psi \) mesons in PbPb collisions \([6]\), no significant deviation from unity is observed in the \( p_T \) and \( y_{CM} \) ranges studied here. This suggests that the strong suppression of \( J/\psi \) production in PbPb collisions is an effect of QGP formation.

The forward-to-backward ratio of PbPb cross sections, \( R_{FB} \), in three \( y_{CM} \) ranges is displayed as a function of \( p_T \) for prompt \( J/\psi \) mesons in Fig. 6. The \( R_{FB} \) tends to be below unity at low \( p_T \) < 7.5 GeV/c and forward \( |y_{CM}| > 0.9 \). In the 6.5 < \( p_T \) < 10 GeV/c bin, an indication of decrease of \( R_{FB} \) with increasing \( y_{CM} \) is observed. The results are in agreement with the measurements from the ATLAS \([23]\), ALICE \([21,22]\), and LHCb \([24]\) collaborations.

Figure 7 shows \( R_{FB} \) as a function of \( E_T^{HF/|y|>4} \) for prompt \( J/\psi \) mesons in three \( y_{CM} \) ranges. The data are integrated over 6.5 < \( p_T \) < 30 GeV/c; a lower-\( p_T \) bin, 5 < \( p_T \) < 6.5 GeV/c, is shown in addition for the most forward-backward interval, 1.5 < \( |y_{CM}| < 1.93 \). The value of \( R_{FB} \) decreases as a function of \( E_T^{HF/|y|>4} \), suggesting that the effects that cause the asymmetry between the forward-to-backward production are larger in events with more hadronic activity.

4.2 Nonprompt \( J/\psi \) mesons

The same distributions and observables discussed in Sect. 4.1 have been investigated for the nonprompt \( J/\psi \) meson samples. Differential cross sections are plotted as functions of \( p_T \) and \( y_{CM} \) in Figs. 8 and 9, respectively, using the same binning as for prompt \( J/\psi \) mesons.

The measurement of \( R_{ppb} \) for nonprompt \( J/\psi \) mesons shown in Fig. 10 as a function of \( p_T \) is compatible with unity in all \( y_{CM} \) bins. The somewhat larger uncertainties, however, make it difficult to draw firm conclusions for the

![Figure 9](https://example.com/figure9.png)

**Fig. 9** Rapidity dependence of the cross section (multiplied by the dimuon branching fraction) for nonprompt \( J/\psi \) mesons in the \( p_T \) intervals of 6.5 < \( p_T \) < 10 GeV/c (circles) and 10 < \( p_T \) < 30 GeV/c (squares) in pp (upper) and PbPb (lower) collisions. The vertical dashed line indicates \( y_{CM} = 0 \). The vertical bars (smaller than the symbols in most cases) represent the statistical uncertainties and the shaded boxes show the systematic uncertainties. The fully correlated global uncertainty from the integrated luminosity determination, 2.3% for pp and 3.5% for PbPb collisions, is not included in the point-by-point uncertainties.
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nonprompt $J/\psi$ production. The $y_{\text{CM}}$ dependence of nonprompt $J/\psi$ $R_{\text{pPb}}$ integrated in the low- and high-$p_T$ regions is shown in Fig. 11. In all $y_{\text{CM}}$ bins, $R_{\text{pPb}}$ is consistent with unity although the data hint at a rapidity dependence for $R_{\text{pPb}}$ in the low $p_T$ region, as found in the prompt $J/\psi$ meson production (Fig. 5).

Figures 12 and 13 show the $p_T$ and $E_T^{\text{HF} |\eta| > 4}$ dependence of nonprompt $J/\psi$ $R_{\text{FB}}$, respectively. The $R_{\text{FB}}$ ratios seem to increase slightly with $p_T$ from $\sim 0.8 \pm 0.1$ to $\sim 1.0 \pm 0.1$ in all $y_{\text{CM}}$ bins. The results are consistent with those from the ATLAS [23] and LHCb [24] collaborations within uncertainties. As seen for prompt $J/\psi$ meson production, $R_{\text{FB}}$ for nonprompt $J/\psi$ meson production decreases with $E_T^{\text{HF} |\eta| > 4}$, indicating the presence of different nuclear effects at forward than at backward $y_{\text{CM}}$ in the regions with the greatest event activity.

