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A B S T R A C T

Background: Differential diagnosis between bipolar disorder (BD) and borderline personality disorder (BPD) is often challenging due to some overlap in symptoms and comorbidity of disorders. We investigated correlations in self-reported symptoms of BD and BPD in screening questionnaires at the levels of both total scores and individual items and explored overlapping dimensions.

Methods: The McLean Screening Instrument (MSI) for BD and the Mood Disorder Questionnaire (MDQ) for BD were filled in by patients with unipolar and bipolar mood disorders (n = 313) from specialized psychiatric care within a pilot study of the Helsinki University Psychiatric Consortium. Pearson’s correlation coefficients between total scores and individual items of the MSI and the MDQ were estimated. Relationships between MDQ and MSI were evaluated by exploratory factor analysis (EFA). Results: The correlation between total scores of the MDQ and MSI was moderate (r = 0.431, P < 0.001). Significant correlations were found between the MSI items of “impulsivity” and “mood instability” and all MDQ items (P < 0.01). In the EFA, the MSI “impulsivity” and “mood instability” items had significant cross-loadings (0.348 and 0.298, respectively) with the MDQ factor. The MDQ items of “flight of thoughts” and “distractibility” had significant cross-loadings (0.280, 0.210 and 0.386, respectively). Cross-loadings on the MSI factor. Conclusions: The MDQ and MSI items of “affective instability”, “impulsivity”, “irritability”, “flight of thoughts” and “distractibility” appear to overlap in content. The other scale items are more disorder-specific, and thus, may help to distinguish BD and BPD.

© 2015 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Borderline personality disorder (BPD) is often comorbid with mood disorders and shares some phenomenological features with them, particularly with bipolar disorder (BD) [3]. This has resulted in numerous discussions about relationship of the BPD with BD, some authors even suggesting than BPD should be considered as a part of the bipolar spectrum disorders [1], others emphasizing differences between them [24]. Some recent studies have indicated partial overlap in pathogenetic mechanisms and genetics of the disorders, although clear distinctions have also been found [6,31,32,34]. Phenomenological and neurobiological overlap may underlie common difficulties in differential diagnosis between BPD and BD. However, because of notable differences in their treatment [24], it is important to distinguish the two disorders in psychiatric and other clinical settings.

Numerous previous studies have found BD to be widely under-recognized [11,17,25], or recognized only after a long delay [17]. The same may be also true for BPD [19]. However, as BD has received increasing clinical recognition and attention in recent years, some reports have implied that BD also may also become overdiagnosed at times and, moreover, patients misdiagnosed with BD may be significantly more likely to be later diagnosed with BPD [38–40]. There is a possibility of overdiagnosis of BPD, too.

In the absence of widely approved biomarkers specific for each disorder, the diagnoses of BD and BPD remain clinical [18]. The systematic use of screening tests and structured clinical interviews may considerably improve detection of disorders in clinical psychiatry [29]. The McLean Screening Instrument (MSI) for BPDs...
and the Mood Disorder Questionnaire (MDQ) for BD are useful and valid screening instruments used in psychiatric settings to improve recognition of these disorders. Both are based on self-reported symptoms [11,12,36].

In this study, we aimed to investigate the correlation between the MSI and the MDQ at the levels of both total scores and individual items, and explore overlapping and non-overlapping self-reported items of BD and BPD.

2. Methods

2.1. The Helsinki University Psychiatric Consortium (HUPC)

This investigation is a part of the Helsinki University Psychiatric Consortium (HUPC) pilot study, a collaborative research project between the faculty of medicine of the university of Helsinki; the department of mental health and substance abuse services of the National institute for health and welfare; the Department of social services and health care, city of Helsinki; and the department of psychiatry, university of Helsinki and Helsinki university hospital. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics committee of Helsinki university central hospital.

2.2. Setting

The study was conducted in 10 community mental health centres, three psychiatric inpatient units and one day-hospital offering specialized secondary public mental health services in the metropolitan area of Helsinki between 12.1.2011 and 20.12.2012.

