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Abstract

Blood samples preserved on FTA cards offer unique opportunities for genetic research. DNA recovered from these cards should be stable for long periods of time. However, it is not well established as how well the DNA stored on FTA card for substantial time periods meets the demands of forensic or genomic DNA analyses and especially so for from post-mortem (PM) samples in which the quality can vary upon initial collection. The aim of this study was to evaluate the time-dependent degradation on DNA quality and quantity extracted from up to 16 years old post-mortem bloodstained FTA cards.

Four random FTA samples from eight time points spanning 1998 to 2013 (n = 32) were collected and extracted in triplicate. The quantity and quality of the extracted DNA samples were determined with Quantifiler® Human Plus (HP) Quantification kit. Internal sample and sample-to-sample variation were evaluated by comparing recovered DNA yields. The DNA from the triplicate samplings were subsequently combined and normalized for further analysis. The practical effect of degradation on DNA quality was evaluated from normalized samples both with forensic and pharmacogenetic target markers.

Our results suggest that (1) a PM change, e.g. blood clotting prior to sampling, affects the recovered DNA yield, creating both internal and sample-to-sample variation; (2) a negative correlation between the FTA card storage time and DNA quantity (r = -0.836 at the 0.01 level) was observed; (3) a positive correlation (r = 0.738 at the level 0.01) was found between FTA card storage time and degradation levels. However, no inhibition was observed with the method used. The effect of degradation was manifested clearly with functional applications. Although complete STR-profiles were obtained for all samples, there was evidence of degradation manifested as decreased peak heights in the larger-sized amplicons. Lower amplification success was notable with the large 5.1 kb CYP2D6 gene fragment which strongly supports degradation of the stored samples.

According to our results, DNA stored on FTA cards is rather stable over a long time period. DNA extracted from this storage medium can be used as human identification purposes as the method used is sufficiently sensitive and amplicon sizes tend to be <400 bp. However, DNA integrity was affected during storage. This effect should be taken into account depending on the intended application especially if high quality DNA and long PCR amplicons are required.

© 2016 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Dried blood spot technology has been used to preserve samples since the 1960s as part of newborn screenings [1]. Filter paper based storage methods have also gained popularity in other fields, such as microbiology [2], biological fieldwork [3], bio-banking [4,5], human genomics [6,7], epigenomics [8], pharmacogenetics [9,10], and forensic investigations [11–13]. Sample preservation on filter paper enables simple and flexible sample collection that allows for facile transport and archiving at room temperature. In the 1990’s chemically treated filter papers became available.

Whatman™ Filter’s Technology Associates (FTA) Cards (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences Corp., NJ, USA) are based on a
chemically-treated matrix, which lyses cells from a variety of tissue sources (e.g. blood, saliva, plant tissue). Upon immediate cell lysis the released DNA is bound within the supporting material, e.g. a card. The matrix protects nucleic acids from damaging agents (e.g. nucleases, oxidative agents and bacterial growth) which serves to reduce degradation. According to the manufacturer, even 22-year-old blood samples can yield short tandem repeat (STR) profiles commonly generated in forensic genetics [14]. However, most of these studies evaluated fresh blood samples which are applied immediately on the FTA cards, although other sample types also have been reported [11,15–17]. Many of these studies have targeted forensically-relevant STR markers [18,19] or single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) [20], and only a few studies report the results from genome wide association studies [21]. DNA quality and quantity in PM samples with variable PM intervals (PMIs) stored on FTA paper have not tested to determine if such samples meet the demands of forensic or other genomic DNA analyses.

This study evaluates time-dependent DNA degradation and the ability to amplify STRs and a longer genomic target (i.e. the CYP2D6 gene) in PM blood samples on FTA cards stored up to 16 years. First, the internal sample variation was evaluated by comparing DNA yields obtained from three different spots of the same bloodstain sampled. Sample-to-sample variation was evaluated in a similar manner. Second, the quality and quantity of recovered DNA as well as the level of inhibition associated with the DNA extracts were assessed. Finally, the effective DNA yield was evaluated by determining the amplification success of short and relatively large amplicons using forensic and pharmacogenetic genotyping applications, respectively.

2. Materials and methods

Blood samples stored on the FTA Gene cards were obtained from forensic autopsies performed in 1998-2013. Certified standardized procedures were implemented in all autopsy procedures, including the collection of PM blood and its application onto the FTA cards. The sampled cadavers showed variation in the peri-mortem pathophysiological condition and in the extent of PM changes. To evaluate the time-dependent DNA degradation four samples were selected at random from each of the eight evenly separated time points covering the entire storage period (Fig. 1a).

2.1. DNA extraction and quantification

All reagents and instruments used were from Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA, USA), Life Technology Corporation (Carlsbad, CA, USA), unless mentioned otherwise.