5 Summary

Proton–proton (pp) and proton–lead (pPb) data at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV collected with the CMS detector are used to investigate the production of prompt and nonprompt $J/\psi$ mesons and its possible modification due to cold nuclear matter effects. Double-differential cross sections, as well as the nuclear modification factor $R_{\text{pPb}}$, and forward-to-backward production ratio $R_{\text{FB}}$, are reported as functions of the $J/\psi$ $p_T$ and $y_{\text{CM}}$.

The $R_{\text{pPb}}$ values for prompt $J/\psi$ mesons are above unity in mid- and backward $y_{\text{CM}}$ intervals analyzed ($-2.4 < y_{\text{CM}} < 0.9$), with a possible depletion in the most forward bin at low $p_T \lesssim 7.5$ GeV/c. In the case of nonprompt $J/\psi$ meson production, $R_{\text{pPb}}$ is compatible with unity in all $y_{\text{CM}}$ bins. The prompt $J/\psi$ $R_{\text{FB}}$ is below unity for $p_T \lesssim 7.5$ GeV/c and forward $|y_{\text{CM}}| > 0.9$, but is consistent with unity for $p_T \gtrsim 10$ GeV/c. For nonprompt $J/\psi$ mesons, $R_{\text{FB}}$ tends to be below unity at $p_T \lesssim 7.5$ GeV/c and increases for higher $p_T$, but with slightly larger uncertainties. The dependence of $R_{\text{FB}}$ on the hadronic activity in pPb events has been studied through the variable $E_T^{\text{HF} |\eta| > 4}$, characterizing the transverse energy deposited in the CMS detector at large pseudorapidities $4 < |\eta| < 5.2$. The $R_{\text{FB}}$ ratio is observed to decrease with increasing event activity for both prompt and nonprompt $J/\psi$ mesons, indicating enhanced nuclear matter effects for increasingly central pPb collisions.

A depletion of prompt $J/\psi$ mesons in pPb collisions (as compared to pp collisions) is expected in the forward $y_{\text{CM}}$ region because of the shadowing of nuclear parton distributions and/or coherent energy loss effects. Such a suppression is observed in the measurements presented in this paper at the...
Fig. 11 Rapidity dependence of $R_{pPb}$ for nonprompt $J/\psi$ mesons in two $p_T$ ranges: $6.5 < p_T < 10$ GeV/c (upper) and $10 < p_T < 30$ GeV/c (lower). The vertical bars represent the statistical uncertainties and the shaded boxes show the systematic uncertainties. The fully correlated global uncertainty of 4.2% is displayed as a gray box at $R_{pPb} = 1$ next to the left axis.

$y_{CM} > 1.5$ and $p_T \lesssim 7.5$ GeV/c, but not at larger $p_T$, consistent with the expected reduced impact of nuclear parton distributions and coherent energy loss effects for increasing $J/\psi$ $p_T$. At negative $y_{CM}$, both shadowing and energy loss effects are known to lead to small nuclear modifications, as confirmed by the present measurements. Such processes are also expected to affect the nuclear dependence of B hadron production and thereby, through its decays, nonprompt $J/\psi$ production. The measurements presented here provide new constraints on cold nuclear matter effects on prompt and nonprompt $J/\psi$ production over a wide kinematic range.

Fig. 12 Transverse momentum dependence of $R_{FB}$ for nonprompt $J/\psi$ mesons in three $y_{CM}$ regions. The vertical bars represent the statistical uncertainties and the shaded boxes show the systematic uncertainties.
Fig. 13 Dependence of $R_{FB}$ for nonprompt $J/\psi$ mesons on the hadronic activity in the event, given by the transverse energy deposited in the CMS detector at large pseudorapidities $E_T^{HF}|_{|\eta|>4}$. Data points are slightly shifted horizontally so that they do not overlap. The vertical bars represent the statistical uncertainties and the shaded boxes show the systematic uncertainties.