2.3. Sampling

Inclusion criteria for participation in the pilot study were patients’ age of over 18 years and provision of informed consent. Patients with mental retardation, neurodegenerative disorders and insufficient Finnish language skills were excluded. Stratified patient sampling selection was performed by identifying all patients within a certain day or week in a unit or by randomly drawing eligible patients from patient lists. Patients treated for psychotic disorders, neuropsychiatric disorders and substance use disorders were excluded from our study. Of the 902 eligible patients with mood, neurotic or personality disorders, 372 declined to participate and 216 were lost for other reasons.

2.4. Clinical diagnoses

The validity of the clinical diagnoses assigned by the attending physicians was critically evaluated by the authors (I.B., K.A., M.K., B.K.) by re-examining all available information from the patient records. Authors K.A., I.B. and B.K. were residents of psychiatry trained in diagnostic evaluations; in any unclear cases, the senior psychiatrists (M.K., E.L., G.J., M.H.) were consulted. The validated clinical diagnoses were based on the ICD-10-DCR [35]. Lifetime principal diagnosis was assigned. Although there is no division of BD into types I (BD-I) and II (BD-II) in the ICD-10, we subtyped patients into these categories according to the DSM-IV [2]. This distinction is established clinical practice in Finland and included in the national BD treatment guidelines.

2.5. Description of patients

Altogether 313 patients participated in the study. Their mean age was 41.7 ± 13.1 years, and 229 (73.1%) were female. All patients were allocated into groups according to the lifetime principal diagnosis; (see Table 1). Patients comprised those with depressive episode (F32-F33; future unipolar depression [UD]) [n = 183; mean age 41.4 ± 13.3 years], bipolar affective disorder (F31; [n = 99, mean age 43.7 ± 12.7 years]) and others (n = 31, mean age 36.2 ± 13 years). Among patients with BD, 36 (36.3%) had type I (55.5%) type II and 8 (8%) unspecified type. Fifteen patients with neuropsychiatric and somatoform disorders, four patients with eating disorders, five patients with dysthymia and seven patients with BPD as lifetime principal diagnosis formed the group “others”. There were 65 patients with BPD among all patients, including patients with BPD as lifetime principal diagnosis and as comorbid. Their mean age was 37.5 ± 13 years.

The analysis of representativeness was undertaken by comparing patients suffering from UD or BD in the HUPC with patients with the same diagnoses treated in 2011 and 2012 in psychiatric care organizations. No significant differences emerged in sex and age between these two groups (data not shown).

2.6. Mood Disorder Questionnaire (MDQ)

The MDQ is a brief self-report instrument for screening symptoms or behaviours related to a manic or hypomanic syndrome [12], and it has been translated into Finnish [13]. The first part of the MDQ includes 13 items requiring a “yes/no”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Characteristics of MDQ and MSI responders (n = 313).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean)</td>
<td>43.7 ± 12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPD</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male)</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital state</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohabitation</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmarried</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired due to mental disorder</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sick leave</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired due to another reason</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed due to another reason</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BD: bipolar disorder; UD: unipolar depression; BPD: borderline personality; MDQ: Mood Disorder Questionnaire; MSI: McLean Screening Instrument.
response. The second part of the questionnaire inquires whether several of these symptoms have been experienced during the same time period, and the third part asks about the severity of the resulting problems. The screening is regarded as positive when seven or more symptoms have occurred within the same episode, causing moderate to severe problems. In the correlation analysis only the first question’s responses were used; Cronbach’s alpha for them was 0.89, indicating excellent internal consistency.

2.7. McLean Screening Instrument (MSI)

The MSI is a ten-item questionnaire designed to screen for BPD [36]; it has been translated into Finnish [19]. Each item requires a “yes/no” response. Each positive item indicates the presence of BPD symptoms. Previous research has suggested that a useful clinical cut-off score in predicting BPD among adults is seven or more. Cronbach’s alpha for MSI was 0.747, indicating a good internal consistency.

