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Allochthonous Carbon—a Major Driver of Bacterioplankton Production in the Subarctic Northern Baltic Sea
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Abstract Heterotrophic bacteria are, in many aquatic systems, reliant on autochthonous organic carbon as their energy source. One exception is low-productive humic lakes, where allochthonous dissolved organic matter (ADOM) is the major driver. We hypothesized that bacterial production (BP) is similarly regulated in subarctic estuaries that receive large amounts of riverine material. BP and potential explanatory factors were measured during May–August 2011 in the subarctic Råne Estuary, northern Sweden. The highest BP was observed in spring, concomitant with the spring river-flush and the lowest rates occurred during summer when primary production (PP) peaked. PLS correlations showed that \( \sim 60 \% \) of the BP variation was explained by different ADOM components, measured as humic substances, dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and coloured dissolved organic matter (CDOM). On average, BP was threefold higher than PP. The bioavailability of allochthonous dissolved organic carbon (ADOC) exhibited large spatial and temporal variation; however, the average value was low, \( \sim 2 \% \). Bioassay analysis showed that BP in the near-shore area was potentially carbon limited early in the season, while BP at seaward stations was more commonly limited by nitrogen-phosphorus. Nevertheless, the bioassay indicated that ADOC could contribute significantly to the in situ BP, \( \sim 60 \% \). We conclude that ADOM is a regulator of BP in the studied estuary. Thus, projected climate-induced increases in river discharge suggest that BP will increase in subarctic coastal areas during the coming century.
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Introduction

Autochthonously produced organic carbon has been shown to be a major driver of bacterial production (BP) in many aquatic systems [16]. However, in systems greatly influenced by allochthonous organic carbon, such as low-productive humic lakes, bacteria are decoupled from autotrophic phytoplankton due to the high availability of allochthonous dissolved organic matter (ADOM) [38]. Subarctic estuaries are also highly influenced by ADOM, especially during the spring river-flush [50]. The terrestrial inflow of organic matter may thus promote BP, since the availability of food substrates is often a growth-limiting factor in natural aquatic systems [17, 30, 61]. However, BP may also be driven by autochthonous production. Presently, it is poorly understood whether BP in subarctic estuaries is mostly driven by autochthonous organic carbon or by river-discharged ADOM.

Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in general makes up a large part, \( \sim 50 \% \), of the reduced dissolved organic matter (DOM) in aquatic ecosystems [21]. Some ADOM entering coastal systems forms into aggregates which sink to the...
benthic system, whilst the rest remains in dissolved form in the water [32]. ADOM consists of different fractions, which have varying properties. Humic substances, which are composed of both fulvic and humic acids, represent a significant part of the river-borne allochthonous DOC (ADOC) [11]. ADOM is relatively refractory and contains compounds that absorb light, i.e. chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM), thus reducing light penetration in the water column which may cause decreasing phytoplankton production [4, 13, 32].

The ecological and biogeochemical significance of DOM is, to a high degree, linked to the key role of bacteria in carbon (C) and nutrient cycling [16, 35]. DOM constitutes a reservoir of reduced carbon and essential nutrients, such as nitrogen (N) and phosphorous (P), and its biological degradation is influenced by environmental factors such as temperature or nutrient limitation [31, 40]. Only a small fraction of ADOM is available as a bacterial carbon substrate [56], while higher proportions of the ADOM-bound N and P have been shown to be available for bacterial growth [53]. Bacteria either transform the DOM into biomass, which can then transfer through the food web to higher trophic levels, or use the carbon for their own metabolism and respiration [18]. The bacterioplankton production and the community composition are also influenced by the composition of the DOM, since different bacterial groups have varying capacities to metabolize organic substances in the DOM pool [24, 42, 49].

In areas influenced by freshwater discharge, such as estuarine systems in the Baltic Sea, ADOM is likely to affect the productivity and trophic balance of the ecosystem [5, 58]. Heterotrophic bacteria may be selectively promoted by ADOM inputs; however, the bacterial growth response will depend on the bioavailability of carbon and nutrient content in the ADOM, as well as the growth-limiting substance for heterotrophic bacteria. In coastal areas of the Baltic Sea, bacterioplankton production has been shown to be limited by organic carbon or by nutrients [30, 49]. The variations may be due to different composition and quality of the organic substances, as well as the different substrate requirements of bacteria [11, 50]. Furthermore, seasonality and the flows or pulses from rivers can have an important impact on heterotrophic carbon consumption in coastal estuaries since the DOM quality and concentration vary depending on surrounding terrestrial and hydrological processes [29].