FTA cards were pierced with a Harris Micro-Punch™-puncher (Ted Pella, Inc., Redding, CA, USA). Each FTA bloodstain sample was extracted in triplicate, using four (2.0 mm diameter) punches as input material for each extraction (Fig. 1b). To avoid cross-contamination, the tip of the puncher was cleaned between samples by piercing clean filter paper multiple times.

The bloodstain discs were lysed according to the manufacturer’s protocol using PrepFiler Express™ Forensic DNA Extraction Kit, and DNA was extracted with the semi-automated AutoMate Express™ Forensic DNA Extraction System. Recovered DNA was eluted to a 50 μl final volume with the elution buffer provided. The quality and quantity of extracted DNA were determined with Quantifiler® HP DNA Quantification Kit using 7500 Real-Time PCR systems. Data analysis was performed with the HID Real-Time PCR Analysis Software v1.2.

After quantification of each extract, the triplicate samples were pooled and re-quantified with the Quantifiler® HP kit. Based on these results, the amount of PCR template DNA was normalized to 2 ng and 35 ng for STR analysis and CYP2D6 whole gene amplification, respectively. For the normalization, quantification results of the small autosomal target locus of the Quantifiler® HP kit was used as recommended by the manufacturer [22].

DNA degradation was assessed by the degradation index (DI) defined by the Quantifiler® HP DNA Quantification kit user’s manual [22]. DI measures the amplification success ratio of a small (80 bp) and a large (214 bp) autosomal target fragment. Values of DI > 1 indicate poorer amplification of the large fragment compared with that of the short fragment, which according to the Quantifiler® HP user’s manual indicates sample degradation.

Assessment of inhibition was based on amplification success of the internal positive control (IPC) in the RT-PCR assay of the Quantifiler® HP kit. Inhibiting agents present in a sample cause lower amplification success of the IPC, shown as an upward shift in the cycle threshold (Ct) value. Under normal conditions (no inhibition), the IPC passes the threshold around cycle 27. Ct-values above 30 are considered an indication of inhibition [22].

2.2. Evaluation of the amplification success

To measure the practical effect of degradation, amplification success of two types of autosomal loci, differing in length, was analyzed. Amplification of short fragments was assessed with GlobalFiler®-a forensic STR multiplex assay (75–444 bp amplicons), and long fragments with amplification of a 5.1 kb genomic CYP2D6 gene fragment.

2.3. STR analysis

GlobalFiler® multiplex assay, which amplifies 21 autosomal STR loci, two Y chromosomal markers (DYS389, Y indel) and one sex determining marker (Amelogenin), was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol, except that the reaction volume (7.5 μl) and 25 PCR cycles used. These amplification conditions have been validated in our laboratory and are part of the accredited method. Amplified DNA fragments were separated by capillary electrophoresis using a 24-capillary 3500xl Genetic Analyser, polymer 4 (POP-4™) and HID36_POP4x1 Run Module. Samples were run along with 500LITM dye size standard. Data analysis was performed with GeneMapper® ID-X ver. 1.4 software. For allele calling, 400 relative fluorescence units (rfu) were used as a peak amplitude threshold, and the fragment sizes were called using the “Local Southern” sizing method.

The STR-data was analyzed by comparing allele peak heights observed in the FTA samples with those obtained for samples of good quality, i.e. the positive control. Peak heights of the two heterozygotic peaks were summed. For the analysis, the loci were assigned relatively evenly into four size categories based on the average amplicon size: <130 bp (D3S1358, D2S441, D15S993, D22S1045, D10S1248, D8S1179), 130–200 bp (D15S165, vWA, TH01, D5S818), 200–300 bp (D16S539, D21S11, D13S317, D7S820, D21S11), and >300 bp (CSF1PO, TPOX, D18S51, FGA, SE13, D5S1338). For each sampling time point, the average of all peak heights observed within a size class was then compared with the average peak heights observed in two independent PCRs of the positive control (DNA Control 807).

2.4. CYP2D6 analysis

To evaluate the integrity of longer DNA fragments the pharmacogenetically interesting CYP2D6 gene was chosen. CYP2D6 gene is part of the large CYP2D cluster which is formed together with two pseudogenes, CYP2D7 and CYP2D8 [23]. To exclude the highly homologous pseudogenes from the target of interest, the
CYP2D6 gene (5.1 kb) was amplified using a previously described protocol [24] with slight modifications to the reaction mixture. Primer sequences used in this reaction were described by Lundqvist et al. [25]. The 25 µl reaction mixture included 1.0 U Platinum® Taq DNA Polymerase High Fidelity (Invitrogen), 1 X High Fidelity PCR Buffer, 2 mM MgSO4, 0.2 mM each deoxynucleotide triphosphate, 0.4 µM each primer and 35 ng of genomic DNA. Cycling conditions were the same as those described by Sistonen et al. [24]. Amplification success of the CYP2D6 gene was verified with the 2100 Bioanalyzer Instrument using the DNA 7500 kit and 2100 Expert Software for the data analysis (Agilent Technologies).