2.8. Statistical analysis

Analyses were performed using the Mplus 7.1 software [21]. Because the items were categorical, the WLSMV estimator was used to estimate the models, and the model is effectively an item-response theory (IRT) model with two factors (MDQ and MSI). Potential cross-loadings were examined by looking at model Modification Indexes, which are used to identify structural misspecifications in the model. The correlation from 0.8 to 1 was considered as “very strong”, from 0.6 to 0.79 as “strong”, from 0.40–0.59 as “moderate”, from 0.20–0.39 as “weak” and less than 0.2 as “very weak” [8].

### Table 2

Results MDQ and MSI questionnaires in patients ($n = 313$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BD-I</th>
<th>BD-II</th>
<th>BD unspecified</th>
<th>UD</th>
<th>Others</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MDQ mean</td>
<td>10.4 ± 2.9</td>
<td>9.9 ± 3.3</td>
<td>10 ± 2.7</td>
<td>4.9 ± 3.7</td>
<td>4.9 ± 4.4</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDQ positive, n (%)</td>
<td>51 ± 2.6</td>
<td>63 ± 2.3</td>
<td>76 ± 2.7</td>
<td>5.5 ± 2.7</td>
<td>5.2 ± 2.5</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDQ negative, n (%)</td>
<td>27 (75)</td>
<td>34 (62)</td>
<td>7 (88)</td>
<td>34 (19)</td>
<td>7 (23)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDQ positive, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (25)</td>
<td>21 (38)</td>
<td>1 (12)</td>
<td>149 (81)</td>
<td>24 (77)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDQ negative, n (%)</td>
<td>12 (31)</td>
<td>27 (49)</td>
<td>6 (75)</td>
<td>74 (39)</td>
<td>9 (5)</td>
<td>0.090</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDQ</th>
<th>MSI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Troubled relationships</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicidal behaviour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impulsivity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood instability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased anger</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distrustfulness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissociative symptoms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emptiness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identity disturbance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear of abandonment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. Results

3.1. MDQ and MSI scores

The BD patients scored significantly higher on the MDQ and fell more often into MDQ positive category than their UD and “others” counterparts (see Table 2).

3.2. Correlation analysis

A moderate statistically significant correlation ($r = 0.431$, $P < 0.001$) was found between the MDQ and MSI total scores. Item-by-item correlations are shown in Table 3. More specifically, the MDQ items of “impulsivity” and “mood instability” correlated significantly and coherently with all MDQ items, with $r$ coefficients ranging from 0.263 to 0.397.

3.3. Factor analysis

In the two-factor IRT model, all MDQ and MSI items predictably loaded on their respective factors ($all P < 0.01$). Based on Modification Indexes, two MDQ items had significant cross-loadings on the MDQ factor ($both P < 0.01$): “impulsivity” and “mood instability.” Three MDQ items in turn had significant cross-loadings with the MSI factor ($all P < 0.01$): “irritability”, “flight of thoughts” and “distractibility” (Fig. 1).

3.4. Correlation analysis in diagnostic subgroups

The correlation between the MDQ and MSI emerged independently of the diagnosis and more prominently for patients with

---

**Table 3**

Item-by-item correlations between the MDQ and MSI in patients (n = 313).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDQ</th>
<th>MSI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elevated mood</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irritability</td>
<td>0.281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased self-confidence</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased need for sleep</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talkativeness</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight of thoughts</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distractibility</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased energy</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased activity</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased sociality</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased sexuality</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High risk behaviour</td>
<td>0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money spending</td>
<td>0.188</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MDQ: Mood Disorder Questionnaire; MSI: McLean Screening Instrument.

$P < 0.05$.

$P < 0.005$. 

---
BPD and BD than for others. $r$ values between total scores of MSI and MDQ and details of item-by-item analysis are shown in Table 4.

4. Discussion

We found a moderate correlation between total scores of self-reported symptoms of bipolar disorder (BD) on the Mood Disorder Questionnaire (MDQ) and borderline personality disorder (BPD) on the McLean Screening Instrument (MSI) among patients with mood disorders treated in psychiatric specialized units. In the item-by-item analysis, the most consistent correlation emerged between the MSI items of “mood instability” and “impulsivity” and all MDQ items. In the factor analysis, the items of “flight of thoughts”, “distoractibility” and “irritability” appeared to overlap.