The distribution, timing and concentration of discharges from terrestrial to aquatic ecosystems are expected to vary in subarctic boreal areas due to climate change [28]. In the Bothnian Bay, the northernmost part of the Baltic Sea, modifications in the annual timing of snow melt, river flow patterns and nutrient discharges are expected [25, 28, 34]. Consequently, the transport of inorganic substances and ADOM will change [54], providing bacteria with pulses and extended periods of elevated potential carbon and nutrient sources and reducing the available light for phytoplankton production in the water column [4, 12, 13, 52]. Consequently, the structure and function of phytoplankton and bacterial communities will be altered, resulting in a modified basal production balance [4, 52]. Such alterations at the seasonal level, and certainly over extended periods (e.g. long term perspectives), could modify the structure, function and productivity of the whole food web [12, 16, 52].

The objective of this study was to elucidate which factors drive BP in subarctic estuaries influenced by high concentrations of ADOM. Our hypotheses were the following: (1) In subarctic estuaries, BP is mainly governed by ADOM; (2) bacterial uptake of ADOM will vary over time and space, with high BP in spring at the river station due to elevated concentrations of fresh ADOM; and (3) although high ADOC loads occur in subarctic estuaries, BP can potentially be carbon limited due to the simultaneous supply of highly available NP in the river-borne DOM. The Râne Estuary, situated at the northernmost extreme of the Baltic Sea, served as the study system. This sea area is highly influenced by river inflow and as much as ~87 % of the DOM is of terrestrial origin [2]. Our approach combined field studies and bioassays. The results are discussed from a climate change perspective, since it has been predicted that precipitation and river inflow will increase in such areas during the coming century [5].

Methods

Study Site

Sampling was performed in the Râne Estuary (the Bothnian Bay, Baltic Sea, Fig. 1). The estuary is subject to river discharge (Table 1) from an unregulated river (the Râne River) running through a largely forested catchment area in northern Sweden.

Field Sampling

Physicochemical and biological variables were measured at 19 stations in the Râne River-Estuary (Fig. 18, Table 1). One station was situated in the river and constituted the source sampling point, and the other 18 stations were located within the estuary (referred to here-after as the seaward stations). The stations were distributed over a 16 km² area (Fig. 1) and were sampled monthly from May to August 2011. The first sampling was undertaken immediately following the inland snow-melt and the last towards the end of the productive season (Table 1). Each sampling occasion spanned a 3-day period. Water was collected at a depth of 1 m using a Ruttner sampler. Samples were analysed or preserved within four hours of collection.
Physicochemical Variables

Temperature was measured in situ. pH and conductivity were measured in the laboratory at 25 °C (Mettler Toledo) and converted to the actual values for the in situ temperature according to Fofonoff and Millard [23]. Total phosphorus (TotP) and total nitrogen (TotN) were analysed using a Bran & Luebbe TRAACS 800 autoanalyser according to Grasshof et al. [26].

A number of variables indicative of ADOM were measured, including dissolved organic carbon (DOC), chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM) and humic substances. Samples for DOC were filtered (0.2 µm Supor Membrane Syringe Filter, non-pyrogenic; Acrodisc), acidified with 0.1 ml of 1.2 M HCL, sparged and analysed using a Shimadzu TOC-5000. For CDOM measurement, water was filtered through an acid-washed 0.2-µm membrane filter, and the filtrate was measured spectrophotometrically (300–800 nm), as described in Kratzer et al. [41]. The concentration of humic substances was measured from whole water samples using a PerkinElmer LS 30 fluorometer (350 nm exCitation wavelength and 450 nm emission wavelength). Sulfuric acid (0.05 M) was used as a blank, and calibration standards were prepared from quinine dihydrogen sulfate dihydrate in 0.05 M sulfuric acid [14, 57]. Suspended particulate material (SPM) was estimated using the gravimetric method [55], slightly modified by filtering triplicate 1 l volumes of seawater onto precombusted (450 °C) 47 mm GFF filters. Differences in the dry weight of filters before and after the filtration represent the total SPM. Filters were then re-combusted (450 °C) to burn off
the particulate organic substances. The particulate organic fraction (SPM$_{organic}$) and the particulate inorganic fraction (SPM$_{inorganic}$) were calculated from these weights. Since this study focuses on the bacterial utilization of organic compounds, we considered only the SPM$_{organic}$.

