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ABSTRACT

Objectives: Status epilepticus (SE) is a neurological emergency and may lead to Intensive Care Unit (ICU) admission. However, little is known about the characteristics and outcome of patients with the ICU admission diagnosis of SE.

Methods: We performed a retrospective study of patients admitted to ICU with the primary admission diagnosis of SE as recorded in the Australian and New Zealand Intensive Care Society (ANZICS) Adult Patient Database over more than a decade. We examined the ICU and population incidence, physiological and demographic features of such SE patients; compared ventilated and non-ventilated SE patients and assessed their mortality.

Results: From 2000–2013, 12,926 patients (1.2% of all ICU admissions) were admitted to ANZ ICUs with SE as the main admission diagnosis. Over the study period, the ICU prevalence (0.93 vs 1.13%), population incidence (30 vs 61 per million population), ICU length of stay (1.45 vs 1.77 days) and the rate of discharge to a rehabilitation facility (2.3 vs 7.1%) of SE increased (P < .0001). In contrast, the use of mechanical ventilation (56.6 vs 47.2%), hospital length of stay (6.64 vs 5.81 days), ICU (2.6 vs 0.75%) and hospital (8.2 vs 4%) mortality decreased (P < .0001). Overall hospital mortality was 613 (4.7%) with 219 (1.7%) patients dying in ICU. Mortality was associated with advancing age, multiple co-morbidities, lower GCS on admission and higher APACHE III scores. From 2000 to 2013 ICU mortality decreased from 2.6% to 0.75%.

Significance: Over a 14-year period in ANZ, there have been major changes in the features, management and outcome of patients admitted to ICU with the primary admission diagnosis of SE such that their ICU mortality is now <1%.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Status epilepticus (SE) is a neurological emergency [1–8]. SE exposes patients to significant morbidity [2–4,9] including cognitive impairment, neurological deficits and mortality [2,4]. When refractory, SE typically requires Intensive Care Unit (ICU) admission [10] and often endotracheal intubation, mechanical ventilation, deep sedation and even barbiturate induced coma [2]. SE patients who receive mechanical ventilation have been reported to have significantly increased mortality [1], may need prolonged ventilation [5], and often experience extended length ICU [2,3] and hospital stay [1]. Finally, the mortality of patients admitted to hospital with SE has previously been reported to vary from 3.45% to 67% [1,10] depending on the selection criteria [11].

In a consensus statement [11] it was recently asserted that mortality for SE is 20%, with 30% of patients having SE not controlled by initial treatment and with no cause identified in 30%. However, all of these studies have reported data on patients with a variable combination of SE on admission and SE that develops within the ICU or the hospital in patients with a different initial admission diagnosis; have often studied SE as a secondary event caused by other major primary admission diagnosis (e.g. traumatic brain injury, brain tumors, stroke) and have assessed patients irrespective of ICU admission and/or mechanical ventilation. Thus, no information exists on the epidemiology of patients with SE as the primary reason for admission to ICU [11,12].

Accordingly, we interrogated the Australian and New Zealand (ANZ) bi-national Intensive Care Registry [13] and obtained information on the incidence, characteristics, and outcome of patients admitted to ANZ ICUs with the primary ICU admission diagnosis of SE, over the last 14 years.
2. Methods

We conducted a retrospective study using data from the Australian and New Zealand Intensive Care Society (ANZICS) Adult Patient Database (APD) [13] run by the Centre for Outcome and Resource Evaluation. The ANZICS APD is set up as a clinical registry, using clinically relevant diagnoses for Critical Care clinicians. Diagnostic coding is primarily done by trained data collectors and clinicians. In 2014 there were 200 ICUs in ANZ. All tertiary ICUs with one exception submitted data to the APD and national coverage approximated 90% of all ICU and 99% of all ICUs able to admit and manage SE patients without the need for transfer to a referral hospital. Those that did not submit were predominantly smaller regional/rural units and small private units, which transfer SE patients to major regional or tertiary ICUs. ICUs are defined by the ANZ College of Intensive Care Medicine criteria. The principle of APD contribution is that any organization may submit data on their ICU if it is a geographical area equipped for the monitoring and treatment of critically ill patients, and patients are under the care of critical care teams and have a dedicated clinical team trained ICU director.