2.5. Statistical analyses

To measure the effect of storage time on DNA yield, harmonic means of DNA concentrations from each year were plotted against the storage time. As with the DNA concentrations, the DI values were plotted against the storage time. DI values also were plotted against PMIs. With all variables the statistical significance of correlation was assessed using Pearson Correlation Coefficient. All statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics ver. 22 (IMB corp. 2013, Armonk, NY, USA) software.

3. Results and discussion

Generally, our study confirms the previously established observations of robust and reliable DNA recovery from blood samples stored on FTA for long time periods [14]. In addition, DNA recovery from PM blood samples, with variable initial PMIs, is feasible from samples stored on FTA cards. However, our study indicates that genomic studies which require large amounts of well-preserved DNA may not be possible with long term FTA stored
blood samples. The quality and quantity of the DNA recovered decreased over time to the extent that large-amplicon targets may no longer be amplified. Chemical treatment within the FTA cards [26] and dry storage conditions may not be sufficient for maintaining the integrity of relatively long DNA fragments.

3.1. Internal and sample-to-sample variation: sample triplicates

The DNA concentration ranged from 1.50 to 43.64 ng/µl with a mean of 10.95 ± 8.19 ng/µl (Table 1). The amount of the input FTA material was equal (four 2 mm punches/extraction), and each sample was extracted in triplicate. Yet variation was observed in DNA concentrations both within and among the samples. Some variation is expected with any sample manipulation. However, as the extraction method used is proven to be rather effective [12,13], high internal variation among triplicates (SD values between 0.26 to 16.07) suggests that PM changes, e.g. blood clotting prior to sampling as well as ante-mortem pathophysiological conditions of the deceased, can affect DNA recovery. Many factors, such as hemoglobin [10], hematocrit and viscosity of blood [27] and an individual’s white blood cell count at the time of sampling [28] create variability in bloodstain samples. It is expected that, e.g. viscosity of blood will change post-mortem. While the intention of taking multiple punches for extraction was to reduce sampling variance, it is recommended to ensure sufficient DNA yield that multiple bloodstain discs be used per extraction (at least with the 2 mm diameter punches used in this study).

3.2. Storage time and DNA quantity and quality: pooled samples

Re-quantification results of pooled samples were similar to the mean concentration of the corresponding triplicates (data not shown) except for one sample (sample 2, 2004) where concentration of the pooled sample was lower than what was expected based of the mean concentration of triplicates. The reason for this observation is unknown, but pipetting error may be a plausible explanation.

Quantification results from the pooled samples indicate that (1) the DNA concentration is diminished over storage time; and (2)

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mean of triplicates (ng/µl)</th>
<th>Min (ng/µl)</th>
<th>Max (ng/µl)</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.46</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.95</td>
<td>21.26</td>
<td>34.78</td>
<td>7.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.44</td>
<td>11.04</td>
<td>11.69</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>7.01</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24.51</td>
<td>23.96</td>
<td>25.12</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>8.46</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12.02</td>
<td>9.62</td>
<td>14.10</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>13.49</td>
<td>5.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19.59</td>
<td>16.91</td>
<td>21.08</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>8.56</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26.77</td>
<td>25.37</td>
<td>28.71</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.86</td>
<td>10.07</td>
<td>13.68</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>7.45</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18.98</td>
<td>12.93</td>
<td>22.47</td>
<td>5.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25.83</td>
<td>12.64</td>
<td>43.64</td>
<td>16.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.72</td>
<td>19.07</td>
<td>23.35</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19.72</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>22.98</td>
<td>4.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12.42</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>16.71</td>
<td>3.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.12</td>
<td>11.31</td>
<td>14.93</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* One of the parallel samples was excluded from the quantification measurement, because the sample evaporated from the tube due to cap malformation. Results are from two parallel samples instead of three.

DNA degradation is increased over storage time (Fig. 2). These observations were evaluated with the Pearson correlation coefficient. A significant negative correlation was observed between FTA storage time and DNA quantity at the 0.01 level ($r = -0.836$), and a

![Fig. 2](image-url)  
**Fig. 2.** Quantification results from pooled samples. Results show a clear negative correlation between the storage time and DNA quantity (black dots, primary axis) and positive correlation between storage time and degradation level (open triangles, secondary axis).
significant positive correlation \( r = 0.738 \) at the level 0.01) between FTA storage time and degradation level. The mean value for the DI was \( 0.97 \pm 0.29 \). To highlight the decreasing trend of sample concentrations the effect of outliers was diminished by using harmonic means of DNA concentrations.

