The effect of Vasohibin-1 expression and tumor-associated macrophages on the angiogenesis in vitro and in vivo
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Abstract Vasohibin-1 is an intrinsic inhibitor of angiogenesis induced by VEGF-A. However, there little is known about the relationship between Vasohibin-1 expression, angiogenesis, and tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs). Vasohibin-1 expression, VEGF-A expression, microvessel density (MVD) marked with CD34, and density of cells marked with CD68 were measured in 111 paraffin-embedded tissues of gastric cancer by immunohistochemistry. The length of tube forming structures of endothelial cells and mobility rate of gastric cancer cells in Matrigel were tested by three-dimensional live cell imaging system. The effect of TAMs on the tumor growth, MVD, and Vasohibin-1 expression was measured by nude mice tumor genesis assay in vivo. We found that high Vasohibin-1 protein expression correlated significantly with worse TNM stage ($P=0.002$), metastatic lymph node ($P=0.014$), distant metastasis ($P=0.022$), overall survival ($P<0.001$), and progression-free survival ($P<0.001$) compared to those with low Vasohibin-1 expression. Vasohibin-1 protein expression had statistical correlation with the MVD ($r=0.860$, $P<0.001$), density of CD68+ cells ($r=0.882$, $P<0.001$), and VEGF-A expression ($r=0.719$, $P<0.001$) in the gastric cancer tissues. Decreasing Vasohibin-1 expression with siRNA increased the length of tube forming structures of endothelial cells in co-culture with endothelial cells (EA-hy923), macrophages, and gastric cancers (Hs746T). Tumor volume ($P=0.001$), Vasohibin-1 ($P<0.001$), and VEGF-A ($P<0.001$) expression in mice inoculated with AGS and THP (10:1) was significantly higher than that with AGS alone ($P=0.001$). Vasohibin-1 protein expression had statistical correlation with VEGF expression ($r=0.786$, $P<0.001$) and MVD ($r=0.496$, $P=0.014$) in gastric xenografted tumor. Therefore, Vasohibin-1 might be a potential marker of worse prognosis and therapeutic target in gastric cancer. Vasohibin-1 might play an important role in the process of angiogenesis regulated by TAMs.
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Introduction

Angiogenesis, also called neovascularization, is a fundamental process of blood vessel growth and a hallmark of cancer development [1]. The angiogenesis is the balance of activities of angiogenesis stimulators and inhibitors [2, 3]; Vasohibin-1 was originally identified as an endothelium-derived VEGF-inducible angiogenesis inhibitor that acts in a negative feedback manner [4]. The expression of Vasohibin-1 has been shown in endothelial cells in both physiological and pathological conditions including cancer associated with angiogenesis.
Vasohibin-1 is not only expressed in endothelial cells but also other cell types, like hematopoietic cells [8] and cancer cells [9]. In 2012, we firstly reported the Vasohibin-1 could express in gastric cancer cells and could be regulated by tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) [10]. TAMs has been shown to play a critical role in contributing to tumor angiogenesis by regulating the balance of proangiogenic and antiangiogenic factors [11–13]. However, there is little known about the relationship between Vasohibin-1 expression, angiogenesis, and TAMs by now, except of our previous report.

In this study, we investigated the Vasohibin-1, VEGF-A expression, microvessel density (MVD) labeled by CD34, and density of TAMs labeled by CD68 by immunohistochemistry in gastric cancer tissues. The relationship between the Vasohibin-1 expression, MVD, and density of TAMs was analyzed. The effect of Vasohibin-1 expression and TAMs on the angiogenesis was analyzed by measuring length of tube forming structures of endothelial cells in vitro under three-dimensional (3D) live cell imaging system and nude mouse tumorigenicity assay in vivo. We hope this study might throw more information about the regulation of Vasohibin-1 expression and angiogenesis induced by TAMs in gastric cancer.