The study was approved by the Alfred Hospital Human Research Ethics Committee, Melbourne, Australia with a waiver of informed consent. Population data were retrieved from the Australian Bureau of Statistics [14] and Statistics New Zealand [15].

2.1. Description of patients

We included all adult patients with the primary admission diagnosis of Status Epilepticus (SE). We assumed the widely accepted diagnosis of SE as seizures persisting for greater than 30 minutes. This means that, according to the data dictionary instructions for the APD classification of such patients, even though some patients may have had sepsis, underlying known epilepsy, electrolyte disorders, or other medical conditions predisposing to SE, the primary and dominant reason for admission to ICU if it is a geographical area equipped for the monitoring and treatment of critically ill patients, and patients are under the care of critical care teams and have a dedicated trained ICU director.

We included all adult patients with the primary admission diagnosis of Status Epilepticus (SE). We assumed the widely accepted diagnosis of SE as seizures persisting for greater than 30 minutes. This means that, according to the data dictionary instructions for the APD classification of such patients, even though some patients may have had sepsis, underlying known epilepsy, electrolyte disorders, or other medical conditions predisposing to SE, the primary and dominant reason for admission to ICU if it is a geographical area equipped for the monitoring and treatment of critically ill patients, and patients are under the care of critical care teams and have a dedicated trained ICU director.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>All patients (n = 12,313)</th>
<th>Survivors (n = 12,313)</th>
<th>Non-survivors (n = 613)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean)</td>
<td>48.7 ± 18.8</td>
<td>48.03 ± 18.64</td>
<td>62.7 ± 16.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU mortality</td>
<td>219 (2%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>219 (36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital mortality</td>
<td>613 (5%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>613 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Chronic CVS disease</td>
<td>572 (4%)</td>
<td>517 (4%)</td>
<td>55 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Chronic liver disease</td>
<td>294 (2%)</td>
<td>263 (2%)</td>
<td>31 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Chronic renal failure</td>
<td>346 (3%)</td>
<td>310 (3%)</td>
<td>36 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Immune disease</td>
<td>184 (1%)</td>
<td>159 (1%)</td>
<td>25 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Immunosupression</td>
<td>293 (2%)</td>
<td>247 (2%)</td>
<td>48 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- AIDS</td>
<td>13 (0%)</td>
<td>9 (0%)</td>
<td>4 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Lymphoma</td>
<td>79 (0.6%)</td>
<td>67 (1%)</td>
<td>12 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Metastases</td>
<td>235 (2%)</td>
<td>197 (2%)</td>
<td>38 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Leukemia/myeloma</td>
<td>71 (0.5%)</td>
<td>57 (0%)</td>
<td>14 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Cirrhosis</td>
<td>279 (2%)</td>
<td>252 (2%)</td>
<td>27 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Insulin dependent Diabetes</td>
<td>245 (3%)</td>
<td>219 (3%)</td>
<td>26 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Cancer</td>
<td>372 (3%)</td>
<td>312 (3%)</td>
<td>60 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Any of above comorbidities</td>
<td>1961 (15%)</td>
<td>1755 (14%)</td>
<td>206 (34%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilated</td>
<td>6325 (49%)</td>
<td>5926 (48%)</td>
<td>399 (66%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Glasgow Coma Score</td>
<td>10.3 ± 4.39</td>
<td>10.39 ± 4.56</td>
<td>7.96 ± 4.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Renal Failure or (Creatinine &gt; 300 or Urine output over 24 hrs &lt;500, without chronic renal impairment)</td>
<td>743 (6%)</td>
<td>662 (5%)</td>
<td>81 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any organ failure</td>
<td>8319 (64%)</td>
<td>7835 (64%)</td>
<td>484 (79%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APACHE III risk of death (%)</td>
<td>3.2 [1–10.2]</td>
<td>2.9 [0.9–9.2]</td>
<td>17.3 [7–38.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APACHE III score</td>
<td>473 ± 28.8</td>
<td>45.89 ± 25.87</td>
<td>76.12 ± 28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANZROD (%)</td>
<td>1.6 [0.7–4.8]</td>
<td>2 [1–4]</td>
<td>8 [3–19]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NB. All comparisons between survivors and non-survivors were significant with p value <.0001.