The effect of variable PMIs to DI was evaluated, but no correlation between these parameters was observed (data not shown). As the longest PMI in this study was only 13 days, it is likely that the environmental conditions during the PMI affected DNA integrity more than the PMI time itself. However, when the PMI exceeds the 13 days the time could become a factor; but this effect could not be investigated with the current samples. In addition, no inhibition (mean \( C_t \) value 27.70 \( \pm 0.36 \)) was observed in this study (data not shown). Samples with high DNA concentration show a slight increase in \( C_t \)-values. However, this observation is more likely attributed to competition for reagents between the sample and the IPC than to inhibiting factors. Although in general IPC gives a rather good indication of possible PCR inhibition, normal \( C_t \)-values of IPC around 27 cycles cannot totally exclude possible inhibition in extracts by PCR inhibitors not affecting IPC \( C_t \)-values.

3.3. Effects of degradation in STR and CYP2D6 analysis

The effect of storage time on DNA degradation was clearly visible in the functional studies of STR and CYP2D6 gene analyses. The 21-loci STR profiles demonstrate clearly an increasing trend of degradation towards the older samples (Fig. 3). The shorter fragments amplify better than the longer fragments in samples of all ages, but the differences between the amplification success of the short to long fragments diminishes substantially with shorter storage times (ranging from 56 to 7% units between 1998 and 2013, respectively). This can be taken as a clear indication of DNA fragmentation over time. Interestingly, the relative amplification success of the shorter fragment is better in the old than in the more recently stored samples. This observation could be explained by diminished competition of reagents (nucleotides, polymerase) during the PCR in absence of longer fragments. Notably, however, full STR-profiles were obtained from all samples despite the decreasing peak height with storage time.

In contrast, amplification of the larger 5.1 kb autosomal fragment could not be accomplished for some samples (those with a DI > 1) (Fig. 4). In some cases the amplification failed even

![Fig. 3. GlobalFiler® STR average amplification success in four amplicon size categories as percentages of the positive control peak heights. "Difference" describes the difference between the highest and lowest point (size classes <130 bp and >300 bp) in percentage units. The shorter fragments show better amplification in samples of all storage times, but the difference in signal strength (amplification) between the short and long fragments decreases substantially when the storage time becomes shorter.](image)

![Fig. 4. Amplification of 5.1 kb autosomal fragment. The effect of degradation was visible in the CYP2D6 analysis. Samples were normalized to 35 ng and sorted by DI. There was a clear difference in amplification success in the samples with DI ≤ 1 compared to the samples with DI > 1 (separated with dashed line).](image)
for samples with DI < 1. This finding is likely due to the large size difference between the longest amplicon of the Quantifiler® HP-kit (214 bp) and the 5.1 kb autosomal fragment. In the case of the 5.1 kb fragment tested here, the general guideline of DI > 1 as a fragmentation threshold appeared to work well, but when different size fragments are tested the threshold is likely different and should be empirically determined.

4. Conclusions

It is expected that PM changes prior to sampling can create notable variation in DNA quality. In addition, DNA yield may vary from sample-to-sample, depending on the white blood cell count in an individual’s blood determined by the ante-mortem pathophysiological condition of the person and the PML. As many of the factors affecting the DNA stored on the FTA cards are unknown, it is difficult, if not impossible, to predict the quality and quantity of the DNA remaining in individual samples without proper quantification.

There are some limitations to this study that should be considered. There are no control samples in the strict sense as such a study was not perceived at the time of collection of the samples especially the long time stored samples. In order to have truly informative controls, an aliquot of the post-mortem blood sample should have been typed immediately after death (or autopsy), using the same extraction method and STR kit as for the FTA sample 16 years later. That requirement would not be feasible given the rapid development of forensic genetics techniques over the years. Any other preservation method for the sample (such as freezing) to maintain a control to compare with a FTA stored samples would also affect integrity.

As no inhibition was detected in the samples the most reasonable explanation for the amplification differences observed in this study is degradation which increases over storage time. According to our results, bloodstained FTA cards contain sufficient amounts of DNA for human identification purposes when highly sensitive STR typing is used. Obtaining sufficient intact DNA for large scale genomic studies may be more difficult. This problems created by loss of high quality DNA may be overcome, e.g. by genome wide amplification (GWA) prior to targeted PCR, as reported for large scale SNP analyses by Fowler et al. (2012) [21].

When planning experiments with relatively long amplicons, the effect of degradation must be considered and tested. Quantification indices describing concentration, degradation and inhibition provide useful tools to predict success, but the meaningful threshold values must be established specifically for different types of studies.
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