**Materials and methods**

**Samples**

Samples of the gastric carcinoma tissue were obtained from 111 consecutive patients with gastric cancer who had undergone tumor resection at the Department of Gastroenterological Surgery at Peking University People’s Hospital between January 2005 and July 2006. Informed consent was obtained from each patient who participated the study. The specimens were fixed in formalin in 5 min after surgical resection, and then embedded with paraffin until used. Clinicopathological data are shown in Table 1. Cancer staging relies on the 7th TNM system designed by the American Joint Committee on Cancer (Supplementary Table 1). The study obtained the approval of the Institutional Review Board and through the ethic committee in the locality.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Envision method was used to perform immunohistochemical staining for Vasohibin-1, VEGF-A, CD34, and CD68 in gastric cancer tissues. Specimens had been fixed in 10 % formalin, embedded in paraffin, cut into 4 μm thick sections, and placed on the glue-coated glass slides. Sections were deparaffinized in xylene and hydrated with graded alcohols and distilled water. To retrieve the immunoreactivity, tissue sections were boiled in citrate buffer (pH 6.0) for 30 min and VEGF-A in 10 mM EDTA (pH 9.0) for Vasohibin-1 and CD68 for 20 min at above 95 °C. Mouse polyclonal Vasohibin-1 antibody, mouse monoclonal VEGF-A antibody, rabbit polyclonal CD34 antibody, and rabbit monoclonal CD68 antibody were added to adjacent tissue sections, respectively, and incubated overnight at 4 °C. The primary antibodies were used as follows: anti-human Vasohibin-1 polyclonal antibody (sc-365541, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Europ) and anti-CD34 (ab27448, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) both diluted at 1:100, anti-CD68 (ab955, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) diluted at 1:200, anti-VEGF-A (ab105219, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) diluted at 1:50. Horseradish peroxidase conjugated second antibody was added to the sections and incubated at room temperature for 20 min. DAB was used for the color reaction according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and then the slides were counterstained with hematoxylin. The tissue sections were washed with phosphate buffer solution (PBS) (0.01 M, pH 7.4) between each step. Positive and negative controls were simultaneously used to ensure the specificity and reliability of staining. As a positive control, tissue sections from endometrial carcinoma were used. Sections were processed with 0.01 M PBS instead of the primary antibody, which was used as negative control. The positive result showed yellow or brown coloration in cytoplasm and/or plasma membranes.

**Microvessel density counting**

Microvessels marked by CD34 were counted. The areas with the greatest number of distinctly highlighted microvessels were selected. Any cell clusters with CD34-positive signals were regarded as a single countable microvessel, regardless of whether the lumen was visible. Unstained lumina were considered artifacts, even if they contained blood or tumor cells.
The results of MVD were expressed as the highest number of capillaries and small venules identified within any single ×200 field (×20 objective and ×10 ocular, 0.785 mm² per field) in gastric cancer tissues [15] and ×400 (×40 objective and ×10 ocular, 0.785 mm² per field) field in the xenografts of nude mice, in accordance with an original method.

**Density of CD68+ cells**

A single pathologist, who was blinded to the clinical assessments of each case, scored the cases by counting the number of CD68+ cells (macrophage marker) in six independent fields under a ×200 magnification. CD68+ cell counts were expressed as the mean±standard deviation.

**Isolation of monocytes and macrophages**

Mononuclear cells were isolated from healthy subjects blood with density gradient centrifugation (Ficoll-Paque, Amersham, Uppsala, Sweden). The cells were washed with PBS+10 % acid citrate dextrose (ACD) solution two times. The cells were counted and 1.4×10⁶ cells were placed on Matrigel (Matrigel, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) covered cover slip (Nalge Nunc International Corporation, Naperville, German). The isolated cells were grown in serum-free medium designed for macrophages (Macrophage serum-free medium, Gibco, Paislay, UK) with granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF, 10 ng/mL, Immuno Tools, Oldenburg, Germany), antibiotics, and 5 % CO₂ at 37 °C. Monocytes adhered to the Matrigel overnight and differentiated to macrophages after 6 days and then used for experiments. When co-cultured with cancer cells, macrophages developed into TAMs with special surface marker, CD206, CD14+ [16]. After co-culturing with gastric cancer cells, the portion of CD206+,CD14+ positive macrophages reached more than 80 % measured with flow cytometry.

