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Abstract

We compute expectation values of spatial Wilson loops in the forward light cone of high-energy collisions. We consider ensembles of gauge field configurations generated from a classical Gaussian effective action as well as solutions of high-energy renormalization group evolution with fixed and running coupling. The initial fields correspond to a color field condensate exhibiting domain-like structure over distance scales of order the saturation scale. At later times universal scaling emerges at large distances for all ensembles, with a nontrivial critical exponent. Finally, we compare the results for the Wilson loop to the two-point correlator of magnetic fields.

1. Introduction

Heavy ion collisions at high energies involve non-linear dynamics of strong QCD color fields [1]. These soft fields correspond to gluons with light-like momentum fractions \( x \ll 1 \), which can be described in the “Color Glass Condensate” (CGC) framework. Because of the high gluon occupation number the gluon field can be determined from the classical Yang-Mills equations with a static current on the light cone [2]. It consists of gluons with a transverse momentum on the order of the density of valence charges per unit transverse area, \( \mathcal{Q}_s^2 \) [3]. Parametrically, the saturation momentum scale \( \mathcal{Q}_s \) separates the regime of non-linear color field interactions from the perturbative (linear) regime. It is commonly defined using a two-point function of electric Wilson lines, the “dipole scattering amplitude” evaluated in the field of a single hadron or nucleus [4] as described below.

Before the collision the individual fields of projectile and target are two dimensional pure gauges; in light cone gauge,

\[
\alpha^i_m = \frac{i}{g} V_m^i \omega^i_m 
\]

where \( m = 1, 2 \) labels the projectile and target, respectively. Here \( V_m \) are light-like SU\((N_c)\) Wilson lines, which correspond to the eikonal phase of a high energy projectile passing through the classical field shockwave [5,6].

The field in the forward light cone after the collision up to the formation of a thermalized plasma is commonly called the “glasma” [7]. Immediately after the collision longitudinal chromoelectric and magnetic fields \( E_z, B_z \sim 1/m_s \) dominate [7,8]. They fluctuate according to the random local color charge densities of the valence sources. The magnitude of the color charge fluctuations is related to the saturation scale \( Q_s^2 \). The transverse gauge potential at proper time \( \tau \equiv \sqrt{t^2 - z^2} \to 0 \), is given by [9]

\[
A^i = \alpha^i_1 + \alpha^i_2. \tag{2}
\]

Note that while the fields of the individual projectiles \( \alpha^i_m \) are pure gauges, for a non-Abelian gauge theory \( A^i \) is not. Hence, spatial Wilson loops evaluated in the field \( A^i \) are not equal to 1. The field at later times is then obtained from the classical Yang-Mills equations of motion, which can be solved either analytically in an expansion in the field strength [9,10] or numerically on a lattice [11–13]. The Wilson loop, and the magnetic field correlator, provide an explicitly gauge-invariant method to study the nonperturbative dynamics of these fields, complementary to studies of the gluon spectrum [14].

Spatial Wilson loops at very early times \( \tau \) have recently been studied numerically in Ref. [15], using the MV model [7,8], for the colliding color charge sheets. It was observed that the loops effectively satisfy area law scaling for radii \( \lesssim 1/Q_s \), up to a few times this scale. Furthermore, Ref. [16] found that two-point correlators of \( B_z \) over distances \( \lesssim 1/Q_s \) correspond to two dimensional screened propagators with a magnetic screening mass a few
times $Q_s$. This indicates that the initial fields exhibit structure such that magnetic flux does not spread uniformly over the transverse plane (like in a Coulomb phase) but instead is concentrated in small domains.

The present paper extends this previous work as follows. We perform lattice measurements of spatial Wilson loops over a much broader range of radii to analyze their behavior at short ($R \ll 1/Q_s$) and long ($R \gg 1/Q_s$) distances. We also implement the so-called JIMWLK [3,17,18] high-energy functional renormalization group evolution which resums observables to all orders in $\alpha_s \log(1/x)$. High-energy evolution modifies the classical ensemble of gauge field configurations (4), (5) to account for nearly boost invariant quantum fluctuations at rapidities far from the sources. Finally, we also solve the Yang–Mills equations in the forward light cone to study the time evolution of magnetic flux loops.