**Bacterial and Primary Production**

BP was measured using the 3H-thymidine incorporation technique as described in Berglund et al. [12]. One millilitre of seawater was added to three Eppendorf tubes, one control and duplicate samples. Bacteria in the control were pre-killed by adding 100 μl ice-cold 50% TCA and incubating at −20°C for 5 min. Next, 2 μl [3H]-thymidine (84 Ci mmol$^{-1}$; PerkinElmer, Massachusetts, USA) was added to each tube to a final concentration of 24 nM. The incorporated thymidine was converted to cell production using the conversion factor of 1.4 × 10$^{18}$ cells mol$^{-1}$ [60]. Carbon biomass production was estimated from cell production and average cell carbon biomass as described in Erikkson-Wiklund et al. [22].

Primary production (PP) was measured in situ using the 14C technique: 5 ml seawater was added to three 20 ml transparent polycarbonate tubes with one dark tube as a control. Next, 7.2 μl 14C was added to each tube (14C Centralen Denmark, activity 100 μCi/ml) and were incubated at 1 m depth for ~3 h. The samples were analysed in a Beckman 6500 scintillation counter. Daily PP was calculated as described in Andersson et al. [3].

**Table 1** Average values (and range) of physicochemical variables at 19 stations in the Råne River and Estuary during the 2011 productive season

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>May 10–12</th>
<th>June 20–22</th>
<th>July 11–13</th>
<th>August 9–11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOC (mg l$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>7.6 (5.0–12.8)</td>
<td>5.6 (4.4–6.9)</td>
<td>6.3 (5.2–7.3)</td>
<td>5.9 (4.7–7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humic substances (μg l$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>61.5 (39.9–67.6)</td>
<td>43.8 (25.9–68)</td>
<td>53.6 (32.7–71.4)</td>
<td>41.9 (23.1–63.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPM organic (g m$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.4–2.5)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.8–2.4)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.0–2.4)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.8–2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDOM (m$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>3 (1.5–4.2)</td>
<td>2.8 (1.8–5.5)</td>
<td>2.9 (1.8–4.2)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.1–3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TotP (mg l$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.009 (0.008–0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.006–0.016)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.008–0.014)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.008–0.016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TotN (mg l$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.38 (0.29–0.46)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.23–0.37)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.23–0.41)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.24–0.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>6.7 (5.8–8)</td>
<td>15.7 (14.1–16.6)</td>
<td>21.4 (19.8–23.0)</td>
<td>16.5 (15.7–17.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.9 (6.6–7.1)</td>
<td>7.2 (6.6–7.6)</td>
<td>7.2 (6.8–7.4)</td>
<td>7.4 (7.0–7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity units</td>
<td>0.3 (0–1.1)</td>
<td>0.6 (0–1.7)</td>
<td>0.5 (0–1.1)</td>
<td>1.0 (0–1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River discharge (m$^3$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>99.3 (96–103)</td>
<td>33.4 (32.1–35.1)</td>
<td>33.1 (31.8–34.5)</td>
<td>24.6 (23.8–26.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean and range are for a single given sampling month, encompassing all 19 sampled stations ($n=19$)

Collected water was divided into two fractions: (1) unfiltered and (2) ~0.7 μm filtered (<15 kPa) through a pre-combusted GF/F filter (Whatman). Fractions were stored in darkness at 4 °C whilst awaiting the experiment (<48 h). At the start of the experiment, 100 ml of the un-filtered inoculum water and 900 ml of the GFF-filtered water were mixed to create the starting matrix at each individual station. For each station, 150 ml of this matrix was then distributed into six individual 200 ml cell culture flasks (polystyrene culture flask, non-pyrogenic and non-cytotoxic; Sarstedt, USA). Potential nutrient limitation was determined by comparing cultures with and without nutrient amendment, where the increase of BP in nutrient amended cultures (NP) showed a limitation of nutrients.

BP and DOC concentrations were measured on days 0, 2, 6, and 10 using the methods described above.

DOC availability was calculated as follows:

$$\text{DOC consumed} \% = \frac{(\text{DOC}_0 - \text{DOC}_X)}{\text{DOC}_0} \times 100$$

where DOC$_0$ and DOC$_X$ are the DOC concentrations at day 0, and on the last day that bacteria were growing in the cultures. In May, this sampling was between day 0 and day 6, while in June, July and August it was between day 0 and day 2.
Bacterial growth efficiency (BGE) was calculated by dividing the total bacterial carbon production by the consumption of DOC during the growth period, as follows:

\[
BGE(\%) = \frac{BP_{\text{int}} \times 0}{(DOC_0 - DOC_X)}
\]

where \(BP_{\text{int}}\) is the integrated BP and the subscript numbers (for both BP and DOC) denote the active growth phase. For the May sampling, this period was from days 0 to 6, and for the June, July and August samplings, from day 0 to day 2.