**Cells culture**

AGS cell line (CRL-1739) was purchased from ATCC (American Type Culture Collection). The cells were cultured in Ham’s F12K medium with 2 mM L-glutamine adjusted to contain 1.5 g/L sodium bicarbonate, 10 % fetal bovine serum (FBS),

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expression of Vasohibin-1 [number of patients (row %)]</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>81</th>
<th>51 (63.0 %)</th>
<th>30 (37.0 %)</th>
<th>0.357</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16 (53.3 %)</td>
<td>14 (46.7 %)</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤60 years</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>27 (58.7 %)</td>
<td>19 (41.3 %)</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;60 years</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>40 (61.5 %)</td>
<td>25 (38.5 %)</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tumor differentiation</td>
<td>0.577</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poorly</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>35 (61.4 %)</td>
<td>22 (38.6 %)</td>
<td>0.577</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderately</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>24 (55.8 %)</td>
<td>19 (44.2 %)</td>
<td>0.577</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Well</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8 (72.7 %)</td>
<td>3 (27.3 %)</td>
<td>0.577</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tumor invasion depth</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1-T2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>28 (82.4 %)</td>
<td>6 (17.6 %)</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T3-T4</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>39 (50.6 %)</td>
<td>38 (49.4 %)</td>
<td>0.014*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lymph node status</td>
<td>0.014*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>27 (77.1 %)</td>
<td>8 (22.9 %)</td>
<td>0.022*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>40 (52.6 %)</td>
<td>36 (47.4 %)</td>
<td>0.022*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distant metastasis</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>61 (64.9 %)</td>
<td>33 (35.1 %)</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6 (35.3 %)</td>
<td>11 (64.7 %)</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TNM stage</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I-II</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>31 (79.5 %)</td>
<td>8 (20.5 %)</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III-IV</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>36 (50 %)</td>
<td>36 (50 %)</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at the p<0.05 level. Pearson’s Chi-Square test was used.

---

Table 1 Correlation between Vasohibin-1 expression and clinicopathologic factors
and antibiotics (100 μg/mL penicillin and 100 U/mL streptomycin).

Hs 746T (HTB-135) cell line was purchased from ATCC. Cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) with 4 mM L-glutamine adjusted to contain 1.5 g/L bicarbonate, 4.5 g/L glucose, 10 % FBS, and antibiotics (100 μg/mL penicillin and 100 U/mL streptomycin).

EA.hy926 cell line was the human umbilical vein cell line and purchased from ATCC. Cells were cultured in DMEM with 10 % FBS and antibiotics (100 μg/mL penicillin and 100 U/mL streptomycin).

THP cell line derived from the monocytes from the human perineal blood and purchased from ATCC. Cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium, with 2-mercaptoethanol to a final concentration of 0.05 mM added, 10 % FBS and antibiotics (100 μg/mL penicillin and 100 U/mL streptomycin).

All cell lines were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere with 5 % CO₂.

Invasion and migration assay (3D dynamic migration imaging system)

Cells were grown on Matrigel-covered cover slip wells with serum-free medium designed for macrophages. Gastric cancer cells were grown either alone or with differentiated macrophages and/or EA.hy926 cells on Matrigel under normal oxygenic condition. siRNA transfection kit (sc-45064, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, USA) was used to down regulate the Vasohibin-1 mRNA expression. Gastric cancer cells were stained with fluorescent dye (CellTracker green CMFDA, Invitrogen, Eugene, OR) before imaging. During the invasion phase, the cancer cells invaded in Matrigel were imaged by 3D dynamic migration imaging system (Olympus AX70 Research System microscope, Japan). The average migration speed was calculated from the cells which could be tracked at least for 6 hours in one z-plane (ImagePro Plus, Media Cybernetics, Bethesda, MD).

The length of tube forming structures of endothelial cells in vitro

The endothelial cells were cultured in the Matrigel alone or with FBS+EGF, or with macrophages, or with Hs 746T cells, or with macrophages+Hs 746T fetal bovine serum (5 %, FBS) and EGF (10 ng/mL) were used as a positive control to stimulate tube formation. siRNA technique was used to decrease Vasohibin-1 expression (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) in cancer cells and non-targeting (NT) siRNA was used as negative control. The endothelial cells were colored with fluorescent dye (CellTracker green CMFDA, Invitrogen, Eugene, OR) before imaging. The movement of cells in Matrigel was imaged by 3D dynamic migration imaging system. We have manually searched for cell structures extending two elongated cells in length and having two to three cells side by side or over each other in the other direction along the diameter of a tube to be formed. The length of the elongated cells was measured manually, thereby analyzing length of possible tube forming structures. The analysis was done with ImagePro 7.01.