Acknowledgements We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMWFW and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MBIE, CNRS, and IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); OTKA and NIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); INFN (Italy); NRF and RFNP (Republic of Korea); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); PERNAM, NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS, RFBR and RAEP (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI, CPAN, PCTI and FEDER (Spain); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Turkey); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR, and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU and SFFFR (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA). Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council and EPLANET (European Union); the Leverhulme Trust; the A. P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l’Industrie et dans l’Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the HOMING PLUS program of the Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced from European Union, Regional Development Fund, the Mobility Plus program of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education, the National Science Center (Poland), contracts Harmonia 2014/14/M/ST2/00428, Opus 2014/13/B/ST2/02543, 2014/15/B/ST2/03998, and 2015/19/B/ST2/02661, Sonata-bis 2012/07/E/ST2/01406; the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; the Programa Clarín-COFUND del Principado de Asturias; the Thalis and Aristeia programs cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; the Rachadapisek Sompot Fund for Post-doctoral Fellowship, Chulalongkorn University and the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project (Thailand); and theWelch Foundation, contract C-1845.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. Funded by SCOAP3.

References

9. CMS Collaboration, Suppression of $\Upsilon$(1S), $\Upsilon$(2S) and $\Upsilon$(3S) production in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s}_{NN} = 2.76$ TeV (2016). doi:10.1103/physrevlett.2014.05.064, arXiv:1311.0214
13. E.G. Ferreiro, F. Fleuret, J.P. Lansberg, A. Rakotozafindrabe, Impact of the nuclear modification of the gluon densities on
1. T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, P. Skands, PYTHIA 6.4 physics and man-
Proceedings, 1st International Work-
3. P. Bartalini, L. Fano (eds.), Proceedings, 1st International Work-
shop on Multiple Partonic Interactions at the LHC (MPI08) (2010).
16. T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, P. Skands, PYTHIA 6.4 physics and man-
17. Z.-B. Kang et al., Multiple scattering effects on heavy meson produc-
19. Z.-B. Kang et al., Multiple scattering effects on heavy meson produc-
CMS Collaboration

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia
A. M. Sirunyan, A. Tumasyan

Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Vienna, Austria

Institute for Nuclear Problems, Minsk, Belarus
O. Dvornikov, V. Makarenko, V. Mossolov, J. Suarez Gonzalez, V. Zykunov

National Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus
N. Shumeiko

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium
N. Beliy

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Universidade Estadual Paulista, Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria
A. Aleksandrov, R. Hadjiiska, P. Iaydjiev, M. Rodozov, S. Stoykova, G. Sultanov, M. Vutova

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria
A. Dimitrov, I. Glushkov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov
Beihang University, Beijing, China
W. Fang

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China
Y. Ban, G. Chen, Q. Li, S. Liu, Y. Mao, S. J. Qian, D. Wang, Z. Xu

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia

Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, University of Split, Split, Croatia
N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, I. Puljak, P. M. Ribeiro Cipriano, T. Sculac

Faculty of Science, University of Split, Split, Croatia
Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia
V. Brigljevic, D. Ferencek, K. Kadija, B. Mesic, T. Susa

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic
M. Finger, M. Finger Jr.

Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador
E. Carrera Jarrin

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt
Y. Assran, T. Elkafrawy, A. Mahrous

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia
M. Kadastik, L. Perrini, M. Raidal, A. Tiko, C. Veelken

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
P. Eerola, J. Pekkanen, M. Voutilainen

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland
J. Härkönen, T. Järvinen, V. Karimäki, R. Kinnunen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, P. Luukka, J. Tuominen, E. Tuovinen, L. Wendland

Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland
J. Talvitie, T. Tuuva

IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France

Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien (IPHC), Université de Strasbourg, CNRS-IN2P3, Strasbourg, France
University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece
I. Evangeloul, G. Flouris, C. Foudas, P. Kokkas, N. Loukas, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, E. Paradas

MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary
N. Filipovic, G. Pasztor

Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Karancsi21, A. Makovec, J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi

Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary
M. Bartók20, P. Raics, Z. L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India
J. R. Komaragiri

National Institute of Technology, Rourkela, India
V. J. kalapathy, P. K. Mal, N. Sahu, N. K. Swain

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

University of Delhi, Delhi, India
Ashok Kumar, A. Bhardwaj, B. C. Choudhary, R. B. Garg, S. Keshri, S. Malhotra, M. Naimuddin, K. Ranjan, R. Sharma, V. Sharma

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India
P. K. Behera

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India
R. Chudasama, D. Dutta, V. Jha, V. Kumar, A. K. Mohanty15, P. K. Netrakanti, L. M. Pant, P. Shukla, A. Topkar

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India
S. Chauhan, S. Dube, V. Hegde, A. Kapoor, K. Kothekar, S. Pandey, A. Rane, S. Sharma

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran
S. Chenarani27, E. Eskandari Tadavani, S. M. Etesami27, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, M. Naseri, S. Pakhtinat Mehdiabadi28, F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi, B. Safarzadeh29, M. Zeinali

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland
M. Felcini, M. Grunewald

INFN Sezione di Bari2, Università di Bari3, Politecnico di Bari1, Bari, Italy
INFN Sezione di Trieste\textsuperscript{a}, Università di Trieste\textsuperscript{b}, Trieste, Italy
S. Belforte\textsuperscript{a}, M. Casarsa\textsuperscript{a}, F. Cossutti\textsuperscript{a}, G. Della Ricca\textsuperscript{a,\textit{b}}, A. Zanetti\textsuperscript{a}

Kyungpook National University, Taegu, Korea

Chonbuk National University, Jeonju, Korea
A. Lee

Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Chonnam National University, Kwangju, Korea
H. Kim

Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea
J. A. Brochero Cifuentes, T. J. Kim

Korea University, Seoul, Korea

Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea
Y. Choi, J. Goh, C. Hwang, J. Lee, I. Yu

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania
V. Dudenais, A. Juodagalvis, J. Vaitkus

National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico
S. Carrillo Moreno, C. Oropeza Barrera, F. Vazquez Valencia

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico
S. Carpinteiro, I. Pedraza, H. A. Salazar Ibarguen, C. Uribe Estrada

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico
A. Morelos Pineda

University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand
D. Krofcheck

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand
P. H. Butler

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan
A. Ahmad, M. Ahmad, Q. Hassan, H. R. Hoorani, W. A. Khan, A. Saddique, M. A. Shah, M. Shoaib, M. Waqas

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland
K. Bunkowski, A. Byszuk\textsuperscript{35}, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski, M. Misiura, M. Olszewski, M. Walczak
Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisbon, Portugal

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia
L. Chtchipounov, V. Golovtsov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim, E. Kuznetsova, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, V. Sulimov, A. Vorobyev

Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
V. Epshteyn, V. Gavrilov, N. Lychkovskaya, V. Popov, I. Pozdnyakov, G. Safronov, A. Spiridonov, M. Toms, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow, Russia
T. Aushev, A. Bylinkin

National Research Nuclear University ‘Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia
M. Chadeeva, R. Chistov, S. Polikarpov

P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia
V. Andreev, M. Azarkin, I. Dremin, M. Kirakosyan, A. Leonidov, A. Terkulov

Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
A. Baskakov, A. Belyaev, E. Boos, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, A. Kaminskii, O. Kodolova, V. Korotkikh, I. Lokhtin, I. Miagkov, S. Obraztsov, S. Petroshanko, V. Savrin, A. Snigirev, I. Vardanyan

Novosibirsk State University (NSU), Novosibirsk, Russia
V. Blinov, Y. Skovpen, D. Shtol

State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia
P. Adzic, P. Cirkovic, D. Devetak, M. Dordevic, J. Milosevic, V. Rekovic

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
J. F. de Trocóniz, M. Missiroli, D. Moran

Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain
University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, UK

Imperial College, London, UK

Brunel University, Uxbridge, UK
J. E. Cole, P. R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, I. D. Reid, P. Symonds, L. Teodorescu, M. Turner