APACHE = acute physiology and chronic health evaluation; ICU = intensive care unit; ED = emergency department; ARF = acute renal failure; CVS = cardiovascular system; AIDS = acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; ANZROD = Australian and New Zealand Risk of Death.

had refractory SE and had not responded to initial treatment. We excluded patients younger than 16 years of age, readmissions, those with missing mortality data and those who had SE secondary to new underlying brain pathology which had also been diagnosed on that same admission (brain tumor, stroke, traumatic brain injury). Furthermore, we excluded patients who had a cardiac arrest prior to admission to ICU and those admitted to ICU from the operating theater (OT). This is because, according to the APD data dictionary, anyone admitted from OT is always given an operative diagnosis as the only one diagnosis entered into the registry. Thus ‘SE’ in this study does not include patients who developed SE as an anesthetic complication in OT or another hospital or ICU. (Transfers were excluded to avoid counting patient outcomes twice since they would appear as an ICU admission in the data from both hospitals). We analyzed all hospital outcomes (mortality, discharge home, discharge to another hospital and discharge to rehabilitation facility). Finally, we assessed both the ICU and hospital length of stay.

2.2. Statistical analysis

Data are presented as percentages (number), means (standard deviation, SD), medians (interquartile range, IQR) or proportion (95% confidence interval, CI). Accordingly, chi-square tests for equal proportion and student's t-tests or Wilcoxon Rank Sum test were used to test for differences between groups.

To investigate the change in hospital outcomes over time, logistic and log-linear regression models were used fitting main effects for Status epilepticus, year of admission and patient severity, with patients nested within site and site treated as a random effect. Year of admission was fitted firstly as a categorical variable and then as a continuous variable once linearity was established. Patient severity was calculated as each patient’s predicted risk of death in accordance with the Australian and New Zealand Risk of Death (ANZROD) methodology [16]. ANZROD is an updated mortality prediction model specifically designed to predict hospital mortality in adult patients admitted to ANZICUs.
calibrated for use in Australian and New Zealand ICUs. It has been derived from components of the APACHE II and III scoring systems with additional diagnostic variables and combines eight risk adjustment algorithms, one for each major diagnostic group. It has been shown to have significantly better calibration and discrimination than APACHE III [16]. Results from logistic regression are reported as Odds Ratios (median with IQR), whilst analysis of log-transformed length of stays are reported as geometric means (95%CI). To determine if the relationship between outcome and year of admission was significantly affected by ventilation status, an interaction between ventilation and year was included to the models. Changes in incidence over time were determined using Pearson or Spearman correlation coefficients.

To ensure consistency of results across a stable population, sensitivity analysis was performed by repeating all analysis on a subpopulation of 59 hospitals that provided data for each of the 14 years of the study period. Statistical analysis was performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). A two sided p-value of 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

3. Results

Overall, 1,250,449 patients were admitted to 199 ICUs (out of a total of 200 bi-nationally) which contribute to the bi-national Australia and New Zealand Intensive Care Society (ANZICS) database from 2000–2013. Of these patients, 12,926 (1.1%) were admitted to ICUs only 210 (3%) died in hospital and of these only 48 died in ICU (1%). Ventilated patients who died in hospital had more co-morbidities and were younger at admission (Table 1). The 613 patients who died in hospital had more co-morbidities and were acutely ill and thus had a lower Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), higher APACHE III scores, lower systolic blood pressure, and a higher rate of acute renal failure, particularly acute renal failure and were more likely to be admitted to ICU from the ward.

Overall mortality decreased from 8.2% in 2000 to 4% in 2013. Similarly, ICU mortality decreased from 2.6% in 2000 to 0.75% in 2013 (Fig. 1). Survivors were discharged home in most cases [10,559 (81.7%)] (Fig. 2), while others were discharged to another hospital [941 (7.3%)] (Fig. 3) or to a rehabilitation facility [813 (6.3%)] with a significant increase in patients in such rehabilitation admissions (Fig. 4). Non-survivors had a longer stay in ICU at 83 hrs [95% CI 36–184] compared to survivors at 38 hours [21–66]. They also had a longer overall hospital stay 13.9 [95% CI 6.8–29] days compared with survivors 6 days [2.9–13]. Overall ICU length of stay increased over time from 1.45 days in 2000 to 1.77 days in 2013 (P = .004). In contrast, hospital length of stay (LOS) decreased significantly during the study period from 6.64 days in 2000 to 5.81 days in 2013 (P < .0001) (Fig. 5).