The calculation of the initial conditions and the numerical solution of the classical boost-invariant Yang–Mills fields in the initial stages of a heavy ion collision have been documented in the references given below, so here we will only describe them very briefly in Section 2 before moving on to show our results in Sections 3 and 4.

2. Lattice implementation

We work on a two dimensional square lattice of $N_s^2$ points with periodic boundary conditions and consider color sources that fill the whole transverse plane. The lattice spacing is denoted as $a$, thus the area of the lattice in physical units is $L^2 = N_s^2 a^2$. The calculations are performed for $N_s = 3$ colors. In this work we only consider symmetric collisions, where the color charges of both colliding nuclei are taken from the same probability distribution.

In this work we compare three different initial conditions for the classical Yang–Mills equations: the classical MV model (5) as well as fixed and running coupling JIMWLK evolution. We define the saturation scale $Q_s(Y)$ at rapidity $Y$ through the expectation value of the dipole operator as

$$\frac{1}{N_c} \langle \text{Tr} V^T(x_T) V(y_T) \rangle_{Y, |x_T - y_T| = \sqrt{2} / Q_s} = e^{-1/2}. \quad (3)$$

Throughout this paper we shall use $Q_s$ defined in this way from the light-like Wilson lines $V(x_T)$ in the fundamental representation. The saturation scale is the only scale in the problem and we attempt to construct the various initial conditions in such a way that the value of $Q_s$ is similar, to ensure a similar dependence on discretization effects.

In the MV model the Wilson lines are obtained from a classical color charge density $\rho$ as

$$V(x_T) = \mathbb{P} \exp \left\{ i \int \! d x^- \, g^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} \rho^a(x_T, x^-) \right\}, \quad (4)$$

where $\mathbb{P}$ denotes path-ordering in $x^-$. The color charge density is a random variable with a local Gaussian probability distribution

$$P[\rho^a] \sim \exp \left\{ - \int \! d^2 x_T \, d x^- \, \frac{\rho^a(x_T, x^-) \rho^a(x_T, x^-)}{2 \mu^2(x^-)} \right\}. \quad (5)$$

The total color charge $\int d x^- \mu^2(x^-) \sim Q_s^2$ is proportional to the thickness of a given nucleus.

In the numerical calculation the MV model initial conditions have been constructed as described in Ref. [13], discretizing the longitudinal coordinate $Y$ in $N_Y = 100$ steps. For the calculations using the MV model directly for the initial conditions (1), (2) we have performed simulations on lattices of two different sizes: $N_s = 1024$, with the MV model color charge parameter $g^2 \mu L = 156$ which translates into $Q_s a = 0.119$; and with $N_s = 2048$, using $g^2 \mu L = 550$, which results in $Q_s a = 0.172$.

The MV model also provides the configurations used as the initial condition for quantum evolution in rapidity via the JIMWLK renormalization group equation, starting at $Y = \log \rho_0 / x = 0$. Performing a step $\Delta Y$ in rapidity opens phase space for radiation of additional gluons which modify the classical action (4), (5). This process can be expressed as a "random walk" in the space of light-like Wilson lines $V(x_T)$ [18–20]:

$$\partial_Y V(x_T) = V(x_T) \frac{i}{\pi} \int \! d^2 u_T \, \frac{\langle \eta \langle \eta \rangle \rangle}{2} \left( \frac{\eta}{(x_T - u_T)^2} - \frac{\eta}{x_T - u_T} \right) V(x_T),$$

where the Gaussian white noise $\eta$ satisfies $\langle \eta^a (x_T) \rangle = 0$ and, for fixed coupling,

$$\langle \eta^a (x_T) \eta^b (y_T) \rangle = \alpha_s \delta^{ab} \delta_{ij} \delta^{(2)}(x_T - y_T).$$

Here the equation is written in the left–right symmetric form introduced in [20,21].