The proportion of BP that was potentially based on in situ concentrations of DOC was calculated according to the equation:

\[
BP_{\text{DOC}_{\text{insitu}}}(\%) = \frac{BGE \times (DOC_{\text{insitu}} \times DOC_{\text{consumed}}/Days_{\text{ADOMusage}})}{BP_{\text{insitu}}}
\]

In this calculation, we assume that the major part of the isolated DOC is of allochthonous origin [19].

Statistical Analyses

Partial least square (PLS) analysis was used to elucidate the combined effects of physicochemical and biological variables on BP (SIMCA version 13.0.3). The results are presented as a principal component graph with the monthly sampling events at each station distributed depending on the influence of each variable. Kendall-Tau correlations were used to investigate the relationship between river discharge and carbon concentration in the water (SPSS Statistics 22). The individual influence of each variable on BP was analysed using Spearman correlation (R Studio 2.13.2). Potential nutrient (NP) limitation of bacterial metabolism in the DOC consumption bioassay was examined using linear mixed models (R Studio 2.13.2, package “lme4” version 0.999375-42), comparing controls and cultures with nutrient addition. Significant differences \((p < 0.05)\) were considered indicative of nutrient limitation (NP).

Results and Discussion

River Inflow Influence on Estuarine ADOM Concentrations

The physicochemical conditions in the Råne estuary exhibited large temporal and spatial variations (Table 1). In May, the spring river flush caused an increase in concentrations of ADOM-related variables: DOC (Kendall-Tau \(b\) test\(_{\text{two-tailed}}\) = 0.261, **\(p < 0.01\) ), humic substances (Kendall-Tau \(b\) test\(_{\text{two-tailed}}\) = 0.333, **\(p < 0.01\) ) and CDOM (Kendall-Tau \(b\) test\(_{\text{two-tailed}}\) = 0.318, **\(p < 0.01\) ). On later sampling dates, the mean concentration of ADOM variables decreased (Table 1). Large differences in concentrations were observed between the river sampling point (station 1) and those stations more distant from the river (the more seaward stations). This trend was most distinct in spring, when large river discharges occurred, as compared with late summer, for example, as much as a ~60% difference in DOC concentration was recorded between the river (12.8 mg l\(^{-1}\)) and seaward (5 mg l\(^{-1}\)) stations in May, while the difference was only 25% in August (6.5 mg l\(^{-1}\) at station 3 and 4.7 mg l\(^{-1}\) at more seaward stations). Similar trends were observed for humic substances and TotN (Table 1 and Fig. 4b). TotP concentrations were markedly lower than TotN concentrations (Table 1), no increase was recorded due to elevated river flow in May and mean concentrations remained similar at all sampling events (Table 1 and Fig. 4c). The SPM\(_{\text{organic}}\) and CDOM were relatively stable across the estuary and throughout the season; however, CDOM decreased slightly in August (Table 1). High river discharge was associated with lower pH in the estuary, especially in May (Kendall-Tau \(b\) test\(_{\text{two-tailed}}\) = 0.505, **\(p < 0.01\), Table 1), probably as a consequence of high concentrations of ADOM, containing compounds such as fulvic and humic acids [43]. Temperature showed larger temporal than spatial variation, with the lowest values in May and highest in July (Table 1). Taken together, freshwater inputs were found to have a large influence on the concentrations of ADOM variables in the estuary, especially during the elevated river discharge in May (Table 1).

Relationship Between Bacterial Production and ADOM

ADOM influenced the pelagic BP, as indicated by the PLS model (Fig. 2). The PLS projection showed a two-component distribution containing 67.8% of the cumulative information from the original data. Cross-validation analysis of the PLS model revealed a good fit with measured data (ANOVA: \(p = 2.7 \times 10^{-15}***\)). The ADOM components (DOC, humic substances and CDOM) were situated close to BP in the PLS projection (Fig. 2a) and were positively correlated with BP (PLS \(\rho_{\text{combined}} = -0.67, 0.55\) and 0.58, respectively, Table 2). Organic SPM was poorly correlated to BP (Table 2) and seemed to exert little influence (Fig. 2b). Temperature, pH and TotP were negatively correlated with BP (Table 2 and Fig. 2b). These variables were instead positively correlated with PP (\(p = 0.004, 0.0006\) and 0.052 respectively), which itself was placed opposite BP in the PLS projection, and negatively correlated, \(\rho_{\text{combined}} = -0.44\) (Fig. 2b).