There was no significant difference between Australia and New Zealand in outcomes (Table 3). Similarly there was no difference between the two countries in both hospital and ICU length of stay (Table 4).

3.2. Patients treated with mechanical ventilation

Overall 6325 (49%) patients received mechanical ventilation within the first 24 hours of ICU admission. Ventilated patients were more acutely ill and thus had a lower Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), higher APACHE III scores, lower systolic blood pressure, and a higher rate of acute renal failure (Table 5). The proportion of mechanically ventilated patients decreased from 56.6% in 2000 to 47.2% in 2013 (P = .0015). Overall 399 (6%) of mechanically ventilated patients died and of these, 170 (42.6%) died in the ICU. In contrast among non-ventilated patients only 210 (3%) died in hospital and of these only 48 died in ICU (1%). Ventilated patients had a longer length of stay both in the ICU and in the hospital (Table 6). Among ventilated patients, overall mortality changed from 9.8% in 2000 down to 4.9% in 2013 (P = .0003). Non-ventilated patients had a change in mortality from 6.0% in 2000 to 3.3% in 2013 (P = .0006). There was no significant difference in the adjusted annual rate of decline in mortality between ventilated and non-ventilated patients [OR 0.94 (0.91–0.97) vs OR (0.93 (0.89–0.97) P = .95].

Similarly, ICU mortality decreased from 2.6% in 2000 to 1.0% in 2013 in ventilated patients, while in non-ventilated patients, it decreased from 2.7% in 2000 down to 0.6% in 2013 [(OR 0.94 (0.90–0.99) vs OR 0.93 (0.85–1.01) P = .88].

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hospital Type: Rural</th>
<th>All patients</th>
<th>Survivors</th>
<th>Non-survivors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hospital Type: Tertiary</td>
<td>5903 (46%)</td>
<td>5558 (45%)</td>
<td>345 (56%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital Outcome</td>
<td>- Death</td>
<td>613 (5%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Rehab</td>
<td>813 (6%)</td>
<td>813 (7%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Other hospital</td>
<td>941 (7%)</td>
<td>941 (8%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- ICU LOS (hours)</td>
<td>38.5 [21–68.6]</td>
<td>37.7 [20.5–66.2]</td>
<td>83.3 [35.6–183.8]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NB. All comparisons between survivors and non-survivors were significant with p value <.0001.

ICU = intensive care unit; LOS = length of stay.

### Table 3

Odds ratios (95%CI) for the annual change in log (length of stay) for survivors and non-survivors stratified by country with the p-value indicating that there was no evidence to suggest that the annual changes in log (length of stay) differed according to country:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>New Zealand</th>
<th>Interaction P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hospital mortality</td>
<td>0.930 (0.908–0.953)</td>
<td>0.861 (0.765–0.969)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge Home</td>
<td>0.998 (0.985–1.012)</td>
<td>1.007 (0.946–1.073)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge Other Hospital</td>
<td>1.067 (0.986–1.027)</td>
<td>1.085 (0.980–1.201)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td>1.058 (1.034–1.083)</td>
<td>1.006 (0.909–1.112)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4

Parameter estimates (standard errors) for the annual change in log (length of stay) for survivors and non-survivors stratified by country with the p-value indicating that there was no evidence to suggest that the annual changes in log (length of stay) differed according to country:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>New Zealand</th>
<th>Interaction P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hospital Length of Stay</td>
<td>-0.013 (0.003)</td>
<td>-0.013 (0.011)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Survivors</td>
<td>0.022 (0.013)</td>
<td>-0.102 (0.050)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU Length of Stay</td>
<td>0.011 (0.002)</td>
<td>0.017 (0.008)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Survivors</td>
<td>0.051 (0.023)</td>
<td>-0.087 (0.147)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and halved by 2013. Finally, although four mortality was low; was associated with multiple markers of illness severity, decreased, while ICU length of stay increased. In addition, overall mechanical ventilation decreased by almost 10% and hospital length of stay also 

Moreover, we found that the percentage of patients receiving mechanical ventilation.