In vivo tumor growth assay

BALB/c female nude mice (4 weeks old) were purchased from Beijing Vital River Laboratories (China). These mice were maintained in a specific pathogen-free environment in the Experimental Animal Center of Peking University People’s Hospital. The animal experiments were approved and reviewed by the Animal Research Committee of the Peking University People’s Hospital. Care and handling of the animals were in accordance with the guidelines for Institutional and Animal Care and Use Committees.

Mice were randomly divided into four groups (AGS, AGS+THP (5:1), AGS+THP (10:1), and AGS+THP (20:1)) with six mice in each group. The concentration of basic solution of AGS and THP was 2×10⁷ cells/mL and 4×10⁶ cells/mL, respectively. The cells were mixed according to the percentage above. Cell solution (0.2 mL) was injected subcutaneously into mice. Tumor volumes were measured every 7 days and calculated according to the formula V = 0.5×L (length)×W² (width). At 21 days after the cell inoculation, mice were sacrificed and tumors were excised to measure the volume and weight. The expression of Vasohibin-1, VEGF-A, and CD34 was detected by immunohistochemistry.

Definition of overall survival and progression-free survival

Overall survival (OS) was calculated from the time of surgery to last follow-up or date of death. For patients who recurred or metastasized, progression-free survival (PFS) was calculated as the time from surgery to time of first recurrence; for those that did not recur or metastasize, PFS was defined as the time from surgery to last follow-up/death.

Results

Vasohibin-1 expression in the gastric cancer tissues and its relationship with clinicopathological characteristics and prognosis

Sixty-seven and 44 gastric cancer tissues showed low and high expression of Vasohibin-1 protein, respectively. There was no significant correlation between Vasohibin-1 protein expressions and age, sex, and tumor differentiation. Tumors with deeper depth of invasion had significantly higher Vasohibin-1 protein expression than those with superficial invasion (P=0.002). High Vasohibin-1 expression was detected...
more often in patients with metastatic lymph node and distant metastasis \( (P=0.014, P=0.022, \text{respectively}) \). High Vasohibin-1 protein expression correlated significantly with worse TNM stage \( (P=0.002) \) (Table 1, Fig. 1).

Follow-up rate of gastric cancer patients is 96.4% (107/111). Patients with high Vasohibin-1 expression had significantly worse OS and PFS compared with those with low Vasohibin-1 expression (mean OS time: 34.6 vs. 63.5 month, \( P<0.001 \); median PFS time: 33.7 vs. 62.9 month, \( P<0.001 \)) (Fig. 2).

**The correlation between the Vasohibin-1 and VEGF-A protein expressions in the gastric cancer tissues**

The tissues with VEGF-A low expression accounted for 85.1% (57/67) and 29.5% (13/44) in the gastric cancer tissues with Vasohibin-1 low and high expression, respectively \( (P<0.001) \) (Fig. 1). There was significant correlation between Vasohibin-1 and VEGF-A protein expressions in the gastric cancer tissues by the analysis of immunohistochemistry \( (r=0.719, P<0.001) \) (Fig. 3).

**The correlation between the Vasohibin-1 expression and the MVD in the gastric cancer tissues**

The mean MVD in the gastric cancer tissues with Vasohibin-1 low and high expression was 28.9±12.3 and 61.4±19.1, which had statistical difference \( (P<0.001) \), respectively. Immunohistochemistry showed that Vasohibin-1 protein expression had statistical correlation with the MVD in the gastric cancer tissues \( (r=0.860, P<0.001) \) (Figs. 1 and 3).