The large majority of the DOC in the studied sea area is of terrestrial origin [2], and the data support our hypothesis that ADOM controls pelagic BP in this subarctic estuary. BP followed the same temporal pattern as DOC and humic substances (Fig. 3a, b), being highest in May and decreasing with declining river discharge (Table 1). The DOC concentration decreased from 7.6 mg l\(^{-1}\) in May to ~5.9 mg l\(^{-1}\) in August, while humic substances, though relatively low in...
concentration, also decreased from ∼62 to ∼40 μg l⁻¹ in August when the river discharges were low. However, PP showed the opposite trend to DOC and humic substances, being lowest in May and highest in August (Fig. 3a). The dominance of BP during the study period, constituting on average 73 % of the basal pelagic production, indicates that the Råne Estuary is a net heterotrophic system (Fig. 3a, d). This dominance of BP could, in principle, be due to both bottom-up and top-down factors, but resource limitation of BP has been shown to overshadow predation-induced limitation in the northern Baltic Sea [51]. Riverine discharge could have controlled the balance of autotrophic
and heterotrophic (bacterial) production, either directly or indirectly. The brown colour of the river water caused shading in the estuarine water, which may have negatively affected the phytoplankton PP. This assumption is supported by the fact that in May and June, when the ADOM river discharge was highest, the BP/PP ratio was higher close to the river than at the more seaward stations (Fig. 4d). In conjunction with the increase in ADOM in the system, it appears that BP is decoupled from PP in the studied estuary.

Many studies have shown that the bioavailability of bulk DOC is low, 14–19 % [44, 56], while autochthonously produced DOC (e.g. sugars, amino acids and proteins) is rapidly consumed by bacteria. Bacteria consuming autochthonous DOC produce relatively large biomasses and have low metabolic costs [11]. Since ADOC generally consists of large and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>PLS correlation coefficient ($\rho_{\text{combined}}$)</th>
<th>Spearman’s correlation coefficient ($\rho$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Humic substances</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.70***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromophoric dissolved organic carbon (CDOM)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.63***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total nitrogen concentration (TotN)</td>
<td>– –</td>
<td>0.65***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved organic carbon (DOC)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.64***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic suspended particulate material (SPM organic)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.36**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total phosphorous concentration (TotP)</td>
<td>–0.18</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>–0.57</td>
<td>–0.43***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary production (PP)</td>
<td>–0.44</td>
<td>–0.52***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>– –</td>
<td>–0.62***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>–0.78</td>
<td>–0.80***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Influence of environmental variables (physicochemical and biological) on bacterial production in the Råne Estuary

Correlation coefficients of the combined effects on bacterial production, calculated using a PLS correlation model ($\rho_{\text{combined}}$) and the individual environmental factor effect on bacterial production, calculated using Spearman’s correlations ($\rho$).

The $p$ values for the Spearman’s correlation were obtained using a two-tailed $t$ test, with level of significance indicated by *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, ***$p<0.001$. Environmental variables excluded from the PLS model are marked with “––” in the table.

Fig. 3 Average BP and PP (a), humic substances and DOC concentrations (b) in surface waters in the Råne Estuary during the period May–August 2011. Error bars denote ±1 standard deviation.
recalcitrant molecules, extracellular enzymatic degradation is needed, increasing the respiration rate and thus losses of carbon as CO$_2$ [18]. Nevertheless, where bioavailable, terrestrial DOC can be an important potential growth substrate for bacteria. Cole and Caraco [15] reported that as much as 70% of bacterial respiration was supported by old ADOM when it was transported along the Hudson River to the sea. Similar results have been reported in different aquatic ecosystems, for example in low-productive lakes [42], in subarctic and alpine lakes in Sweden [39] and in estuaries in Australia [29]. Likewise, Karlsson et al. [39] showed some seasonal effects when bacterioplankton was supported by ADOC, with high bacterial activity reported in spring directly after snow-melt, at peak ADOC concentrations. Similarly, the highest bacterial activity was recorded during early spring in the Råne Estuary (Fig. 3), when DOC concentrations were elevated (12.8 mg/l in the river and 5.0–9.1 mg/l in the estuary). Moreover, BP was positively correlated with DOC ($\rho = 0.64$) and strongly correlated with humic substances ($\rho = 0.70$), suggesting that DOC of terrestrial origin could be used as a carbon and energy source by bacteria, especially in spring when this resource was abundant (Table 2 and Fig. 3).