Outcomes of ventilated compared with non-ventilated patients

To our knowledge, no previous studies have assessed the incidence and outcome of patients admitted to ICU with the primary diagnosis of Status epilepticus (SE). As far as we know, this is also by far the largest cohort of ICU patients with SE. It is also the only to assess the epidemiology of SE at a bi-national level, in the setting of the ICU and in patients requiring mechanical ventilation.

The incidence of SE as the reason for admission to ICU has not been previously defined. However, among the elderly, SE has previously been reported at 86 per 100,000 per year in the USA [21]. In Germany the overall yearly incidence of SE was reported at 54.5 per 100,000 in patients over 60 years of age [22]. In terms of population incidence, SE has been previously reported in Switzerland at 10.3 per 100,000 and in Europe as 15 per 100,000 per year [6,8]. The systematic review by Chin et al. [23] reported an incidence rate between 9.9 and 41 per 100,000 per year. In our study we report for the first time that the incidence of ICU admissions specifically due to SE in Australia and New Zealand was approximately 60 per million per year with a two-fold increase in incidence from 2000–2013. A similar increase in incidence over time has been noted in other studies [24]. The mortality of SE (primary and secondary) treated in hospital (but not necessarily in ICU) has been previously reported to vary from 3.45% [1] and 67% [10]. In 2013, a consensus statement from a status epilepticus conference reported mortality at 20% [11]. In contrast, the overall hospital mortality in this study over 14 years was 4.7%, a value in the
lower range of previous studies. Among studies performed in ICU patients, the ICU mortality also varied from 11% [2] to 67% [10]. Our ICU mortality was therefore markedly lower than in such studies. This may be due to these patients having primary SE. Previous studies suggested that the mortality of patients with SE is dependent upon the underlying trigger with severe organic brain disease patients having a worse outcome [1,9]. However, no studies to date have specifically selected out those patients admitted to ICU with the primary ICU admission diagnosis of SE. Thus, we cannot directly compare our findings with those of any previous study. Nonetheless our ICU mortality in 2013 is the lowest reported for any SE population so far at 0.75%.

Mechanical ventilation has been associated with a threefold increased risk [1] in mortality. Correspondingly respiratory failure [1] and longer duration of ventilation [5] have also been associated with increased risk of death. In our cohort, we demonstrated a two-fold increased risk of hospital and ICU mortality in patients receiving mechanical ventilation. However, even in ventilated patients overall mortality was low.

Only 49% of patients in our dataset received mechanical ventilation in the first 24 hours. This may be due to awareness of the increased mortality associated with ventilation. Other factors that may have contributed included patients being observed in ICU as a precautionary measure such that if there was further deterioration and loss of ability to maintain the airway, they could be intubated in a safe, non-emergent environment. We assume that patients who did not receive mechanical ventilation would have been able to recover from their recurrent seizures enough to be able to maintain a patent airway and thus not require mechanical ventilation.

A lower mean Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score on admission to ICU was associated with mortality in our study. Stuporous and comatose states at onset of status SE in other papers are reported to have increased mortality rates [3,9,25]. Correspondingly longer duration of SE [2,9] and continuous seizure activity [2,3] have also been associated with increased mortality.

The median ICU length of stay for non-survivors in our dataset was approximately 3.5 days. The use of mechanical ventilation was associated with a further increase in length of stay to approximately six days. This is similar to other studies which demonstrated a median overall ICU stay of close to five days [2,3]. In our cohort, non-survivors had an overall median longer hospital length of stay of approximately two weeks, a finding similar to previous studies [2,8,26].
Our outcomes beyond mortality were also better than those documented in other studies [1,8,9]. Investigators in the USA documented 76.4% of patients returning home versus 81.7% in our study and 20% discharged to rehab versus 6.3% in our study.

4.3. Implications of study findings

Our study demonstrates that in ANZ ICUs, among patients with SE as the primary ICU admission diagnosis, the prevalence, population incidence, and length of ICU stay have increased over fourteen years. However, at the same time, the use of mechanical ventilation, raw and adjusted ICU mortality, and hospital mortality have all significantly decreased. These observations imply that the management of SE has changed over time with decreased use of ventilation, suggesting either ICU admission of less acutely ill patients or use of treatments that do not require deep sedation or both. The fact that improved outcomes remained significant despite adjustment for illness severity suggests that real improvements in management may have taken place.