**The correlation between the Vasohibin-1 expression and the density of CD68\(^+\) cells in gastric cancer tissues**

There was a significant difference between the density of CD68\(^+\) cells (macrophage marker) in the gastric cancer tissues with Vasohibin-1 low and high expression (32.7±18.3 and 73.7±19.8, \( P<0.001 \)). Significantly positive correlations were found between Vasohibin-1 expression and the density of CD68\(^+\) cells in the gastric tissues \( (r=0.882, P<0.001) \) (Figs. 1 and 3).
The effect of TAMs and Vasohibin-1 on the length of tube forming structures of endothelial cells in Matrigel

The length of tube forming structures in Matrigel of the group of EA.hy923 cells alone, EA.hy923+FBS+EGF, EA.hy923+macrophages, EA.hy923+Hs746T cells, EA.hy923+macrophages+Hs746T, EA.hy923+macrophages+Hs746TVasohibin-1 siRNA, and EA.hy923+macrophages+Hs746T NT were 40.7±7.5, 65.5±8.6, 52.1±6.3, 42.0±4.9, 38.1±2.3, 61.4±3.1, and 38.6±3.0 μm, respectively. There was statistical difference of tube length between the EA.hy923 alone group and EA.hy923+macrophages group (P<0.001). The tube length of EA.hy923+macrophages+Hs746TVasohibin-1 siRNA group was significantly longer than EA.hy923+macrophages+Hs746T group (P<0.001) and NT group (P<0.001) (Fig. 4).

The effect of TAMs and Vasohibin-1 on the invasion of gastric cancer cells in the Matrigel

The mobility rate of Hs746T with macrophages (5.1±0.0 μm vs. 3.1±0.0 μm/h (without macrophages), P<0.001), with EA.hy923 (8.4±0.1 μm vs. 3.1±0.0 μm/h, P<0.001) and with macrophages+EA.hy923 (8.5±0.1 μm vs. 3.1±0.0 μm/h, P<0.001) was significantly faster than that of Hs746T alone in Matrigel.

Vasohibin-1 siRNA significantly increased the mobility of Hs746T cells in all groups (Hs746T Vasohibin-1 siRNA vs. Hs746T: 3.6±0.1 μm vs. 3.1±0.0 μm/h, P=0.001; Hs746T Vasohibin-1 siRNA+macrophages vs. Hs746T+macrophages: 6.2±0.1 μm vs. 5.1±0.0 μm/h, P<0.001; Hs746T Vasohibin-1 siRNA+EA.hy923 vs. Hs746T+EA.hy923: 8.7±0.1 μm vs. 8.4±0.1 μm/h, P=0.01).

Fig. 2 Gastric cancer patients with high Vasohibin-1 expression in tumors show poor overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS). Vasohibin-1 expression was measured by immunohistochemistry in tumors of gastric cancer patients.

Fig. 3 Correlation within Vasohibin-1, VEGF-A expression, microvessel density (MVD), and density of CD68+ cells in gastric cancer tissues analyzed by immunohistochemistry.
0.018; Hs 746T Vasohibin-1 siRNA+macrophages+EA.hy923 vs. Hs746T+macrophages+EA.hy923: 11.3±0.1 μm vs. 8.5±0.0 μm/h, \( P < 0.001 \).

The effect of TAMs on the tumor growth and angiogenesis and the relationship with Vasohibin-1 expression in vivo

After 21 days, the tumor volume of mice inoculated with AGS cells alone was 1551.1±213.8 mm³, whereas that injected with AGS+THP macrophages by the ratio of 5:1, 10:1, and 20:1 was 1881.9±128.2 mm³ \( (P=0.334) \), 2781.9±353.2 mm³ \( (P=0.001) \), and 2333.2±189.9 mm³ \( (P=0.030) \), respectively (Fig. 5).

Compared to the AGS group (0.87±0.18 g), the tumor weight was reduced by 6.9 % in the AGS+THP (5:1) group (0.77±0.10 g, \( P=0.568 \)), but that was increased by 8.0 and 23.0 % in the AGS+THP (10:1) group \( (1.14±0.10 \, g, \, P=0.123) \) and AGS+THP (20:1) group \( (1.36±0.10 \, g, \, P=0.010) \) (Fig. 5).

Both of Vasohibin-1 and VEGF-A expression scores in AGS+THP (5:1) group \( (P<0.001, \, P=0.022) \), AGS+THP (10:1) group \( (P<0.001, \, P=0.001, \, P=0.001) \), and AGS+THP (20:1) group \( (P<0.001, \, P=0.001) \) were significantly higher than that of AGS alone group. The Vasohibin-1 and VEGF-A expression scores in AGS+THP (10:1) group were significantly higher than both of AGS+THP (5:1) group \( (P<0.001, \, P=0.007) \) and AGS+THP (20:1) group \( (P<0.001, \, P=0.001) \) (Fig. 5).