Although not measured, respiration would have occurred during our sampling, releasing carbon to the atmosphere in the form of carbon dioxide (CO$_2$). In the Råne Estuary, most of the DOC is of terrestrial origin and contains recalcitrant compounds [2, 7]. Bacterial degradation of such substrates would increase the respiration, and the consequent release of CO$_2$ in coastal areas could be of significance for biogeochemical cycles. However, ADOC can also be the main source fuelling bacterial growth, even if a large part of the carbon is lost as CO$_2$ [11, 52]. Different bacterial communities are acclimated to utilize specific substrates [24], and we find it reasonable to assume that the in situ bacterial community was adapted to degrade and utilize terrestrial ADOC as a food source. The Gulf of Bothnia is a low-productive ecosystem, where BP currently serves as a fundamental productive component at the base of the pelagic food web [11, 52]. Our results suggest that an increase in ADOM concentration, as predicted in regional climate models [5], will probably further promote bacteria at the base of the trophic web. This in turn will lead to more heterotrophic-based production, which is less efficient than phytoplankton-based production for the transfer of energy up the food web [35]. The trophic balance between autotrophic and heterotrophic production may thus have implications for the total ecosystem production, including the basal level as well as intermediate and higher trophic levels [12].

A general decrease in DOC and TotN from the river to the seaward stations was recorded on all sampling occasions (Fig. 4a, b). In boreal areas, large amounts of ADOC, with different levels of reactivity, are transported from the land to the sea [50]. Various physicochemical and biological processes influence the ADOM pool, and river mouths where freshwater, replete with ADOM, mixes with marine waters have been suggested as important transformation zones [45]. Processes such as chelation can result in sedimentation of DOM as chemical complexes [46], while molecular tension produced by salinity changes and photo-degradation can make the DOM more available for bacterial consumption [40, 48, 59]. It is, however, clear that bacterial degradation of ADOM...
can also be significant in coastal estuaries [10, 29]. Our data
generally support the suggestions that estuaries are important
processing zones for ADOM; however, clear spatial and tem-
poral variation was observed. The influence of ADOM com-
ponents on BP varied over time (sampling month in Fig. 2a)
and space (station distribution in Fig. 2a). BP was positively
influenced by humic substances, DOC, and CDOM in the
estuary in May, except for the furthest seaward stations 17,
18 and 19 (Fig. 2a). In June and July, a mixed effect of the
physicochemical variables on BP was observed since the sta-
tions dispersed between all variables in the PLS. Despite this,
the stations closest to the river mouth (i.e. stations 1, 2, 4, 5
and 8) were situated close to the ADOM components. In late
summer (August), BP was generally more influenced by pH, PP, TDN and tempera-
ture, suggesting that bacteria were more reliant on autochto-
nously produced carbon (Fig. 2a). In addition to lower flow
rates (Table 1) and thus a less plentiful supply of ADOM
during this period, the river-borne carbon may have been of
lower bioavailability than in early spring [50]. These condi-
tions could drive bacteria to a reliance on autochthonously
produced DOC, switching to an autotrophic-based food web
where competition for nutrients with phytoplankton could reg-
ulate the late-season (August) basal production.

Variation of DOC Availability

Although the highest BP was recorded during the time of peak
levels of DOC and other ADOM components, the DOC avail-
ability was not consistently higher during this time of the year
(compare Figs. 4a and 5a). In general, the DOC availability
showed no specific spatial and temporal trend (Fig. 5a). It
varied from 0 to ~15 %, and the average value during the
entire study season was ~2 %. This is in the lower range of
what has been observed further south in the northern Baltic
Sea, 5–10 % [31, 44, 59], and might be explained by a higher
proportion of relatively refractory ADOM in the northerly
Råne Estuary compared to more southerly study locations
where primary production is higher [5]. Therefore, the high
bacterial production during spring was probably due to the
large freshwater inflow, containing large amount of fresh
ADOM. In fact, the Råne River discharge was fourfold higher
at the time of the May sampling than during the sampling
period in August (Table 1).

An exceptionally high DOC consumption, ~70 %, was
however recorded in the river mouth (the station at 3.6 km)
during the May sampling event. This may be regarded as an
extreme value but is partly supported by an earlier study, in-
dicating that relatively high DOC degradation occurs in the
shallow coastal zone of the northern Baltic Sea [19]. Further-
more, an additional study also showed higher DOC
consumption in the river mouth than in the river during the
spring season (data not shown). The high DOC consumption
at 3.6 km from the river source station (Fig. 5a) may be a result
of exposing concentrated ADOM to other biological and geo-
chemical processes in the mixing zone between river water
and seawater, priming degradation of the ADOM. Despite
the relatively small salinity change, it may be enough for slight
modification of the DOC compounds leading to increased
bioavailability for bacterial consumption [60]. Our results
are in agreement with studies showing that the DOC utiliza-
tion is governed by properties of the carbon sources, which
can be modified by environmental interactions [7, 8, 33, 42].
However, other aspects such as changes in community struc-
ture might further affect the bacterial function and thus ADOM
consumption [24].