Moreover, the above changes in outcomes occurred independently of mechanical ventilation and were associated with a three-fold increase in the proportion of patients discharged to a rehabilitation facility. These observations imply that, although performance has improved in terms of survival, as older patients are treated the need for prolonged recovery becomes more important. Finally, these findings provide a useful benchmark against which, other as well as our own, health care systems can compare themselves and a useful set of values for future quality assessment and interventional studies.

4.4. Strengths and weaknesses of study

Our study has several strengths. The ANZICS ICU database provided a large cohort of patients and a large pool of data from close to 90% of ICUs in Australia and New Zealand. This makes it readily applicable and representative of a wide range of ICU patients. This dataset represents by far the largest cohort of ICU patients with the admission diagnosis of SE. In these patients, we had information on physiological characteristics on the day of admission, as well as information on duration of stay, need for mechanical ventilation, mortality and discharge destination. Indirect information on their functional outcome upon discharge was represented by their discharge destination. Such detailed information provides an understanding of the characteristics of such patients and an appreciation of their outcomes and the change in such outcomes over more than a decade.
However, this study also carries several limitations. First, it is an observational, retrospective study, which relies on the data being entered appropriately and completely. However, the Australian and New Zealand Intensive Care Society (ANZICS) Adult Patient Database data has been previously shown to have a high level of accuracy, making it likely that the data are accurate and unbiased [13]. The definition of SE has changed over recent years and that may have affected the total numbers in this dataset. However, as the clinical characteristics of such patients are typically manifest and obvious to any clinician and as these patients were admitted to ICU with this SE as the primary diagnosis for admission, it is unlikely that changes in diagnostic assessment would account for our findings.

These patients with a primary diagnosis of SE have not been identified and studied in previous investigations. As such they represent a unique cohort of patients. The etiology of SE in these patients is not documented. However, the diagnostic code used for primary seizures in the ANZICS database specifically excludes seizures in patients primarily admitted to ICU because of structural brain disease. Thus, the incidence reported here may under-estimate the total number of admissions who experienced SE in ICU.

The underlying etiology of these patients likely includes patients with prior epilepsy, non-compliance with anti-epileptics, lower seizure threshold and metabolic disturbances among other causes. These factors may be associated with a better prognosis than those with underlying structural brain disease and secondary SE. This makes a comparison with previous studies, including a broader range of SE patients and SE patients with structural brain disease, more difficult. Our low mortality rates may then be difficult to compare directly with other studies as they may be due to the underlying causality.

Our dataset carries no information on interventions, treatment and management, except for the use of mechanical ventilation, making it difficult to assess how the management of these patients has changed overtime and whether changes in management over time may account for the improved outcomes. In the epilepsy community there is still no defined optimal treatment of refractory and super-refractory status epilepticus and no commonly accepted treatment protocol exists [11]. The database only provides information about whether mechanical ventilation occurred in the first 24 hours of admission, thus patients who required ventilation later during their ICU stay were not documented. However, the change in the use of mechanical ventilation implies either a change in admission criteria or the less frequent use of intensive sedation or drug induced coma or both.

The overall hospital and ICU mortality over the fourteen year period in this study decreased. However, there was a marked decrease in overall mortality in 2001 and 2002 which we have no clear explanation for.

As this is an ICU database it may also have missed those patients who died prior to medical presentation or prior to ICU admission. This seems unlikely as SE is typically not a cause of immediate death per se.

5. Conclusions
In Australia and New Zealand ICUs, the prevalence and population incidence and the length of ICU stay of patients with SE as the primary ICU admission diagnosis have increased over the last decade and a half, while mechanical ventilation use, hospital length of stay, ICU and hospital mortality have significantly decreased. In particular mortality in these patients is now <1%. This decrease in mortality, however, has been associated with a three-fold increase in the proportion of patients discharged to a rehabilitation facility. These findings provide a useful benchmark for other health care systems and for future quality improvement and interventional studies.
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