The fixed coupling JIMWLK equation is solved using the numerical method developed in [19,20,22]. For the smaller lattice size $N_s = 1024$ we start with the MV model with $g^2 \mu L = 31$ and without a mass regulator, which corresponds to an initial $Q_s a = 0.0218$. After $\Delta Y = 1.8 / \alpha_s$ units of evolution in rapidity this results in $Q_s a = 0.145$. For an $N_s = 2048$-lattice we again start with $g^2 \mu L = 31$, corresponding to $Q_s a = 0.0107$, and after $\Delta Y = 1.8 / \alpha_s$ units of evolution end up with $Q_s a = 0.141$.

For running coupling the evolution is significantly slower. We use the running coupling prescription introduced in [20], where the scale of the coupling is taken as the momentum conjugate to the distance in the noise correlator in Eq. (7). For the smaller $N_s = 1024$ lattice we again start with $g^2 \mu L = 31$, i.e. $Q_s a = 0.0218$ and evolve for $\Delta Y = 10$ units in rapidity, arriving at $Q_s a = 0.119$. For the larger $N_s = 2048$ lattice we test a configuration that is farther from the IR cutoff, starting the JIMWLK evolution with $g^2 \mu L = 102.4$, i.e. $Q_s a = 0.0423$ and evolve for $\Delta Y = 10$ units in rapidity, arriving at $Q_s a = 0.172$. In the rc-JIMWLK simulations the QCD scale is taken as $\Lambda_{QCD} = 0.00293$ and the coupling is frozen to a value $\alpha_s = 0.76$ in the infrared below 2.5 $\Lambda_{QCD}$.

As already mentioned above, RG evolution in rapidity resums quantum corrections to the fields $a_a^\mu$ of the individual charge sheets to all orders in $\alpha_s \log(1/x)$, with leading logarithmic accuracy. In other words, the effective action at $Y$ is modified from that at $Y = 0$, written in Eq. (5).

Once an ensemble of Wilson lines $V(x_T)$ at a rapidity $Y$ is constructed, separately for both projectile and target, these configurations define $a_1^a$ and $a_2^a$ in light-cone gauge as written in Eq. (1); the initial field $A^i$ of produced soft gluons at proper time $\tau = +0$ corresponds to their sum, Eq. (2). The evolution to $\tau > 0$ follows from the real-time Hamiltonian evolution described in Ref. [11]. This has been used in many classical field calculations.

\footnote{1}{The YM equations are solved in terms of the coordinates $\tau = \sqrt{T^2 - z^2}$, $\eta = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 + \sqrt{z^2 + 1}}{1 - \sqrt{z^2 + 1}}$ and $x_T$; hence $d\tau^2 = d\tau^2 - \tau^2 dz^2 - dx_T^2$.}

\footnote{2}{For fixed coupling the evolution variable is $a_s, y$, so we do not need to specify a particular value of $a_s$ separately.}
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\( W(A) = \frac{1}{N_c} \left\langle \text{Tr} \exp \left( \int_{\partial A} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \right) \right\rangle. \)  

(8)

On the lattice this is easily discretized as the product of link matrices around a square of area \( A \). For \( N_c \geq 3 \) colors any particular Wilson loop is complex but the ensemble average is real.

We have measured the expectation value of the Wilson loop in the glasma field, with different initial conditions and at different times \( Q_s \tau \). The results of the calculation are shown in Fig. 1. As expected, the magnetic flux through a loop generically increases with its area. Focusing first on the curves corresponding to the initial time \( \tau = 0 \) we observe that the resummation of quantum fluctuations (JIMWLK evolution) increases the flux through small loops of area \( AQ_s^2 < 1 \). This can be understood intuitively as due to emission of additional virtual soft gluons in the pure gauge fields of the colliding charge sheets. On the other hand, the flux through large loops, \( AQ_s^2 \gtrsim 2 \), decreases. This indicates uncorrelated fluctuations of magnetic flux over such areas and is consistent with the suggestion that the flux is “bundled” in domains with a typical area \( \sim 1/Q_s^2 \) [15]. Accordingly, loops of area \( \sim 1.5Q_s^2 \) are invariant under high-energy evolution.