The mean MVD in the AGS+THP (10:1) group was significantly higher than that of AGS alone group \( (P=0.030) \), AGS+THP (5:1) group \( (P=0.001) \), but not AGS+THP (20:1) group \( (P=0.111) \). The mean MVD in AGS+THP (5:1) group was statistically lower than that of AGS alone group \( (P=0.043) \) (Fig. 5).

Vasohibin-1 protein expression detected by immunohistochemistry had statistical correlation with VEGF expression \( (r=0.786, \, P<0.001) \) and MVD in the gastric xenografted tumor \( (r=0.496, \, P=0.014) \) (Fig. 5).

Discussion

We firstly reported that Vasohibin-1 could be expressed in gastric cancer cell lines except in the endothelium cells of vessels, and found that Vasohibin-1 expression in cancer cells could be regulated by TAMs [10]. However, there is little information about the Vasohibin-1 expression in gastric cancer tissues and the relationship with the survivals before our research. In this study, we found that Vasohibin-1 high expression was associated with tumor deeper depth of invasion, lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis, and worse OS and PFS. Kitajima and colleagues [17] found that overexpression of Vasohibin-1 in colon cancer cells increased malignant potential and promoted metastasis. There were also reports about high Vasohibin-1 expression presented worse tumor clinicopathological characteristics in lung cancer [18], renal cancer [19], hepatocellular cancer [20], and prostate cancer [21]. Therefore, Vasohibin-1 might be a molecular marker of...
worse tumor biological behavior of cancer, even if Vasohibin-1 is an angiogenesis inhibitor. Moreover, we also found that the expression of Vasohibin-1 is parallel with the VEGF-A expression in gastric cancer tissue, which is in accordance with our previous study in gastric cancer cells lines. Therefore, we speculated that the anti-angiogenesis of Vasohibin-1 might keep the balance with the pro-angiogenesis with VEGF-A expression in the human body, which could be explained by the results from Kimura and colleagues that the function of endogenous Vasohibin-1 was to terminate angiogenesis rather than to inhibit it in the sprouting front [22]. Our study also showed that Vasohibin-1 siRNA significantly increased the mobility of gastric cancer cell and endothelium cells vessel formation in Matrigel. Hence, Vasohibin-1 might become a new anti-angiogenesis target of gastric cancer in future expect for bevacizumab, which is a humanized monoclonal antibody against angiogenesis induced by VEGF.

We firstly described the regulation of TAMs for Vasohibin-1 expression in gastric cancer [10] and pancreatic cancer [12]. Nude mice tumorigenicity assay showed gastric cancer cells...
and macrophages mixed group, especially for the ratio of 10:1, significantly had bigger volume, weight, as well as higher Vasohibin-1, VEGF-A expression, and MVD compared to the gastric cancer cells alone group. It indicated that TAMs could promote the tumor growth and metastasis related to angiogenesis through cytokines including anti- and pro-angiogenesis factors. It synchronized our previous results from cell lines that TAMs could increase the mobility of gastric cancer cells in Matrigel and Vasohibin-1 expression in gastric cancer cells [10].

Moreover, in this study, the length of tube forming structures of endothelial cells in vitro showed that Vasohibin-1 expression in gastric cancer cells significantly decreased the ability of vessel formation of endothelium cells, which was up-regulated by TAMs. Other studies had shown TAMs could present angiostatic effects and angiogenic effects in tumors
through cytokines including IL12, MMP12, VEGF, and so on. Our series of studies indicated that TAMs might regulate the angiogenesis through the Vasohibin-1, inhibition of Vasohibin-1 could increase the effect of TAMs on the angiogenesis. Therefore, we speculated Vasohibin-1 might not only function as an intrinsic inhibitor of angiogenesis in the endothelium cells but also play an import role in the process of regulation by TAMs.

Acknowledgments This study was supported by grants from National Science Foundation of China (81372290, 81372291).

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflicts of interest None

References