Spatial and Temporal Variation of Limiting Substance

The bioassay showed that the growth-limiting substance for
bacteria varied spatially and temporally (Table 3). In May–
July, the inner stations were potentially carbon limited (C),

![Fig. 5 Fraction of bioavailable DOC (a), bacterial growth efficiency (b)
and proportion of DOC fuelling bacterial production in situ (c) measured
at stations along a transect from the river to 10 km offshore in the Råne
Estuary during the period May–August 2011. Error bars are ± standard
deviation](image-url)
which is comparable to previous studies in the Baltic Sea, and

∼

The average bacterial growth efficiency (BGE) was

Contribution of ADOC to Bacterial Production

find it likely that P was the most limiting factor, as has been

assay since a mix of inorganic NP was utilized. However, we

limiting nutrient could not be directly determined in the bio-

the river was not the main supplier of P (Fig. 4c). A specific

strongly correlated with riverine flows, while TotP was not,

season, the TotN concentrations were higher in the river than

high-quality available DOC may not have reached the sea-

ward stations, confirming that the river water was the

major nitrogen source (Fig. 4b). The TotP concentration was

low during the whole productive season, while no significant

trend was observed along the gradient except in June, when

TotP was generally lower at seaward stations (Fig.4c). Wikner

trend was observed along the gradient except in June, when

low during the whole productive season, while no significant

major nitrogen source (Fig.4b). The TotP concentration was

highest, probably boosted, particularly at seaward stations, by

better light climate due to lower ADOC inputs.

TotN concentrations were generally higher in May than
during later sampling events (Fig. 4b). Throughout the study
season, the TotN concentrations were higher in the river than
at the seaward stations, confirming that the river water was the
major nitrogen source (Fig. 4b). The TotP concentration was
low during the whole productive season, while no significant
trend was observed along the gradient except in June, when
TotP was generally lower at seaward stations (Fig. 4c). Wikner
and Andersson [58] showed in a long time series study in the
coastal Bothnian Sea that discharge of total C and N was
strongly correlated with riverine flows, while TotP was not,
as we also observed in the Råne Estuary. Thus, it is likely that
the river was not the main supplier of P (Fig. 4c). A specific
limiting nutrient could not be directly determined in the bio-
assay since a mix of inorganic NP was utilized. However, we
find it likely that P was the most limiting factor, as has been
reported for this sea area in earlier studies [3, 61].

while the more seaward stations tended to be NP limited. In
fact, bacteria can be carbon limited even if the concentration
of DOC is high, all depending on the characteristics of the
DOC pool and the availability of NP nutrients [19, 29, 50].
Since labile DOC was consumed along the river-sea gradient,
high-quality available DOC may not have reached the sea-
ward stations, where bacteria may have been more reliant on
phytoplankton-produced DOC, increasing competition for nu-
trients between bacteria and phytoplankton, as is common in
open sea ecosystems [40]. In line with this, most of the sta-
tions (70 %) were NP limited in August, when the PP was
highest, probably boosted, particularly at seaward stations, by
the better light climate due to lower ADOC inputs.

TotN concentrations were generally higher in May than
during later sampling events (Fig. 4b). Throughout the study
season, the TotN concentrations were higher in the river than
at the seaward stations, confirming that the river water was the
major nitrogen source (Fig. 4b). The TotP concentration was
low during the whole productive season, while no significant
trend was observed along the gradient except in June, when
TotP was generally lower at seaward stations (Fig. 4c). Wikner
and Andersson [58] showed in a long time series study in the
coastal Bothnian Sea that discharge of total C and N was
strongly correlated with riverine flows, while TotP was not,
as we also observed in the Råne Estuary. Thus, it is likely that
the river was not the main supplier of P (Fig. 4c). A specific
limiting nutrient could not be directly determined in the bio-
assay since a mix of inorganic NP was utilized. However, we
find it likely that P was the most limiting factor, as has been
reported for this sea area in earlier studies [3, 61].