Moving on to finite times we see that the flux through loops of fixed area decreases with \( \tau \). This is, of course, a consequence of the decreasing field strength in an expanding metric. For small loops the ordering corresponding to the different initial conditions (MV, rc-JIMWLK, fc-JIMWLK) is preserved even at later times. However, for large loops one observes a striking “universality” emerging at \( Q_s \tau \sim 5 \) as the curves for all initial conditions fall on top of each other.

The data from Fig. 1 shows an approximately linear dependence of \( \ln(-\ln W) \) on \( \ln(AQ_s^2) \), with different slopes in the regime of small \( AQ_s^2 \ll 1 \) vs. large \( AQ_s^2 \gg 1 \). Based on this observation we fit the data to

\[ W(A) = \exp\left[ -(\sigma A)^{\gamma} \right]. \]  

(9)

with separate parametrizations for the IR and UV regimes:

\[ \text{IR: } e^{0.5} < AQ_s^2 < e^5. \]  

(10)

\[ \text{UV: } e^{-3.5} < AQ_s^2 < e^{-0.5}. \]  

(11)

In addition to limiting the fits to the quoted ranges we also restrict them to the region where \( W > 0.01 \) and the statistical error on \( W \) is less than 0.2W; beyond these limits the data exhibits too large fluctuations for a meaningful fit. Figs. 2 and 3 show the time dependence of the exponents \( \gamma \) in the IR and UV regions. The “string tension” \( \sigma \) naturally decreases as \( \sim 1/\tau \) because of the longitudinal expansion of the glasma, which leads to \( B_2 \sim 1/\sqrt{\tau} \). We therefore show, in Figs. 4 and 5, the time dependence of the combination \( \tau \sigma/Q_s \), where this leading effect is scaled out. The values of \( \sigma/Q_s^2 \) for \( Q_s \tau = 0 \) are given in the captions.3

3 For the MV model, at \( \tau = 0 \) we find \( \sigma/Q_s^2 = 0.44 \) in the IR region which is about four times larger than the value reported in Ref. [15]. Our present results refer to \( N_c = 3 \) colors while Ref. [15] considered \( N_c = 2 \); also, our current definition of \( Q_s \), via Eq. (3) leads to smaller values for this quantity than the definition used in [15]. Finally, \( \sigma \) is extracted from fits over a somewhat different range of areas.
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The results in the “UV”-regime probed by Wilson loops of small
area are shown in Figs. 2 and 4. They are easily understood from
the differences in the initial condition. The gluon spectrum in the
MV model falls steeply as a function of kT, leading to a steep
dependence of short-distance correlators on the distance. Our re-
sult for the UV exponent in Fig. 2 is close to the A2-scaling ob-
tained analytically in a weak field expansion [24]. The difference
is probably due to a combination of logarithmic corrections and
lattice UV cutoff effects. For the JIMWLK ensembles the gluon
spectrum is much harder [14], especially for fixed coupling. This
manifests itself in smaller values of both γ and σ. In addition,
the UV exponents are remarkably time independent at Qs τ > 1:
this is consistent with the expectation that at such time the UV
modes can be viewed as noninteracting gluons whose spectrum is
close to the expectation from a perturbative kT-factorized calcula-
We include the factor g2 for convenience, because the quantity that appears
naturally in the classical lattice formulation is actually gB.
5 Recall that B transforms homogeneously. Hence, unlike the links U(xτ ), the
magnetic field Bz(xτ ) can be diagonalized everywhere by a suitable gauge transfor-

The behavior in the IR regime (Figs. 3, 5) probed by large
Wilson loops points to a very different picture. At τ = 0 the ex-
ponents γ and, to a lesser extent, the values of σ depend very much
on the initial conditions. As already alluded to above, the scal-
ing exponents γIR < 1 obtained for the JIMWLK fields indicate that
quantum emissions increase magnetic flux fluctuations at the scale
∼ 1/Qs, much smaller than the area of the loop. It is interesting
to note that for the rather strong fixed-coupling evolution the ini-
tial scaling exponent is not too far above γIR = 1/2 corresponding
to perimeter scaling.