Baylor University, Waco, USA
A. Borzou, K. Call, J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, H. Liu, N. Pastika

Catholic University of America, Washington, D.C., USA
R. Bartek, A. Dominguez

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA
A. Buccilli, S. I. Cooper, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio, C. West

Boston University, Boston, USA
D. Arcaro, A. Aveticsyan, T. Bose, D. Gastler, D. Rankin, C. Richardson, J. Rohlf, L. Sulak, D. Zou

Brown University, Providence, USA

University of California, Davis, Davis, USA

University of California, Los Angeles, USA

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA

Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, USA

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA
University of Maryland, College Park, USA

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA

University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA
J. G. Acosta, S. Oliveros

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA

Northeastern University, Boston, USA

Northwestern University, Evanston, USA

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA

The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA

Princeton University, Princeton, USA

University of Puerto Rico, Mayagüez, USA
S. Malik

Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA

Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, USA
N. Parashar, J. Stupak

Rice University, Houston, USA
University of Rochester, Rochester, USA
B. Betchart, A. Bodek, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, Y. T. Duh, T. Ferbel, M. Galanti, A. Garcia-Bellido, J. Han, O. Hindrichs, A. Khukhunaishvili, K. H. Lo, P. Tan, M. Verzetti

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA
A. G. Delannoy, M. Foerster, J. Heideman, G. Riley, K. Rose, S. Spanier, K. Thapa

Texas A&M University, College Station, USA

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA
S. Greene, A. Gurrola, R. Janjam, W. Johns, C. Maguire, A. Melo, H. Ni, P. Sheldon, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska, Q. Xu

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA
M. A. Arenton, P. Barria, B. Cox, J. Goodell, R. Hirosky, A. Ledovskoy, H. Li, C. Neu, T. Sinthuprasith, X. Sun, Y. Wang, E. Wolfe, F. Xia

Wayne State University, Detroit, USA
C. Clarke, R. Harr, P. E. Karchin, J. Sturdy

University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA

† Deceased

1: Also at University of Technology, Vienna, Austria
2: Also at State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China
3: Also at Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien (IPHC), Université de Strasbourg, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France
4: Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil
5: Also at Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil
6: Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium
7: Also at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany
8: Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
9: Also at Suez University, Suez, Egypt
10: Now at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt
11: Also at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt
12: Now at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt
13: Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France
14: Also at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
15: Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
16: Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany
17: Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany
18: Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany
19: Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
20: Also at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary
21: Also at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

Springer
22: Also at Indian Institute of Technology Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India
23: Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India
24: Also at Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhopal, India
25: Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India
26: Also at University of Ruhuna, Matara, Sri Lanka
27: Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran
28: Also at Yazd University, Yazd, Iran
29: Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran
30: Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy
31: Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA
32: Also at International Islamic University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
33: Also at Malaysian Nuclear Agency, MOSTI, Kajang, Malaysia
34: Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico
35: Also at Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Electronic Systems, Warsaw, Poland
36: Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia
37: Now at National Research Nuclear University ‘Moscow Engineering Physics Institute’ (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia
38: Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia
39: Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, USA
40: Also at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia
41: Also at INFN Sezione di Padova; Università di Padova; Università di Trento (Trento), Padova, Italy
42: Also at Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia
43: Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
44: Also at INFN Sezione di Roma; Università di Roma, Roma, Italy
45: Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia
46: Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell’INFN, Pisa, Italy
47: Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
48: Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia
49: Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
50: Also at Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Bern, Switzerland
51: Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey
52: Also at Istanbul Aydin University, Istanbul, Turkey
53: Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey
54: Also at Cag University, Mersin, Turkey
55: Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey
56: Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey
57: Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey
58: Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey
59: Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey
60: Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
61: Also at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey
62: Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey
63: Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey
64: Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, UK
65: Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK
66: Also at Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, La Laguna, Spain
67: Also at Utah Valley University, Orem, USA
68: Also at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, USA
69: Also at Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey
70: Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey
71: Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar
72: Also at Kyungpook National University, Taegu, Korea