To our knowledge, this is the first study on the phenomenological overlap between BP and BPD based on the self-report screening instruments MSI and MDQ. Strengths of our study included the relatively large number of patients and the representativeness of mood disorder patients recruited from specialized psychiatric care. However, there were also several limitations. First, the response
rate was 35%, likely due to the survey being conducted within routine service facilities. Nevertheless, the analysis of representa-
tiveness indicated no significant differences in terms of age or sex
between our cohort and the whole population of patients treated in
the years 2011 and 2012. Second, the clinical diagnoses were not
verified with structured clinical diagnostic interview instruments.
However, all patients had been diagnosed with mood disorders in
psychiatric settings specialized in their treatment, and all available
relevant diagnostic information on each patient was re-evaluated
by the authors. Moreover, the focus of this study was in responses
to screens, not diagnoses per se.

Problematic boundaries between BD and BPD as well as
difficulties in their differential diagnostics have been topics of
numerous discussions for a long time [1,16,23,24,37]. The moder-
ate correlation between the total scores of the screening
instruments MDQ and MSI indicates partial similarity in self-
reported features of BD and BPD. In the item-by-item analysis, the
MSI items of “mood instability” and “impulsivity” correlated
consistently with all MDQ items. Furthermore, for patients with
both BPD and BD, particularly BD type II, the correlation was shown
to be stronger than for other groups.

Mood instability and impulsivity are core features of BPD, but
both can also be observed in patients with BD [3,5,9,22,27]. Some
experts have postulated cyclothymic affective temperament to
underlie disorders of emotional regulation in both BPD and BD
[25,28]. Nevertheless, some distinctions in the nature of “affective
instability” and “impulsivity” in BD and BPD have been described
[4,9,10,14,15,22]. Our study indicates that the symptomatic
overlap between BD and BPD in “impulsivity” and “mood
instability” is also revealed in self-reports. Consequently, patients
with self-reported “impulsivity” and “mood instability” may score
higher on the MDQ even in the absence of bipolarity. On the other
hand, patients with a history of hypomania may score higher on
the MSI, leading to false interpretation of BPD.

Factor analysis revealed significant cross-loadings with five
items (see Fig. 1). As a result, a patient scoring high on the MDQ may
also score high on the MSI, probably due to difficulties in
distinguishing from the hypomania items of “irritability”, “flight of
thoughts” and “distractibility”. Correspondingly, patients scoring
high on the MDQ may have increased MSI scores due to
misinterpretation of the MSI items of “mood instability” and
“impulsivity”. Thus, MSI and MDQ scores associate with each other
due to these symptoms, which may easily lead into erroneous
diagnostic conclusions, unless both aspects of psychopathology are
carefully evaluated.

The use of these screening instruments is based on the patient’s
own estimation of the presence or absence of symptoms. Several
factors may influence patients’ ability to answer to the scales
questions, including well described impairments in social
understanding and autobiographical memory disruptions
[7,33] and current mood, among others. Our results indicate an
overlap in the self-reported features of “flight of thoughts”,
“distractibility” and “irritability” on the MDQ and “mood
instability” and “impulsivity” on the MSI. Despite this overlap,
the majority of the other items on both questionnaires involve
specific features of each disorder, which can help to distinguish
between them.

Both the MDQ and MSI were created to improve recognition of
a specific disorder. When interpreting the results of screening it is
likely useful to evaluate how patient’s answers are distributed
between overlapping and non-overlapping items of the MDQ and
MSI. Even more important clinically is not to limit evaluation only
on one specific diagnostic tool, but to consider alternative
explanations for apparent psychopathology, and to conduct
careful, comprehensive clinical interviews to differentiate between
BPD and BD, or to ascertain their concurrent presence.

5. Conclusions

The MDQ and MSI measure partly the same dimensions in
patients with mood disorders. The self-reported symptoms of
“affective instability”, “impulsivity”, “irritability”, “flight of thoughts”
and “distractibility” are shared and may lead to misinterpretations of
The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest concerning this article.

**References**