At times Qs τ ≳ 3, however, one observes a remarkable uni-
versality in the IR as the curves corresponding to different initial
conditions collapse onto a single curve in Fig. 1. The string tensions in Fig. 5 are within 10% of each other at late Qs τ, and
the exponents γ in Fig. 3 are very close to each other, with values
around γIR ≈ 1.2 . . . 1.3. The exponent gradually decreases with τ, potentially approaching the area law γ = 1 at late times. The initial
evolution points at a rapid rearrangement of “magnetic hot spots”
to some universal field configurations at later time, Qs τ ≳ 3.

We stress that the universal behavior of large magnetic loops,
characterized by a nontrivial power-law dependence on the loop
area, sets in at rather early time scales of a few times 1/Qs, in-
dependent of initial conditions. Actual area law scaling γ = 1 is
approached only later. This behavior mirrors a similar universal-
arity between MV and JIMWLK results seen in the IR part of
the gluon spectrum (determined from correlators of gauge fixed fields) in Ref. [14]. Since the structure of the fields does not seem to de-
pend on the initial conditions, we infer that this universality is due
to strong interactions in the glasma phase. This universal behavior
of the Wilson loop for different initial conditions at Qs τ ≳ 3 and
AQ2 ≫ 1 is the main result of this paper.

4. Magnetic field correlator

In this section we analyze gauge-invariant two-point magnetic
field correlators of the form

\[
C_B(r) = 2g^2 Tr[B_x(x_\tau) U_{x_\tau-y_\tau} U_{y_\tau}^\dagger B_y(y_\tau) U_{y_\tau-x_\tau}^\dagger],
\]

(12)

The points xτ and yτ are separated in the x or y direction by a
distance r = |xτ − yτ|, and the Wilson line U_{x_\tau-y_\tau} is the ordered
product of links along the straight line separating these points.

The magnetic field
Bz = r^2 Bz^2
on the lattice is defined as the
traceless anti-hermitian part of the plaquette as

\[
gB^2(x_\tau) = 2 Re Tr[r^2 U_{x,y}(x_\tau)],
\]

(13)

where the transverse plaquette is

\[
U_{i,j}(x_\tau) = U_{i}(x_\tau) U_{j}(x_\tau + i\hat{r}) U_{j}^\dagger(x_\tau + j\hat{r}) U_{i}^\dagger(x_\tau).
\]

(14)

Here U_i(x_\tau) denotes the link matrix in the i-direction based at
x_\tau and i, j \hat{r} are unit vectors.

The resulting magnetic field correlator rC_B(r) is plotted in
Fig. 6. We have multiplied by r to better expose the behavior around r ∼ 1/Qs. At the initial time there is a significant anti-
correlation at intermediate distances. It shows the domain struc-
ture of the field such that
Bz
is likely to flip sign5 (or direction) over distances of order 1/Qs. This structure then changes very
rapidly: already at time Qs τ ∼ 2 the fields have rearranged such
that the anti-correlation has disappeared. Also, the subsequent
time evolution results in damping of the fluctuations at Qs τ ≥ 3
which are present in the initial field configurations. On the other
hand, the strong short-distance correlations around the peak are

5 Recall that B transforms homogeneously. Hence, unlike the links U_i(x_\tau), the
magnetic field Bz(x_\tau) can be diagonalized everywhere by a suitable gauge transfor-

Fig. 4. Time dependence of “string tension” coefficient σ fitted to the UV region.
The values of σ/Q2 at τ = 0 are 0.59 [0.57]: 0.55 [0.53] and 0.56 [0.56] for the MV,
rc-JIMWLK and fc-JIMWLK initial conditions respectively on an N_τ = 1024 [N_\tau =
2048] lattice.

Fig. 5. Time dependence of “string tension” coefficient σ fitted to the IR region,
multiplied by τ to separate out the natural σ ∼ 1/τ dependence due to the expan-
sion of the system. The values of σ/Q2 at τ = 0 are 0.43 [0.44]: 0.37 [0.38] and
0.39 [0.40] for the MV, rc-JIMWLK and fc-JIMWLK initial conditions respectively on
an N_\tau = 1024 [N_τ = 2048] lattice.
not affected much by the time evolution beyond $Q_x \tau \sim 2$, aside from a decrease in magnitude. In particular, no “infrared diffusion” of the peak towards larger distances is observed.