**Table 3** Nitrogen/phosphorous (NP) limitation of bacterial growth, analysed by the bioassay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance (km)</th>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Limiting ADOC bacterial consumption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May</td>
<td>June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Cdecrease</td>
<td>Cdecrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Cdecrease</td>
<td>Cdecrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Cdecrease</td>
<td>Cdecrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Cdecrease</td>
<td>NPlimited*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>Cdecrease</td>
<td>NPlimited*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>NPlimited*</td>
<td>NPlimited*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The NP limitation of DOC bacterial consumption was determined by comparing BP using linear mixed model comparison between cultures with and without nutrient addition. The data were examined using ANOVA, with levels of significance indicated by: *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001

while the more seaward stations tended to be NP limited. In
fact, bacteria can be carbon limited even if the concentration
of DOC is high, all depending on the characteristics of the
DOC pool and the availability of NP nutrients [19, 29, 50].
Since labile DOC was consumed along the river-sea gradient,
high-quality available DOC may not have reached the sea-
ward stations, where bacteria may have been more reliant on
phytoplankton-produced DOC, increasing competition for nu-
trients between bacteria and phytoplankton, as is common in
open sea ecosystems [40]. In line with this, most of the sta-
tions (70 %) were NP limited in August, when the PP was
highest, probably boosted, particularly at seaward stations, by
the better light climate due to lower ADOC inputs.

TotN concentrations were generally higher in May than
during later sampling events (Fig. 4b). Throughout the study
season, the TotN concentrations were higher in the river than
at the seaward stations, confirming that the river water was the
major nitrogen source (Fig. 4b). The TotP concentration was
low during the whole productive season, while no significant
trend was observed along the gradient except in June, when
TotP was generally lower at seaward stations (Fig. 4c). Wikner
and Andersson [58] showed in a long time series study in the
coastal Bothnian Sea that discharge of total C and N was
strongly correlated with riverine flows, while TotP was not,
as we also observed in the Råne Estuary. Thus, it is likely that
the river was not the main supplier of P (Fig. 4c). A specific
limiting nutrient could not be directly determined in the bio-
assay since a mix of inorganic NP was utilized. However, we
find it likely that P was the most limiting factor, as has been
reported for this sea area in earlier studies [3, 61].

**Contribution of ADOC to Bacterial Production**

The average bacterial growth efficiency (BGE) was ∼40 %,
which is comparable to previous studies in the Baltic Sea, and
in other waters receiving both autotrophic and allochthon-
ous carbon [9, 20]. However, in our study, the BGE tended to
be negatively related to the DOC consumed (Fig. 5a, b). This
may be explained by a combination of high quantity and low
quality DOC. We did not measure the quality of the DOC;
however, if the DOC contained high molecular-weight
(HMW) compounds, a large amount of carbon would be re-
spired [11]. Considering that 80 % of the ADOM in the
Bothnian Bay originates from the terrestrial system [2], a large
part of the carbon could be lost by respiration thus influenc-
ing CO2 fluxes [7]. This would further explain the uncoupling
or the negative relationship between BGE and DOC con-
sumption [1].

The estimated potential ADOC support for in situ bacterial
production (BPDOC in situ ) showed large temporal and spatial
variations (Fig. 5c), ranging from 0 % to values above 100 %.
The highest values were recorded during late summer, in Au-

...
northern Baltic Sea, suggesting that ADOM could subsequently decrease energy transfer to mesozooplankton, a factor that is of significance in coastal and estuarine zones that are of vital importance to higher organisms such as fish. The results of this study are also important for the understanding of how climate change will affect coastal areas in the northern Europe. Changes in climate are predicted to result in a 15 to 20% increase in runoff to the Baltic Sea by 2100 [47], with the largest changes expected in the northernmost part (the Gulf of Bothnia) due to a large number of in-flowing rivers [25]. Moreover, increases in ADOM discharges are expected as these rivers drain vast and largely forested catchments, further reducing ecosystem production in the northern Baltic Sea [52]. It is clear that pelagic production is highly influenced by ADOM in coastal areas in the northern Baltic Sea; however, further investigations are needed to clarify the knock-on effect of ADOM increases on organisms of higher trophic levels.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our results show that the BP in the studied subarctic estuary is governed by the availability and concentration of ADOM. It is also clear that the nutrient status and bioavailability are of vital importance when defining bacterial carbon utilization, offering important pointers that may control these processes, even under apparently plentiful DOC concentrations. Furthermore, spatial and seasonal environmental variations as well as changes in the function of different bacterial communities may influence the DOC utilization. Prevailing physicochemical conditions, which are influenced by other environmental factors (e.g., flow rates), play a significant role in controlling BP. The dominance of BP in total pelagic production indicates that bacteria support higher trophic levels in this ecosystem. Climate change scenarios show that precipitation and river discharge will increase causing higher inflow of ADOM to the coastal area, which in turn will decrease phytoplankton production and further promote the BP. In this scenario, the dominance of heterotrophic pelagic production could increase the ADOM consumption, potentially increase bacterial respiration levels and thus release more CO2 from coastal estuaries in the Baltic Sea. Food webs would probably be elongated and become less efficient, decreasing productivity at higher trophic levels and decreasing the total productivity of such coastal ecosystems.
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