Given the clear scaling behavior of the Wilson loop one might expect to see a similar phenomenon for the magnetic field correlator. A very naive scaling argument would assume that if $C(r) \sim r^{-\alpha}$ then the Wilson loop should scale as

$$-\ln W \sim \int \frac{d^2 x_T}{A} \frac{d^2 y_T}{A} C(|x_T - y_T|)$$

$$\sim R^{4-\alpha} \sim A^{4-\alpha} = A' r.$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (15)

The area integrals in the first line extend over $|x_T|, |y_T| < R$. Thus, $\gamma = 1.225$ extracted from the Wilson loop at $Q_x \tau = 10$ would give $C_B(r) \sim r^{-1.55}$. On a logarithmic scale $C_B(r)$ does indeed qualitatively resemble such behavior as shown in Fig. 7. However, this kind of scaling is less conclusive than for the Wilson loop (see also Appendix A); this could be an indication for the presence of higher cumulants in the expansion of the spatial Wilson loop [26].

5. Summary

In this paper we have provided some insight into the fields produced initially in a high-energy collision of dense color charge sheets. We have focused, in particular, on the structure of the longitudinal magnetic field $B_z$.

We consider both purely classical as well as JIMWLK RG evolved gauge field ensembles on which we measure expectation values of spatial Wilson loops and two-point correlation functions of $B_z$. These show that the initial fields exhibit domain-like structure over distance scales of the order of the inverse saturation scale $1/Q_s$. Classical YM evolution to later times leads to universal scaling, for all ensembles, of the magnetic loop with area, with a nontrivial critical exponent. Also, the anti-correlation of $B_z(x_T)$ over distances $\sim 1/Q_s$ disappears, which we interpret as rearrangement, possibly accompanied by transverse expansion, of the magnetic field domains.

The emergence of a color field condensate in high-energy collisions of dense hadrons or nuclei is a very interesting phenomenon, and its dynamics remains to be understood in more detail. In closing we only draw attention to recent arguments that the presence of such a condensate might have important implications for the process of (pre-)thermalization in high multiplicity collisions [27].
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Appendix A. Relation between the Wilson loop and magnetic field correlator

In an Abelian theory there is a simple relation between the Wilson loop and the magnetic field due to Stokes’ theorem:

$$\oint_{\partial A} d\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \int_{A} d^2 x B_z(x).$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (A.1)

If we assume that in the non-Abelian case the magnetic field in each color channel $a$ is independent, and that it consists of uncorrelated domains which are much smaller than the area $A$ and distributed as Gaussian random variables, we obtain the following estimate for the Wilson loop:

$$\frac{1}{N_c} \text{Tr} \exp \left\{ ig \oint_{\partial A} d\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{A} \right\} \approx \exp \left\{ -\frac{g^2}{2N_c} \left[ \text{Tr} \left( \int_{A} d^2 x B_z(x) \right)^2 \right] \right\}$$

$$= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4N_c} \int_{A} d^2 x d^2 y C_B(x-y) \right\}. $$ \hspace{0.5cm} (A.2)

In Fig. 8 we compare the result of a numerical integration of the r.h.s. of Eq. (A.2) using the measured magnetic field correlator, to the direct measurement of the Wilson loop. It can be seen that the two are in a relatively good agreement. This consistency check supports the interpretation of $B_z$ as independent field domains of area $\sim 1/Q_s^2$. 

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig6.png}
\caption{Magnetic field correlator $C_B(r)$ at $Q_x \tau = 10$ on a 1024$^2$-lattice. The line corresponds to $\sim r^{-\alpha}$ with the exponent $\alpha = 4 - 2\gamma = 1.55$ extracted in the previous section from the fit of $\gamma$ to the Wilson loop.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig7.png}
\caption{Magnetic field correlator on a 1024$^2$-lattice at $Q_x \tau = 2$ (x 20) and $Q_x \tau = 10$ (x 100).}
\end{figure}
Fig. 8. Direct measurement of the Wilson loop (points) compared to an approximation in terms of the Gaussian cumulant, Eq. (A.2), which reconstructs it from the magnetic field correlator (lines).

References